AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, a Division of AMEC Americas Limited Suite 600 – 4445 Lougheed Highway, Burnaby, BC, Canada V5C 0E4 Tel +1 (604) 294-3811 Fax +1 (604) 294-4664 www.amec.com



Mount Nansen Remediation Project 2014 Site Investigation Report and Site Characterization Update

Submitted to:

Assessment and Abandoned Mines Energy Mines and Resources Whitehorse, YT

Submitted by:

AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, a Division of AMEC Americas Limited Burnaby, BC

March 3, 2015

AMEC File: VM00605J.03.302



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

				-J-
EXEC	UTIVE S	SUMMAR	Y	1
1	PROJECT BACKGROUND AND SCOPE			.11
	1.1	Introducti	on	.11
	1.2	Scope of	Remediation	.11
	1.3	Project D	evelopment Status	.13
		1.3.1 Pl	hase 1	.13
		1.3.2 Pl	hase 2	.14
2	REPO	RT SCOP	E AND ORGANIZATION	.16
3	2014 S	I PROGR	AM OVERVIEW	.17
	3.1	Previous	Investigations	.17
	3.2	SI Progra	am Objectives	.17
	3.3	SI Scope	of Work	.19
	3.4	Investigat	tive Disciplines	.19
	3.5	Evolution	of Investigative Program	.20
	3.6	Summary	r of Investigations Completed	.20
	3.7	SI Progra	am Schedule	.21
	3.8	SI Progra	m Photographs	.21
4	INVES	TIGATION	N METHODOLOGIES	.23
	4.1	Drilling		.23
	4.2	Test Pittir	ng	.24
	4.3	Dome Cr	eek Sediment Sampling	.27
	4.4	Water Sa	mpling	.29
		4.4.1 G	roundwater Datalogger Downloads	.29
		4.4.2 G	roundwater Monitoring Program	.29
		4.4.3 P	ond and Pore Water Sampling for Water Treatment	.34
		4.4.4 Le	eachate Bins	.35
		4.4.5 St	urface Water Hydrology and Water Quality	.35
	4.5	Exploratio	on Trench and Dome Creek Inspections	.36
		4.5.1 FI	eld Survey	.36
	4.0	4.5.2 Ai	nalysis Methods	.40
	4.6	General	Site Reconnaissance	.42
	4.7		ry Program	.43
		4.7.1 G	eolechnical	.43
		4.7.2 IVI	an complex Lanuili	.44
		4.7.3 G	eochemical and background Soli Testing	.44
5				.47 70
5	DETAI	Dicturbod	Area Limite	.40 10
	5.1		highly and Scope	.40 10
		512 R	esults for the Tailings Storage Facility	.40 //8
		513 R	esults for the Mill Complex and Camp Area	50
	52		esuits for the Mill Complex and Camp Area	50
	J.Z		biectives and Scope	50
		521 P	esulte	52
	53	Pond W/2	ters	.5Z
	0.0	531 O	biective and Scope	54
		532 Tr	reatability Test Results	56
		0.0.2 11		.00



TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT'D)

Page

		•
5.4	Groundwater	60
	5.4.1 Objectives	60
	5.4.2 Groundwater Level Instrumentation and Data Objectives	60
	5.4.3 Groundwater Datalogger Results	63
	5.4.4 Groundwater Monitoring Results	75
5.5	Surface Water Hydrology and Water Quality Results	76
	5.5.1 Objectives and Scope	76
	5.5.2 Results	77
5.6	Mill Complex Landfill	78
	5.6.1 Objectives and Scope	78
	5.6.2 Results	78
5.7	Waste Rock/Tailings	82
	5.7.1 Objectives and Scope	82
	5.7.2 Results	82
5.8	Exploration Trenches and Dome Creek	83
	5.8.1 Objectives and Scope	83
	5.8.2 Results	83
5.9	Background Samples	87
	5.9.1 Objectives and Scope	87
	5.9.2 Results	87
5.10	General Site Reconnaissance	92
	5.10.1 Tailings Storage Facility	92
	5.10.2 Brown McDade Pit	94
	5.10.3 Waste Rock Area	94
	5.10.4 Mill Complex and Camp Area	94
	5.10.5 Dome Creek Valley	94
	5.10.6 Potential Borrow Areas	95
	5.10.7 Victoria Creek Wellhouse	96
SITE	CHARACTERIZATION UPDATE	97
6.1	Hydrogeology	97
	6.1.1 Brown McDade Pit	97
	6.1.2 Ground Temperatures	
	6.1.3 Groundwater Quality	
6.2	Hydrology	
6.3	Surface Water Quality	
6.4	Water Treatment	100
6.5	Creek Sediment Quality	100
6.6	Disturbed Area Limits	108
6.7	Brown McDade Pit	
6.8	Waste Rock Areas	
6.9	Tailings Storage Facility	109
6.10	Mill Complex	
6.11	Geochemical Considerations	
6.12	Exploration I renches	
	6.12.1 Remediation/Reclamation Rankings and Schedule	116



TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT'D)

Page

	6.13	Reclamation	.117
		6.13.1 Reclamation Trials	.117
		6.13.2 Vegetation Sources	.118
	6.14	Borrow Areas	.118
7	LIMITA	ATIONS AND CLOSURE	.119
8	REFE	RENCES	120

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1-1:	Overview	12
Figure 3.7-1:	Mount Nansen Remediation Project (MNRP) - Phase 2 TAR#2 SI	22
Figure 4.1.1.	Unper Dome Creek Sempling Leastions	
Figure 4.1-1.	Middle Dome Creek Sampling Locations	20
Figure 4.1-2.	I awar Dama Creak Campling Locations	20
Figure 4.3-1:	Lower Dome Creek Sampling Locations	
Figure 4.4.2-1:	Groundwater Sampling Locations, Dome Creek and Tailings Facility	20
F : 4400	(Hemmera, 2014)	
Figure 4.4.2-2:	Groundwater Sampling Locations, Mill Complex and Brown McDade Pit	04
- : • • • • •	(Hemmera, 2014)	
Figure 4.4.5-1:	Hydrometric Stations and Water Quality Sites (EDI, 2014b)	
Figure 4.5.1-1:	I rench Reclamation Overview	
Figure 4.5.1-2:	Trench Reclamation Details of Southern Trenches	41
Figure 5.1.1-1:	Planned Extent of Remediation Works	49
Figure 5.1.2-1:	Test Hole Depth and Permafrost Depth - Tailings Storage Facility	51
Figure 5.4.3-1:	Piezometric Elevation and Temperature	64
Figure 5.4.3-2a:	Piezometric Elevation	65
Figure 5.4.3-2b:	Thermistor Temperature	66
Figure 5.4.3-3:	Piezometric Elevation. Non-Valid Thermistor Temperature	67
Figure 5.4.3-4:	Thermistor Temperature. Non-Valid Piezometric Elevation	68
Figure 5.4.3-5:	Thermistor Temperature. Non-Valid Piezometric Elevation	69
Figure 5.4.3-6a:	Piezometric Elevation	70
Figure 5.4.3-6b:	Thermistor Temperature	71
Figure 5.4.3-7:	Piezometric Elevation	72
Figure 6.5-1:	Sediment and Soil Sampling for Metals Testing	101
Figure 6.5-2:	Upper Dome Creek - Arsenic Concentrations in Sediments	103
Figure 6.5-3:	Middle Dome Creek - Arsenic Concentrations in Sediments	104
Figure 6.5-4:	Lower Dome Creek - Arsenic Concentrations in Sediments	105
Figure 6.6-1:	Variation of Moisture Content with Depth in the Unfrozen and Permafrost	
-	Soils	110
Figure 6.6-2:	Mill Area Soil Types	111
-		



TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT'D)

Page

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.2-1:	Information Needs Addressed by the 2014 Site Investigation Program	18
Table 4.4.2-1:	Summary of Samples Collected at Each Well Location (Hemmera, 2014)	32
Table 4.4.3-1:	Summary of Water Samples Collected	35
Table 4.4.5-1:	2014/2015 Hydrometric and Water Quality Stations as of April 2014	
	Reported by EDI (2014a)	38
Table 4.5.2-1:	Attribute Term Definitions	42
Table 4.7.1-1:	Name and Number of Geotechnical Tests Completed in Various Regions	43
Table 4.7.3.1-1:	Selected Waste Rock Samples for Kinetic Testing	44
Table 4.7.2.3-1:	Summary of Geochemical Laboratory Test Methods	46
Table 4.7.2.3-2:	Summary of Geochemical Laboratory Test Methods	46
Table 5.2.1-1:	Analytical Results for Sediment Samples	53
Table 5.3.1.1-1:	Raw Water Quality of Mt Nansen Samples	55
Table 5.3.1.2-1:	Description of the Treatment Tests Completed	57
Table 5.3.2-1:	Summary of Reagent Consumption Rates	58
Table 5.3.2-2:	Analytical Results of Treatability Testing	59
Table 5.4.2-1:	Summary of Groundwater/Hydrogeologic Datalogger Installations	62
Table 5.4.4-1:	Summary of Parameters in Groundwater that Exceed CCME guidelines	
	(June 2014) (Hemmera, 2014)	75
Table 5.5.2-1:	Summary of Parameters that Exceed CCME guidelines and/or Mount	
	Nansen Effluent Discharge Standards (Q1 April 1 to June 30, 2014)	
	(EDI, 2014a)	77
Table 5.6.2.2-1:	Landfill Samples - Metal Results	79
Table 5.6.2.2-2:	Landfill Samples - Volatile Organic Compound and Hydrocarbon Results	80
Table 5.6.2.2-3:	Landfill Samples - Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon Results	81
Table 5.7.2-1:	Leachates Field Bin Testing Results	84
Table 5.8.2.1-1:	Trench Areas Selected for Reclamation	86
Table 5.9.2.1-1:	Background Soil Samples – CSR Metal Results	88
Table 5.9.2.2-1:	Background Soil Samples – Aqua Regia Results	90
Table 5.9.2.3-1:	Leachable Metal Testing (Shake Flask Extraction) Results of Soil Samples	93
Table 6.1.1-1:	Wintertime Minima Pond Elevations	97
Table 6.2-1:	Flow Monitoring Results 2014 and Range from Pre-2014	99
Table 6.5-1:	Summary of Dome Creek Sediment Samples	102
Table 6.11-1:	Comparison of 2013 and 2014 Loadings from Leachate Field Bins	115
Table 6.12-1:	Trench Reclamation Order	116

Mount Nansen Remediation Project 2014 Site Investigation Report and Site Characterization Update March 3, 2015



TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT'D)

LIST OF APPENDICES

- Appendix 3A: Site Investigation Locations
- Appendix 3B: Daily Field Reports
- Appendix 3C: SI Program Photographs
- Appendix 4A: Borehole Logs
- Appendix 4B: Imagery of Test Hole Locations
- Appendix 4C: Test Pit Logs
- Appendix 4D: Geotechnical Results
- Appendix 4E: Soil and Sediment Results
- Appendix 4F: Geochemical Results
- Appendix 4G: Water Treatment Results
- Appendix 4H: Comments Log
- Appendix 4I: Native Files CD



Executive Summary

Introduction, Project Scope and Report Objective

Mount Nansen has been the site of mining exploration activity and/or active mining since the 1940s. The most extensive stage of mining occurred between November 1996 and February 1999 in the Brown-McDade Open Pit. It involved construction of the existing tailings dam and deposition of approximately 240,000 m³ of tailings within the tailings impoundment. A waste rock storage area was also created adjacent to the Brown-McDade Open Pit that currently contains about 360,000 m³ of potentially acid generating (PAG) material and about 240,000 m³ of non-acid generating (NAG) material. Earlier periods of mining contributed to smaller amounts of tailings, some of which are still present near the mill site, and localized zones of waste rock at the mill site and elsewhere on the site. Other site infrastructure includes the mill and camp facilities as well as various ancillary structures, power lines and pipelines. In 1999, mining was halted because it was no longer economical, and because sulphide ore was being mined in contravention of the water licence.

Since 1999, various investigations and assessments have been undertaken that have led to the development of a remediation plan for the site. This remediation plan is comprised of the following:

- Relocating the tailings and underlying affected soils from the existing tailings impoundment to the Open Pit;
- Removing the main tailings dam and downstream seepage dam;
- Relocating mineralized waste rock to the Open Pit;
- Backfilling the Open Pit so that the tailings are located above the groundwater table and a stable final surface and topography is provided;
- Developing a management method for the water currently in the Tailings Storage Facility and Open Pit;
- Covering the Open Pit area with an engineered low infiltration cover to substantially limit water contact within the tailings deposit;
- Understanding the hydrogeology of the backfilled pit so that seepage can be appropriately managed;
- Remediating the Mill Complex via:
 - building dismantling and/or demolition;
 - rail tanker removal;
 - PAG rock removal;
 - historic tailings removal;
 - hazardous waste removal;
 - historic settling ponds decommissioning;
 - landfill remediation (if found to be necessary);



- watercourse restoration; and
- the provision of compliant water quality.
- Remediating the camp area including demolishing existing buildings (except those required for maintenance following closure) and removing hazardous waste;
- Decommissioning all non-public roads, where not required for future monitoring;
- Removing existing infrastructure (power lines, pipelines, sediment ponds, ancillary buildings, etc.);
- Remediating hydrocarbon contaminated soils;
- Remediating exploration trenches and disturbed areas as appropriate;
- Decommissioning the Victoria Creek Wellhouse and existing artesian well;
- Reconstructing and reclaiming the Dome Creek channel and valley following removal of the Tailings Storage Facility; and
- Creating a remediated landscape that complements the natural topography and vegetation.

This document describes the scope, conduct and findings of the Site Investigation (SI) that was conducted in the summer of 2014 as part of the second phase of remedial design development (i.e. Phase 2). The update of the site characterization that is included in the report considers both data compiled by AMEC/AE during the 2014 SI and hydrological, ground and surface water quality assembled during the spring and summer of 2014 by others under separate contracts to AAM.

The Site Characterization update that is provided in this document supplements the 2013 Characterization Report (AMEC, 2014a) by expanding upon and/or modifying those previous characterizations influenced by 2014 data. The document does not replicate characterizations that have not been influenced by this data meaning that a full understanding of site conditions requires consideration of both this document and AMEC (2014a).

SI Program Objectives and Scope

The 30% design for the Mount Nansen Remediation Project (MNRP) (i.e. Phase 1) carried various uncertainties that were described in the Phase 1 characterization and design reports. It was recognized that some of these uncertainties could be cost effectively reduced through additional information gathered during a supplemental site investigation program. The investigation program developed to meet the objectives outlined above included the following components:

- Approximately 30 auger holes (herein referenced as boreholes BH) to a maximum of 5 m drilled in the undisturbed/disturbed ground within approximately 4 m either side of the current disturbance limits as estimated in the general area;
- Two to three test pits to a maximum of 5 m in the landfill at the mill site;
- Sediment sampling in Dome Creek and in the area of blackened vegetation downstream of the Tailings Storage Facility;



- Reconnaissance of all work areas in consideration of the project design with a view towards construction considerations and project execution;
- Tailings, pit and seepage pond water sampling and laboratory analyses for water treatment plant process optimization;
- Reconnaissance of the trenches to confirm the reclamation classifications suggested in Phase 1;
- Downloading dataloggers (water level and temperature);
- Sampling of leachate bins;
- Geotechnical laboratory testing on samples collected, including moisture content, particle size analyses including fines content, and Atterberg limits (if applicable); and
- Geochemical testing on samples collected, consisting of metals analyses and some shake flask extraction tests.

Investigation Outcomes and Results

Drilling Program

The objective of drilling within the disturbed area limits was to determine the potential for ice-rich permafrost at the disturbed / undisturbed boundary of the Tailings Storage Facility. This affects the design of the tie-ins between the remediated area and the original ground and will also provide additional information regarding probable conditions under the fill material in the disturbed areas where thawing has yet to occur. While the objective for these holes was to target undisturbed terrain, local access conditions, or the likelihood of creating terrain disturbance, resulted in some holes being drilled in disturbed areas.

A total of 21 boreholes were completed around the Tailings Storage Facility, five at the Mill Complex and four at the camp area. Drilling at the Tailings Storage Facility was concentrated on the north facing (south side) slopes of the Tailings Storage Facility where permafrost is more likely to be located closer to the ground surface.

Disturbed Area Limits

The following presents some of the key observations that were made during the drilling program:

- Permafrost was noted at shallower depths along the north facing slopes (south side) of the Tailings Facility, with a depth typically on the order of 1 m to 3.5 m.
- The moisture content of the unfrozen soil ranged between 3% and 77%, with the higher values typically reflecting the presence of organics. Excluding the soil samples with organics content, the average moisture content of the unfrozen soil was on the order of 11%.
- The moisture content in the permafrost soils generally ranged between 14% and 145%, with the majority of the values within the 20% to 60% range. It is significant that the water contents of unfrozen (and likely thawed permafrost) samples are typically less than the frozen or



permafrost samples reflecting the "excess" water content of the permafrost. This water is drained out of the permafrost as it thaws, and can create settlement and terrain instability if not appropriately managed in design.

- The soils encountered at the disturbed / undisturbed boundaries of the Tailings Storage Facility generally consist of fine to medium grained sand and silt. Such soils are prone to water induced erosion, which has been noted in several locations along the south slopes adjacent to the Tailings Facility. This will need to be considered in the future during the design of the tie-in zones (including filter compatibility).
- Permafrost was not encountered at the camp and mill complex where the subsurface conditions are generally more favourable than in the Tailings Storage Facility, given that bedrock was encountered at relatively shallow depths.
- The extent of the historical landfill at the mill complex was found to be greater than initially anticipated.

Brown McDade Pit

• There are no changes to the Open Pit Site Characterization presented in the Phase 1 Site Characterization Report (AMEC, 2014a).

Waste Rock Areas

• There are no major changes to the Waste Rock Areas Site Characterization. However, field observations (iron staining, presence of sulphides and signs of oxidation) suggested that PAG rocks may be mixed with NAG rocks more extensively than was assumed in 2013. If the remediation design requires additional volumes of NAG rock for the construction works, significant effort may be required to separate NAG from PAG rock.

Tailings Storage Facility

The results from the borehole drilling and laboratory testing program completed around the Tailings Facility were in general agreement with the findings from the 2013 site investigation. The in situ soils along the margins of the Tailings Facility had fines content typically varying between about 5% and to 60%. The in situ soils sampled in 2013 under the tailings had fines content generally varying between 5% to 35%.

Based on the drilling information, there is shallow permafrost in the disturbed/undisturbed boundaries at the Tailings Storage Facility area, particularly on the south side of the Tailings Storage Facility where the slope is steeper (average depth on the south side is on the order of 1 m to 3.5 m). Permafrost soils in the disturbed/ undisturbed boundaries of the Tailings Storage Facility appear to have a higher silt content as well as amorphous organics (decayed plant and animals) that have a distinctive smell. Mosses and low lying shrubs are located on the south slopes, whereas evergreen and some aspen trees grow on the south facing (or north) slopes. In addition, dense willow grows in the Dome Creek valley upstream and downstream of the Tailings Facility.



The top vegetation layer in the undisturbed area consists of thick moss and shrubs. This layer not only provides considerable insulation to the ground in the summer, but also protects the underlying fine to medium grained sand with varying silt content (active layer) from deeper thawing. The active layer material is also subject to seasonal freeze-thaw cycles. The active layer in undisturbed terrain is vulnerable to surface disturbance, which results in thawing, subsequent settlement, focusing of runoff, and then the development of erosion channels in silty soils.

Dome Creek Valley

The objectives of the sediment and soil sampling program in the Dome Creek Valley were twofold:

- Identify the potential for past migration of tailings along Dome Creek; and
- Delineate the remediation extent upstream and downstream of the Tailings Storage Facility.

The above objectives included investigating areas of dead and/or blackened vegetation previously observed downstream of the Tailings Storage Facility. The SI program met these objectives by compiling the sediment and soil analytical data needed to characterize the limits of contamination and estimate the associated material volumes. The generation of these material volume estimates is part of the Phase 2 design scope.

The sampling and analysis program concluded that Dome Creek sediments are impacted along most of its length, but the impacts are highest immediately downstream of known sources. The areas of blackened and/or dead vegetation immediately downstream of the Tailings Storage Facility have significantly higher arsenic concentrations and potentially elevated copper concentrations (only seen in one of two samples). Further downstream of the TSF, the areas of blackened vegetation seem to be of lower concern.

Pond Waters

The purpose of the Pond Waters treatability testing program was to evaluate the previously proposed water treatment design completed during Phase 1. To achieve this, samples of the four contaminated water sources onsite were collected. To ensure representative water qualities for each of the sources, a detailed sampling protocol was provided to the onsite team responsible for the sample collection. These samples were shipped to AMEC's water treatment laboratory in Pointe-Claire, Québec, where a series of water treatment tests were completed.

Based on the raw water quality, a set of 14 treatment tests were designed to confirm the treatment needs for each of the water sources. The approach was to evaluate the treatment requirements for each of the water sources separately without any prior blending. This method is considered conservative as blending will result in an improvement in the overall water quality, specifically for seepage pond and tailings porewater.

The testing provided the information necessary to size the reagent systems for lime, ferric sulphate, and flocculant. The testing also showed that CN can be treated, and it defined the required sulphuric acid dosages to reduce the final pH to 7.0 and mitigate ammonia toxicity.



The raw water concentrations of cyanide and ammonia in the collected samples were lower than the previous design basis. This suggests that proper raw water sequencing and blending of different site waters could remove the need to treat for these two contaminants. In this case, two of the planned reagents could be eliminated from the plant design: the peroxide and sulphuric acid. These are the two reagents which pose the greatest health and safety risks in the treatment plant. Eliminating these two reagents is expected to reduce the estimated water treatment plant costs by approximately 15%.

Groundwater

The primary objectives for the groundwater-related aspects of the 2014 site investigation were to increase the data for a refinement of the project baseline information, with respect to the seasonal variability in groundwater levels and groundwater chemistry. A secondary objective was to update, where applicable, the hydrogeological conceptual site model (AMEC, 2014b), according to the 2014 site investigation findings. Of the secondary objectives, the Brown McDade Pit pond levels and ground temperatures associated with the Pit are of particular relevance to the remediation design.

AMEC reviewed the recent 2014 groundwater monitoring and sampling results and the interpretation, described by Hemmera (2014). CCME Freshwater Aquatic Life guidelines were used as comparison water quality results in this report. Overall, the groundwater analytical results presented by Hemmera in 2014 are consistent with the results and conceptual model of the project site groundwater quality, as concluded by AMEC in 2013 (AMEC, 2014a). In 2014, acid rock drainage appears to be commencing at two locations in the tailings dam area (MW09-21 and MW09-22), with decreases in pH and alkalinity and increases in sulphate, compared to the results from 2009 to 2013 at these locations. Aside from these two groundwater monitoring locations, the 2014 results are generally consistent with the 2013 groundwater quality results.

Surface Water Hydrology and Water Quality

The purpose of the freshet program was to expand the surface water quality and flow data set for this seasonal period of transition. For the purposes of environmental assessment, YESAA guidelines require that seasonal variability be captured and the range of water quality characteristics be understood. The program addressed the following specific objectives:

- Measuring peak flow during the spring runoff period; and
- Combining water quality and stream flow monitoring data for loading calculations.

Freshet monitoring was conducted by EDI under contract to AAM. EDI also conducts monthly flow monitoring for the Mount Nansen site. The 2014 fresh monitoring was conducted on May 8 and 9. At all of the stations, and in all cases, the measured 2014 results fell within the range of previously measured flows. Therefore, the 2014 Data are consistent with previously collected data.

The 2014 surface water quality data included information collected on April 14-15, May 8-9, May 20-21 and June 24-26. The key elements of the water quality characterization included:

• Comparison to CCME guidelines and Mount Nansen Effluent Discharge Standards;



- Temporal variability; and
- Spatial variability.

Understanding these three characteristics of the water quality data is significant for meeting the YESAA guidelines as they relate to baseline and environmental assessment of the site. The above characteristics are also key to the evaluation and implementation of the remedial design for the site.

The following observations are based on a comparison of these 2014 water quality results to those from previous years:

- The primary parameters of concern are the same, namely Al, As, Cd, Cu, Fe and Zn;
- Temporal variability of exceedances at the stations in Dome, Victoria and Pony Creeks are very noticeable, with May 8-9, 2014 (freshet) period showing the most exceedances, followed by May 20-21, 2014, then June 24-16, 2014. April data were not considered because several stations could not be sampled;
- Dome Creek shows less seasonal change in the number of exceedances over the different sampling dates. Dome Creek also shows less spatial variance in parameter exceedances between stations;
- The Victoria Creek sampling station shows clear seasonal variations in the number of exceedances. What is particularly noticeable is that in June, only Al exceeded the guidelines at all of the Victoria Creek stations;
- Pony Creek also exhibits some seasonal variation, with most parameters exceeding guidelines during the freshet (May 8-9, 2014); and
- There is limited spatial variability in exceedances observed within the same creek or sampling area.

Mill Complex Landfill

The objective of the test pitting program was to assess the waste content and depth of the landfill area to better define the required scope of landfill remediation. The waste dump is located at the southeast side of the mill complex. Mechanical parts, metals, woods, plastic, glass and construction materials were noted at the exposed surface of the waste dump. Three tests pits were completed extending to a maximum depth of 5 metres, with soil samples collected from two of the pits. No waste material was identified in the first test pit and therefore no soil samples were collected. The waste material appears to have been historically dumped over the crest of the main road fill, then covered with road grading materials or unwanted fill. As a result, it is suspected that the extent of the landfill is larger than initially anticipated. No liner at the base of the landfill was noted during the test pitting program.



Waste Rock/Tailings

The main objective of the geochemical scope of work for waste rock and tailings was to improve the characterization of the waste rock and tailings drainage quality. This information will be used to refine the source terms for waste rock and tailings used in the Phase 2 water quality predictions. The kinetic testing conducted on non-PAG waste rock samples was intended to confirm source terms for non-PAG rock that is expected to be used as construction material or that will be left on surface following remediation activities. The leachate sampling from the field bins was undertaken to supplement the existing database for tailings seepage, waste rock and ore runoff water quality.

In general, sulphate and dissolved metal concentrations in the leachates collected from the unsaturated tailings field bin and ore field bin were comparable with leachates collected during previous sampling programs. Some exceptions were relatively high arsenic and iron concentrations measured in the leachate collected from the saturated tailings bin. Arsenic and iron concentrations were around three times higher than those in the leachates from previous sampling programs. The 2014 results will used to refine the tailings source terms for water quality modelling.

The waste rock field bin results suggest some changes in the concentrations of certain parameters for the waste rock source terms. However, the source terms for this rock are also influenced by the monitoring results for the seepage and runoff quality from the existing waste rock pile. Both the field bin leachate quality and the waste rock dump seepage quality data will be reviewed and used to update the source terms for the waste rock that will be relocated to the pit.

The source term for non-PAG rock that will be left at surface following remediation activities or used as construction material will be determined by the pending kinetic testing results (trickle leach column testing).

The previous tailings source terms were mainly determined by the results of humidity cell testing. The recent results from the tailings field bin leachate testing are unlikely to change the tailings source terms used in the water quality model.

The lab analysis results for the field ore bin leachate confirm there is no major change in the ore rock source terms used in the water quality model.

Exploration Trenches and Dome Creek

The SI program pertaining to reclamation had the following three specific objectives:

- .1 Identify special habitat features in the Dome Creek Valley and TSF area to target placement of vegetation patches for reclamation;
- .2 Improve the inventory and evaluation of exploration trenches selected for reclamation during Phase 1, based on refined rationales and the OIC boundary within an area not subject to a current mining claim; and
- .3 Document the rationale for excluding trenches from selection for reclamation.



Vegetation cover and landscape features observed within the Dome Creek Valley are relatively uniform, without obvious special features that could be linked to specific vegetation patch placement. Dome Creek Valley is dominated by an alternating tall-shrub to low-shrub willow community, with a braided channel. Substrates are consistently sandy, and channel widths range from 0.3 m to 1 m wide. The reclamation prescription for vegetation patch placement recommended in Phase 1 (AMEC, 2014b) requires no additional updating; however, channel design should mimic a more braided morphology which observes the natural morphology currently exhibited in the valley.

The exploration trenches recommended for reclamation were identified based on the results of the attribute ranking. Trenches slated for reclamation generally ranked highest within the southern trench area due to their high visibility, ease of access, erosion evidence, sediment transport to Dome Creek, and their location within a wildlife corridor. In some cases, reclamation is not required for the entire length of the trench; only continuous portions that were judged to rank highly require reclamation at this time.

Background Samples

The objectives of the background soil sampling program were to:

- Enhance the historical background geochemical soil database for metal concentrations; and
- Provide a basis for comparison of impacted areas (predominantly in the Mill Complex) to undisturbed soils using the CSR soil standards.

Analyses for pH and metals were conducted on selected native soil samples collected from the geotechnical boreholes located in the Mill Complex and Camp Area and adjacent to the Tailings Storage Facility. The results of the current samples will be compiled during the Phase 2 design with the results from the previous exploration geochemistry and soil sampling programs for a thorough review of upper range limits of metal in the background soil.

General Site Reconnaissance

A general visual site reconnaissance was conducted by AMEC to assess the following areas of the site to better define the constructability assumptions for the remediation design.

Tailings Storage Facility

No additional information was noted in the Tailings Storage Facility area beyond what was presented in the Phase 1 Site Characterization Report (AMEC, 2014a).

Brown McDade Pit

In general, observations of the pit walls indicated that the exposed rock mass on the west side of the pit had a higher degree of weathering than the rock face on the east side of the pit. Only the northern pit pond contained water during the SI program and the southern pond was dry.



Waste Rock Area

In general, the rocks were highly weathered, red/yellow coloured and had visible sulphides. Field observations suggested that potentially acid generating (PAG) rock could be mixed more extensively with non- acid generating (NAG) rock than is currently estimated.

Mill Complex and Camp Area

There were no significant additions to the previous reconnaissance conducted in 2013 except:

- Previously, it was indicated that main mill level bench likely consists predominantly of waste rock/ore with landfill waste at the south end. Based on the 2014 test pit results and field observations, the extent of the landfill is assumed to extend further north on the main mill level bench. It may be thicker closer to the fill material crest.
- Previously assumed NAG volumes of the Mill Complex appeared to be a mixture of NAG and PAG based on visual observation of the rocks. As mentioned above, a significant effort may be required to separate NAG from PAG rocks, which may require further geochemical testing prior to remedial construction activities.

Dome Creek Valley

The extent of potential contamination of the Dome Creek Valley was visually assessed during the sedimentation sampling and general site reconnaissance. The potentially contaminated area consists of the flat area between the toes of the north and south sideslopes adjacent to the creek. In general, the Dome Creek wetted perimeter is considered to be soft to very soft and saturated. Initial assumptions for remediation of the Dome Creek below the Tailings Storage Facility involving heaving equipment may not be feasible.

Potential Borrow Areas

Two additional potential sand borrow areas were identified in the 2014 Site Investigation:

- Borrow Areas I and II are located upstream of the Tailings Storage Facility and may be used to supplement the existing sand borrow areas adjacent to the tailings.
- Borrow Area VI may contain appropriate fine grained material for a cover system; however, it is located on an external placer claim and procurement constraints and haul distances may make this borrow unfeasible.

Victoria Creek Wellhouse

AMEC and AAM representatives identified a 100 mm insulated pipe that was buried along the power line (consistent with the understanding that previous wellhouse decommissioning activity had addressed above grade features only). The power line to the Victoria Creek Wellhouse is accessible with an excavator for removal and reclamation purposes. Currently there are two wells at the Victoria Creek Wellhouse, one of which is sealed from the top.



1 Project Background and Scope

1.1 Introduction

Mount Nansen has been the site of mining exploration activity and/or active mining since the 1940s. The most extensive stage of mining occurred between November 1996 and February 1999 in the Brown-McDade Open Pit. It involved construction of the existing tailings dam and deposition of approximately 240,000 m³ of tailings within the tailings impoundment. A waste rock storage area was also created adjacent to the Brown-McDade Open Pit that currently contains about 360,000 m³ of potentially acid generating (PAG) material and about 240,000 m³ of non-acid generating (NAG) material. Earlier periods of mining contributed to smaller amounts of tailings, some of which are still present near the mill site, and localized zones of waste rock at the mill site and elsewhere on the site. Other site infrastructure includes the mill and camp facilities as well as various ancillary structures, power lines and pipelines. The general location and arrangement of these site features are shown on Figure 1.1-1.

In 1999, mining was halted because it was no longer economical, and because sulphide ore was being mined in contravention of the water licence. The company operating the Mount Nansen property was put into receivership in March 1999. The site is now managed by the Yukon Government through Assessment and Abandoned Mines (AAM). In support of site remediation, many studies and investigations have been carried out over the past decade to define the closure objectives and to explore various closure options. In 2012, the project partners selected a preferred remediation scheme based on a remediation alternatives study (LORAX, 2011). The first phase of remediation design (30%) was completed by AMEC and Associated Engineering (AE) in March 2014 and the development of the Yukon Environmental and Socio Economic Assessment Board (YESAB) project proposal has been initiated. Following final acceptance of Phase 1, the project will move into Phase 2, which entails completing a 60% design and cost estimate, preparing the YESAB project proposal document, and beginning the public consultation process.

1.2 Scope of Remediation

This remediation plan as described in the Phase 1 design report (AMEC, 2014b) is comprised of the following:

- Relocating the tailings and underlying affected soils from the existing tailings impoundment to the Open Pit;
- Removing the main tailings dam and downstream seepage dam;
- Relocating mineralized waste rock to the Open Pit;
- Backfilling the Open Pit so that the tailings are located above the groundwater table and a stable final surface and topography is provided;
- Developing a management method for the water currently in the Tailings Storage Facility and Open Pit;



Datum: NAD 1983 CSRS UTM Zone 8N

Public Road = Road Stream

₽ Potential Borrow Area

Haines Junction

Whitehorse

Carcros

Watson Lake

S:\PROJECTS\VM00605 - Mount Nansen\GIS\Mapping\Ver2014_SiteInvestigationReport\VM00605_Overview.mxd



OVERVIEW

Scale 1:20,000 Metres

500 October 1, 2014

0

1,000



- Covering the Open Pit area with an engineered low infiltration cover to substantially limit water contact within the tailings deposit;
- Understanding the hydrogeology of the backfilled pit so that seepage can be appropriately managed;
- Remediating the Mill Complex via:
 - building dismantling and/or demolition;
 - rail tanker removal;
 - PAG rock removal;
 - historic tailings removal;
 - hazardous waste removal;
 - historic settling ponds decommissioning;
 - landfill remediation (if found to be necessary);
 - watercourse restoration; and
 - the provision of compliant water quality.
- Remediating the camp area including demolishing existing buildings (except those required for maintenance following closure) and removing hazardous waste;
- Decommissioning all non-public roads, where not required for future monitoring;
- Removing existing infrastructure (power lines, pipelines, sediment ponds, ancillary buildings, etc.);
- Remediating hydrocarbon contaminated soils;
- Remediating exploration trenches and disturbed areas as appropriate;
- Decommissioning the Victoria Creek Wellhouse and existing artesian well;
- Reconstructing and reclaiming the Dome Creek channel and valley following removal of the Tailings Storage Facility; and
- Creating a remediated landscape that complements the natural topography and vegetation.

1.3 Project Development Status

1.3.1 Phase 1

In March of 2014, AMEC/AE completed the 30% Design Development phase (Phase 1). This phase was intended to:

- Characterize the technical feasibility of Option 4;
- Identify a base case design which could be further optimized and refined;
- Provide bracketed predictions of the likely performance of the base case design (e.g. predicted ranges of downstream water quality relative to CCME criteria);



- Provide a bracketed understanding of the risks associated with key project features and outcomes;
- Characterize the nature and scale of uncertainties related to predictions of performance and risk;
- Outline the basic elements of any Adaptive Management Plans (AMPs) that might be needed to manage risks and uncertainties; and
- Develop a project execution cost estimate with enough utility and reliability to support the next level of Partner decision making (generally equivalent to an AACE (Association for Advancement of Cost Engineering) Class 3 Estimate).

The work conducted to address these objectives was described in the following key Phase 1 deliverables:

- <u>Site Investigation (SI) Data Report (AMEC, 2014)</u>: described the conduct of the 2013 site investigation completed to support Phase 1 design development activity and compiled all of the data generated by the program.
- <u>Site Characterization Report (AMEC, 2014a)</u>: consolidated all of the information on the site and its characteristics into one description that was the primary input to Phase 1 design development activity. In short, the Site Characterization Report brought investigative work completed in the past together with the findings of the 2013 SI program completed by AMEC/AE.
- <u>30% Phase Design Report (AMEC, 2014b)</u>: described the development and validation of the Phase 1 Design Base Case prepared for Option 4 and presented the drawings produced during Phase 1.
- <u>Cost Estimates (AMEC, 2014c)</u>: described the development of an AACE Class 3 cost estimate for the Phase 1 Design Base Case, as well as the construction schedule and assumptions upon which the estimate was based.

1.3.2 Phase 2

Broadly speaking, Phase 2 of the design development process is intended to:

- Refine and update the project Design Base Case established in Phase 1 via supplemental characterizations of key site conditions and additional assessments of remedial component performance, risks and uncertainties;
- Refine and update the description and development of the Adaptive Management Plans that are proposed as adjuncts to the Design Base Case;
- Progress the design to a level of definition that will support the preparation of draft tender packages during the next phase of design;
- Provide the project baseline and project description inputs needed for the YESAA Project Proposal; and



• Refine and update the project execution strategy, schedule and cost estimate (AACE Class 2) to a level of definition that supports advanced Project Partner decision processes and consultative efforts.

The detailed Scope of Work developed by AAM to meet these Phase 2 objectives was comprised of:

- Development of field investigation plans (freshet and summer), office support for the implementation of the freshet work and implementation of the summer field investigation;
- Submission of design drawings and technical specifications in report format at the 60% completion phase for review and comment by the Project Partners and at a level of detail sufficient for the development of an AACE Class 2 estimate. The 60% completion documents are intended to meet the Yukon Environmental and Socio-Economic Assessment (YESAA) Project Proposal (PP) and Water Licence application requirement by providing detailed construction schedules, details of remediation techniques, details on demolition of infrastructures, environmental effects and possible mitigation measures to facilitate environmental reviews and approvals necessary for construction of the proposed design;
- Provision of an AACE Class 2 estimate;
- Preparation of sections and associated appendices of the YESAA Project Proposal meeting the information requirements stipulated in YESAB's documents titled "Proponent's Guide to Information Requirements for Executive Committee Project Proposal Submissions and Proponent's Guide" and "Water Information Requirements for Quartz Mining Project Proposals", as well as the Yukon Water Board's document titled "Type A and B Quartz Mining Undertakings Information Package for Applicants". Deliverables for the YESAA Project Proposal are to address YESAB's "Filling Requirements for an Executive Committee Screening";
- Participation in public consultation events undertaken in Carmacks to present the project; and
- Participation in various meetings and teleconferences throughout the phase to support project management activities and the communication of design developments and outcomes to the Project Partners.



2 Report Scope and Organization

This document describes the scope, conduct and findings of the Site Investigation (SI) that was conducted in the summer of 2014 as part of the Phase 2 MNRP scope of work. The update of the site characterization that is included in the report considers both data compiled by AMEC/AE during the 2014 SI and hydrological, ground and surface water quality assembled during the spring and summer of 2014 by others under separate contracts to AAM.

The Site Characterization update that is provided in this document supplements the 2013 Characterization Report (AMEC, 2014a) by expanding upon and/or modifying those previous characterizations influenced by 2014 data. The document does not replicate characterizations that have not been influenced by this data meaning that a full understanding of site conditions requires consideration of both this document and AMEC (2014a).

The contents of this 2014 SI Report and Site Characterization Update are organized as follows:

Section 1:	describes the project scope and current stage of project development
Section 3:	describes the scope and objectives of the 2014 SI program
Section 4:	describes the investigation methodologies applied to execute the SI program including the scopes of the associated laboratory programs
Section 5:	presents the findings and data of the SI program
Section 6:	describes those additions and/or refinements to the characterization of site conditions resulting from the 2014 SI findings and data
Appendix 3A:	provides a listing of 2014 testing locations
Appendix 3B:	provides the daily reports of field activity during the SI program
Appendix 3C:	provides photographs of SI program activity
Appendices 4A to 4C:	provide borehole and test pit logs and photographs imagery of the associated locations
Appendices 4D to 4G:	provide laboratory testing results from geotechnical, soil, sediment, geochemistry, and water treatability samples



3 2014 SI Program Overview

3.1 Previous Investigations

Mining has occurred at the Mount Nansen site in various forms since the 1940s with the most intensive period occurring in the late 1990s. A significant amount of monitoring and assessment work has been done at the site over the years, both before and especially after mining. As much as possible, the information available from these studies and particularly from previous investigation programs was reviewed by AMEC during development of the 2014 SI program. The previous investigations reviewed, and their influence on the 2014 SI program, are detailed or referenced in AMEC (2014, 2014a, 2013).

3.2 SI Program Objectives

The 30% design for the MNRP (i.e. Phase 1) carried various uncertainties that were described in the Phase 1 characterization and design reports. Some of these uncertainties will be addressed by additional assessment and/or design work during Phase 2, and some of these are inherent to the nature of the materials and work being done and cannot be cost effectively resolved prior to project execution. These would include:

- Effectiveness of the proposed tailings vacuum dewatering technique and associated impact on construction schedule;
- Exact locations and volumes of fine tailings within the impoundment; and
- Exact extent of permafrost throughout the site (albeit less uncertainty as more holes are drilled).

It was recognized that some of the uncertainties remaining at the conclusion of Phase 1 of the MNRP could be cost effectively reduced through additional information gathered during a supplemental site investigation program. These areas of uncertainty are summarized in Table 3.2-1.



Table 3.2-1: Information Needs Addressed by the 2014 Site Investigation Program

Information Need	Proposed Site Investigation
Potential for ice rich permafrost at disturbed / undisturbed boundary – this affects the design of the tie-ins and will also provide additional information regarding probable conditions under the fills in the disturbed areas where thawing has yet to occur.	Shallow boreholes (5 m, drilled with lightweight / hand portable equipment) around the Tailings Storage Facility, mill and camp areas, particularly concentrated on the north facing (south side) slopes. Some holes drilled in undisturbed terrain.
Background soil geochemistry to confirm sub-excavation depths and use of dam fill in reclaimed landscape.	Testing of the C Horizon soil samples obtained from boreholes described above to measure metals concentration and leachability. This will be supplemented by a statistical evaluation of historic data available for the project site and general area around Mount Nansen.
Undisturbed soil conditions.	Logging of soil horizons in the boreholes described above. This is not considered a critical information need because the upper soil horizons have been removed from the disturbed areas and no significant work or disturbance is planned in undisturbed areas.
Potential for past migration of tailings along Dome Creek / delineation of remediation extent downstream as well as upstream of Tailings Storage Facility.	Walk down the entire length of Dome Creek collecting shallow trowel samples at regular intervals with samples also gathered in areas where abnormal and/or concerning observations are made. This includes the area of blackened vegetation downstream of the Tailings Storage Facility. Samples will be subsequently tested for metals content.
Seasonal groundwater variations, particularly groundwater level minimums in open pit.	Datalogger download.
Increase ground temperature information dataset.	Datalogger download.
Increase groundwater quality dataset.	Sampling of all groundwater wells (to be completed by others).
Water treatment reagent and process requirements.	Sample tailings pond, seepage pond and pit pond water and complete laboratory testing.
Trench reclamation classification (i.e. requires reclamation or not).	Visual reconnaissance to assess existing conditions (erosion, runoff, ease of access and reclamation, etc.) and onsite determination of classification (in conjunction with AAM).
Construction and execution considerations with regards to material movement, excavation, placement, etc.	Site reconnaissance of all work areas in consideration of equipment access, logistics, benefit, etc. In particular, the historic tailings areas around the mill require assessment (including consideration of the mill ponds, landfill and valley areas).
Confirmation of treatment of existing landfill at mill site.	Test pits or trenches in landfill to assess waste content.
Add to database of tailings seepage / runoff water quality.	Sampling and lab testing of leachate bins.
Confirmation of source term for non-acid generating material to be used as construction material.	Kinetic testing on NAG waste rock material (samples gathered in 2013).
Increase surface water flow and quality dataset.	Freshet measurements and ongoing monthly monitoring by others.
Waste rock seepage occurrence and quality.	Freshet measurements and regular monitoring by others.
Observation of placer mining activity.	Regular monitoring to be completed by others.
Seepage into open pit from north side in particular or elsewhere as observed.	Regular monitoring to be completed by the site operator.

Mount Nansen Remediation Project 2014 Site Investigation Report and Site Characterization Update March 3, 2015



3.3 SI Scope of Work

The investigation program developed to meet the objectives outlined above included the following components:

- Approximately 30 auger holes (herein referenced as boreholes BH) to a maximum of 5 m drilled in the undisturbed/disturbed ground within approximately 4 m either side of the current disturbance limits as estimated in the general area;
- Two to three test pits to a maximum of 5 m in the landfill at the mill site;
- Sediment sampling in Dome Creek and in the area of blackened vegetation downstream of the Tailings Storage Facility;
- Reconnaissance of all work areas in consideration of the project design with a view towards construction considerations and project execution;
- Tailings, pit and seepage pond water sampling and laboratory analyses for water treatment plant process optimization;
- Reconnaissance of the trenches to confirm the reclamation classifications suggested in Phase 1;
- Downloading dataloggers (water level and temperature);
- Sampling of leachate bins;
- Geotechnical laboratory testing on samples collected, including moisture content, particle size analyses including fines content, and Atterberg limits (if applicable); and
- Geochemical testing on samples collected, consisting of metals analyses and some shake flask extraction tests.

Details of the methods proposed for these components were outlined by discipline in Section 3.0 of the Execution Plan prepared in support of the 2014 SI Program (AMEC, 2014d).

3.4 Investigative Disciplines

The AMEC/AE investigative team was organized by technical discipline as follows:

- <u>Geotechnical</u>: materials relocation/management, physical integrity and stability of site structures and features;
- <u>Water</u>: movement and management of water and/or contaminants from source areas/ structures;
- <u>Site Characterization</u>: identification and characterization of hazardous materials and potential sources of soil and/or groundwater contamination, primarily related to former processing facilities and their ancillary structures;
- <u>Infrastructure (Structures)</u>: dismantling/demolition (D&D) of structures and the disposition of D&D waste streams; and



• <u>Infrastructure (Reclamation)</u>: surface restoration of disturbed and/or remediated lands and features.

The technical disciplines, as described above, are referenced at various junctures throughout this report.

3.5 Evolution of Investigative Program

The Investigation Program was conducted in general accordance with the Project Execution Plan (AMEC, 2014d). Minor changes were adopted to suit field conditions. The program was generally ahead of schedule and was completed in eight days, compared to the planned 11 days. The following summarizes the changes to the Execution Plan:

- Two additional boreholes (BH-T-14-20 and -21) were completed in the Tailings Storage Facility disturbed area to better characterize the in situ conditions.
- Two additional test pit (TP-M-14-03 and TP-T-14-01). Test pit TP-14-03 was completed in the Mill Complex to help determine the spatial extent of the landfill area. Test pit TP-T-14-01 was completed at a relatively flat area, which is assumed to have been constructed for construction laydown during the dam construction, at the north side of the spillway channel diversion to determine the extent of the waste rock at the flat area.
- The required volumes of tailings porewater samples could only be taken from two of the designated monitoring wells. A partial porewater sample was recovered from a third well with a limited yield. The remaining two designated monitoring wells did not yield sufficient volumes of porewater.

3.6 Summary of Investigations Completed

The following summarizes the investigations completed by AMEC/AE:

- Thirty shallow drill holes were located and completed in the following areas:
 - Twenty-one around the margins of the Tailings Storage Facility;
 - Five around the Mill Complex; and
 - Four around the Camp Area.
- Four test pits were completed, three at the Mill Complex landfill and one north of the spillway diversion channel;
- Sixty-three sediment samples were collect in Dome Creek;
- Five soil samples were collected from areas of blackened or dead vegetation in the Dome Creek Valley downstream of the Tailings Storage Facility;
- Ten groundwater data loggers were downloaded;
- Five groundwater monitoring wells were sampled;
- Three water bodies were sampled;



- Five samples were collected from the ore leachate bins;
- Forty-five exploration trenches were investigated; and
- Field reconnaissance was completed at the Tailings Storage Facility, Open Pit, Mill Complex, Camp Area, Dome Creek Valley, Victoria Creek Wellhouse and potential borrow areas.

The final location of the boreholes and test pits are summarized and presented in Appendix 3A.

In addition to the above activities, surface and groundwater monitoring was undertaken under separate contracts to AAM by EDI and Hemmera. The following activities were completed:

- Twenty-eight groundwater monitoring wells were sampled by Hemmera between June 26 and June 29, 2014 (Hemmera, 2014);
- Hydrometric monitoring at up to 14 sites (dependent on conditions) by EDI in April, May (two events) and June 2014;
- Water quality sampling at 22 regular sites by EDI in April, May (2 events) and June 2014; and
- Water quality sampling at six additional sites by EDI in May 2014 (2 events) to capture freshet conditions.

The results of these monitoring programs were referenced or summarized by AMEC/AE in the document and considered in the Site Characterization Update (Section 6). Note that additional ground and surface water monitoring was completed during the fall of 2014 (as part of the regularly scheduled program) that has not been included in the characterizations described in this document.

3.7 SI Program Schedule

Field activities for the 2014 SI Program were conducted over an eight day period in late July. The actual schedule of field activity completed during this period is detailed on Figure 3.7-1. The daily reports compiled during the SI Program field schedule are provided in Appendix 3B.

3.8 SI Program Photographs

Photographs illustrating activity under the various components of the SI program scope are provided in Appendix 3C.

Figure 3.7-1 Mount Nansen Remediation Project (MNRP) - Phase 2 TAR#2 SI Schedule

	Week Ending Aug 3		
Component Task Activity Start Date End Date Duration (Days) Week Ending July 27		Week Ending Week Ending Week End Aug 10 Aug 17 Aug 24	ding Week Ending Week Ending 4 Aug 31 Sept 7
M T W T F S S M	T W T F S	S M T W T F S S M T W T F S S M T W T F	S S M T W T F S S M T W T F S S
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	29 30 31 1 2	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	2 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
Site Investigation			
Travel time/prep supply 21-Jul-14 1			
Enderstandsproprodupty Enderstandsproprodupty Version and sproprodupty Enderstandsproprodupty Download Data logger (AE/AAM) 22-Jul-14			
Sampled MW 09-04 and 09-03 at tailings area (2 AAM staff 23-Jul-14 23-Jul-14 1			
Sampling tailings seep water, seepage pond water and 24-Jul-14 1			
open leachate bins (AE/AAM) leachate bins (AE/AAM) Sampling of open pit pond water - Travel time/dropping off 25- lul-14 1			
Soil and water samples at Whitehors Zo out 14 Zo out 14 Image: Comparison of the compari			
I ravel time/prep supply 21-Jul-14 21-Jul-14 1			
Creek to 250m D/S of TSF (AMEC/AE/AAM)			
O Irench reconnaissance (AMEC/AE/AAM) and laying out BH 23-Jul-14 1			
Setting up Drillers and drilled two holes. Dome Creek sedimen sampling upstream of TSF (AMEC/AAM) 24-Jul-14 1			
Dome Creek sedimen sampling 250m D/S of TSF, going over some of the trenches with Carrie (AMEC/AE), shipping all samples to Whitehorse, completed taking photos of the pit walls to assist with pit stability analysis in the late afternoon.			
TSF site reconnaissance regarding construction execution 26-Jul-14 26-Jul-14 1			
General site reconnaissance regarding construction execution and on site borrow potential (AMEC/AAM) and landfill 27-Jul-14 27-Jul-14 1 assessment test pitting (AMEC/AAM)			
Travel time 28-Jul-14 1 1 6 <th7< th=""> 7 7</th7<>			
Travel time/prep supply 21-Jul-14 1 Image: Comparison of the supply of the suppl			
Dome Creek sedimen sampling downstream from Victoria			
Trench reconnaissance (AMEC/AE/AAM) 23-Jul-14 1			
Trench reconnaissance and existing access roads on site 24-Jul-14 1			
Dome Creek sedimen sampling 250m D/S of TSF, going over some of the trenches with Hamid (AMEC/AE), travel time and dropping off water and soil samples 25-Jul-14 25-Jul-14 1			
Travel Time/drop off soil samples 26-Jul-14 1			
AMEC (Dan) and two drillers travel time, prep supply/ above time, prep supply/ 23-Jul-14 23-Jul-14 1			
BH drilling soil & permafrost in immediate vicinity of TSF 24-Jul-14 25-Jul-14 2			
P E D BH drilling soil & permafrost in immediate vicinity of Mill and Camp 26-Jul-14 1			
BH drilling soil & permafrost in immediate vicinity of TSF 27-Jul-14 1			
AMEC (Dan) and two drillers travel time, demobilization 28-Jul-14 1			
AAM Staff Support During SI Program 21-Jul-14 28-Jul-14 8 and a state of the state			
Laboratory Testing			
Kinetic rock resting 21-Jul-14 x Short term testing 24-Jul-14 x			
Short term testing 21-jul-14 X Image: Constraint of the straint of the			
Geotechnical Lab testing 4-Aug-14 22-Aug-14 19			
Geochemical Lab testing 26-Jul-14 9-Sep-14 46			

Lab testing dates are to be confirmed



4 Investigation Methodologies

4.1 Drilling

Thirty boreholes were completed around the Tailings Storage Facility disturbed area limits from July 24 to July 27, 2014. Dark Side Drilling, a Division of Kryotek Arctic Innovation Inc. was subcontracted via AMEC to conduct the drilling. The drilling was completed under AMEC's direction and supervision. Two different types of solid stem auger drilling were used on this project. The selection of the appropriate method depended on the subsurface conditions encountered.

Solid stem flight augers mounted on a 6x6 Polaris Ranger were used for the majority of the drilling. The drilling rig was powered by a hydraulic motor mounted on the Ranger. The augers were 100 mm in diameter and had 1.52 metre (5 foot) rod lengths. The Ranger mounted rig was lightweight and portable, and was selected to minimize disturbance to the sensitive ground during drilling and rig movement.

In areas of difficult access or difficult drilling conditions, solid stem augers mounted on a portable tripod (Talon Drill) were used.

The drilling and sampling methodology was as follows:

- A tailgate safety meeting was held on a daily basis to discuss the anticipated hazards, Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) required and hazard mitigation procedures prior to drilling.
- Using the GPS coordinates presented in the Execution Plan, all boreholes were located and staked in the field using hand-held GPS equipment. This work was done one day prior to the start of the investigation program. Final borehole locations were determined based on field observations and the safety of the crew. In general, the borehole locations were laid out within approximately 4 m of the disturbed/undisturbed boundary. The as-built coordinates were taken via handheld GPS unit upon completion.
- An AMEC representative logged the soil samples from the auger flights based on the Modified Unified Soil Classification System (MUSCS). Details of the MUSCS and the borehole logs are presented in Appendix 4A. Aerial imagery of borehole locations is provided in Appendix 4B.
- A calibrated probe thermometer was used to measure the soil temperature to help identify permafrost.
- Representative soil samples were collected from the auger flights and placed in plastic bags for further laboratory testing. The name of the borehole and depth of the sample were labelled on the bag. Collected permafrost soil samples were weighed in the field using a digital scale with a resolution of 0.1 grams. The purpose of the field weight measurement was to record the initial weight of the sample, in case some of the melted water/ice in the sample leaked from the sample bag during transportation to the laboratory. Samples were packaged and shipped to the AMEC laboratory in Surrey, BC.
- Drilling continued until the termination depth was reached, or until refusal was met.



• The drill holes were backfilled to the ground surface using the drill cuttings.

Borehole plan locations are shown on Figures 4.1-1 and 4.1-2 and borehole coordinates are included in summary table "2014 Site Investigation Locations" in Appendix 3A.

4.2 Test Pitting

Four test pits were completed, three in the landfill area at the mill site and one north of the spillway diversion channel on July 26, 2014. Test pit locations are shown on Figures 4.1-1 and 4.1-2. Denison Environmental Services (DES), contracted via AAM, carried out the test pitting program using their CASE CX210 hydraulic track excavator and operator. The test pitting program was completed under AMEC's direction and supervision.

The test pit excavation and sampling methodology was as follows:

- A tailgate safety meeting was held to discuss the anticipated hazards, PPE required and hazard mitigation procedures.
- Test pits were visually located near the locations proposed in the execution plan. The coordinates of the actual test pit locations were taken with a handheld GPS unit.
- An AMEC representative logged the test pits while in constant communication (verbally and via hand signs) with the excavator operator for instructions/feedback.
- The operator directed the bucket straight down into the subsurface soil and brought the excavated materials to surface so they could be observed, logged, and sampled. If pit heights and/or sideslopes were within safety limits, the AMEC representative would enter the test pit for collecting, observing or logging the soil.
- The excavated material was then placed in a spoil pile adjacent to the test pit.
- Test pits were advanced until the operator was notified that a sample was to be retrieved.
- Collected soil samples were put in glass jars or durable plastic bags. All glass jars were filled with no headspace. Samples were placed in a cooler with ice packs and submitted to the ALS Environmental Laboratory in Whitehorse. The samples were collected in accordance with the Contaminated Sites Regulation (CSR) Protocol No. 3 (YG, 2002).
- Subsurface soils were logged per the modified unified soil classification system.
- The performance of the test pit was observed and recorded (i.e. degree and depth of sloughing, location and description of any water seepage).
- Representative photos were taken.
- Test pit depth, sample depth and depth of stratigraphic changes were measured by lowering a measuring tape down the test pit wall.
- The maximum depth of the test pit was determined by the maximum reach of the excavator, typically 4 m to 5 m depth depending on the horizontal reach of the test pit from the excavator.
- All test pits were backfilled upon completion, and graded to mimic the surrounding area.







- Monitoring Wells \bullet

t and Ab

January 16, 2015

S:\PROJECTS\VM00605 - Mount Nansen\GIS\Mapping\Ver2014_SiteInvestigationReport\VM00605_DesignPhase_Geochem_DC2.mxd



Test pit TP-M-14-01 was excavated to 5 m with no waste material identified. No soil samples were collected from this location. Test pit TP-M-14-02 and TP-M-14-03 samples were collected from soils below the waste materials and sent for laboratory analysis. The test pit logs describing the conditions within each test pit are included in Appendix 4C.

4.3 Dome Creek Sediment Sampling

The sediment sampling program was conducted by individual AMEC and AE representatives, with the aid of an AAM staff member. Samples were collected at approximately 50 m regular intervals along Dome Creek starting from the confluence with Victoria Creek and working upstream. A total of 63 sediment samples were collected as follows:

- Two samples from Victoria Creek just upstream of the confluence with Dome Creek;
- Eleven samples from Dome Creek between Victoria Creek and the road;
- Twenty-five samples from Dome Creek between the road and the TSF; and
- Twenty-five samples from Dome Creek between the TSF and Mill Complex.

Five soil samples were collected from areas of blackened or dead vegetation downstream of the TSF, based on visual observations. The locations of all samples are shown on Figures 4.1-1, 4.1-2 and 4.3-1.

A total of six duplicate samples were collected during the sediment sampling program. The AE representative also collected soil and vegetation samples from the areas of where blackened roots or dead patches of willow and shrub birch were observed. The coordinates of the individual sample locations were obtained using a hand held GPS unit. The sample locations were photographed and field observations were recorded.

The sediment and soil samples were collected using the following sampling procedures:

- Samples were collected from downstream to upstream.
- A new pair of disposable nitrile gloves was used to collect and handle sediments at each sampling location.
- The sample was collected with a scoop and consisted of a minimum of 200 g of fine-grained sediments (gravel or smaller) into a labelled durable plastic bag.
- The samples were double-bagged for additional protection during transportation.
- Field duplicate samples were collected for 10% of the sediment samples. The duplicate samples were collected by splitting the sediment sample into two separate bags.
- The samples were placed in 20 L buckets filled with ice packs during sample collection.
- The samples were transferred into a larger cooler filed with ice packs at the end of the shift.
- The samples were shipped to the laboratory within the 72 hour holding time requirement, specified by the laboratory.





S:\PROJECTS\VM00605 - Mount Nansen\GIS\Mapping\Ver2014_SiteInvestigationReport\VM00605_DesignPhase_Geochem_DC3.mxd

LOWER DOME CREEK SOIL AND SEDIMENT SAMPLING LOCATIONS

> Scale 1:5,000 Metres

Yukon

100 October 1, 2014

0

200



The sediment and soil samples were labelled as "SED-DC-14-XX" where:

- "SED" indicated the type of sample, in this case sediment;
- "DC" indicated Dome Creek and "VIC" indicated Victoria Creek;
- *"14"* indicated the year when sample was collected; and
- "XX" indicated the sample number established from 2013 (the 2014 numbers started at 22).

Note that sample number 21 is missing. It was collected as an example and discarded in the field.

4.4 Water Sampling

4.4.1 Groundwater Datalogger Downloads

Groundwater dataloggers were downloaded on July 22 and 25, 2014 by AE with the aid of an AAM representative. The datalogger locations are shown on Figures 4.1-1 and 4.1-2. The download procedures were outlined in the Project Execution Plan (AMEC, 2014d). All dataloggers were downloaded successfully, with the exception of one Solinst Levellogger that was frozen into place in groundwater well GLL07-01. This levellogger was retrieved at a later date. Going forward, AMEC has advised AAM that dataloggers should be downloaded on a quarterly basis.

4.4.2 Groundwater Monitoring Program

Groundwater monitoring was conducted by Hemmera Envirochem Inc. (Hemmera) and Ecological Logistics and Research Ltd. (ELR) between June 26 and June 29, 2014. The locations of the wells sampled are shown on Figures 4.4.2-1 and 4.4.2-2. The monitoring procedures are described in Hemmera (2014). Samples were submitted to the laboratory for analysis of general chemistry, ammonia, total inorganic carbon, sulphide, cyanide (total, free and WAD), thiocyanate, dissolved metals and dissolved mercury. Table 4.4.2-1 summarizes the samples collected at each well.

The sampling protocols used by Hemmera were consistent with industry standard protocols and included the purging of three well volumes of water using either Waterra foot-valves or a peristaltic pump. Field measurements were taken with standard instrumentation. The preservatives used, as listed in Table 2-2 of the Hemmera report, were the same as used by AMEC in 2013.






Table 4.4.2-1:	Summary of S	Samples Collected	at Each We	II Location	(Hemmera,	2014)
----------------	--------------	-------------------	------------	-------------	-----------	-------

Aroa	Well Name	UTM (Zone 08N)		1	Sample	QA/QC Sample
Area		Easting	Northing	Status	Collected	Collected
	GSI-DC-01B	387675	6881124	Insufficient Volume	-	-
-	GSI-DC-02B	387839	6881129	Insufficient Volume	-	-
	GSI-DC-03B	388107	6881079	Insufficient Volume	-	-
Dama	GSI-DC-05B	388725	6880836	Insufficient Volume	-	-
Dome Creek	GSI-DC-06B	389788	6880567	Good	✓	-
e.com	GSI-DC-07B	390065	6880641	Good	✓	-
	GSI-DC-08-B	390311	6880583	Frozen	-	-
	GSI-DC-09-B	390614	6880494	Good	✓	-
	GSI-DC-10-B	390859	6880452	Good	✓	-
	GSI-HA-01A	387842	6881132	Insufficient Volume	-	-
	GSI-HA-02A	387861	6881129	Insufficient Volume	-	-
	GSI-HA-03A	387878	6881131	Insufficient Volume	-	-
	GSI-HA-04A	387916	65881130	Insufficient Volume	-	-
Mill Complex	GSI-HA-05A	387898	6881125	Insufficient Volume	-	-
complex	MW09-16	387992	6881094	Good	✓	Duplicate
	MW09-17	388075	6880970	Good	✓	-
	MW09-18	388054	6880986	Good	✓	-
	MW09-19	388051	6881016	Good	✓	-
	CH-P-13-01/10	388657	6881116	Frozen	-	-
	CH-P-13-03/10	389145	6881105	Damaged ²	-	-
	CH-P-13-03/50	389143	6881105	Good	✓	-
	CH-P-13-04/10	389138	6881472	Insufficient Volume	-	-
	CH-P-13-04/35	389138	6881472	Obstruction ²	-	-
Brown	CH-P-13-05/50	388954	6881466	Good	✓	Duplicate
McDade	GLL07-01	388851	6881777	Frozen	-	-
Pit	GLL07-02	389069	6881703	Dry	-	-
	GLL07-03	388959	6881477	Good	✓	-
	MP14-01	N/A	N/A	Not installed	-	-
	MW09-13	389006	6881665	Frozen	-	-
	MW09-14	389006	6881663	Frozen	-	-
	MW09-15	388915	6881723	Frozen	-	-
	GSI-PC-01-B	388720	6881918	Destroyed ²	-	-
Pony	GSI-PC-02-B	388907	6881786	Dry	-	-
CICCK	GSI-PC-03-B	389256	6881706	Insufficient Volume	-	-
	GSI-PC-04-B	389586	6881660	Insufficient Volume	-	-
	GSI-PC-05-B	389713	6881661	Dry	-	-
Ponv	MP09-01	N/A	N/A	Unable to Locate	-	-
, Creek	MP09-02	388867	6881816	Good	✓	-
	MP09-03	388956	6881739	Insufficient Volume	-	-
ŀ	MP09-08	389160	6881718	Good	✓	-



A	Mall Name	UTM (Z	one 08N)	1	Sample	QA/QC Sample
Area	weir Name	Easting	Northing	Status	Collected	Collected
_	W14103083BH01	389522	6880669	Frozen	-	-
Seepage	W14103083BH02	389561	6880665	Insufficient Volume	-	-
Dam	W14103083BH04	389544	6880666	Frozen	-	-
	MP09-04	389575	6880609	Good	✓	-
	MP09-05	389548	6880590	Good	✓	-
	MP09-09	389240	6880681	Good	✓	-
	MP09-10	389241	6880684	Good	✓	-
	MP09-11	389220	6880614	Good	✓	-
	MP09-12	389220	6880614	Good	✓	-
	MP09-14	389138	6880722	Insufficient Volume -		-
	MW09-01	389391	6880557	Obstruction ²	-	-
	MW09-02	389393	6880557	Good	✓	Duplicate
	MW09-03	389421	6880555	Good	✓	-
Tailings	MW09-04	389420	6880557	Good	✓	-
Facility	MW09-05	389413	6880656	Unable to Access	-	-
	MW09-06	N/A	N/A	Unable to Locate ³	-	-
	MW09-07	389322	6880699	Good	✓	-
	MW09-08	389620	6880576	Good	✓	Duplicate
	MW09-11	389037	6880711	Dry	-	-
	MW09-20	389592	6880586	Dry	-	-
	MW09-21	389536	6880577	Good	✓	-
	MW09-22	389495	6880549	Good	✓	-
	MW09-23	389459	6880553	Good	✓	-
	MW09-24	389561	6880624	Good	✓	-
	W14103083BH03	389132	6880730	Insufficient Volume	-	-

Notes:

¹ Insufficient Volume as defined by AAM where less than two litres of water could be purged from the well, and where less than two litres of water was present after allowing the well to recharge.

² Further details concerning damaged, degraded, or obstructed wells are provided in Section 3.2.

³ Well MW09-06 was noted as 'submerged' during 2013 (as described in the Scope of Work). Based on field observations, this is the likely reason for that well not being located during the spring 2014 program.



4.4.3 Pond and Pore Water Sampling for Water Treatment

The following sources of water were sampled as part of the 2014 site investigation to advance the water treatment plant design and are shown on Figures 4.1-1 and 4.1-2:

- Tailings porewater;
- Tailings pond water;
- Tailings seepage pond water; and
- Pit pond water.

This task was completed by AE, with the aid of an AAM representative. Sampling procedures for those wells that could be sampled were as per the Execution Plan (AMEC, 2014d).

One 20 L bucket of the tailings porewater was collected from each of monitoring wells MW09-02, MW09-03 and MW09-04. Monitoring well MW09-01 was dry. Monitoring well MW09-07 produced insufficient water (i.e. 2 L after 1.5 hours of pumping) for analysis of all the parameters identified in Table 4.4.3-1. The 2 L volume was used for ammonia, cyanide and total and dissolved metals analysis. Monitoring well MW09-01 could not be sampled and MW09-07 had slow recovery during the June groundwater monitoring event too (Hemmera, 2014). This is not unusual with wells completed within tailings since tailings facilities tend to have very fine-grained areas due to the way the solids settle during deposition. Another explanation could be the relatively low tailings pond water elevation in 2014.

Two 20 L buckets were filled for each of the three surface water sources identified above to allow for sufficient volume to complete the water treatment laboratory tests. These bulk samples were not preserved; however, the following procedures were applied during their collection:

- Collection of bottom sediment was avoided;
- External effects that could compromise the sample (e.g. oil, petrol, or other reagents) were prevented;
- Cleanliness of pails (or other containers) was confirmed;
- Pails and lids were rinsed with sampled water (normally three rinses, while avoiding suspension of sediments);
- Snap-on lids (with tag removed) were used;
- Pails were filled as much as possible, to minimize reactions with oxygen; and
- Samples were kept cool during transport and storage.

To properly characterize each water source, small sample bottles were also filled for standard laboratory analyses of sulphur (or sulphate), ammonia, cyanide and total and dissolved metals. Table 4.4.3-1 is a summary table of the water samples which were collected for the water treatment scope. The sample collected from MW09-07 was tested for ammonia, cyanide and total and dissolved metals but not for sulphate or water treatment testing because the sample volume was insufficient for the complete analytical protocol.



			D:4	Tailings Pond	Dit Toilings		Dit Tailings			Tailings Porewater			
Analysis	Bottle	Preservation	Pit Pond		Seepage Pond	MW09- 01	MW09- 02	MW09- 03	MW09- 04	MW09- 07			
Water treatment	20 L pail	None	2	2	2	0	1	1	1	Partial			
Total metals	125- 250 mL plastic	1:3 Nitric Acid (HNO3)	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1			
Dissolved metals (field filtered)	100 mL plastic	1:3 Nitric Acid (HNO3)	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1			
Ammonia	250 mL glass or plastic	1:1 Sulphuric Acid (H2SO4)	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1			
Cyanide	145 mL plastic	6N NaOH	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1			
Sulphate	0.5-1.0 L plastic	None	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0			

Table 4.4.3-1:	Summary	of Water	Samples	Collected
----------------	---------	----------	---------	-----------

Standard laboratory protocols and preservation techniques were followed. All small sample bottles were shipped to AGAT Laboratory. One 20 L bucket from each water source was shipped by an AE representative to the AMEC office in Montreal.

4.4.4 Leachate Bins

Leachate samples were collected from the unsaturated waste rock bin, unsaturated ore bin, unsaturated sand tailings bin, and also water columns from the saturated tailings+organic bin onsite. There was no visible water in the collection tank for the saturated waste rock bin and none that could be drained out of the tube leading to the tank. These bins are dependent on the material in the bin and meteoric water and only collect what drains through the bin material. For comparison, the unsaturated waste rock bin collection tank had 1.5 L of water, while the unsaturated sand tailings bin collection tank had 1.5 L of water.

The leachate samples were submitted to ALS Environmental laboratory for water quality testing of physical parameters, anions and nutrients, total metals and dissolved metals. ALS Environmental is accredited by the Canadian Association for Laboratory Accreditation.

4.4.5 Surface Water Hydrology and Water Quality

Monthly flow monitoring and water quality sampling is conducted by EDI under contract to AAM. EDI also conducted an extra monitoring event on May 8 and 9 to capture freshet.



The following sample dates are included in this report for the ongoing EDI surface water hydrology monitoring and water quality sampling program:

- April 14-15, 2014;
- May 8-9, 2014;
- May 20-21, 2014; and
- June 23-25, 2014.

Hydrology monitoring stations and water quality sample locations are shown on Figure 4.4.5.1. All water quality samples were submitted to ALS Laboratory in Whitehorse. A summary of the hydrometric and water quality monitoring program completed at each station is presented in EDI (2014a) and reproduced (Table 4.4.5-1). Details of the sampling methodology and procedures are included in the Mount Nansen Water Resources Investigations Quarterly Report (Q1): April – June 2014 (EDI, 2014a). Results for the July and August sampling events were collected from monthly memos and will be reported in the Q2 report when it becomes available.

4.5 Exploration Trench and Dome Creek Inspections

4.5.1 Field Survey

During the field survey, there were two areas of focus: Dome Creek and Dome Creek valley, and the exploration trenches. AE and AMEC field team members walked Dome Creek from the confluence with Victoria Creek up to the base of the Tailings Pond Dam. Waypoints were recorded at each sediment sampling site. Data collected included dominant vegetation species, general ecology and vegetation community type, wildlife observations, and special features relevant to reclamation such as uncommon riparian communities, wetland complexes, and changes in creek morphology.

Prior to the exploration trench survey, and for ease of identification in the field, the trenches were grouped based on location on the Mount Nansen site. Four groups were created (see Figure 4.5.1-1):

- South Trenches (ST, 13 trenches);
- Western Trenches (WT, 9 trenches);
- Northern Trenches (NT, 16 trenches); and
- Pit Trenches (PT, 6 trenches).



S:\PROJECTS\VM00605 - Mount Nansen\GIS\Mapping\Ver2014_SiteInvestigationReport\VM00605_Hydrometric_stations-WQ.ai



Table 4.4.5-1: 2014/2015 Hydrometric and Water Quality Stations as of April 2014 Reported by EDI (2014a)

Station/Site Name	Hydrology	Water Quality	Station/Site ID
Upper Pony Creek	Yes	Yes	H/WQ-PC-U
Pony Creek Downstream of Pit	Yes	Yes	H-PC-DSP/WQ-PC-D
Dome Creek at DX	-	Yes	WQ-DC-DX
Dome Creek at DX+105	Yes	Yes	H/WQ-DC-DX+105
Dome Creek at D1b	Yes	Yes	H/WQ-DC-D1b
Diversion Channel at Bridge	Yes	Yes	H/WQ-DC-B
Middle Dome Creek	Yes	Yes	H-DC-M/WQ-DC-U
Dome Creek at Road	Yes	Yes	H/WQ-DC-R
Seepage Pond Outflow	Yes	Yes	H/WQ-SEEP
Tailings Pond	Yes	Yes	H/WQ-TP
Brown-McDade Pit Lake	-	Yes	WQ-PIT-1,2,3
Mill Site Seep 08	-	Yes	WQ-MS-S-08
Back Creek	Yes	Yes	H/WQ-BC
Upper Victoria Creek	Yes	Yes	H/WQ-VC-U
Victoria Creek Downstream of Back Creek	Yes	Yes	H/WQ-VC-DBC
Victoria Creek Upstream of Minnesota Creek	Yes	Yes	H/WQ-VC-UMN
Victoria Creek at Road	Yes	Yes	H/WQ-VC-R
Pump House Well	-	Yes	WQ-PW
Dome East Slope Seep 01	-	Yes	WQ-DESS-01
Dome East Slope Seep 02	-	Yes	WQ-DESS-02
Dome East Slope Seep 03	-	Yes	WQ-DESS-03
CH-P-13-01	-	Yes	WQ-CH-P-13-01
Lower West Toe of Waste Rock Dump Seep 01*	-	Yes	WQ-LW-SEEP-01
Ore Ramp Seep*	-	Yes	WQ-ORE
Lysimeter 2*	-	Yes	WQ-L2
North West Toe of Waste Rock Dump Seep 01*	-	Yes	WQ-NW-SEEP-01
Mill Site Seep 03*	-	Yes	WQ-MS-S-03
Exploration Trench 1*	-	Yes	WQ-ET-1
Pit Groundwater Well GLL07-03*	Yes	-	GLL07-03
Pit Groundwater Well CH-P-13- 05/50m*	Yes	-	CH-P-13-05/50m

*Additional freshet monitoring sites



Datum: NAD 1983 CSRS UTM Zone 8N

Stream



340 January 16, 2015

0

680



Each trench was assigned a number and corresponding location label (Figures 4.5.1-1 and 4.5.1-2). During the trench survey, each of the four locations was traversed on foot by AE and an AAM representative. Multiple waypoints and photos were taken along each surveyed trench at slope breaks and or signs of erosion. At each waypoint, the following attribute information was recorded:

- Date, trench label, surveyors;
- UTMs;
- Elevation;
- Slope (degrees);
- Aspect;
- Erosion sign;
- Ease of access;
- Wildlife movement barrier;
- Wildlife evidence;
- Vegetation cover;
- Aesthetics; and
- Additional comments.

In addition to the reclamation trench inspections conducted by AE, AMEC evaluated the trenches from an engineering perspective. Construction considerations such as site access, overall geotechnical stability and construction logistics were assessed. Photos and field notes were taken while AMEC accompanied AE staff.

4.5.2 Analysis Methods

Based on findings and conclusions during Phase 1, the supplemental field observations made during the 2014 SI program and feedback from AAM, the reclamation attributes of greatest concern were judged to be erosion and stability, ease of access, barriers to wildlife movement, human health and safety risks, and aesthetics. These attributes were referenced to determine the reclamation priority for each trench. Human health and safety is linked with erosion (on the premise that erosion potentially impacts surface water quality and may create physical hazards), and was not assessed independently. The attributes assessed were assigned a descriptive term, and each term was assigned a rank. Table 4.5.2-1 defines the descriptive terms for each attribute and their corresponding rankings (i.e. numbers in parentheses). Using this method, trenches were ranked for reclamation priority. Erosion (including human health and safety) and ease of access were weighted as most important by increasing the ranking by a factor of 2. Wildlife movement barriers and aesthetics were judged to be secondary and assigned a ranking factor of 1. Professional judgement was used to determine the final trench selection for reclamation, with specific attention paid to the ranking outcome and important wildlife corridors along the southern ridge of the valley (the southern trenches), as identified in Phase 1 (AMEC, 2014b).



S:\PROJECTS\VM00605 - Mount Nansen\GIS\Mapping\Ver2014_SiteInvestigationReport\VM00605_TrenchOverviewSouth.mxd



Erosion	Access	Barrier To Wildlife Movement	Aesthetics ¹	
Extreme - (8) Erosion connected into a water body, evidence of erosion >1 m deep	Good – (4) road access already established and currently in use	Major – (3) Between 3 and 5 m wide, ≥2 m deep impassible opening	High visibility – (3) Visible from Dome Creek Valley, and bare to sparse vegetation cover ≥2 m wide.	
High – (6) Erosion connected into a water body, evidence of erosion <1 m but >0.5 m deep	Fair – (2) Previously accessed by a road; residual road surface still evident	Moderate – (2) Between 1 and 3 m wide, 1-<2 m deep impassible opening	Moderate visibility (2) – Visible from Dome Creek Valley or level with sparse or moderate vegetative cover <2 m wide, or aspect not facing Dome Creek Valley and sparse or bare vegetative cover	
Moderate – (4) Evidence of erosion <0.5 m but >0.25 m deep	derate - (4) Evidence of sion <0.5 m but >0.25 mPoor - (0) no road access or residual road evidentMinor - (1) deep open		Low visibility (1) – Aspect level or away from Dome Creek Valley, moderate vegetative cover, or dense	
Minor – (2) Erosion <0.25 m deep		No – (0) Level area or wide, gently sloped opening, or <1 m wide opening and <1 m deep	vegetative cover, any aspect	
None – (0) no erosion sign observed				

1 Vegetation cover (as per the BC Ministry of Environment Land Management Handbook 25: Describing Terrestrial Ecosystems in the Field, 2010) (BCMOE, 2010) is defined as:

• Bare - 0-5%;

Sparse - 6-15%;

- Moderate 16-30%;
- Dense 31-100%

4.6 General Site Reconnaissance

An AMEC representative conducted a site reconnaissance of various areas of the site to assess construction issues, equipment access, and logistical issues and constraints. This information will be used by the materials management and infrastructure dismantling/demolition teams during Phase 2 design. The reconnaissance consisted of walking the site and taking photos and notes of the conditions on both site-wide and detailed scales. The areas of the site emphasized during the reconnaissance consisted of:

- The waste rock area to assess quantities and general material types;
- The Brown McDade Pit to provide high-level information on the conditions of exposed bedrock, which will be used to assess, at a conceptual level, additional pit slope stabilization measures required if liner placement or other open work is contemplated;
- The Mill Complex historical landfill and tailings disposal areas to assess the spatial extent;
- The camp area to assess the general site fill and materials;
- The Victoria Creek Wellhouse to assess the remediation of the civil structures required;
- The exploration trenches to assess geotechnical stability and constructability (if remediation is required);
- The roads and access roads to the trenches to assess requirements for constructability and logistics needed to minimize the construction footprint;
- The Tailings Storage Facility to assess the logistics and feasibility of tailings relocation;

Mount Nansen Remediation Project 2014 Site Investigation Report and Site Characterization Update March 3, 2015



- The Dome Creek Valley to assess the extent of remediation;
- Potential borrow sources; and
- Potential water treatment plant sites.

4.7 Laboratory Program

The laboratory testing program followed the site investigation program. Details of the laboratory methodologies for each discipline are included in the following subsections.

4.7.1 Geotechnical

Soil samples collected during the SI program were shipped to the AMEC laboratory in Surrey, BC, for geotechnical testing and further sample examination. Upon arrival at the laboratory, all soil samples were examined in detail to refine the descriptions completed in the field, and the borehole logs were edited accordingly. Samples were then selected for geotechnical and geochemical testing.

The geotechnical laboratory program consisted of testing soil samples according to the following ASTM standards:

- Moisture content tests (ASTM D 2216);
- Particle-size analysis tests (sieve) (ASTM D 6913);
- Organics content tests (ASTM D 2974 Method C); and
- Atterberg limit tests (ASTM D 4318).

The laboratory test results and "A Summary of 2014 Geotechnical Lab Results" are provided in Appendix 4D. Table 4.7.1-1 identifies the name and number of individual geotechnical index tests conducted in various regions of the site:

Table 4.7.1-1: Name and Number of Geotechnical Tests Completed in Various Regions

	Sample Data		Laboratory Tests Completed				
Region	Boreholes Completed	Samples Collected	Moisture Content	Sieve Analysis	Atterberg Limits	Organic Content	
Tailings Storage Facility	21	95	95	53	2	8	
Mill Complex	5	9	9	4	0	0	
Camp Area	4	12	10	3	0	0	
Total:	30	116	114	60	2	8	

Moisture content tests were conducted on all soil samples collected from the Tailings Storage Facility boreholes when the samples arrived at the AMEC laboratory. As noted in Section 4.1, samples collected from permafrost zones were weighed in the field to enable the determination of any water or ice loss during transportation to the laboratory. This precaution was required since such samples generally had greater water contents. The soil samples were weighed again in the laboratory



(using the same scale used in the field), and the moisture content test was conducted. If the weight of the sample was found to be less in the laboratory than in the field, the difference was assumed to be due to lost water, and the moisture content was adjusted accordingly.

4.7.2 Mill Complex Landfill

Five soil samples were shipped to ALS Environmental Laboratory in Whitehorse on July 28, 2014. All samples were analyzed for pH, cyanide and metals. Three samples were also analyzed for volatile organic compounds (VOCs), hydrocarbons and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs). No duplicate samples were collected during the landfill test pitting program. The ALS Environmental laboratory reports are included in Appendix 4E.

4.7.3 Geochemical and Background Soil Testing

Laboratory geochemical testing conducted for the 2014 Site Investigation program consisted of kinetic testing of waste rock samples, the metals analysis of soil samples from the drilling program and water testing of the field bin leachate samples.

4.7.3.1 Kinetic Testing of Waste Rock Samples

Representative samples for non-potentially acid generating (non-PAG) waste rock were selected for kinetic testing in order to determine a source term for future water quality prediction. The project design calls for non-PAG waste rock to be used as exposed construction material. PAG waste rock samples were not selected because the current design calls for all PAG waste rock to be encapsulated in the open pit. Since it will be mixed in the open pit with tailings, the tailings become the dominant material for producing source terms.

The non-PAG samples were selected from existing waste rock samples collected during the 2013 Site Investigation program that were stored at SGS Laboratory in Burnaby, BC. Three samples were selected that represented different ranges of arsenic and zinc contents, as shown in Table 4.7.3.1-1.

No	Sample ID	As (mg/kg) ^A	Zn (mg/kg) ^A	Sulphide Sulphur (%) ^A
1	TP-WA-13-10 ARD-02	310	556	0.19
2	TP-WA-13-11 ARD-02	1,160	1,760	<0.01
3	TP-WA-13-11 ARD-04	565	870	<0.01

Table 4.7.3.1-1: Selected Waste Rock Samples for Kinetic Testing

Note: A based on the 2013 geochemical testing results

The trickle leach column test methodology was selected for the kinetic testing because the intent was to use get source terms based on site precipitation conditions to predict drainage quality from waste rock used on surface as construction material, especially in the TSF area. The trickle leach column is a laboratory test used to measure the impact of weathering and secondary mineral precipitation on drainage chemistry. The water to solid ratio for the trickle column testing is set close to the site precipitation conditions whenever possible. Alternatively, humidity cell tests, which are



recommended to predict primary weathering rates under accelerated weathering conditions, are operated with fixed water volumes and are not adjustable to site precipitation conditions. Set up and analyses of the trickle leach columns were conducted according to the document Prediction Manual for Drainage Chemistry from Sulphidic Geologic Materials, MEND Report 1.20.1 (MEND, 2009).

The trickle leach columns were set up at the SGS Laboratory. The columns consist of a Plexiglas cylinder 20.5 cm high and 10.2 cm in diameter with a perforated bottom to allow drainage to flow into a collection vessel. Each column contains approximately 2.8 kg of waste rock sample except for one sample (TP-WA-13-10 ARD-02) which is around 3.0 kg. The waste rock samples were crushed to a nominal particle size of approximately 6 mm during the sample preparation. The waste rock samples were also pre-washed with deionized water prior to loading into the columns to remove any weathering products that may have accumulated during sample storage.

Trickle leach columns are operated on a repeating weekly cycle and were started the week of August 25. Approximately 300 mL of water is added to the top of the columns over a seven day period to simulate precipitation during the spring and summer months. The water is pumped intermittently at 50 mL per day for six days of the week. The leachate from the columns is collected weekly and analyzed for general parameters such as pH, conductivity, turbidity, hardness, alkalinity and sulphate, and dissolved metals. The volume of collected leachate is also measured. The trickle leach column testing was operated until the concentrations in the column leachates stabilized.

4.7.3.2 Metal Testing of Background Soil Samples

Geochemical testing was conducted on a selection of soil samples collected from the geotechnical boreholes for the purpose of background (or undisturbed soil) analysis. In total, 26 samples were selected from 12 boreholes located adjacent to the Tailings Storage Facility, the Camp Area and the Mill Complex as summarized in Table 4.7.3.2-1 and shown on Figures 4.1-1 and 4.1-2. The samples were submitted to ALS Environmental laboratory for pH and metals analysis. After reviewing the metal concentrations, six samples that had arsenic concentrations greater than ten times arsenic concentrations of average crustal abundance were selected for further leachable metal testing. Leachable metal testing on a subset of samples with high metal concentrations is conservative, since it provides the upper boundary for leachability. Duplicate soil samples were not submitted to the laboratory due to insufficient material availability (samples from augur flights are generally smaller in volume for the sample depth interval and needed to be split between geotechnical and background testing). The ALS analytical report is provided in Appendix 4E.



	Number of	Number of	Number of Tests			
Location	Boreholes	Samples	Elemental Metals ^A	Leachable Metals (Shake Flask Extraction)		
Adjacent to Tailings Storage Facility	7	16	16	2		
Mill Complex	1	2	2	1		
Camp Area	4	8	8	3		
Total	12	26	26	6		

Table 4.7.2.3-1:	Summary	of v	Geochemical	Laboratory	/ Test	Methods

Note: ^A Elemental metals assessed by strong acid and aqua regia digestion method

Metal concentrations were analyzed in the laboratory using two different methods as outlined in Table 4.7.2.3.-2 below. The strong acid digestion method was required in order to compare soil concentrations to the Yukon CSR soil standards. The aqua regia digestion method was used so that metal concentrations could be compared to previous geochemical soil samples, including the exploration geochemistry programs. Since both the strong acid digestion and aqua regia digestion methods used in the previous sampling program, these two methods were used for the current SI program.

Table 4.7.2.3-2: Summary of Geochemical Laboratory Test Methods

Testing	Testing Method	Reference Testing Method
Metals in Soil	Strong acid digestion followed by Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS)	EPA 200.2/6020A
	Aqua regia digestion followed by ICP-MS	MEND (2009)
Leachable Metal	Shake Flask Extraction followed by ICP-MS.	MEND (2009)

4.7.3.3 Laboratory Testing of Field Bin Leachate Samples

Leachate samples were collected from the unsaturated waste rock bin, unsaturated ore bin, unsaturated sand tailings bin and also water columns from the saturated tailings+organic bin onsite. There was no visible water in the collection tank for the saturated waste rock bin and none that could be drained out of the tube leading to the tank. These bins are dependent on the material in the bin and meteoric water and only collect what drains through the bin material. For comparison, the unsaturated waste rock bin collection tank had 1.5 L of water, while the unsaturated sand tailings bin collection tank had 1.5 L of water.

Leachate samples were submitted to ALS Environmental laboratory for water quality testing of physical parameters, anions and nutrients, total metals and dissolved metals. The ALS analytical report is provided in Appendix 4F.

Mount Nansen Remediation Project 2014 Site Investigation Report and Site Characterization Update March 3, 2015



4.7.4 Sediments

The first set of sediment samples collected on July 22 from downstream of the TSF were shipped to ALS Environmental Laboratory in Whitehorse on July 23, 2014. Samples, collected on July 24 and the morning of July 25 were shipped on the afternoon of July 25, 2014 to ALS Environmental in Whitehorse.

The soil and sediment samples were analyzed by ALS Environmental for pH, total cyanide and metals. The ALS Environmental analytical report is provided in Appendix 4E.

Six sediment samples were collected in duplicate to assess the magnitude and potential causes of variability between samples. The relative percent difference (RPD) of each parameter was calculated for each pair of QA/QC field duplicates. For concentrations greater than or equal to five times the method detection limit (MDL), the RPD should be less than or equal to 40%. For concentrations less than five times the MDL, the difference between two laboratory duplicate values should not be more than four times the detection limit.

All field duplicate samples met the QA/QC criteria except for SED-DC-70 and SED-DC-SED-70-DUP. In this case, chromium and uranium had RPD values of 46.3% and 60.0%, respectively. However, the original sample was retained in order to be conservative. The duplicate results and RPD calculations are included in Appendix 4E.



5 Detailed Scope and Investigation Results

5.1 Disturbed Area Limits

5.1.1 Objectives and Scope

The objective of drilling within the disturbed area limits was to determine the potential for ice-rich permafrost at the disturbed / undisturbed boundary of the Tailings Storage Facility. This affects the design of the tie-ins between the remediated area and the original ground and will also provide additional information regarding probable conditions under the fill material in the disturbed areas where thawing has yet to occur. While the objective for these holes was to target undisturbed terrain, local access conditions, or the likelihood of creating terrain disturbance, resulted in some holes being drilled in disturbed areas.

A total of 21 boreholes were completed around the Tailings Storage Facility, five at the Mill Complex and four at the camp area. Drilling at the Tailings Storage Facility was concentrated on the north facing (south side) slopes of the Tailings Storage Facility where permafrost is more likely to be located closer to the ground surface. All boreholes were completed within the remediation area limits shown on Figure 5.1.1-1. Note that the remedial scope will also include decommissioning and removal of some comparatively minor ancillary infrastructure (e.g. power lines along road alignments) that may fall outside the limits indicated on Figure 5.1.1-1.

5.1.2 Results for the Tailings Storage Facility

Boreholes completed around the periphery of the Tailings Storage Facility typically reached the target depth of 15 feet (4.6 m). The exceptions to this were boreholes BH-T-14-03, -04, -09 to -13, -17 and -20, where refusal was met due to inferred bedrock or cobbles/boulders. Borehole logs along with aerial imagery of test hole locations are presented in Appendices 4A and 4B, respectively.

Particle size analyses indicate the majority of the soil consists of fine- to medium-grained sand with fines content ranging from 4% to 59%, with an average of 28%. Gravel content ranges from 0% to 27%, with an average of 4%. The gravel is typically angular to subangular with sizes ranging from 5 mm to 19 mm. The majority of the subsurface soils would be classified as poorly graded silty sand with traces of gravel. Based on field and laboratory descriptions and Atterberg Limits test results, the fines are generally non-plastic to low plastic. The moisture content of the unfrozen soil ranged from 3% to 77% (with an average of 17%), whereas the moisture content of the permafrost soils ranged from 17% to 145% (with an average of 41%). It should be noted that the percent moisture content is calculated based on the total water weight divided by the total dry solids weight and multiplied by 100. The results may exceed 100%.



S:\PROJECTS\VM00605 - Mount Nansen\GIS\Mapping\Ver2014_SiteInvestigationReport\VM00605_PlannedRemediation.mxd



Various organic contents were found in the majority of soil samples. These samples were characterized by a distinctive smell of decaying organic matter and a black colour. The organic materials were mostly amorphous; however, some fibrous organics were also found. The fibrous organics were typically located in the upper layers of the soils, whereas the amorphous organics were typically located in the lower permafrost zones. The organic content, based on eight test results, ranged from 3.8% in BH-T-14-20 to 25.1% in BH-T-14-12 with an average of 14%. The organic content tests were conducted on samples from the upper 1 m to 2 m of the boreholes. Organic test results can be found in Appendix 4D.

Permafrost was encountered in 14 boreholes completed around the Tailings Storage Facility. As anticipated, the southern side of the disturbed/undisturbed boundary area had permafrost at a shallower depth, ranging from 0.8 m to 5 m depth, with an average depth on the order of 1 m to 3.5 m. The northern side of the facility had less permafrost, which was generally at a greater depth. Aerial imagery of the test hole locations shows the depths of permafrost encountered at the Tailings Storage Facility (Figure 5.1.2-1). The permafrost was typically weakly bonded with no excess ice. It is expected that the bonding would typically increase with depth. Most locations had no ice crystals visible, but some 10-20 mm thick ice lenses were noted in BH-T-14-13, -14, and -16. Permafrost was generally located in soils with a relatively high amount of silt. Groundwater or perched water was typically intersected within 3 m above the top of permafrost.

5.1.3 Results for the Mill Complex and Camp Area

All the boreholes in the Mill Complex and camp area were terminated in shallow bedrock. Drilling, using a solid stem auger, penetrated the weathered bedrock from 1.1 m to 4.5 m depth. The bedrock intersected was a meta-granitic rock and was highly weathered and fractured. Fill materials were encountered only in borehole BH-M-14-03. No permafrost soil or groundwater was encountered at the Mill Complex and Camp Area. Borehole locations are presented in Figure 4.1-1 and the soil logs are located in Appendix 4A.

Particle size analyses indicated that the surficial weathered bedrock at the Mill Complex and Camp Area consisted of silty sand with traces of gravel. The silt content ranged from 25% to 50%, with an average of 38%. Typically, the amount of silt decreased and the percentage of gravel increased with depth, as the colour gradually changed from brown to grey. The gravel particles were subangular to angular and the silt was non-plastic.

5.2 Dome Creek Valley

5.2.1 Objectives and Scope

The objectives of the sediment and soil sampling program in the Dome Creek Valley were twofold:

- Identify the potential for past migration of tailings along Dome Creek; and
- Delineate the remediation extent upstream and downstream of the Tailings Storage Facility.





The above objectives included investigating areas of dead and/or blackened vegetation previously observed downstream of the Tailings Storage Facility.

5.2.1 Results

The analytical results for the sediment and soil samples are shown in Table 5.2.1-1 and are grouped by location. All results are compared to the Yukon Contaminated Sites Regulation (CSR) generic and matrix numerical standards for park land use.

The two samples from Victoria Creek exceeded the arsenic standard of 20 mg/kg with values of 27.0 mg/kg and 30.5 mg/kg. All other parameters were less than the applicable CSR PL standards.

The 11 sediment samples from Lower Dome Creek between Victoria Creek and the road all exceeded the arsenic standard, with concentrations ranging from 108 mg/kg at SED-DC-14-30 to 1,420 mg/kg at SED-DC-14-25. Sample SED-DC-14-33 (just downstream of the road) also had exceedances of the 20 mg/kg standard for antimony (31.9 mg/kg) and the 2.5 mg/kg standard for cadmium (3.96 mg/kg). Sample SED-DC-14-25 also had exceedances for antimony, cadmium, copper, lead and zinc.

All 25 sediment samples in the section of Dome Creek between the road and the TSF had arsenic concentrations greater than the standard of 20 mg/kg. Results ranged from 23.0 mg/kg at SED-DC-14-40 to 1,130 mg/kg at SED-DC-14-86. All other parameters were less than the applicable CSR PL standards.

For the section of Dome Creek between the TSF and Mill Complex, four of 25 samples had results less than the applicable CSR PL standards for all parameters (SED-DC-14-58, -63, -66 and -68). Eleven samples exceeded only the arsenic standard. Ten sediment samples had exceedances for two or more parameters. Antimony concentrations were greater than the CSR PL standard of 20 mg/kg in nine samples. The concentrations ranged from 29.4 mg/kg at SED-DC-14-74 and -79 to 146 mg/kg at SED-DC-14-77. Arsenic exceedances in the 21 samples ranged from 21.9 mg/kg at SED-DC-14-56 to 3,130 mg/kg at SED-DC-14-77. There were two slight exceedances of the barium standard of 500 mg/kg at SED-DC-14-71 (506 mg/kg) and SED-DC-14-76 (523 mg/kg). Cadmium concentrations exceeded the pH-dependent matrix numerical standard at four locations, with the lowest exceedance being 26.3 mg/kg in sample SED-DC-14-73. The highest cadmium exceedance was 191 mg/kg in sample SED-DC-14-77. Nine sediment samples exceeded the matrix numerical standard of 450 mg/kg, with concentrations ranging from 1,110 mg/kg at SED-DC-14-70 and -72 to 18,700 mg/kg at SED-DC-14-76.

Of the five soil samples from areas of blackened or dead vegetation, sample SED-DC-14-47 had concentrations less than the applicable CSR PL standards. Samples SED-DC-14-35, -42 and -85 each had one exceedance, with arsenic concentrations of 26.1 mg/kg, 48.2 mg/kg and 607 mg/kg, respectively. Sample SED-DC-14-87 had two exceedances, with an arsenic concentration of 157 mg/kg and a copper concentration of 499 mg/kg.

Table 5.2.1-1: Analytical Results for Sediment and Soil Samples

			Parameter	Moisture	рН	Total Cyanide (mg/kg)	Antimony (mg/kg)	Arsenic (mg/kg)	Barium (mg/kg)	Beryllium (mg/kg)	Cadmium (mg/kg)	Chromium (mg/kg)	Cobalt (mg/kg)	Copper (mg/kg)	Lead (mg/kg)	Mercury (mg/kg)	Molybdenum (mg/kg)	Nickel (mg/kg)	Selenium (mg/kg)	Silver (mg/kg)	Thallium (mg/kg)	Tin (mg/kg)	Uranium (mg/kg)	Vanadium (mg/kg)	Zinc (mg/kg)
		Yukon CSR Soils/	Sediments (PL)	NA	NA	50	20	20	500	4	2-35	60	50	150	250-500	15	10	100	3	20	NA	50	NA	200	150-450
Sample Name	Sample Date	Description	Detection Limit Matrix	0.25	0.1	0.05-1.0	0.1	0.05	0.5	0.2	0.05	0.5	0.1	0.5	0.5	0.05	0.5	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.05	2	0.05	0.2	
SED-VIC-14-22	22-Jul-2014	Victoria Cr just upstream of Dome Cr	Sediment	16.4	7.76	0.056	1.24	27.0	81.6	<0.20	0.380	5.98	3.68	9.84	14.9	<0.050	0.83	4.17	<0.20	<0.10	<0.050	<2.0	0.457	22.6	49.3
SED-VIC-14-23	22-Jul-2014	Victoria Cr just upstream of Dome Cr	Sediment	25.6	7.85	<0.050	1.30	30.5	99.1	<0.20	0.483	8.07	4.69	12.1	18.4	<0.050	0.95	5.13	<0.20	0.11	0.057	<2.0	0.633	27.3	62.2
SED-DC-14-23	22-Jul-2014	Lower Dome Cr between Victoria Cr and Road	Sediment	29.5	7.75	-	3.05	112	99.0	<0.20	0.434	7.90	4.39	6.96	13.4	<0.050	<0.50	6.17	<0.20	0.19	0.057	<2.0	0.367	21.0	64.6
SED-DC-14-24	22-Jul-2014	Lower Dome Cr between Victoria Cr and Road	Sediment Sediment	46.2 79.3	7.91	0.22	4.95	187	180 374	<0.20	0.823	12.4 22.3	6.46 12.8	10.5 291	20.5 792	<0.050	0.59	9.11 23.7	<0.20	0.40 20 3	0.070	<2.0	0.472	32.8 50.4	108
SED-DC-14-26	22-Jul-2014	Lower Dome Cr between Victoria Cr and Road	Sediment	45.9	7.69	<0.50	5.96	212	129	0.35	0.610	14.1	5.93	14.4	30.3	<0.050	<0.50	9.29	0.22	0.73	0.083	<2.0	0.667	35.3	89.9
SED-DC-14-27	22-Jul-2014	Lower Dome Cr between Victoria Cr and Road	Sediment	54.8	7.77	<0.50	9.67	817	386	0.24	1.48	12.5	11.3	18.4	29.6	<0.050	1.16	10.8	0.47	0.56	0.123	<2.0	0.913	38.5	173
SED-DC-14-28	22-Jul-2014	Lower Dome Cr between Victoria Cr and Road	Sediment	74.8	7.79	<1.0	9.41	702	231	0.30	1.49	14.8	6.93	22.2	37.7	<0.050	0.64	10.0	0.51	0.71	0.139	<2.0	0.820	36.8	142 81.4
SED-DC-14-29	22-Jul-2014	Lower Dome Cr between Victoria Cr and Road	Sediment	42.4	7.95	<0.050	2.34	108	38.8	<0.22	0.888	4.55	2.03	4.45	11.3	<0.050	<0.50	3.66	<0.20	0.48	<0.050	<2.0	0.323	14.2	37.0
SED-DC-14-31	22-Jul-2014	Lower Dome Cr between Victoria Cr and Road	Sediment	21.6	7.91	<0.050	5.48	120	40.9	<0.20	0.424	6.87	2.47	4.66	21.7	< 0.050	< 0.50	4.47	<0.20	0.22	0.052	<2.0	0.292	16.8	55.2
SED-DC-14-32	22-Jul-2014	Lower Dome Cr between Victoria Cr and Road	Sediment	35.1	7.32	<1.0	5.65	150	65.2	<0.20	0.754	10.7	3.54	8.21	29.8	<0.050	<0.50	6.34	<0.20	0.43	0.078	<2.0	0.403	24.6	84.1
SED-DC-14-33	22-Jul-2014	Lower Dome Cr between Victoria Cr and Road	Sediment	72.9	7.13	<1.0	31.9	1,220	222	0.42	3.96	27.2	11.6	57.2	106	0.066	1.02	16.4	0.71	3.02	0.209	<2.0	1.85	85.5	364
SED-DC-14-34	22-Jul-2014	Lower Dome Cr between Road and TSF	Sediment	43.2	7.83	<1.0	7.20	189	74.6	<0.20	1.03	12.9	3.96	9.19	38.9	<0.050	<0.50	6.66	<0.20	0.76	0.068	<2.0	0.471	31.9	93.7
SED-DC-14-36	22-Jul-2014	Lower Dome Cr between Road and TSF	Sediment	21.1	6.29	< 0.50	2.36	51.5	51.4	<0.20	0.375	9.04	2.52	5.02	11.8	< 0.050	<0.50	4.93	<0.20	0.21	<0.050	<2.0	0.325	23.1	47.6
SED-DC-14-37	22-Jul-2014	Lower Dome Cribetween Road and TSF	Sediment	16.5 60.6	7.77 7.28	<0.050	1.62 5 / R	66.1 163	28.7	<0.20	0.158	4.46	2.08	3.48	9.65 29.5	<0.050	<0.50 0.58	3.63	<0.20 0.51	<0.10	<0.050 0.125	<2.0	0.195	13.4 42.2	31.6 92.1
SED-DC-14-39	22-Jul-2014	Lower Dome Cr between Road and TSF	Sediment	35.7	6.48	<1.0	3.19	238	85.3	0.20	0.434	12.3	4.09	12.4	13.0	< 0.051	<0.50	7.87	0.23	0.17	0.081	<2.0	0.559	28.8	64.7
SED-DC-14-40	22-Jul-2014	Lower Dome Cr between Road and TSF	Sediment	17.3	7.82	0.057	0.60	23.0	33.7	<0.20	0.084	5.90	2.17	3.91	3.57	<0.050	<0.50	4.14	<0.20	<0.10	<0.050	<2.0	0.221	15.2	23.5
SED-DC-14-41	22-Jul-2014	Lower Dome Cr between Road and TSF	Sediment	25.0	7.57	<0.50	0.68	25.2	52.0	<0.20	0.131	14.9	3.55	5.53	4.37	< 0.050	<0.50	5.84	<0.20	<0.10	<0.050	<2.0	0.404	48.6	34.3
SED-DC-14-43	22-Jul-2014	Lower Dome Cr between Road and TSF	Sediment	25.0	7.98	<0.50	1.71	34.3	57.9	< 0.20	0.146	11.9 0.70	3.61	5.87	5.53		<0.50	6.34	<0.20	0.82	<0.050	<2.0	0.369	31.1	37.2
SED-DC-14-44 SED-DC-14-45	22-Jul-2014 22-Jul-2014	Lower Dome Cr between Road and TSF	Sediment	24.0 45.7	7.80	<1.0	1.43	80.5	78.7	<0.20	0.226	9.78	3.43	8.05	7.23	<0.050	<0.50	6.10	<0.20	0.10	0.051	<2.0	0.319	25.0	51.4
SED-DC-14-46	22-Jul-2014	Lower Dome Cr between Road and TSF	Sediment	25.1	8.12	<0.50	0.96	50.8	56.8	<0.20	0.199	8.92	3.27	5.60	5.27	< 0.050	<0.50	5.41	<0.20	<0.10	<0.050	<2.0	0.283	21.4	39.5
SED-DC-14-48	22-Jul-2014	Lower Dome Cr between Road and TSF	Sediment	17.4	7.97	<0.50	0.81	34.7	32.7	<0.20	0.093	4.07	1.92	4.60	8.18	<0.050	<0.50	3.43	<0.20	<0.10	<0.050	<2.0	0.203	12.0	24.3
SED-DC-14-49	22-Jul-2014	Lower Dome Cr between Road and TSF	Sediment	20.7	7.88	< 0.50	1.85	47.1	47.1	<0.20	0.174	10.4	3.28	6.31	9.94	<0.050	<0.50	6.43	<0.20	<0.10	<0.050	<2.0	0.344	29.8	41.6
SED-DC-14-50 SED-DC-14-51	22-Jul-2014 22-Jul-2014	Lower Dome Cr between Road and TSF	Sediment	27.1	7.99	<0.050	1.01	40.7	37.0 46.7	<0.20	0.157	9.58	2.83	6.09	6.87	<0.050	<0.50	4.76	<0.20	<0.10	<0.050	<2.0	0.287	34.3 28.4	31.2
SED-DC-14-52	22-Jul-2014	Lower Dome Cr between Road and TSF	Sediment	21.7	8.13	0.066	1.71	45.9	43.9	<0.20	0.308	9.36	3.10	6.18	8.35	< 0.050	<0.50	5.76	<0.20	0.22	< 0.050	<2.0	0.264	21.9	48.0
SED-DC-14-53	22-Jul-2014	Lower Dome Cr between Road and TSF	Sediment	22.3	8.21	0.088	1.30	36.9	49.0	<0.20	0.267	10.2	3.17	6.97	10.2	<0.050	<0.50	6.10	<0.20	0.19	<0.050	<2.0	0.278	28.8	42.0
SED-DC-14-54	22-Jul-2014	Lower Dome Cr between Road and TSF	Sediment	28.9	8.07	<1.0	1.04	36.6	61.6	<0.20	0.204	12.4	3.59	7.46	8.35	<0.050	<0.50	7.12	<0.20	<0.10	0.058	<2.0	0.355	27.0	41.7
SED-DC-14-55	22-Jul-2014 25-Jul-2014	Lower Dome Cr between Road and TSF	Sediment	36.6	7.74	<1.0	2.68	36.5	69.7 92.7	<0.20	0.393	13.1	4.33	24.7	8.13 5.79	<0.050	<0.50	7.94 8.75	<0.21	0.12	0.064	<2.0	0.460	32.9 29.8	58.9 68.1
SED.DC.14-82	25-Jul-2014	Lower Dome Cr between Road and TSF	Sediment	26.5	8.13	1.3	1.34	46.6	55.9	<0.21	0.190	10.0	3.27	7.47	7.57	<0.050	<0.50	6.46	<0.20	0.10	< 0.050	<2.0	0.346	22.5	43.0
SED.DC.14-83	25-Jul-2014	Lower Dome Cr between Road and TSF	Sediment	36.2	7.65	<1.0	2.43	217	87.2	<0.20	0.479	13.2	3.61	12.8	8.60	<0.050	<0.50	6.56	<0.20	0.18	0.069	<2.0	0.495	47.2	62.2
SED.DC.14-84	25-Jul-2014	Lower Dome Cr between Road and TSF	Sediment	21.2	8.00	<0.50	0.65	58.3	50.1	<0.20	0.149	7.75	2.83	5.60	3.60	<0.050	<0.50	5.56	<0.20	<0.10	<0.050	<2.0	0.449	20.7	34.4
SED.DC.14-86	25-Jul-2014	Lower Dome Cr between Road and TSF	Sediment	66.6	7.90	13.7	2.87	1,130	254	<0.20	4.51	6.36	4.47	35.5	6.71	<0.050	1.53	6.07	0.55	1.04	< 0.050	<2.0	1.11	25.5	87.1
5LD.DC.14-88	23-Jul-2014		Sediment	82.5	7.02	19.5	1.08	210	00.3	<0.20	1.02	0.74	5.88	18.0	7.08	<0.030	0.85	7.54	0.23	0.28	0.001	<2.0	0.374	22.1	40.2
SED.DC.14-56	24-Jul-2014	Dome Cr between TSF and Mill Area	Sediment	31.3	7.77	<1.0	0.69	21.9	57.4	<0.20	0.141	12.2	3.65	9.45	3.77	<0.050	<0.50	7.53	<0.20	<0.10	0.058	<2.0	0.390	25.4	41.4
SED.DC.14-57	24-Jul-2014 24-Jul-2014	Dome Cr between TSF and Mill Area	Sediment	21.4	7.47	<0.050	0.42	25.1	53.3 73.8	<0.20	0.089	10.9	3.27	7.00	3.00	<0.050	<0.50	6.14 7.47	<0.20	<0.10	<0.050	<2.0	0.338	28.8 25.1	25.1
SED.DC.14-59	24-Jul-2014	Dome Cr between TSF and Mill Area	Sediment	37.4	7.94	<0.50	6.96	185	101.0	<0.20	1.01	12.9	5.26	10.1	23.70	< 0.050	<0.50	8.83	0.33	0.73	0.140	<2.0	0.674	30.5	214
SED.DC.14-60	24-Jul-2014	Dome Cr between TSF and Mill Area	Sediment	38.5	7.58	<1.0	1.89	39.6	69.1	<0.20	0.498	10.9	3.59	8.15	8.39	<0.050	<0.50	6.97	0.23	0.13	0.085	<2.0	0.524	25.5	86.2
SED.DC.14-61	24-Jul-2014	Dome Cr between TSF and Mill Area	Sediment	68.0	7.31	<1.0	7.74	147	224	0.49	1.87	28.2	8.54	45.6	32.5	0.1	0.95	20.1	1.23	0.68	0.253	<2.0	2.24	62.8	257
SED.DC.14-62	24-Jul-2014 24-Jul-2014	Dome Cr between TSF and Mill Area	Sediment	25.8 22.9	7.72	<0.50	2.88	41.9	59.5 71.4	<0.20	0.440	9.28	3.56	5.18	9.67	<0.050	<0.50	6.05 7.63	<0.20	0.41 <0.10	0.086	<2.0	0.369	20.9	81.2 65.4
SED.DC.14-64	24-Jul-2014	Dome Cr between TSF and Mill Area	Sediment	31.4	7.34	<1.0	1.15	33.1	48.7	<0.20	0.239	8.82	2.92	4.90	4.51	<0.050	<0.50	5.44	<0.20	<0.10	0.067	<2.0	0.429	20.1	55.2
SED.DC.14-65	24-Jul-2014	Dome Cr between TSF and Mill Area	Sediment	33.5	7.69	<1.0	0.96	27.5	69.4	<0.20	0.118	8.98	3.37	5.72	3.95	<0.050	<0.50	6.11	<0.20	<0.10	0.063	<2.0	0.432	23.2	35.3
SED.DC.14-66	24-Jul-2014	Dome Cr between TSF and Mill Area	Sediment	23.2	7.41	<0.050	0.56	13.9	53.8	<0.20	0.071	8.34	2.94	03.7	3.42	< 0.050	<0.50	5.30	<0.20	<0.10	0.057	<2.0	0.345	21.4	28.3
SED.DC.14-67	24-Jul-2014	Dome Cr between TSF and Mill Area	Sediment	52.3 22.6	6.86 7.54	<1.0	2.71	63.2	233 68.8	0.34	0.682	19.9 11 2	7.73 2 02	25.7 06.8	8.87	0.067 <0.050	0.61	13.3 7.64	0.51	0.24	0.171	<2.0	2.02	45.9 22 Q	82.7
SED.DC.14-69	24-Jul-2014	Dome Cr between TSF and Mill Area	Sediment	54.9	7.28	<1.0	2.37	209	212.0	0.24	0.381	13.9	9.57	18.3	7.77	<0.050	0.52	9.40	0.36	0.22	0.120	<2.0	1.04	39.9	88.3
SED.DC.14-70	24-Jul-2014	Dome Cr between TSF and Mill Area	Sediment	39.8	7.82	<1.0	62.3	1,340	173.0	0.24	11.0	13.7	5.61	40.0	246	0.074	<0.50	8.16	0.60	4.26	0.291	<2.0	1.04	34.1	1,110
SED.DC.14-71	24-Jul-2014	Dome Cr between TSF and Mill Area	Sediment	78.6	7.94	0.618	19.5	2,670	506	<0.20	3.26	4.45	9.10	7.28	47.5	<0.050	1.78	4.25	0.36	0.89	0.088	<2.0	0.799	15.9	400
SED.DC.14-72	24-Jul-2014	Dome Cr between TSF and Mill Area	Sediment	45.5 51.2	7.84	<1.0	58.7	789	93.1	<0.20	11.1	9.93	4.44	34.1	257	0.062	<0.50	7.23	0.33	5.31	0.253	<2.0	0.572	24.8	1,110
SED.DC.14-73	24-Jul-2014 24-Jul-2014	Dome Cr between TSF and Mill Area	Sediment	47.0	7.98	6.3	29.4	522	157	0.29	8.8	11.3	6.00	23.7	57.8	0.103	0.57	7.70	0.48	1.10	0.235	<2.0	0.540	32.9	1,410
SED.DC.14-75	24-Jul-2014	Dome Cr between TSF and Mill Area	Sediment	48.8	8.07	0.192	136	1,850	196	0.23	42.1	8.07	10.4	83.9	370	0.06	2.06	11.0	0.55	8.65	0.525	<2.0	0.915	27.0	3,670
SED.DC.14-76	24-Jul-2014	Dome Cr between TSF and Mill Area	Sediment	67.4	7.93	<1.0	127	2,490	523	0.62	187	17.3	46.8	96.6	377	0.174	2.37	46.5	0.93	6.31	2.01	<2.0	1.41	60.5	18,700
SED.DC.14-77	24-Jul-2014	Dome Cr between TSF and Mill Area	Sediment	72.1	7.84	0.54	146	3,130	460	0.57	191	15.2 0 1 0	43.4	102	405 270	0.159	3.06	54.4	0.94	7.58	2.29	<2.0	1.47	53.4	16,700
SED.DC.14-78	24-Jul-2014 24-Jul-2014	Dome Cr between TSF and Mill Area	Sediment	25.1 29.3	7.98	0.466 <1 0	29.4	301	113	0.39	12.5	8.18 12.1	9.27	09.7 27 9	578 61.9	0.054	0.99	10.7	0.35	7.45	0.402	<2.0 <2.0	0.593	51.4 46.0	1,240
SED.DC.14-80	24-Jul-2014	Dome Cr between TSF and Mill Area	Sediment	23.3	7.40	<1.0	3.21	65.8	49.3	<0.20	0.152	6.28	4.05	7.51	9.98	<0.050	<0.50	3.73	<0.20	0.120	0.152	<2.0	0.339	29.0	43.1
SED-DC-14-25		Soil between Road and TSE	Soil	18.8	8 08	<0.050	1.04	26.1	28.0	<0.20	0 171	7 2 2	2 72	<u></u>	5 20	<0.050	<0.50	/ 22	<0.20	<0.10	<0.050	<20	0 306	12.0	28.8
SED-DC-14-33	22-Jul-2014	Soil between Road and TSF	Soil	28.2	6.94	<1.0	1.63	48.2	93.1	0.20	1.50	15.7	4.99	24.1	9.67	<0.050	<0.50	9.94	0.23	1.54	0.081	<2.0	0.597	36.6	155
SED-DC-14-47	22-Jul-2014	Soil between Road and TSF	Soil	19.9	5.71	<1.0	0.84	18.0	70.4	<0.20	0.968	12.8	3.96	23.2	5.60	<0.050	<0.50	9.25	<0.20	1.60	0.064	<2.0	0.474	26.8	147
SED.DC.14-85	25-Jul-2014	Soil between Road and TSF	Soil	57.5	7.61	7.06	11.50	607	253	<0.20	8.08	4.70	5.88	93.8	49.10	0.177	1.79	8.19	0.67	15.0	0.091	<2.0	1.26	19.5	108
SED.DC.14-87	25-Jul-2014	Soil between Road and TSF	Soil	21.0	5.55	1.1	15.80	157	107	<0.20	1.22	2.17	3.42	499	77.10	0.171	1.41	7.14	0.27	5.03	0.071	<2.0	1.48	4.61	99.6

Notes:

Bold and shaded exceeds CSR PL values

Strong acid digestion method was used on all samples Yukon CSR - Yukon Contaminated Site Regulation, O.I.C. 2002/171, Generic and matrix numerical soil standards for park land use (PL) Matrix standard used: the most stringent of groundwater flow to surface water used by freshwater aquatic live, human intake of contaminated soil or toxicity to soil invertebrates and plants was used.



5.3 Pond Waters

5.3.1 Objective and Scope

The purpose of the Pond Waters treatability testing program was to evaluate the previously proposed water treatment design completed as part of the "Mount Nansen Remediation Project 30% Design Phase" (AMEC, 2014b). To achieve this, samples of the four contaminated water sources onsite were collected. To ensure representative water qualities for each of the contaminated water sources, a detailed sampling protocol was provided to the onsite team responsible for the sample collection. These samples were shipped to AMEC's water treatment laboratory in Pointe-Claire, Québec, where a series of water treatment tests were completed.

5.3.1.1 Raw Water Quality

The raw water quality was analyzed both at the site and upon receipt at the AMEC laboratory to ensure that the quality had not been altered significantly during transport (Table 5.3.1.1-1). The water treatment tests were planned in response to the analytical results of the raw water quality for each of the different contaminated water sources. Due to the expected heterogeneity in the tailings porewater, samples were collected and sent for testing from three piezometers in the tailings pond: MW09-02, MW09-03, and MW09-04. Although water was collected from MW09-07, there was insufficient water to conduct bulk water treatment tests.

The raw water quality for the four water sources was better than the original estimates used for the 30% design phase. In Table 5.3.1.1-1, parameters of concern are highlighted in red. These include any constituent with concentrations exceeding the federal Metal Mining Effluent Regulations (MMER) discharge criteria for monthly average limits (Government of Canada, 2002). In addition, any iron concentrations exceeding 7 mg/L were highlighted because this would contribute to total suspended solids (TSS), and could result in exceedances for the TSS limit of 15 mg/L. Ammonia exceeding 10 mg/L is highlighted because this could result in toxicity to rainbow trout if the pH is alkaline. The interim treatment objective is to have all of the concentrations meet MMER limits because these are "end-of-pipe" discharge limits (note final validation and selection of all proposed treatment and/or remediation standards is part of the Phase 2 design scope). The MMER limits are graded upon the effect of toxicity so that Cu and Pb have lower limits than Ni and Zn. Although the MMER limits are normally applicable to operating sites, they have been used at other closed mine sites in Canada as appropriate discharge criteria (Government of Canada, 2012).

The Tailings Pond water does not exceed any of the objectives. The Pit Lake water quality only shows a Zn concentration equal to the limit (only for the sample taken onsite). Note that both the tailings and pit waters are expected to exceed MMER limits for TSS during tailings relocation.

Parameter	Units	MMER Criteria	Pit L	_ake	Tailing	s Pond	Seep	Pond	Porewater (02)		Porewater (03)		Porewater (04)	
Total Concentrations	5		Site	Lab	Site	Lab	Site	Lab	Site	Lab	Site	Lab	Site	Lab
рН	-	-	8.0	7.6	8.2	7.6	6.8	6.8	6.8	6.4	6.9	7.1	7.9	8.1
Total Ammonia	mg/L	-	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	4.84	4.98	13.4	11.8	1.70	1.74	6.27	6.49
Total Sulphur	mg/L	-		317	N/A	252	N/A	338	N/A	724	N/A	594	N/A	698
Total CN	mg/L	1	<0.005	0.02	0.01	0.02	1.96	0.61	1.08	0.76	0.12	0.10	0.09	0.09
Total Arsenic	mg/L	0.5	<0.025	<0.05	0.10	0.10	0.07	0.06	21.7	17.3	0.81	0.84	3.53	3.63
Total Cadmium	mg/L	-	< 0.0125	<0.01	0.001	<0.01	0.001	<0.01	< 0.0005	<0.01	0.001	<0.01	< 0.0005	<0.01
Total Copper	mg/L	0.3	<0.025	<0.1	0.022	<0.1	0.006	<0.1	0.003	<0.1	0.004	<0.1	0.003	<0.1
Total Iron	mg/L	-	<1.75	<0.1	0.26	0.20	9.42	7.50	47.50	34.10	0.26	0.20	<0.07	<0.1
Total Lead	mg/L	0.2	<0.025	<0.05	0.006	0.025	<0.001	0.025	0.001	0.025	<0.001	0.025	0.003	0.025
Total Nickel	mg/L	0.5	<0.025	<0.01	0.003	<0.01	0.007	0.010	0.008	0.020	0.008	0.020	0.006	0.020
Total Zinc	mg/L	0.5	0.50	0.27	0.06	0.06	0.02	0.03	0.40	0.42	0.02	0.02	0.06	0.07
Dissolved Metals														
Dissolved Arsenic	mg/L	-	0.01	0.01	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.00	22.90	8.34	0.81	0.59	3.57	2.81
Dissolved Cadmium	mg/L	-	0.0023	0.0023	0.0005	0.0007	0.0005	<0.005	< 0.005	<0.005	0.0014	0.0012	< 0.005	<0.005
Dissolved Copper	mg/L	-	0.003	0.002	0.015	0.012	0.004	0.003	0.004	0.002	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.002
Dissolved Iron	mg/L	-	<0.07	<0.07	<0.07	<0.07	7.05	<0.07	49.40	19.20	0.24	0.11	<0.07	<0.07
Dissolved Lead	mg/L	-	<0.001	<0.001	< 0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	< 0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Dissolved Nickel	mg/L	-	0.003	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.006	0.006	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.007	0.006	0.006
Dissolved Zinc	mg/L	-	0.33	0.25	0.03	0.04	0.01	0.03	0.38	0.35	0.01	0.02	0.04	0.05

Table 5.3.1.1-1: Raw Water Quality of Mount Nansen Samples

Notes:

Bold red values indicate parameters greater than MMER criteria, Fe concentrations greater than 7 mg/L or ammonia concentrations greater than 10 mg/L. See text for detailed explanation.

N/A - not analyzed



High CN concentrations were measured for the Seep Pond water and Tailings Porewater 02. The Tailings Porewater sample points 02 and 04 contain high As concentrations. For the purposes of the water treatability tests, a single Tailings Porewater blend was used; this was blended at a 50-50 ratio using the two most contaminated samples (02 and 04). In the tests described below, the term Tailings Porewater is used to represent this blend.

5.3.1.2 Laboratory Tests

Based on the raw water quality, a set of 14 treatment tests was designed to confirm the treatment needs for each of the water sources. The approach was to evaluate the treatment requirements for each of the water sources separately without any prior blending. This method is considered conservative as blending will result in an improvement in the overall water quality, specifically for Seepage Pond and Tailings Porewater.

The Tailings Pond and Pit Lake waters were neutralised with lime to a pH of 9.5, followed by flocculant addition and 1 hour settling for solid-liquid separation. This treated effluent was sampled and measured for TSS and turbidity. A final pH adjustment with sulphuric acid to a setpoint of 7.0 was completed to define the dosage in case this would be required to mitigate ammonia toxicity.

Similar procedures were applied to the Seep Pond and Tailings Porewater, with added steps for arsenic co-precipitation and cyanide oxidation. For cyanide, different ratios of peroxide addition were tested and the use of copper sulphate was attempted for use as a catalyst. For arsenic treatment, different Fe:As ratios were tested. The details of each test are given in Table 5.3.1.2-1.

After review of the initial test results, tests 13 and 14 were added to verify the effect of treating blends.

5.3.2 Treatability Test Results

A summary of the reagent consumption rates and test pH values is provided in Table 5.3.2-1. Three pH values are shown here: "Initial" is the pH of the raw water before the test is started, "Treated" is the pH of the water after one hour settling (representing what would be the clarifier overflow), and "Adjusted" is the final pH after acidification to mitigate ammonia toxicity, if needed. The reagent consumption rates of lime and acid are a function of the water and pH setpoint. These results can be used to design the final treatment plant. The peroxide, copper, and iron addition rates were set by the test parameters, as per Table 5.3.1.2-1.

Table 5.3.2-2 shows the analytical results from testing, including only the parameters which require treatment or which have levels close to the MMER limits. As mentioned previously, MMER were used as appropriate reference "end-of-pipe" discharge criteria for the water treatment plant. Each of the different water sources were successfully treated to compliant levels depending on the test conditions.

Table 5.5.1.2-1. Description of Treatment Tests Completed	Table 5.3.1.2-1:	Description	of Treatment	Tests	Completed
---	------------------	--------------------	--------------	-------	-----------

Test No.	Water Source	Dosage	Reagent	Analysis Needed
1	Pit Lake	pH=9.5 2 mg/L pH=7	Lime Flocculant Sulfuric Acid	ICP (incl. S), CN
2	Tailings Pond	pH=9.5 2 mg/L pH=7	Lime Flocculant Sulfuric Acid	ICP (incl. S), CN
3	Seep Pond	pH=9.5 2 mg/L 1:1 (molar ratio of H2O2:CN)	Lime Flocculant Peroxide	ICP (incl. S), CN
4	Seep Pond	Pond Pond Pite 2:1 (molar ratio of H2O2:CN)		ICP (incl. S), CN
5	Seep Pond	pH=7 pH=9.5 2 mg/L 5:1 (molar ratio of H2O2:CN)	Lime Flocculant Peroxide	ICP (incl. S), CN
6	Seep Pond	pH=7 pH=9.5 2 mg/L 2:1 (molar ratio of H2O2:CN) 5 mg/L	Sulfuric Acid Lime Flocculant Peroxide Cu Catalyst	ICP (incl. S), CN
7	Seep Pond	pH=7 pH=9.5 2 mg/L 10:1 (molar ratio of H2O2:CN) pH=7	Lime Flocculant Peroxide Sulfuric Acid	ICP (incl. S), CN
8	Tailings Porewater	none pH=9.5 2 mg/L 2:1 (molar ratio of H2O2:CN) 5 mg/L pH=7	Ferric Sulphate Lime Flocculant Peroxide Cu Catalyst Sulfuric Acid	ICP (incl. S), CN
9	Tailings Porewater	5:1 (molar ratio Fe to As) pH=9.5 2 mg/L 2:1 (molar ratio of H2O2:CN) 5 mg/L pH=7	Ferric Sulphate Lime Flocculant Peroxide Cu Catalyst Sulfuric Acid	ICP (incl. S), CN
10	Tailings Porewater	10:1 (molar ratio Fe to As) pH=9.5 2 mg/L 2:1 (molar ratio of H2O2:CN) 5 mg/L pH=7	Ferric Sulphate Lime Flocculant Peroxide Cu Catalyst Sulfuric Acid	ICP (incl. S), CN
11	Tailings Porewater	5:1 (molar ratio Fe to As) pH=9.5 2 mg/L 5:1 (molar ratio of H2O2:CN) pH=7	Ferric Sulphate Lime Flocculant Peroxide Sulfuric Acid	ICP (incl. S), CN
12	Tailings Porewater	10:1 (molar ratio Fe to As) pH=9.5 2 mg/L 5:1 (molar ratio of H2O2:CN) pH=7	Ferric Sulphate Lime Flocculant Peroxide Sulfuric Acid	ICP (incl. S), CN
13	50-50 Blend Tailings Pond - Tailings Porewater	5:1 (molar ratio Fe to As) pH=9.5 2 mg/L	Ferric Sulphate Lime Flocculant	ICP (incl. S), CN
14	50-50 Blend Pit Lake - Seep Pond	10:1 (molar ratio Fe to As) pH=9.5 2 mg/L	Ferric Sulphate Lime Flocculant	ICP (incl. S), CN

Test	Source Water	Initial pH	Treated pH	Adjusted pH	Lime added	H ₂ O ₂	CuSO₄	Fe ₂ (SO ₄) ₃	H₂SO₄
					(g)	(mL)	(g)	(mL)	(mL)
1	Pit Lake	8.06	8.51	7.00	0.18	-	-	-	2.6
2	Tailings Pond	7.88	8.95	7.00	0.05	-	-	-	5.8
3	Seep Pond	6.92	8.60	7.02	0.35	0.04	-	-	4.5
4	Seep Pond	6.95	8.76	7.03	0.36	0.08	-	-	5.4
5	Seep Pond	7.10	8.98	7.04	0.33	0.20	-	-	7.5
6	Seep Pond	7.12	8.98	7.03	0.34	0.08	0.63	-	3.6
7	Seep Pond (10:1)	7.20	9.02	7.00	0.34	0.40	-	-	6.2
8	Tailings Porewater	6.96	9.01	7.05	0.14	0.05	-	-	4.8
9	Tailings Porewater	6.97	9.08	6.96	0.19	0.05	0.63	0.17	4.6
10	Tailings Porewater	7.17	9.05	6.96	0.32	0.05	0.63	0.34	3.6
11	Tailings Porewater	7.22	8.98	7.05	0.19	0.13	-	0.17	3.9
12	Tailings Porewater	6.99	8.92	7.00	0.31	0.13	-	0.34	2.8
13	50:50 Tailings Pond:Porewater	7.64	9.12	-	0.10	-	-	0.83	-
14	50-50 Pit Lake:Seep Pond	8.00	8.87	-	0.25	-	-	0.80	-

Table 5.3.2-1: Summary of Reagent Consumption Rates

Test	Source Water	TSS (mg/L)	SS g/L) As (mg/L)		Cu (r	ng/L)	Fe (n	ng/L) Zn (r		ng/L)	CN (mg/L)	NH ₃ (mg/L)
			Total	Diss	Total	Diss	Total	Diss	Total	Diss	Total	Total
	MMER Limit	15	0	0.5		0.3 -		-	0.5		1	
1	Pit Lake	2.80	0.005	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.04	0.04	0.018	0.012	-	-
2	Tailings Pond	2.40	0.080	0.052	0.018	0.013	0.18	0.04	0.044	0.023	-	-
3	Seep Pond	5.20	0.006	0.004	0.005	0.005	0.14	0.04	0.014	0.025	0.88	-
4	Seep Pond	3.20	0.004	0.003	0.004	0.004	0.16	0.04	0.007	0.007	0.72	-
5	Seep Pond	5.20	0.001	0.003	0.002	0.006	0.04	0.04	0.007	0.047	1.42	-
6	Seep Pond	3.60	0.003	0.002	0.560	0.254	0.04	0.04	0.004	0.007	0.43	-
7	Seep Pond (10:1)	4.80	0.001	0.003	0.002	0.003	0.04	0.04	0.007	0.007	1.34	-
8	Tailings Porewater	2.40	1.100	1.000	0.000	0.100	0.10	0.05	0.010	0.010	0.38	-
9	Tailings Porewater	2.00	0.025	0.130	0.050	0.100	0.05	0.05	0.010	0.010	1.27	-
10	Tailings Porewater	1.60	0.050	0.025	0.050	0.050	0.10	0.05	0.010	0.010	0.40	-
11	Tailings Porewater	3.20	0.110	0.080	0.050	0.050	0.20	0.05	0.010	0.010	0.42	-
12	Tailings Porewater	3.20	0.040	0.027	0.003	0.003	0.13	0.04	0.004	0.006	0.39	-
13	50:50 Tailings Pond:Porewater	1.20	0.025	0.006	0.050	0.004	0.05	0.04	0.010	0.004	0.142	4.2
14	50-50 Pit Lake:Seep Pond	2.00	0.001	0.001	0.003	0.003	0.15	0.04	0.004	0.005	0.011	2.2
Matea												

Table 5.3.2-2: Analytical Results of Treatability Testing

Notes:

Bold red values indicate parameters greater than MMER criteria, Fe concentrations greater than 7 mg/L or ammonia concentrations greater than 10 mg/L. See text for detailed explanation.



CN posed the greatest challenge with regards to final effluent quality as three out of the 10 tests requiring CN treatment were unsuccessful. High CN-bearing effluent occurred at various peroxide addition ratios, as well as when a catalyst was added (CuSO4). The catalyst should be avoided if possible as an exceedance of the Cu limit occurred during Test #6 resulting from catalyst addition. Despite some of the tests being successful at removing CN, the inconsistency of the test conditions producing low CN effluent makes this contaminant a challenge for treatment. Another option for managing high CN levels is to blend existing waters prior to treatment. This would reduce the CN concentration in the influent water and could eliminate the need to treat specifically for CN. This was explored in Tests #13 and #14.

Arsenic co-precipitation was successful in all treatment tests including the addition of ferric sulphate. In Test #8, the only one where the As concentration was high, no ferric sulphate was added. This indicates that this Fe addition is required in order to achieve compliant effluent when Tailings Porewater is treated. A 5 to 1 molar ratio (Fe to As) is sufficient for As removal.

The results for tests 13 and 14 show that when waters are blended, there is no need to treat specifically for CN, therefore allowing the possibility to eliminate peroxide addition. In both Test 13 and Test 14, the CN was well below the MMER limit. In addition, these tests were not pH adjusted with sulphuric acid; this is considered acceptable as the final ammonia levels are less than 5 mg/L in these blended waters.

The opportunity of treating blended waters should be pursued during sequencing as it can allow for removal of two reagents from the water treatment plant. By maintaining low CN concentrations, peroxide is not needed. In addition, with ammonia concentrations of less than 10 mg/L, sulphuric acid is not considered necessary.

5.4 Groundwater

5.4.1 Objectives

The primary objectives for the groundwater-related aspects of the 2014 site investigation were to increase the data for a refinement of the project baseline information, with respect to the seasonal variability in groundwater levels and groundwater chemistry. A secondary objective was to update, where applicable, the hydrogeological conceptual site model (AMEC, 2014b), according to the 2014 site investigation findings. Of the secondary objectives, the Brown McDade Pit pond levels and ground temperatures associated with the Pit are of particular relevance to the remediation design.

5.4.2 Groundwater Level Instrumentation and Data Objectives

Pre-2013 water level and temperature instrumentation was installed inside the Brown McDade Pit to record the Pit pond level and groundwater level within the fractured bedrock Pit floor, adjacent to the pond (GLL07-03). This instrumentation utilized a corehole that was extended to an elevation



equivalent to the deepest portion of the Pit pond (El. 1074 m). Other pre-2013 instrumentation records groundwater levels in two monitoring wells constructed north of the Pit, adjacent to the public road. Of these monitoring wells external to the Pit, one has experienced intermittently freezing conditions (MW09-15) and the other has experienced permanently freezing conditions (GLL07-01).

In 2013, additional water level and temperature instrumentation was installed in bedrock forming the Brown McDade Pit floor and bedrock to the east and south, to assess potential pathways for groundwater leaving the Pit area. This instrumentation included thermistor devices capable of an operating temperature range extending down to -20°C.

A summary of all instrumentation currently installed for recording Pit pond level, fractured bedrock groundwater levels (piezometric elevation) and temperature, is provided in Table 5.4.2-1, Summary of Groundwater/Hydrogeologic Datalogger Installations. This table summarizes the locations, types, configurations, data period and graphical output reference for each of the dataloggers downloaded in July 2014. Two types of datalogger are currently in service: Levelloggers (Solinst) and vibrating wire piezometers (RST Instruments). One Barologger (Solinst) is located within the Brown McDade Pit, dedicated to recording atmospheric pressure for a project-wide barometric pressure. Note that loggers for GLL07-01, MW09-15, MW09-21 and MW09-23 appear to have run out of memory prior to the download event in July. All dataloggers were reset by AAM late in 2014 and will be downloaded in 2015 to confirm they are in working order.

A number of the vibrating wire datalogger devices have malfunctioning temperature or frequency channels. However, enough are functioning to provide the information required to assess hydrogeological conditions. The lowest ground temperatures experienced by the project to-date are approximately -1.0°C. This 'warm' permafrost appears to be enabling bedrock fracture water and ice to co-exist. It is also possible that ice formation pressure may have influenced the results, presented in the following sub-sections.

Each Levellogger is a self-contained, water pressure and temperature recording device that is downloaded individually and the data processed with a barometric compensation from the Barologger. The vibrating wire piezometers comprise water pressure and thermistor sensor units connected to surface data loggers housed within the surface casing, using dedicated signal cables, downloaded as a group according to the number of connected sensor devices. In 2013, the vibrating wire piezometers were installed in two configurations, comprising strings of five sensors at two locations (Brown McDade Pit floor/east wall and Pit (south-west) exterior) and single sensors attached to the exterior of one-inch monitoring wells, within the sand pack, at three locations (Brown McDade Pit floor and Pit (east and south) exterior). The five-sensor strings were installed within cement-bentonite grout, with a semi-permeable formulation, enabling each sensor to respond primarily to the adjacent corehole wall pore pressure and temperature.

Mount Nansen Remediation Project 2014 Site Investigation Report and Site Characterization Update March 3, 2015



Table 5.4.2-1: Summary of Groundwater/Hydrogeologic Datalogger Installations

Mine Element Monitored	Datalogger Identification and Installation Type	Datalogger Sensor Details	Datalogger Data Period	Graph Figures
Brown McDade Pit, interior, pond.	Pit Pond - free water Levellogger.	Pond water suspended.	4/Aug/'10 to 22/Jul/'14.	Figure 5.4.3-1 - piezometric elevation and temperature.
Brown McDade Pit, exterior, north- west.	GLL07-01 - free water Levellogger.	Monitoring well suspended.	2/Sep/'09 to 27/Mar/'14.	Figure 5.4.3-1 - piezometric elevation showing frozen conditions.
Brown McDade Pit, interior, gives project-wide barometric reference.	GLL07-03 - free air Barologger.	Air suspended.	11/Aug/'10 to 22/Jul/'14.	Not graphed separately.
Brown McDade Pit, interior, pit floor.	GLL07-03 - free water Levellogger.	Monitoring well suspended.	11/Aug/'10 to 22/Jul/'14.	Figure 5.4.3-1 - piezometric elevation.
Brown McDade Pit, exterior, northeast.	MW09-15 - free water Levellogger.	Monitoring well suspended.	11/Aug/'10 to 10/Feb/'14.	Figure 5.4.3-1 - piezometric elevation showing freeze-thaw conditions.
Brown McDade Pit, exterior, southwest.	CH-P-13-02 - grouted-in RST vibrating wire.	10 m (El. 1139.1 m) 20 m (El. 1128.7 m) 30 m (El. 1118.3 m) 40 m (El. 1108.6 m) 50 m (El. 1097.9 m).	04/Oct/'13 to 22/Jul/'14.	Figure 5.4.3-2a - piezometric elevation (50 m malfunction after Nov 2013); and Figure 5.4.3-2b - thermistor temperature.
Brown McDade Pit, exterior, south.	CH-P-13-03 - sand pack RST vibrating wire.	50 m (El. 1134.3 m).	14/Nov/'13 to 22/Jul/'14.	Figure 5.4.3-3 - piezometric elevation. Non-valid thermistor temperature.
Brown McDade Pit, exterior, east.	CH-P-13-04 - sand pack RST vibrating wire.	35 m (El. 1190.6 m).	31/Oct/'13 to 22/Jul/'14.	Figure 5.4.3-4 - thermistor temperature. Non-valid piezometric elevation.
Brown McDade Pit, interior, pit floor.	CH-P-13-05 - sand pack RST vibrating wire.	50 m (El. 1135.6 m).	15/Nov/'13 to 22/Jul/'14.	Figure 5.4.3-5 - thermistor temperature non-valid starting 11/Mar/'14. Non-valid piezometric elevation.
Brown McDade Pit, interior, pit floor and east wall.	CH-P-13-06 - grouted-in RST vibrating wire. Inclined at 45 °.	10 m (El. 1170.7 m) 20 m (El. 1164.3 m) 30 m (El. 1156.6 m) 40 m (El. 1150.1 m) 50 m (El. 1143.4 m).	05/Oct/'13 to 22/Jul/'14.	Figure 5.4.3-6a - piezometric elevation and Figure 5.4.3-6b - thermistor temperature.
TSF, interior tailings, shallow zone.	MW09-03 – free water Levellogger.	Monitoring well suspended.	17/Oct/'11 to 2/Jun/'12 17/Sep/'13 to 22/Jul/'14.	Figure 5.4.3-7 - piezometric elevation.
TSF, interior tailings, deeper zone.	MW09-04 – free water Levellogger.	Monitoring well suspended.	17/Oct/'11 to 2/Jun/'12 17/Sep/'13 to 23/Jul/'14.	Figure 5.4.3-7 - piezometric elevation.
TSF, exterior, dam east face.	MW09-21 – free water Levellogger.	Monitoring well suspended.	17/Oct/'11 to 15/Oct/'12.	Figure 5.4.3-7 - piezometric elevation.
TSF, exterior, dam crest.	MW09-23 – free water Levellogger.	Monitoring well suspended.	17/Oct/'11 to 15/Oct/'12.	Figure 5.4.3-7 - piezometric elevation.

Mount Nansen Remediation Project 2014 Site Investigation Report and Site Characterization Update March 3, 2015



5.4.3 Groundwater Datalogger Results

The downloaded data obtained in July 2014 were grouped according to mine element location, namely the Brown McDade Pit and the Tailings Storage Facility, as shown in Table 5.4.2-1. The individual outputs from these dataloggers are shown as time-series graphs, Figures 5.4.3-1 to 5.4.3-7, inclusive. A summary of each of these seven figures is provided in the following paragraphs.

Figure 5.4.3-1 shows Levellogger-derived data for the Brown McDade Pit pond elevation and pond water temperature, together with bedrock groundwater elevations from three bedrock monitoring wells, including: the pit floor (GLL07-03), the north of the high wall (GLL07-01) and northeast of the high wall (MW09-15). Coinciding with the Pit pond datalogger download event, the pond water elevation was also surveyed, relative to a geodetic benchmark (Underhill UU1981).

Figure 5.4.3-1 shows a vertical hydraulic gradient between the Pit pond and adjacent monitoring well constructed into the Pit floor (GLL07-03), with a 1.8 m long open-hole intake section. Other than two instances of the water level falling below the level of the shallow Pit floor datalogger (flat portions of piezometric elevation for GLL07-03), a downward vertical gradient is consistently present without significant seasonal change or reversal. This is displayed by the piezometric elevation for GLL07-03 mirroring the Pit pond elevation in an approximate manner. This observation supports the conclusion of a continuously draining Pit pond, year round, for the period of datalogger record. The other two monitoring wells, in and near the north high wall of the Brown McDade Pit, are influenced by active layer development (MW09-15) and permanently frozen conditions (GLL07-01). By active layer development, it is meant that freezing ground induces pressure build-up and thawing ground induces pressure dissipation, as seen by the peaks over the winter in 2009 and 2011. The sharp rise in piezometric elevation for MW09-15 in March/April of each year is indicative of spring snowmelt. Data for GLL07-01 is shown on Figure 5.4.3-1 for completeness.

The Pit pond temperature as shown on Figure 5.4.3-1 remains above 0°C throughout the year, as it is measured approximately 11 m below the water surface. The datalogger is installed at elevation 1179.3935 masl and the depth below water will fluctuate with water levels.

Overall, an upper bound bedrock piezometric elevation on the order of 1,198 m appears to be applicable to these two wells, which were constructed with the deepest screen elevations at elevations 1,191.6 m (GLL07-01) and 1,170.4 m (MW09-15). The latter appears to show some synchronicity and partially mimics the Pit pond suggesting a degree of hydraulic connectivity exists within the bedrock. If valid, bedrock groundwater movement would be from the north towards the Pit pond.




















Figures 5.4.3-2a and 2b show vibrating wire piezometer piezometric and temperature data respectively, for corehole location CH-P-13-02, located approximately 550 m southwest of the centre of the Brown McDade Pit, into which five-sensor instrumentation was installed corresponding to depths of 10 m, 20 m, 30 m, 40 m and 50 m, below surface. The Pit pond elevation and temperature are shown on Figures 5.4.3-2a and b, respectively, as a comparison reference. The shallowest sensor, at 10 m (elevation 1,097.9 m), shows a piezometric elevation of 1,138 m, relative to surface (elevation 1,145 m). The 20 m sensor indicates a semi-confined condition, with the piezometric elevation greater than that for the 10 m sensor. The 30 m and 40 m sensors indicate a deeper and downward drainage condition. The data from the 50 m sensor is unreliable as it stopped providing meaningful piezometric information in November 2013 before it had stabilized. Note that Figure 5.4.3-2a shows an initial period of widely varying data while all the frequency sensors stabilize. This is normal for sensors installed in grout. The temperature data at location CH-P-13-02 appears reasonable. The 10 m temperature data appears to be influenced by surface temperatures, while the deeper elevations show constant temperatures.

Figure 5.4.3-3 shows vibrating wire piezometer piezometric data at CH-P-13-03, approximately 500 m southeast of the Pit centre, with a single sensor at 50 m depth (elevation 1,134.3 m). This sensor shows a continuous decrease in piezometric elevation from 1,140 m to 1,135 m for the data period, indicative of continuous drainage of the bedrock mass. Of interest is an inflection in the piezometric data coinciding with the onset of freshet storage gains to the Pit pond (May 2014). The piezometric elevations on this figure were derived from assumed temperature after December 2013 (based on previously recorded temperatures) as the thermistor (temperature sensor) malfunctioned at that time. Temperature is recorded on a separate channel from frequency, but is used to convert frequency to piezometric elevations. Enough temperature information in the surrounding area is available to support using assumed temperatures.

Figure 5.4.3-4 shows temperature data at CH-P-13-04, approximately 200 m east of the Pit centre, with a single sensor at 35 m depth (elevation 1,190.6 m). The temperature data appears to be valid up to the beginning of July 2014, with the lowest temperature of all the monitored locations (-1.0°C). This is the coldest ground temperature recorded by the project instrumentation to date. Data for July 2014 appears to indicate the thermistor is malfunctioning. Analysis of subsequent data download events will confirm this.

Figure 5.4.3-5 shows vibrating wire piezometer temperature data at CH-P-13-05, constructed into the Pit floor, with a single sensor at 50 m depth (elevation 1,135.6 m). Other than several apparently erroneous data points, the temperature data from this sensor confirm a talik presence below the Pit pond, with consistently positive temperatures (+0.4°C). Note that data for CH-P-13-05 was downloaded to July 2014, but the thermistor appeared to stop functioning after March 2014 by showing very erroneous temperatures.

Figures 5.4.3-6a shows vibrating wire piezometer piezometric data for corehole location CH-P-13-06, constructed into the Pit floor at a 45° inclination and 80° azimuth, into which five-sensor instrumentation was installed corresponding to cored depths of 20 m, 30 m, 40 m, 50 m and 60 m. These sensors commence in the Pit floor and progress east and beyond the east Pit wall. All of



the sensors exhibited a period of stabilization, at different rates, with the 50 m and 60 m being the slowest. The 20 m and 30 m sensors stabilized first, showing drainage of the rock mass had progressed to at least elevation 1,164 m, i.e. the installation depth of the 30 m sensor. All of the sensors responded to freshet recharge, with rapid piezometric increases in the beginning of May 2014. A lower reduced response was detected at the 60 m sensor located at the deepest (elevation 1,143.4 m) and greatest horizontal distance from the Pit confines. The decrease in piezometric elevation ceased at the end of April 2014 corresponding to freshet recharge. The sensors experienced a short-lived freshet recharge event as two 'pulses' of water (shown as spikes on Figure 5.4.3-6a). The initial spike/pulse is caused by a hydraulic connection between the pond water and the subsurface. Once water depth in the pond reaches a critical depth/pressure it begins to drain into the subsurface rapidly. The second pulse is likely caused by precipitation and seepage from snow melt. This conclusion will require validation based on considerations of future data. It is noteworthy that each piezometric elevation returned to pre-freshet recharge values by July 2014, suggesting that rock mass drainage may be influenced by other factors. To what extent the rock mass re-saturates after the current and prolonged period of drainage can only evaluated after the next datalogger download event, which took place in December and will be assessed in a future scope of work. This location will greatly benefit from having a complete year of stabilized data to at least July 2015 in order to view stabilized piezometric elevations and better understand variations in elevation.

Figure 5.4.3-6b shows the temperature data for location CH-P-13-06, which is very consistent after the initial stabilization period. Essentially, all temperatures at this location are hovering around 0°C.

Figure 5.4.3-7 shows the data from four different Levellogger devices in four different monitoring wells, installed at the Tailings Storage Facility. Two are within the tailings boundary (MW09-03 and 04), one is in the dam crest (MW09-23) and the other is in the downstream dam face (MW09-21). The two Levelloggers installed inside the tailings were installed October 2011 in paired monitoring wells, with screened intake sections at shallower (MW09-04) and deeper (MW09-03) depths to monitor tailings porewater. The downloaded data (October 2011 to June 2012 and September 2013 to July 2014), showed drainage of the tailings throughout the fall and winter of 2011-2012, followed by freshet and storage increase of the Tailings Storage Facility. This pattern was seen in 2013-2014 for MW09-03 but not for MW09-04. The behaviour pattern of the datalogger data for MW09-04 suggests the datalogger was malfunctioning in 2013-2014. This should be confirmed in future data downloads. The consistent behaviour between the paired monitoring wells in 2011-2012 indicates that the shallow and deep tailings are behaving in the same manner. The other two data loggers have 2012 data that show a seasonal water level decrease at the dam crest monitoring well (MW09-23), and a seasonal level increases in the dam downstream monitoring well (MW09-21). These two dataloggers ceased recording data in 2012, presumably due to exceedance of memory capacity. They were erased and re-started in December 2014. Data from MW09-03, MW09-04 and MW09-23 appear to show the same seasonal trend, but the current data set is insufficient to confirm this hypothesis. Future downloads should have concurrent data for all four monitoring wells.



5.4.4 Groundwater Monitoring Results

AMEC reviewed the recent 2014 groundwater monitoring and sampling results and the interpretation, described by Hemmera (2014). In the absence of federal or territorial groundwater guidelines, Hemmera compared the groundwater monitoring results to the Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (CCME) Water Quality Guidelines for the Protection of Freshwater Aquatic Life (FAL) (CCME, 1999). A summary of the parameters exceeding CCME FAL guidelines is shown on Table 5.4.4-1 for those monitoring wells where samples could be collected.

 Table 5.4.4-1:
 Summary of Parameters in Groundwater that Exceed CCME guidelines (June 2014)

 (Hemmera, 2014)

Station	Site Area	Parameter Exceeding CCME FAL Guidelines
GSI-DC-06B		Ammonia, As, Cr, Fe
GSI-DC-07B	Domo Crock	As, Fe
GSI-DC-09B	Dome creek	As, Fe
GSI-DC-10B		Al, As, Cr, Fe
MW09-16		As, Cd, Cu, Zn
MW09-17	Mill Complex	As
MW09-18	Mill Complex	As
MW09-19		As, Fe
CH-P-13-03/50		Fe, Zn
CH-P-13-05/50	Brown McDade Pit	Cd, Cu, Fe, Zn
GLL07-03		Cd, Fe, Zn
MP09-02	Pony Crook	No exceedances
MP09-08	Folly Cleek	As, Fe
MP09-04		No exceedances
MP09-05		As, Cd, Fe
MP09-09		Ammonia, F, free CN, As, Cu, Fe, Hg, Se, Ag
MP09-10		pH, ammonia, F, free CN, As, Cd, Cu, Se, Ag
MP09-11		Ammonia, F, As, Cr, Fe
MP09-12		Ammonia, F, As, Fe, Zn
MW09-02		Ammonia, F, As, Cd, Fe, Zn
MW09-03	Pond	Free CN, As, Cd
MW09-04		Ammonia, As, Zn
MW09-07		As, Fe, Ag, Zn
MW09-08		As, Fe
MW09-21		Free CN, As, Fe
MW09-22		Nitrite, free CN, As, Fe
MW09-23		Ammonia, Fe
MW09-24		Cu



From the analytical results for blanks and duplicates, Hemmera indicated that the analytical data was acceptable, and that there was no evidence of cross-contamination during the sampling or transport process. AMEC agrees with this interpretation.

The QA/QC discussion presented by Hemmera in Section 3.3 of their report identified variability in the total cyanide results for MW09-02 and DUP-3. The relative percent difference of 51.6% is considered outside of the normal range of variability for this analysis, and Hemmera attributes this to sample variability. AMEC agrees with this interpretation and concludes that these results are indicative of cyanide-containing sediment in one of the two sample bottles.

At one sampling location, the total cyanide concentration reported in 2014 for the groundwater sample from MP09-10 was 49.9 mg/L, compared to 2.07 mg/L in 2013 for total cyanide. This is the only location where the reported cyanide concentration substantially increased since 2013, and this result is elevated compared to the historical trend for the cyanide compounds at the project site.

Hemmera did not note the inconsistency in the sulphur results reported for DC-06, being the first drive-point well down gradient of the tailings dam along Dome Creek. The reported sulphate concentration of 583 mg/L is inconsistent with the reported dissolved sulphur concentration of 1.6 mg/L.

5.5 Surface Water Hydrology and Water Quality Results

5.5.1 Objectives and Scope

The monitoring program for 2014 covered monthly surface water quality and surface water flow monitoring as well as an extra event in May for freshet monitoring. Details of the program are presented in EDI (2014a). The purpose of the freshet program was to expand the surface water quality and flow data set for this seasonal period of transition. For the purposes of environmental assessment, YESAA guidelines require that seasonal variability be captured and the range of water quality characteristics be understood. The freshet represents a key period for seasonal variability of water quality, especially because it coincides with high flows. The data will also be used for refining the water quality modelling.

The program addressed the following specific objectives:

- Measuring peak flow during the spring runoff period; and
- Combining water quality and stream flow monitoring data for loading calculations.

The freshet monitoring scope of work comprised one trip during the freshet period in May.



5.5.2 Results

Table 5.5.2-1 presents a summary of water quality parameters which exceed CCME guidelines or Mount Nansen Effluent Discharge Standards. The table includes water quality data for the first quarter (April 1 to June 30, 2014) from EDI (2014a). For each sampling data and station sampled, the water quality parameter which exceeded the guidelines or standards is identified.

Table 5.5.2-1:	Summary	of Parameters	s that Excee	d CCME	guidelines	and/or	Mount	Nansen	Effluent
Discharge Stan	idards (Q1	April 1 to Jur	ne 30, 2014)) (EDI, 20)1̃4a)				

Compling Station		Sampling T	rip Date	
Sampling Station	April 14-15, 2014	May 8-9, 2014	May 20-21, 2014	June 24-26, 2014
WQ-DC-DX	No sample	TSS, Al, As, Cd, Cu, Fe	Al, As, Cu, Fe	Al, As, Cd, Fe
WQ-DC -DX+105	No sample	Al, As, Cd, Cu, Fe, Pb, Ag, Zn	Al, As, Cd, Cu, Zn	As, Cd, Fe, Mn, Zn
WQ-DC-D1b	No sample	Al, As, Cd, Cu, Fe, Pb, Ag, Zn	As, Cd, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mn, Zn	As, Cd, Fe, Pb, Mn, Zn
WQ-DC-B	TSS, Al, As, Cd, Cu, Fe, Pb, Ag, Zn	TSS, Al, As, Cd, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mn, Ag, Zn	TSS, Al, As, Cd, Cu, Fe	TSS, Al, As, Cd, Cu, Fe, Mn
WQ-DC-U	NH₃, Al, As, Cd, Cu, Fe, Mn	TSS, Al, As, Cd, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mn, Ag, Zn	TSS, Al, As, Cd, Cu, Fe, Mn	NH ₃ , Al, As, Cd, Fe, Mn
WQ-DC-R	No sample	Al, As, Cd, Cu, Fe, Mn	Al, As, Cd, Cu, Fe, Mn	TSS, Al, As, Cd, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mn
WQ-DESS-01	No sample	pH, Al, Cd, Cu, Fe, Mn, Zn	pH, Al, Cd, Cu, Fe, Zn	pH, Al, Cd, Zn
WQ-DESS-02	No sample	No sample	TSS, Al, As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mn, Hg, Ag, Zn	TSS, Al
WQ-DESS-03	No sample	TSS, Al, Cd, Cu	Al, Cd, Cu, Fe,	No sample
WQ-CH-P-13-01	No sample	No sample	No sample	pH, Al, Cd, Mn, Zn
WQ-MS-S-08	No sample	Al, As, Cd, Cu, Fe, Pb, Se, Ag, Zn	TSS, Al, As, Cd, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mn, Hg, Se, Ag, Zn	No sample
WQ-PIT-1 (surface)	F, As, Cd, Cu, Zn	No sample	No sample	As, Cd, Cu, Zn
WQ-PIT-2 (md-depth)	F, As, Cd, Cu, Zn	No sample	No sample	F, As, Cd, Cu, Zn
WQ-PIT-3 (bottom)	F, As, Cd, Cu, Fe, Mn, Zn	No sample	No sample	As, Cd, Cu, Mn, Zn
WQ-TP	NH ₃ , F, As, Cd, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mn, Ag, Zn	Al, As, Cd, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mn, Ag, Zn	F, Al, As, Cd, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mn, Ag, Zn	Al, As, Cd, Cu, Pb, Ag, Zn
WQ-SEEP	NH₃, F, Al, As, Cd, Cu, Fe, Mn	NH₃, Al, As, Cd, Cu, Fe, Mn, Ag	NH₃, Al, As, Cd, Cu, Fe, Mn	NH₃, Al, As, Cd, Cu, Fe, Mn
WQ-VC-U	Al, Cd	TSS, Al, Cd, Cu, Fe	Al, Cu	Al
WQ-VC-DBC	Al, Cd	TSS, Al, As, Cd, Cu, Fe, Pb	Al, Cd, Cu, Fe	Al
WQ-VC-UMN	Al, Cd	TSS, Al, As, Cd, Cu, Fe, Pb	Al, Cu	Al
WQ-VC-R+150 ¹	Cd	TSS, Al, Cd, Cu, Fe	-	-
WQ-VC-R	-	-	Al, Cd, Cu, Fe	Al
WQ-BC	No sample	TSS, Al, As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mn, Hg, Ag, Zn	Al, As, Cd, Cu, Fe	No sample
WQ-PC-U	No sample	Al, Cd, Cu	Al	Al, Cd
WQ-PC-D	No sample	Al, As, Cd, Cu, Fe, Pb, Ag, Zn	Al, Cd, Cu	No sample



Sampling Station		Sampling T	rip Date	
Sampling Station	April 14-15, 2014	May 8-9, 2014	May 20-21, 2014	June 24-26, 2014
WQ-ET-1	No sample	TSS, Al, As, Cd, Cu, Fe, Pb, Ag	No sample	No sample
WQ-MS-S-03	No sample	Al, As, Cd, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mn, Ag, Zn	F, As, Cd, Fe, Mn, Zn	No sample
WQ-L2	No sample	No sample	F, As, Cd	No sample
WQ-NW-SEEP-02	No sample	F, Al, As, Cd, Cu, Fe, Pb, Ag, Zn	No sample	No sample
WQ-ORE	No sample	No sample	TSS, F, Al, As, Cd, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mn, Hg, Ag, Zn	No sample

5.6 Mill Complex Landfill

5.6.1 Objectives and Scope

The objective of the test pitting program was to assess the waste content and depth of the landfill area to better define the scope of the landfill remediation design.

5.6.2 Results

5.6.2.1 Field Observations

The waste dump is located at the southeast side of the mill complex. Mechanical parts, metals, woods, plastic, glass and construction materials were noted at the exposed surface of the waste dump. Three tests pits were completed in the waste dump, which extended to a maximum depth of 5 metres, with soil samples collected from two of the pits. No waste material was identified in the first test pit and therefore no soil samples were collected. The waste material appears to have been historically dumped over the crest of the main road fill, then covered with road grading materials or unwanted fill. As a result, it is suspected that the extent of the landfill is larger than initially anticipated. No liner at the base of the landfill was noted during the test pitting program.

5.6.2.2 Analytical Results

The analytical results from the test pit program are shown in Tables 5.6.2.2-1 to 5.6.2.2-3. The analytical results were compared to the CSR PL standards for metals, VOCs, hydrocarbons and PAHs.

Table 5.6.2.2-1: Landfill Samples - Metal Results

			Parameter	Moisture	рН	Total Cyanide (mg/kg)	Antimony (mg/kg)	Arsenic (mg/kg)	Barium (mg/kg)	Beryllium (mg/kg)	Cadmium (mg/kg)	Chromium (mg/kg)	Cobalt (mg/kg)	Copper (mg/kg)	Lead (mg/kg)	Mercury (mg/kg)	Molybdenum (mg/kg)	Nickel (mg/kg)	Selenium (mg/kg)	Silver (mg/kg)	Thallium (mg/kg)	Tin (mg/kg)	Uranium (mg/kg)	Vanadium (mg/kg)	Zinc (mg/kg)
			Yukon CSR Soils	NA	NA	50	20	20	500	4	2-35	60	50	150	250-500	15	10	100	3	20	NA	50	NA	200	150-450
			Yukon CSR Soils	NA	NA	500	40	20	2,000	8	2-150	60	300	250	250-2,000	150	40	500	10	40	NA	300	NA	NA	150-600
			Detection Limit	0.25	0.1	0.05-1.0	0.1	0.05	0.5	0.2	0.05	0.5	0.1	0.5	0.5	0.05	0.5	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.05	2	0.05	0.2	1
Sample Name	Sample Date	Location	Depth below Surface (m)																						
SED-M-14-TP2 GS@ 0.7M	26-JUL-14	TP-M-14-02	0.7	11.3	7.72	20.1	51.0	618	141	0.31	2.97	16.6	8.41	68.0	297	0.087	11.9	10.3	0.31	14.9	0.308	<2.0	0.419	58.0	290
SED-M-14-TP2 GS@ 3.0M	26-JUL-14	TP-M-14-02	3.0	12.1	7.81	7.31	52.9	520	255	0.35	3.85	185	10.3	169	418	0.073	36.6	100	0.33	58.1	0.351	11.2	0.452	61.1	671
SED-M-14-TP2 GS@ 3.6M	26-JUL-14	TP-M-14-02	3.6	6.7	6.47	<0.050	0.55	9.21	75.2	<0.20	0.083	9.93	3.46	6.23	3.91	<0.050	<0.50	6.13	<0.20	<0.10	0.073	<2.0	0.288	24.7	25.1
SED-M-14-TP2 GS@ 3.7M	26-JUL-14	TP-M-14-02	3.7	41.7	6.71	3.50	8.89	90.5	160	<0.20	0.626	10.7	6.86	27.7	36.2	<0.050	1.16	7.28	<0.20	1.07	0.151	<2.0	0.402	47.5	94.8
SED-M-14-TP3 GS@ 5.0M	26-JUL-14	TP-M-14-03	5.0	11.1	7.43	3.02	674	4,410	145	0.33	30.4	30.6	7.63	575	3,380	0.340	100	13.5	0.69	76.7	1.080	6.7	0.776	39.5	1,830

Notes:

Bold and shaded exceeds CSR PL values

Strong acid digestion method was used on all samples

Yukon CSR - Yukon Contaminated Site Regulation, O.I.C. 2002/171, Generic and matrix numerical soil standards for park land use (PL) Matrix standard used: the most stringent of groundwater flow to surface water used by freshwater aquatic live, human intake of contaminated soil or toxicity to soil invertebrates and plants was used.

Table 5.6.2.2-2: Landfill Samples - Volatile Organic Compound and Hydrocarbon Results

							Vo	latile Orga	nic Compo	unds						Hydroca	arbons		
			Parameter	Moisture	Benze	e Ethylbenzo e	Methyl t- butyl ether (MTBE)	Styrene	Toluene	ortho- Xylene	meta- & para-Xylene	Xylenes	EPH10	-19	EPH19-32	LEPH	НЕРН	Volatile Hydrocarbo ns (VH6-10)	VPH (C6- C10)
		Yul	kon CSR Soils (PL)	NA	10	1	NA	5	1.5	NA	NA	5	NA		NA	1,000	1,000	NA	200
		Yu	kon CSR Soils (IL)	NA	10	20	NA	50	25	NA	NA	50	NA		NA	2,000	5,000	NA	200
			Detection Limit	0.25	0.04	0.05	0.2	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.075	20)	200	200	200	100	100
Sample Name	Sample Date	Location	Depth below																
	•		Surface (m)						•	-									
SED-M-14-TP2 GS@ 0.7M(JAR)	26-JUL-14	TP-M-14-02	0.7	12.5	<0.04	< 0.050	<0.20	<0.050	0.063	<0.050	0.090	0.090	37)	920	370	920	<100	<100
SED-M-14-TP2 GS@ 3.0M(JAR)	26-JUL-14	TP-M-14-02	3.0	13.1	<0.04) <0.050	<0.20	<0.050	0.095	0.051	0.122	0.173	1,61	0	5,090	1,610	5,090	<100	<100
SED-M-14-TP3 GS@ 5.0M(JAR)	26-JUL-14	TP-M-14-03	5.0	10.8	< 0.04) <0.050	<0.20	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.075	<20	0	2,730	<200	2,730	<100	<100

Notes:

Bold and shaded exceeds CSR PL values

Yukon CSR - Yukon Contaminated Site Regulation, O.I.C. 2002/171, Generic and matrix numerical soil standards for park land use (PL) and industrial land use (IL) Matrix standard used: the most stringent of groundwater flow to surface water used by freshwater aquatic live, human intake of contaminated soil or toxicity to soil invertebrates and plants was used.

Table 5.6.2.2-3: Landfill Samples - Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon Results

											Po	olycyclic Arom	natic Hydroca	rbons							
	Param	eter		Moisture	Acenaphthene	Acenaphthylene	Anthracene	Benz(a)anth racene	Benzo(a)pyr ene	Benzo(b)flu oranthene	Benzo(g,h,i) perylene	Benzo(k)flu oranthene	Chrysene	Dibenz(a,h) anthracene	Fluoranthen e	Fluorene	Indeno(1,2,3 c,d)pyrene	2- Methylnaph thalene	Naphthalen e	Phenanthre ne	Pyrene
		Y	ukon CSR Soils (PL)	NA	NA	NA	NA	1	1	1	NA	1	NA	1	NA	NA	1	NA	5	5	10
		Y	ukon CSR Soils (IL)		NA	NA	NA	10	10	10	NA	10	NA	10	NA	NA	10	NA	50	50	100
			Detection Limit	0.25	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05
Sample Name	Sample Date	Location	Depth below Surface (m)																		
SED-M-14-TP2 GS@ 0.7M(JAR)	26-JUL-14	TP-M-14-02	0.7	12.5	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	0.148	0.073	0.053	0.131
SED-M-14-TP2 GS@ 3.0M(JAR)	26-JUL-14	TP-M-14-02	3.0	13.1	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	0.053	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	0.159	0.121	<0.060	0.368
SED-M-14-TP3 GS@ 5.0M(JAR)	26-JUL-14	TP-M-14-03	5.0	10.8	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050

Notes:

Bold and shaded exceeds CSR PL values

Yukon CSR - Yukon Contaminated Site Regulation, O.I.C. 2002/171, Generic and matrix numerical soil standards for park land use (PL)

Matrix standard used: the most stringent of groundwater flow to surface water used by freshwater aquatic live, human intake of contaminated soil or toxicity to soil invertebrates and plants was used.



Test pit TP-M-14-02 had elevated metals above the PL standards for antimony, arsenic and molybdenum at both 0.7 m and 3.0 m depths (Table 5.6.2.2-1). The 3.0 m sample also had elevated chromium, copper and silver concentrations. The sample at 3.6 m depth had no metal exceedances for the CSR PL standards; however, the 3.7 m sample had an elevated arsenic concentration of 90.5 mg/kg. For reference, the CSR PL standard for arsenic is 20 mg/kg.

The single sample for test pit TP-M-14-03 had elevated metal concentrations for antimony, arsenic, cadmium, copper, lead, molybdenum, silver and zinc (Table 5.6.2.2-1). Note that this sample was collected from soil overlying a concrete slab and native soil below the concrete slab could not be reached.

All samples were less than the applicable CSR PL standards for VOCs (Table 5.6.2.2-2).

Test pit TP-M-14-02 had two samples submitted for hydrocarbon analysis (Table 5.6.2.2-2). All hydrocarbon parameters for the sample at 0.7 m were less than the applicable CSR PL standards. At a depth of 3.0 m, the light extractable petroleum hydrocarbon (LEPH) concentration was 1,610 mg/kg as compared to the CSR PL standard of 1,000 mg/kg. The heavy extractable petroleum hydrocarbon (HEPH) concentration was 5,090 mg/kg as compared to the CSR PL standard of 1,000 mg/kg. Note that the industrial land use (IL) standards for LEPH and HEPH are 2,000 mg/kg and 5,000 mg/kg, respectively.

The single sample for test pit TP-M-14-03 had a HEPH concentration of 2,730 mg/kg (Table 5.6.2.2-2). The LEPH and volatile hydrocarbon results were both less than the method detection limit.

All samples were less than the applicable CSR PL standards for PAHs (Table 5.6.2.2-3).

5.7 Waste Rock/Tailings

5.7.1 Objectives and Scope

The main objective of the geochemical scope of work for waste rock and tailings was to improve the characterization of the waste rock and tailings drainage quality. This information will be used to refine the source terms for waste rock and tailings used in the water quality predictions.

The kinetic testing conducted on non-PAG waste rock samples will confirm source terms for non-PAG rock that is expected to be used as construction material or that will be left on surface following remediation activities. The leachate sampling from the field bins will supplement the existing database for tailings seepage, waste rock and ore runoff water quality.

5.7.2 Results

The kinetic testing program was started during the week of August 25, 2014. The tests will run until leachate concentrations stabilize and will be used during the Phase 2 design.



The leachate quality results for the field bins are presented in Table 5.7.2-1. There are no guidelines or standards applicable to the samples from the leachate bins and therefore there are no exceedances to highlight. The ore field bin had the highest results for parameters such as conductivity, hardness, sulphate, arsenic and zinc, while the tailings sand field bin had the lowest. The pH results were lowest for the ore field bin and highest for the tailings sand field bin. The iron concentration was highest for the waste rock field bin.

5.8 Exploration Trenches and Dome Creek

5.8.1 Objectives and Scope

The scope of the 2014 SI program for reclamation was to further assess the Dome Creek Valley and TSF for special habitat features, and to further refine the understanding of the characteristics of the exploration trenches identified for reclamation in Phase 1. The trenches proposed to be reclaimed are limited to those within the OIC boundary that are not subject to a current mining claim. AAM identified active claim areas within the OIC boundary prior to the field survey. AAM also identified a number of attributes that were considered important (see Methods Section 4.5).

The SI program pertaining to reclamation had the following three specific objectives:

- .1 Identify special habitat features in the Dome Creek Valley and TSF area to target placement of vegetation patches for reclamation;
- .2 Improve the inventory and evaluation of exploration trenches selected for reclamation during Phase 1, based on refined rationales and the OIC boundary within an area not subject to a current mining claim; and
- .3 Document the rationale for excluding trenches from selection for reclamation.

5.8.2 Results

5.8.2.1 Reclamation Observations and Ranking

Vegetation cover and landscape features observed within the Dome Creek Valley are relatively uniform, without obvious special features that could be linked to specific vegetation patch placement. Dome Creek Valley is dominated by an alternating tall-shrub to low-shrub willow community, with a braided channel. Substrates are consistently sandy, and channel widths range from 0.3 m to 1 m wide. The reclamation prescription for vegetation patch placement recommended in Phase 1 (AMEC, 2014b) requires no additional updating; however, channel design should mimic a more braided morphology which observes the natural morphology currently exhibited in the valley.

Table 5.7.2-1:Leachate Field Bin Testing Results

	FIELD BIN - WASTE ROCK	FIELD BIN - ORE	FIELD BIN - TAILINGS +	FIELD BIN - TAILINGS
Parameters	24 1 4 4	24 14 4 4	ORGANIC	SAND
	24-Jul-14	24-Jul-14	24-Jul-14	24-Jul-14
	L1493185-1	L1493185-2	L1493185-3	L1493185-4
Physical Tests				
Conductivity (μS/cm)	2300	2700	2560	1690
Hardness (as CaCO3)	1740	2120	1790	1130
рН	7.58	6.20	7.26	8.46
Anions and Nutrients				
Acidity (as CaCO3) (mg/L)	4.7	11.9	19.8	<1.0
Chloride (Cl) (mg/L)	22.5	21.0	93.2	26.5
Eluoride (E) (mg/L)	<0.40	<0.40	<0.40	0.36
Nitrate (as N) (mg/L)	<0.10	<0.10	0.10	< 0.050
Nitrite (as N) (mg/L)	<0.020	<0.020	<0.020	<0.010
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (mg/L)	0.276	0.230	0.493	0.156
Sulphate (SO4) (mg/L)	1610	1970	1770	1090
Total Metals				
Aluminum (Al) (mg/L)	0.274	0.046	0.064	<0.010
Antimony (Sb) (mg/L)	0.00364	0.0262	0.0124	0.0415
Barium (Ba) (mg/L)	0.0224	0.0713	0.0110	0.0020
Bervllium (Be) (mg/L)	<0.022	<0.020	<0.020	<0.030
Boron (B) (mg/L)	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10
Cadmium (Cd) (mg/L)	0.00529	0.0212	0.0105	0.00097
Calcium (Ca) (mg/L)	438	362	540	368
Chromium (Cr) (mg/L)	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010
Cobalt (Co) (mg/L)	<0.00050	<0.00050	0.00432	0.00557
Copper (Cu) (mg/L)	0.0092	0.0087	0.0059	0.0013
Iron (Fe) (mg/L)	1.04	0.153	0.193	<0.030
Lead (Pb) (mg/L)	0.0152	0.0090	0.0048	<0.0010
Magnesium (Mg) (mg/L)	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050
Magnesium (Mg) (mg/L)	0.098	0.297	2.06	40.3
Mercury (Hg) (mg/L)	<0.00020	<0.00020	<0.00020	<0.0020
Molybdenum (Mo) (mg/L)	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010
Nickel (Ni) (mg/L)	<0.0050	<0.0050	0.0099	<0.0050
Selenium (Se) (mg/L)	<0.0020	<0.0020	<0.0020	<0.0020
Silver (Ag) (mg/L)	0.000405	0.000335	0.000101	<0.000050
Sodium (Na) (mg/L)	<2.0	<2.0	4.7	<2.0
Thallium (TI) (mg/L)	<0.00020	<0.00020	0.00022	<0.00020
Hranium (H) (mg/L)	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050
Vanadium (V) (mg/L)	<0.030	<0.030	<0.030	< 0.030
Zinc (Zn) (mg/L)	0.186	1.95	0.733	0.0170
Dissolved Metals				
Aluminum (Al) (mg/L)	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010
Antimony (Sb) (mg/L)	0.00121	0.0251	0.0120	0.0418
Arsenic (As) (mg/L)	0.0019	0.0607	0.0034	0.0017
Barium (Ba) (mg/L)	<0.020	<0.020	0.024	0.029
Boron (B) (mg/L)	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050
Cadmium (Cd) (mg/L)	<0.10	<0.10 0.0200	<0.10	0.10
Calcium (Ca) (mg/L)	457	368	564	374
Chromium (Cr) (mg/L)	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010
Cobalt (Co) (mg/L)	<0.00050	< 0.00050	0.00466	0.00502
Copper (Cu) (mg/L)	0.0018	0.0062	0.0049	0.0011
Iron (Fe) (mg/L)	<0.030	<0.030	0.113	<0.030
Lead (Pb) (mg/L)	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010
Lithium (Li) (mg/L)	< 0.050	< 0.050	<0.050	< 0.050
Iviagnesium (IVIg) (mg/L)	145	290	92.6	48.0
Mercury (Hg) (mg/L)	<0.000	<0.295	∠.03 <0.00020	
Molybdenum (Mo) (mg/L)	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010
Nickel (Ni) (mg/L)	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	0.0099	<0.0050
Selenium (Se) (mg/L)	<0.0020	<0.0020	<0.0020	<0.0020
Silver (Ag) (mg/L)	<0.000050	<0.000050	<0.000050	<0.000050
Sodium (Na) (mg/L)	<2.0	<2.0	4.5	<2.0
Thallium (TI) (mg/L)	<0.00020	<0.00020	0.00023	<0.00020
Titanium (Ti) (mg/L)	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050
Uranium (U) (mg/L)	0.00022	<0.00020	0.00025	0.00032
Zinc (Zn) (mg/L)	<0.030	<0.030 1 Q1	<0.030 0.842	<0.030



The exploration trenches recommended for reclamation are listed in Table 5.8.2.1-1. These trenches were selected based on the results of the attribute ranking (Section 4.5.2). Trenches slated for reclamation generally ranked highest within the southern trench area due to their high visibility, ease of access, erosion evidence, sediment transport to Dome Creek, and their location within a wildlife corridor identified in Phase 1. Trenches selected for reclamation ranked from 7 to 16. In some cases, reclamation is not required for the entire length of the trench; only continuous portions that were judged to rank highly require reclamation at this time (Figures 4.5.1-1 and 4.5.1-2).

The rationale for excluding trenches from reclamation was based on the following criteria:

- Do not satisfy criteria that AAM has identified (i.e. erosion potential, physical stability, ease of access for reclamation, barriers to wildlife movements, human health and safety risks, and aesthetics);
- Difficult to access and could cause more environmental harm to reclaim than not;
- Inside the OIC boundary but also within a current mining claim;
- Not within or near a wildlife corridor;
- Low or no erosion evidence or potential; and
- Not causing human health concerns (i.e. transport of sediment into Dome Creek).

Trenches that were proposed for reclamation in Phase 1 but do not meet these defined criteria above were removed from the reclamation plan. These deleted trench areas are highlighted in orange in Figures 4.5.1-1 and 4.5.1-2. The trench areas selected for reclamation are highlighted green in the figures. A proposed trench reclamation schedule and a potential reclamation vegetation trial location are described in the site characterization update in Sections 6.12 and 6.13.



Table 5.8.2.1-1: Trench Areas Selected for Reclamation

Trench/ Access Road	Waypoint	Slope (Deg)	Aspect	Erosion	Access	Wildlife Barrier	Aesthetics	Vegetation Cover	Rank
Access Rd B	308	N/A	N/A	Extreme	Fair	Major	High Visibility	Bare	16
Access Rd D	N/A	N/A	N/A	High	Good	Minor	High Visibility	Bare	14
ST 3	234	8	68	Minor	Good	No	High Visibility	Moderate	9
ST 3	235	6	68	Minor	Good	No	High Visibility	Moderate	9
ST 3	236	13	68	Minor	Good	No	High Visibility	Moderate	9
ST 3	237	Level	70	None	Good	No	High Visibility	Sparse	7
ST 4	248	10	68	Minor	Good	Minor	Low Visibility	Dense	9
ST 4	249	N/A	68	Minor	Good	Minor	Low Visibility	Dense	9
ST 5	260	N/A	N/A	High	Good	Minor	High Visibility	Sparse	14
ST 5	261	15	72	High	Good	No	High Visibility	Bare	13
ST 5	262	N/A	N/A	High	Good	No	High Visibility	Sparse	13
ST 5	263	8	70	High	Good	No	High Visibility	Sparse	13
ST 5	264	Crest	Level	Moderate	Good	No	Moderate Visibility	Sparse	10
ST 9	273	15	90	Moderate	Fair	No	High Visibility	Sparse	9
ST 10	296	15	78	Minor	Good	Moderate	High Visibility	Sparse	11
ST 10	297	15	88	Minor	Good	No	High Visibility	Sparse	9
ST 11/10	295	14	86	None	Good	No	High Visibility	Bare	7
ST 11	298	N/A	N/A	Minor	Good	No	High Visibility	Sparse	9
ST 11/12	294	10	60	None	Good	No	High Visibility	Bare	7
ST 12	290	6	90	None	Good	No	High Visibility	Sparse	7
ST 12	291	N/A	N/A	None	Good	No	High Visibility	Bare	7
ST 13	292	6	60	None	Good	Major	Moderate Visibility	Moderate	9
ST 13	293	10	44	None	Good	Moderate	Moderate Visibility	Moderate	8



5.8.2.2 Reclamation Constructability Observations

The following observations were made by AMEC during the site trench visual reconnaissance:

- No visual signs of trench global instability were noted.
- Some of the site trenches were as deep as 3 m (approximately), and in some areas the slopes of the side walls were too steep to climb.
- During the winter season, snow drift may fill the deeper trenches.

5.9 Background Samples

5.9.1 Objectives and Scope

The objectives of the background soil sampling program were to:

- Enhance the historical background geochemical soil database for metal concentrations; and
- Provide a basis for comparison of impacted areas (predominantly in the Mill Complex) to undisturbed soils using the CSR soil standards.

Analyses for pH and metals were conducted on selected native soil samples collected from the geotechnical boreholes located in the Mill Complex and Camp Area and adjacent to the Tailings Storage Facility. The results of the current samples will be compiled during the design phase with the results from the previous exploration geochemistry and soil sampling programs for a thorough review of upper range limits of metal in the background soil.

5.9.2 Results

5.9.2.1 Strong Acid Digestion

The background samples compared to the CSR park land (PL) standards are shown in Table 5.9.2.1-1. The industrial land (IL) standards are included on the table for reference.

Two samples exceeded the CSR PL standard for antimony of 20 mg/kg, both from borehole BH-T-14-17 on the south side of the Tailings Storage Facility. The sample from a depth of 2 m was 20.6 mg/kg, while the sample from 3 m depth was 30.6 mg/kg. Note that the CSR IL standard for antimony is 40 mg/kg.

Eleven of 26 samples had elevated arsenic concentrations, ranging from 27.7 mg/kg at BH-T-14-06 at 1 m depth to 249 mg/kg at BH-C-14-01 at 3 m depth. The average of all 26 samples was 53.8 mg/kg, while the median was only 9.2 mg/kg. The 95th percentile of all samples was 210 mg/kg. Both the CSR PL and IL standards for arsenic are 20 mg/kg.

Table 5.9.2.1-1: Background Soil Samples - CSR Metal Results

	Param	neter		рН	Antimony (mg/kg)	Arsenic (mg/kg)	Barium (mg/kg)	Beryllium (mg/kg)	Cadmium (mg/kg)	Chromium (mg/kg)	Cobalt (mg/kg)	Copper (mg/kg)	Lead (mg/kg)	Mercury (mg/kg)	Molybdenum (mg/kg)	Nickel (mg/kg)	Selenium (mg/kg)	Silver (mg/kg)	Thallium (mg/kg)	Tin (mg/kg)	Uranium (mg/kg)	Vanadium (mg/kg)	Zinc (mg/kg)
	Yukon CSR	Soils (PL)		NA	20	20	500	4	2-35	60	50	150	250-500	15	10	100	3	20	NA	50	NA	200	150-450
	Yukon CSR	Soils (IL)		NA	40	20	2,000	8	2-150	60	300	250	250-2,000	150	40	500	10	40	NA	300	NA	NA	150-600
	Detectio	n Limit		0.1	0.1	0.05	0.5	0.2	0.05	0.5	0.1	0.5	0.5	0.05	0.5	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.05	2	0.05	0.2	1
Sample Name	Sample Date	Location	Depth below Surface (m)																				
BH-T-14-01 GS1M	27-Jul-2014	BH-T-14-01	1.0	6.50	0.26	4.42	68	<0.20	0.065	11.1	3.54	7.25	3.17	<0.050	<0.50	7.24	<0.20	<0.10	<0.050	<2.0	0.350	26.2	22.2
BH-T-14-01 GS3M	27-Jul-2014	BH-T-14-01	3.0	7.23	0.29	4.11	66	<0.20	0.056	9.88	3.05	6.27	2.53	<0.050	<0.50	6.05	<0.20	<0.10	<0.050	<2.0	0.345	25.3	20.1
BH-T-14-01 GS4M	27-Jul-2014	BH-T-14-01	4.0	8.79	0.31	4.41	64	0.20	0.058	13.7	3.43	6.68	2.72	<0.050	<0.50	6.30	<0.20	<0.10	<0.050	<2.0	0.495	30.1	20.7
BH-T-14-02 GS2M	27-Jul-2014	BH-T-14-02	2.0	6.86	0.16	4.37	81	<0.20	0.064	12.0	3.62	7.80	2.48	<0.050	<0.50	7.50	<0.20	<0.10	<0.050	<2.0	0.381	26.0	23.6
BH-T-14-02 GS3M	27-Jul-2014	BH-T-14-02	3.0	6.65	0.18	4.69	77	0.23	0.066	13.0	3.92	8.14	2.71	<0.050	<0.50	8.05	<0.20	<0.10	<0.050	<2.0	0.421	28.6	23.8
BH-T-14-06 GS1M	26-Jul-2014	BH-T-14-06	1.0	5.93	0.95	27.7	114	0.26	0.448	21.1	4.11	15.6	23.9	<0.050	1.15	13.8	0.72	0.14	0.121	<2.0	0.666	52.6	75.4
BH-T-14-06 GS2M	26-Jul-2014	BH-T-14-06	2.0	6.28	0.53	9.08	59	<0.20	0.171	10.7	3.13	6.98	4.47	<0.050	<0.50	7.65	0.21	<0.10	<0.050	<2.0	0.420	29.2	30.9
BH-T-14-06 GS4.6M	26-Jul-2014	BH-T-14-06	4.6	6.60	0.38	7.28	53	<0.20	0.144	9.79	3.05	6.82	4.43	<0.050	<0.50	6.99	<0.20	<0.10	<0.050	<2.0	0.333	24.9	27.0
BH-T-14-07 GS2M	26-Jul-2014	BH-T-14-07	2.0	6.63	0.82	9.40	45	<0.20	0.116	9.37	2.92	5.94	3.58	<0.050	<0.50	5.99	<0.20	<0.10	<0.050	<2.0	0.305	26.9	28.6
BH-T-14-07 GS4M	26-Jul-2014	BH-T-14-07	4.0	8.65	0.38	5.91	44	<0.20	0.072	7.74	2.64	5.82	2.66	<0.050	<0.50	5.11	<0.20	<0.10	<0.050	<2.0	0.327	21.9	18.5
BH-T-14-08 GS2M	26-Jul-2014	BH-T-14-08	2.0	7.19	0.46	6.01	37	<0.20	0.068	7.97	2.63	4.76	2.73	<0.050	<0.50	4.70	<0.20	<0.10	<0.050	<2.0	0.292	20.7	20.1
BH-T-14-08 GS3M	26-Jul-2014	BH-T-14-08	3.0	7.37	0.41	6.41	35	<0.20	0.078	8.13	2.90	4.56	3.29	<0.050	<0.50	4.58	<0.20	<0.10	<0.050	<2.0	0.323	23.7	20.1
BH-T-14-09 GS1M	26-Jul-2014	BH-T-14-09	1.0	5.99	0.30	4.50	57	<0.20	0.053	9.09	2.55	4.74	2.89	<0.050	<0.50	5.41	<0.20	<0.10	<0.050	<2.0	0.337	21.4	19.2
BH-T-14-09 GS2M	26-Jul-2014	BH-T-14-09	2.0	7.84	0.68	17.5	68	<0.20	0.169	12.0	3.43	8.60	5.26	<0.050	<0.50	8.06	<0.20	<0.10	0.051	<2.0	0.427	27.3	36.0
BH-T-14-17 GS2M	24-Jul-2014	BH-T-14-17	2.0	6.44	20.6	145	94	0.24	1.59	11.3	3.20	40.3	88.4	<0.050	<0.50	6.90	<0.20	2.67	0.103	<2.0	0.552	29.4	120
BH-T-14-17 GS3M	24-Jul-2014	BH-T-14-17	3.0	6.62	30.6	211	77	<0.20	2.13	10.6	3.31	42.8	129	<0.050	<0.50	6.48	<0.20	3.79	0.118	<2.0	0.458	29.3	164
BH-C-14-01 GS1M	26-Jul-2014	BH-C-14-01	1.0	7.38	13.2	116	146	0.48	0.587	29.0	7.79	29.6	39.1	<0.050	0.81	13.9	<0.20	<0.50	0.300	<2.0	0.751	52.6	111
BH-C-14-01 GS3M	26-Jul-2014	BH-C-14-01	3.0	7.69	15.5	249	165	0.43	0.637	30.5	8.51	26.1	28.4	<0.050	1.05	13.6	0.20	<0.40	0.273	<2.0	0.807	49.4	103
BH-C-14-02 GS1M	26-Jul-2014	BH-C-14-02	1.0	7.72	0.28	3.86	429	<0.20	0.079	12.8	21.2	112	2.13	<0.050	<0.50	6.20	<0.20	<0.30	0.275	<2.0	0.586	193	87.2
BH-C-14-02 GS2M	26-Jul-2014	BH-C-14-02	2.0	7.85	0.31	3.78	457	<0.20	0.077	12.8	19.6	86.7	1.97	<0.050	<0.50	5.47	<0.20	<0.20	0.238	<2.0	0.585	180	85.9
BH-C-14-03 GS2M	26-Jul-2014	BH-C-14-03	2.0	7.99	10.1	205	293	0.34	0.849	31.7	13.1	39.4	23.4	0.055	1.27	16.1	0.21	<0.50	0.370	<2.0	0.538	69.8	138
BH-C-14-03 GS3M	26-Jul-2014	BH-C-14-03	3.0	7.92	5.78	133	265	0.33	0.648	41.5	13.0	40.7	18.1	<0.050	1.01	14.7	<0.20	0.33	0.343	<2.0	0.639	81.5	127
BH-C-14-04 GS2M	26-Jul-2014	BH-C-14-04	2.0	7.92	3.98	67.6	661	0.42	0.260	37.4	16.6	35.3	12.0	<0.050	0.56	11.5	<0.20	0.17	0.363	<2.0	0.497	124	106
BH-C-14-04 GS4M	26-Jul-2014	BH-C-14-04	4.0	7.83	5.25	73.7	293	0.32	0.390	28.2	15.2	41.2	19.7	<0.050	0.66	11.3	0.22	0.22	0.289	<2.0	0.581	118	103
BH-M-14-05 GS1M	26-Jul-2014	BH-C-14-05	1.0	8.38	15.5	28.6	20	0.57	2.56	7.20	7.68	39.7	27.9	<0.050	<0.50	2.91	<0.20	0.42	0.123	<2.0	0.374	26.1	212
BH-M-14-05 GS2M	26-Jul-2014	BH-C-14-05	2.0	8.26	16.5	47.1	173	1.15	1.29	21.4	15.6	52.4	52.7	0.051	<0.50	13.0	0.56	0.78	0.246	<2.0	0.484	68.3	177
		-	-						a			• • •	10.0			.				• •	aa		
Average				7.33	5.5	53.8	151	0.41	0.49	16.5	7.30	26.4	19.6	0.053	0.93	8.44	0.35	1.07	0.230	<2.0	0.472	54.1	/3.9
Median				7.38	0.6	9.2	/6.6	0.34	0.16	12.0	3.58	12.1	4.5	0.053	1.01	/.12	0.22	0.38	0.260	<2.0	0.443	29.3	55.7
95th Percentile				8.58	19.6	210	450	0.83	2.00	36.0	18.9	/8.1	/9.5	0.055	1.23	14.5	0.68	3.40	0.365	<2.0	0.730	166.0	1/4

Notes:

Bold and shaded exceeds CSR PL values

Strong acid digestion method was used on all samples Yukon CSR - Yukon Contaminated Site Regulation, O.I.C. 2002/171, Generic and matrix numerical soil standards for park land use (PL) Matrix standard used: the most stringent of groundwater flow to surface water used by freshwater aquatic live, human intake of contaminated soil or toxicity to soil invertebrates and plants was used.



One sample from the Camp Area (BH-C-14-04 at 2 m) had an elevated barium concentration of 661 mg/kg as compared to the CSR PL standard of 500 mg/kg. The CSR IL standard for barium is 2,000 mg/kg.

The sample from BH-T-14-17 at 3 m depth had a cadmium concentration of 2.13, which slightly exceeded the matrix numerical standard of 2 mg/kg for a soil pH less than 7.0.

All other background soil sample concentrations were less than the applicable CSR PL standards.

5.9.2.2 Aqua Regia Digestion

The aqua regia digestion method metal results for background soil samples were evaluated against average elemental crustal compositions that are cited from Guidelines and Recommended Methods of ML/ARD (Price, 1997). For comparative purposes, samples with more than ten times average crustal content for each element were considered to be enriched in that element. Results from the aqua regia digestion testing methods are presented in Table 5.9.2.2-1.

In general, metal testing results obtained from both the strong acid and aqua regia digestion methods were comparable. Antimony, arsenic, antimony, bismuth, cadmium and selenium are the metal parameters that were considered enriched based on the elemental crustal composition.

Antimony concentrations ranged from 0.17 to 30.7 mg/kg with a median value of 0.9 mg/kg. Ten samples had antimony contents above the ten times average crustal abundance of 2 mg/kg.

Arsenic concentrations ranged from 4.2 to 209 mg/kg. Thirteen of 26 samples had enriched arsenic concentrations. This included six samples from the Camp Area (BH-C-14-01 at 1 m and 3 m depth, BH-C-14-03 at 2 m and 3 m depth, and BH-C-14-04 at 2 m and 4 m depth), five samples from the Tailings Storage Facility (BH-T-14-06 at 3 m depth, BH-T-14-08 at 3 m depth, BH-T-14-09 at 2 m depth, and BH-T-14-17 at 2 m and 3 m depth), and two samples from the Mill Complex (BH-M-14-05 at 1 m and 2 m depth).

Two samples from the Tailings Storage Facility (BH-T-14-17 at 1 m and 3 m depth) and two samples from the Mill Complex (BH-M-14-05 at 1 m and 2 m depth) were enriched in bismuth and cadmium. In addition, the two Mill Complex samples had enriched selenium concentrations. Two samples from the Camp Area (BH-C-14-02 at 1 m depth and BH-C-14-03 at 2 m depth) and one sample from the Tailings Storage Facility (BH-T-14-06 at 3 m depth) were also enriched in selenium. In total 16 samples had enriched bismuth concentrations.

Table 5.9.2.2-1: Background Soil Samples - Aqua Regia Metal Results

					1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1		1		1	1	1	1	1	1			1	1	1		·	7
			Parameter	Aluminum	Antimony	Arsenic	Barium	Beryllium	Bismuth	Boron	Calcium	Cadmium	Cerium	Cesium	Chromium	Cobalt	Copper	Gallium	Germanium	Gold	Hafnium	Inadium	Iron (%)	Lanthanum	Lithium	Lead	Magnesium	Manganese	Mercury	Molybdenum	Nickel
				(%)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(%)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)		(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(%)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)
			Average Concent	8.23	0.2	1.8	425	3	0.0085	10	4.15	0.15	66.5	ND	102	25	60	19	1.5	0.004	3	0.25	5.63	39	20	14	2.33	950	85	1.2	84
			Ten Times Avera	82.3	2	18	4250	30	0.085	100	41.5	1.5	665	ND	1020	250	600	190	15	0.04	30	2.5	56.3	390	200	140	23.3	9500	850	12	840
			Detection Limit	NA	0.1	0.05	0.5	0.2	NA	NA	NA	0.05	NA	NA	0.5	0.1	0.5	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.5	NA	NA	0.05	0.5	0.5
Sample Name	Sample Date	Location	Depth below Surface (m)																												
BH-T-14-01 GS1M	27-Jul-2014	BH-T-14-01	1.0	0.81	0.55	12.6	90	0.19	0.05	<10	0.31	0.08	19.75	0.56	14	3.9	9.6	2.66	< 0.05	<0.2	0.02	0.012	1.3	10.1	5	3.3	0.25	187	< 0.01	0.36	8.5
BH-T-14-01 GS3M	27-Jul-2014	BH-T-14-01	3.0	0.63	0.26	4.4	90	0.17	0.07	<10	0.34	0.08	19.95	0.51	11	3.3	7.3	2.25	< 0.05	<0.2	0.06	0.011	1.21	10.4	4.1	3.1	0.23	172	0.01	0.3	6.9
BH-T-14-01 GS4M	27-Jul-2014	BH-T-14-01	4.0	0.63	0.33	4.7	100	0.18	0.06	<10	0.56	0.08	21.2	0.53	14	3.8	7.7	2.26	< 0.05	<0.2	0.1	0.012	1.28	11.1	4.2	3.6	0.26	168	0.01	0.31	7.2
BH-T-14-02 GS2M	27-Jul-2014	BH-T-14-02	2.0	0.81	0.19	4.6	100	0.22	0.07	<10	0.4	0.08	19.05	0.57	13	4	9.6	2.67	< 0.05	<0.2	0.04	0.011	1.23	9.9	5.6	3	0.29	195	0.01	0.25	8.7
BH-T-14-02 GS3M	27-Jul-2014	BH-T-14-02	3.0	0.86	0.17	4.2	90	0.19	0.06	<10	0.37	0.06	17	0.73	15	3.8	8.3	2.6	< 0.05	<0.2	0.02	0.009	1.31	9.2	5.3	2.8	0.28	185	0.01	0.27	8
BH-T-14-06 GS1M	26-Jul-2014	BH-T-14-06	1.0	0.97	1.42	72.6	140	0.3	0.15	<10	0.31	0.58	23	1.23	21	5	19.6	3.32	< 0.05	<0.2	0.02	0.024	1.96	13.1	6.8	106	0.36	255	0.01	1.91	17.6
BH-T-14-06 GS2M	26-Jul-2014	BH-T-14-06	2.0	0.72	0.52	12.7	120	0.19	0.08	<10	0.3	0.26	19.2	0.83	15	3.7	9.7	2.51	< 0.05	<0.2	0.02	0.014	1.37	10.7	4.9	7.4	0.27	209	0.01	0.61	9.6
BH-T-14-06 GS4.6M	26-Jul-2014	BH-T-14-06	4.6	0.69	0.44	9.4	110	0.18	0.09	<10	0.34	0.19	17.2	0.69	14	3.6	8.8	2.23	< 0.05	<0.2	0.02	0.011	1.38	9.7	4.5	5.9	0.25	204	0.01	0.5	8.4
BH-T-14-07 GS2M	26-Jul-2014	BH-T-14-07	2.0	0.61	0.85	15.6	100	0.17	0.07	<10	0.3	0.16	17.9	0.65	12	3.4	8.9	2.13	< 0.05	<0.2	0.02	0.01	1.38	9.9	4	6.1	0.21	240	0.01	0.49	7.2
BH-T-14-07 GS4M	26-Jul-2014	BH-T-14-07	4.0	0.55	0.59	9.1	110	0.15	0.07	<10	0.41	0.11	18.2	0.59	10	3.1	7.2	1.91	< 0.05	<0.2	0.07	0.008	1.26	10.2	3.8	4.3	0.21	194	0.01	0.42	6.4
BH-T-14-08 GS2M	26-Jul-2014	BH-T-14-08	2.0	0.53	0.94	8.2	100	0.17	0.06	<10	0.27	0.1	18.5	0.58	10	3.3	6.3	1.86	< 0.05	<0.2	0.06	0.01	1.18	10.2	3.6	4.6	0.18	182	0.01	0.38	6
BH-T-14-08 GS3M	26-Jul-2014	BH-T-14-08	3.0	0.52	0.66	25	90	0.16	0.09	<10	0.28	0.16	18.25	0.59	10	3.8	6.7	1.89	< 0.05	<0.2	0.08	0.009	1.35	9.9	3.6	6.4	0.19	208	0.01	0.42	7.4
BH-T-14-09 GS1M	26-Jul-2014	BH-T-14-09	1.0	0.63	0.32	5.5	90	0.15	0.06	<10	0.25	0.08	15.55	0.67	13	3	6.3	2.08	< 0.05	<0.2	0.02	0.01	1.1	8.7	4.5	3.5	0.2	129	< 0.01	0.36	6.6
BH-T-14-09 GS2M	26-Jul-2014	BH-T-14-09	2.0	0.67	1.3	35.6	110	0.23	0.14	<10	0.36	0.28	21.9	1.24	13	4.5	11	2.26	< 0.05	<0.2	0.02	0.016	1.57	12.6	4.7	10.3	0.25	280	0.01	0.76	10.7
BH-T-14-17 GS2M	24-Jul-2014	BH-T-14-17	2.0	0.8	23.6	172	120	0.24	2.96	<10	0.54	1.93	21.8	0.86	14	3.6	43.7	2.66	< 0.05	<0.2	<0.02	0.048	1.57	12.7	5	111.5	0.25	281	0.05	0.52	7.9
BH-T-14-17 GS3M	24-Jul-2014	BH-T-14-17	3.0	0.71	27.3	198	100	0.23	3.35	<10	0.51	2.25	20.8	0.75	12	3.7	48.9	2.46	< 0.05	0.2	<0.02	0.05	1.56	12.3	4.4	123.5	0.23	292	0.05	0.54	7.6
BH-C-14-01 GS1M	26-Jul-2014	BH-C-14-01	1.0	1.11	8.63	97.9	160	0.4	0.31	<10	0.41	0.55	25.7	2.24	23	7.3	27.1	3.86	0.05	<0.2	0.15	0.034	2.3	14	6.9	42.4	0.34	321	0.04	0.7	12
BH-C-14-01 GS3M	26-Jul-2014	BH-C-14-01	3.0	1.01	8.41	209	190	0.35	0.24	<10	0.39	0.62	27.3	1.92	30	8	24.6	3.39	< 0.05	<0.2	0.2	0.033	2.87	14.4	6.5	28.8	0.35	758	0.03	0.95	13.6
BH-C-14-02 GS1M	26-Jul-2014	BH-C-14-02	1.0	3.42	0.35	5	490	0.2	0.16	<10	0.73	0.09	24.4	4.73	16	21.2	113	11.05	0.12	<0.2	0.03	0.051	5.46	11.8	31.8	3.2	2.67	517	< 0.01	0.19	6.4
BH-C-14-02 GS2M	26-Jul-2014	BH-C-14-02	2.0	3.09	0.31	5.6	480	0.19	0.09	<10	0.7	0.08	24	4.52	13	19.8	82.9	10.2	0.12	<0.2	0.03	0.048	4.96	11.8	30.3	3	2.39	558	< 0.01	0.26	5.8
BH-C-14-03 GS2M	26-Jul-2014	BH-C-14-03	2.0	1.59	6.19	194.5	340	0.37	0.16	<10	0.62	0.9	28.3	3.84	33	13.6	43.6	5.52	0.08	<0.2	0.26	0.034	3.77	16.1	12.6	28.6	0.74	1380	0.06	1.33	17.5
BH-C-14-03 GS3M	26-Jul-2014	BH-C-14-03	3.0	1.57	4.6	151	270	0.28	0.11	<10	0.67	0.62	22.3	3.19	32	11.7	35.8	5.32	0.08	<0.2	0.22	0.032	3.46	12.3	10.7	20	0.76	1020	0.04	0.89	13.5
BH-C-14-04 GS2M	26-Jul-2014	BH-C-14-04	2.0	2.73	2.95	68.3	590	0.36	0.07	<10	0.66	0.29	24	10.05	22	14.9	31.3	7.87	0.09	<0.2	0.07	0.035	4.48	12.4	20.5	14.1	1.52	781	0.02	0.49	9.1
BH-C-14-04 GS4M	26-Jul-2014	BH-C-14-04	4.0	1.63	3.16	63.2	280	0.33	0.09	<10	0.61	0.41	28.2	4.57	27	13.4	39.4	6.36	0.09	<0.2	0.11	0.032	3.84	14.1	15.7	18	0.94	676	0.02	0.54	12.3
BH-M-14-05 GS1M	26-Jul-2014	BH-C-14-05	1.0	0.93	6.94	20.6	30	0.51	0.26	<10	1.24	2.16	32.2	6.88	5	6.6	35	2.59	0.05	<0.2	0.05	0.032	2.75	16.5	3.5	20.8	0.25	488	0.02	0.29	2.7
BH-M-14-05 GS2M	26-Jul-2014	BH-C-14-05	2.0	1.99	30.7	117	190	0.99	0.89	<10	1.7	1.67	34.2	36.2	30	16.1	75.4	5.42	0.07	<0.2	0.08	0.086	4.39	17.6	8.3	115.5	0.76	780	0.05	0.34	13.9
Average				1.16	5.1	58.7	180	0.27	0.38	10	0.52	0.53	22.3	3.5	17.0	7.39	28.0	3.82	0.08	0.20	0.07	0.026	2.32	12.0	8.5	26.9	0.56	410	0.023	0.55	9.29
Median		1		0.81	0.9	18.1	110.0	0.21	0.09	10	0.41	0.23	21.5	0.8	14.0	3.95	15.3	2.63	0.08	0.20	0.06	0.020	1.57	11.8	5.0	6.9	0.27	268	0.01	0.46	8.20
95th Percentile		1		3.00	26.4	197	488	0.48	2.44	10	1.11	2.10	31.2	9.3	31.5	18.9	81.0	9.62	0.12	0.20	0.22	0.051	4.84	16.4	27.9	114.5	2.17	960	0.050	1.24	16.6
Notes:	•	•	· · ·			•				•				•				•													

Bold and shaded exceeds 10 times average crustal abundance values

Aqua regia digestion method was used on all samples APrice (1997)

Table 5.9.2.2-1: Background Soil Samples - Aqua Regia

			Parameter	Niobium(mg /kg)	Phosphorous (mg/kg)	Potassium (%)	Rhenium (mg/kg)	Rubidium (mg/kg)	Scandium (mg/kg)	Selenium (mg/kg)	Silver (mg/kg)	Sodium (%)	Strontium (mg/kg)	Sulphur (%)	Tantalum (mg/kg)	Tellurium (mg/kg)	Thallium (mg/kg)	Thorium (mg/kg)	Tin (mg/kg)	Titanium (%)	Tungsten (mg/kg)	Uranium (mg/kg)	Vanadium (mg/kg)	Yttrium (mg/kg)	Zinc (mg/kg)	Zirconium (mg/kg)
			Average Concent	20	1050	2.085	ND	90	22	0.05	75	2.355	370	0.035	2	ND	0.85	9.6	2.3	0.565	1.25	2.7	120	33	70	165
			Ten Times Averag	200	10500	20.85	ND	900	220	0.5	750	23.55	3700	0.35	20	ND	8.5	96	23	5.65	12.5	27	1200	330	700	1650
			Detection Limit	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.2	0.1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.05	NA	2	NA	NA	0.05	0.2	NA	1	NA
Sample Name	Sample Date	Location	Depth below Surface (m)																							
BH-T-14-01 GS1M	27-Jul-2014	BH-T-14-01	1.0	0.8	370	0.1	< 0.001	6.9	2.4	0.3	0.03	0.05	28.3	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.01	0.06	2.6	0.3	0.058	0.18	0.43	29	4.59	23	1
BH-T-14-01 GS3M	27-Jul-2014	BH-T-14-01	3.0	0.43	370	0.09	< 0.001	5.6	2.2	0.3	0.03	0.04	28.4	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.01	0.05	2.8	0.3	0.052	0.14	0.43	27	4.81	19	2.2
BH-T-14-01 GS4M	27-Jul-2014	BH-T-14-01	4.0	0.45	400	0.1	< 0.001	5.8	2.3	0.2	0.03	0.05	34.3	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.01	0.05	3.1	0.3	0.059	2.06	0.46	31	5.15	19	2.8
BH-T-14-02 GS2M	27-Jul-2014	BH-T-14-02	2.0	0.58	430	0.1	< 0.001	7.2	2.5	0.3	0.04	0.05	32.2	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.01	0.07	2.7	0.3	0.062	0.15	0.44	28	5.48	23	1.7
BH-T-14-02 GS3M	27-Jul-2014	BH-T-14-02	3.0	1.05	420	0.1	< 0.001	6.4	2.3	0.3	0.03	0.06	28.3	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.01	0.06	1.9	0.3	0.064	0.22	0.38	29	5.03	22	0.6
BH-T-14-06 GS1M	26-Jul-2014	BH-T-14-06	1.0	0.92	440	0.16	0.001	10.4	3	1	0.29	0.04	28.9	0.04	< 0.01	0.04	0.14	3.1	0.4	0.047	0.35	0.83	57	5.45	88	0.6
BH-T-14-06 GS2M	26-Jul-2014	BH-T-14-06	2.0	0.97	380	0.11	< 0.001	6.7	2.3	0.4	0.07	0.04	25	0.01	< 0.01	0.02	0.08	2.8	0.3	0.047	0.28	0.53	36	4.39	39	0.9
BH-T-14-06 GS4.6M	26-Jul-2014	BH-T-14-06	4.6	0.94	390	0.11	< 0.001	5.9	2.1	0.4	0.07	0.05	26.3	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.02	0.06	2.4	0.3	0.049	0.17	0.46	33	4.47	31	0.9
BH-T-14-07 GS2M	26-Jul-2014	BH-T-14-07	2.0	0.78	350	0.09	< 0.001	5.1	2.2	0.3	0.07	0.05	23.4	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.01	0.06	2.7	0.3	0.047	0.18	0.45	32	4.29	32	1
BH-T-14-07 GS4M	26-Jul-2014	BH-T-14-07	4.0	0.4	320	0.09	< 0.001	4.9	1.9	0.3	0.05	0.05	26.2	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.02	0.05	2.9	0.3	0.044	0.14	0.43	26	4.29	23	2.3
BH-T-14-08 GS2M	26-Jul-2014	BH-T-14-08	2.0	0.5	300	0.09	< 0.001	5.1	1.8	0.2	0.04	0.04	23.5	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.01	0.05	2.8	0.3	0.038	0.15	0.42	24	3.77	23	1.9
BH-T-14-08 GS3M	26-Jul-2014	BH-T-14-08	3.0	0.46	310	0.08	< 0.001	4.8	1.9	0.3	0.05	0.04	22.2	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.02	0.06	2.8	0.3	0.044	0.12	0.39	28	4	28	2.3
BH-T-14-09 GS1M	26-Jul-2014	BH-T-14-09	1.0	0.92	350	0.08	< 0.001	5.6	1.7	0.2	0.04	0.04	20.1	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.01	0.06	1.9	0.3	0.041	0.87	0.43	24	3.49	20	0.6
BH-T-14-09 GS2M	26-Jul-2014	BH-T-14-09	2.0	0.86	380	0.13	< 0.001	7.3	2.2	0.4	0.12	0.03	23.1	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.02	0.1	3.1	0.3	0.045	0.38	0.55	32	4.79	60	0.9
BH-T-14-17 GS2M	24-Jul-2014	BH-T-14-17	2.0	0.82	470	0.08	< 0.001	6.2	2.1	0.5	2.94	0.05	38.2	0.26	< 0.01	0.07	0.14	0.5	0.4	0.047	0.17	0.65	34	6.28	133	<0.5
BH-T-14-17 GS3M	24-Jul-2014	BH-T-14-17	3.0	0.69	440	0.07	< 0.001	5.7	2	0.4	3.41	0.05	38.1	0.28	< 0.01	0.07	0.14	0.5	0.4	0.043	0.16	0.6	32	6.14	147	<0.5
BH-C-14-01 GS1M	26-Jul-2014	BH-C-14-01	1.0	0.57	640	0.13	< 0.001	10.4	6.3	0.5	0.5	0.03	21	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.07	0.31	3.9	0.4	0.067	0.27	0.75	51	9.42	99	5.6
BH-C-14-01 GS3M	26-Jul-2014	BH-C-14-01	3.0	0.41	800	0.16	< 0.001	10.2	5.7	0.4	0.4	0.03	21.5	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.07	0.28	4.8	0.4	0.059	0.57	0.88	51	8.7	99	6.5
BH-C-14-02 GS1M	26-Jul-2014	BH-C-14-02	1.0	0.12	1420	1.01	< 0.001	38.1	17.2	0.7	0.16	0.08	34	0.03	< 0.01	0.03	0.28	2.4	0.7	0.196	0.29	0.71	203	9.55	90	0.7
BH-C-14-02 GS2M	26-Jul-2014	BH-C-14-02	2.0	0.1	1490	0.91	< 0.001	34.3	15.5	0.5	0.11	0.08	30.8	0.02	< 0.01	0.02	0.24	2.2	0.6	0.169	0.42	0.65	178	9.3	83	0.7
BH-C-14-03 GS2M	26-Jul-2014	BH-C-14-03	2.0	0.24	1240	0.42	< 0.001	19.4	9.4	0.6	0.44	0.04	48.2	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.04	0.41	4.5	0.8	0.137	0.47	0.75	81	12.2	145	9.4
BH-C-14-03 GS3M	26-Jul-2014	BH-C-14-03	3.0	0.26	1320	0.44	< 0.001	18.6	8.5	0.5	0.31	0.05	47.3	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.04	0.32	3.1	0.6	0.152	0.44	0.66	79	10.55	120	6.9
BH-C-14-04 GS2M	26-Jul-2014	BH-C-14-04	2.0	0.18	1130	1.05	< 0.001	42.7	11	0.5	0.17	0.03	82.7	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.03	0.35	3.2	0.6	0.192	0.26	0.54	124	10.25	101	2.7
BH-C-14-04 GS4M	26-Jul-2014	BH-C-14-04	4.0	0.33	1140	0.45	< 0.001	20.3	8.9	0.7	0.2	0.05	36.3	0.03	< 0.01	0.04	0.25	4.1	0.6	0.127	0.38	0.74	109	10.45	90	4.1
BH-M-14-05 GS1M	26-Jul-2014	BH-C-14-05	1.0	0.07	410	0.1	< 0.001	7.6	5.9	0.8	0.34	0.01	32.9	0.12	< 0.01	0.01	0.13	4.8	0.2	< 0.005	0.28	0.37	32	22.7	193	1.2
BH-M-14-05 GS2M	26-Jul-2014	BH-C-14-05	2.0	0.08	990	0.32	0.001	25.5	14.1	1.3	1.37	0.01	112.5	0.41	< 0.01	0.04	0.27	4.9	0.7	0.037	0.2	0.56	82	19.9	210	1.6
A				0.54	642	0.25	0.001	12.0	F 2	0.47	0.44	0.04	25.4	0.12	10.01	0.02	0.157	2.0	<2.0	0.077	0.20	0.557	57.4	7.67	75.4	2.5
Average	-			0.54	642	0.25	0.001	12.0	5.5	0.47	0.44	0.04	35.1	0.13	<0.01	0.03	0.157	2.9	<2.0	0.077	0.30	0.557	57.4	7.67	75.4	2.5
of the Descentile	-			0.48	425	0.11	0.001	/.1	2.4	0.40	0.12	0.05	28.7	0.04	<0.01	0.02	0.115	2.8	<2.0	0.052	0.27	0.535	32.5	5.47	/1.5	1./
95ul Percentile	1	1	1	0.96	1395	0.99	0.001	57.Z	15.2	0.95	2.55	0.08	/4.1	0.36	<0.01	0.07	0.343	4.8	<2.0	0.187	0.80	0.810	164.5	17.98	182	b.ð
NOLES:																										

Bold and shaded exceeds 10 times average crustal abundance va

Aqua regia digestion method was used on all samples APrice (1997)



5.9.2.3 Shake Flask Extraction

Results of the Shake Flask Extraction (SFE) tests on six samples are presented in Table 5.9.2.3-1. The results were compared to the Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (CCME) guidelines for the protection of freshwater aquatic life for reference only.

Leachate pH for the SFE testing on all six samples exhibited circum neutral to slightly alkaline values, with results ranging from 6.58 to 8.28. These pH values were within the pH range for the CCME guideline.

One sample had sulphate and nitrite concentrations above the CCME guideline values. Fluoride concentrations above the CCME value were detected in five samples.

Leached aluminum, arsenic, copper, iron, lead and silver concentrations for five of the six samples were greater than the CCME guidelines for those parameters. Of the five samples with elevated leachable arsenic, four samples had arsenic soil concentrations greater than the CSR PL standard (Table 5.9.2.1-1). However, the copper, iron, lead and silver soil concentrations in all five samples were below the applicable CSR PL standards.

Leachates from all six samples had cadmium concentrations greater than the CCME guideline value, although only one of the samples had a cadmium soil concentration greater than the CSR PL standard. An elevated leachable nickel concentration was measured in sample BH-C-14-03 GS3M. Four samples had leachable zinc concentrations greater than the CCME guideline value.

5.10 General Site Reconnaissance

A general visual site reconnaissance was conducted by AMEC to assess various areas of the site to better define the constructability assumptions for the remediation design. The following subsections discuss the findings of the reconnaissance.

5.10.1 Tailings Storage Facility

An additional test pit (TP-T-14-01) was completed in a relatively flat area at the north side of the pillway channel diversion. The flat area appeared to be a constructed feature and may have been used as laydown area during dam construction. Large boulders and coarse rock fill were observed at the east end of the area. However, the limits of this fill were not obvious at grade. The test pit was completed to determine if the area was comprised entirely of waste rock, or if the rock was simply used as a supporting perimeter berm. The test pit confirmed the later condition and that the base material was comprised of well sorted fine to medium sand materials. No soil samples were collected at this location. The location of this test pit was shown on Figure 4.1-2.

No additional information was noted in the Tailings Storage Facility area beyond what was presented in the Phase 1 Site Characterization Report (AMEC, 2014a).

Daramators	ССМЕ	BH-T-14-08	BH-T-14-17	BH-C-14-01	BH-C-14-03	BH-C-14-04	BH-M-14-05
Parameters		GS2M	GS3M	GS1M	GS3M	GS2M	GS1M
Acidity (as CaCO3) (μg/L)		1,300	3,100	1,300	1,300	<1000	<1000
Alkalinity, Total (as CaCO3) (μg/L)	4,200	32,300	9,200	11,500	10,400	53,300
Bromide (Br) (μg/L)		<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
Chloride (Cl) (µg/L)	120,000	<500	640	730	<500	<500	<500
Conductivity (uS/cm)		<40.0	547	<40.0	<40.0	<60.0	253
Fluoride (F) (µg/L)	120	215	106	260	557	509	868
Nitrate (as N) (µg/L)	13,000	1540	480	107	36.6	18	23.7
Nitrite (as N) (µg/L)	60 ^C	1.7	344	1.2	1.6	1.2	1.3
рН	6.5 - 9	7.06	6.58	7.62	8.08	7.96	8.28
Sulfate (SO4) (μg/L)	100,000	730	238,000	2,630	2,080	11,700	71,400
Aluminum (Al) (μg/L)	5 - 100 ^A	9,540	181	14,500	27,300	7,140	8.5
Antimony (Sb) (μg/L)		1.82	119	42.8	24	5.74	34.6
Arsenic (As) (μg/L)	5	22.2	125	346	530	69.2	<1.0
Barium (Ba) (µg/L)		97.7	114	148	452	106	1.8
Beryllium (Be) (μg/L)		<0.50	<0.50	0.77	<1.0	<0.50	<0.50
Bismuth (Bi) (μg/L)		<0.50	1.77	0.75	<1.0	<0.50	<0.50
Boron (B) (μg/L)	1,500	<10	93	11	21	<10	<10
Cadmium (Cd) (µg/L)	0.04 - 0.37 ^B	0.209	1.42	0.988	1.7	0.207	0.18
Calcium (Ca) (µg/L)		3,310	73,300	3,850	4,960	4,700	29,000
Chromium (Cr) (µg/L)		11.7	0.93	28.9	49.8	8.42	<0.50
Cobalt (Co) (µg/L)		6.1	2.48	8.96	25	3.61	<0.10
Copper (Cu) (µg/L)	2 - 4 ^B	13.4	17	55.7	79.5	15.3	<1.0
Iron (Fe) (μg/L)	300	11,500	3,280	47,500	63,900	12,600	<30
Lead (Pb) (µg/L)	1 - 7 ^B	9.79	53.6	84	54	14.6	<0.10
Lithium (Li) (μg/L)		<5.0	<5.0	8.2	17	7.2	<5.0
Magnesium (Mg) (µg/L)		1,880	14,900	1,670	4,480	1,840	8,100
Manganese (Mn) (µg/L)		399	1,240	299	2,470	309	6.35
Mercury (Hg) (µg/L)	26	<0.050	<0.050	0.163	0.379	<0.050	<0.050
Molybdenum (Mo) (µg/L)	73	1.09	2.05	5.57	24.2	6.05	3.15
Nickel (Ni) (µg/L)	25 - 150 ⁸	11.1	2.03	24.6	38	5.43	<0.50
Phosphorus (P) (µg/L)		<300	<300	730	790	<300	<300
Potassium (K) (μg/L)		2,360	4,410	2,150	4,190	3,750	3,950
Selenium (Se) μg/L)	1	<0.50	<0.50	0.55	<1.0	<0.50	<0.50
Silicon (Si) (µg/L)		22,900	3,670	39,000	65,100	20,400	3,490
Silver (Ag) (µg/L)	0.1	0.195	0.97	1.73	2.08	0.441	<0.050
Sodium (Na) (µg/L)		2,020	3,620	2,660	3,460	3,260	3,130
Strontium (Sr) (μg/L)		26.4	365	23.6	45	24	54
Thallium (Tl) (μg/L)	0.8	<0.10	0.27	0.13	0.26	<0.10	0.13
Tin (Sn) (μg/L)		<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<1.0	<0.50	<0.50
Titanium (Ti) (μg/L)		310	27	637	1210	261	<10
Uranium (U) (µg/L)	15	0.282	0.068	1.34	1.25	0.303	0.127
Vanadium (V) (µg/L)		27.2	9.8	94.6	118	31.8	<1.0
Zinc (Zn) (ug/L)	30	34	67	157	210	29	<10

Table 5.9.2.3-1: Leachable Metal Testing (Shake Flask Extraction) Results of Soil Samples

Note: Bold and underscore exceeds CCME guideline values ^A pH dependance; 0.005 mg/L for pH<6.5 and 0.1 for pH>6.5 ^B Hardness dependence

^CAs NO₂-N

Used Shake Flask Extraction Leachate Test at a 3:1 water to solid ratio



5.10.2 Brown McDade Pit

A series of photographs were taken from the crest of the pit walls to help with conceptual level pit wall stability assessments (if open work is required in the pit). Field observations indicated that the majority of the pit benches were filled with talus and debris fallen from the pit walls. In general, observations of the pit walls indicated that the exposed rock mass on the west side of the pit had a higher degree of weathering than the rock face on the east side of the pit. Only the northern pit pond contained water during the SI program and the southern pond was dry.

5.10.3 Waste Rock Area

Waste rock stockpile materials at the west and north side of the Brown McDade pit were visually inspected and photographed. In general, the rocks were highly weathered, red/yellow coloured and had visible sulphides. Field observations suggested that potentially acid generating (PAG) rock could be mixed more extensively with non- acid generating (NAG) rock than is currently estimated. If the remediation design is to optimize the amount of NAG rock recovered for construction works, significant effort may be required to separate it from PAG rock. The additional effort would possibly include geochemical testing and mechanical separation and stockpiling of the waste rock materials.

5.10.4 Mill Complex and Camp Area

There are no significant additions to the previous reconnaissance conducted in 2013 except for the following:

- Previously, it was indicated that main mill level bench likely consists predominantly of waste rock/ore with landfill waste at the south end. Based on the 2014 test pit results and field observations, the extent of the landfill is assumed to extend further north on the main mill level bench. It may be thicker closer to the fill material crest.
- Previously assumed NAG volumes of the Mill Complex appeared to be a mixture of NAG and PAG based on visual observation of the rocks. As mentioned above, a significant effort may be required to separate NAG from PAG rocks, which may require further geochemical testing prior to remedial construction activities.

The Camp Area had shallow bedrock based on observations of a few metres of weathered bedrock/in situ soil on the ground surface. The crest of the slopes appeared to be cut into the slope with minimal fill placed. Remediation works will need to consider the utilities servicing the camp buildings such as the septic tanks, gas lines and power lines.

5.10.5 Dome Creek Valley

The extent of potential contamination of the Dome Creek Valley was visually assessed during the sedimentation sampling and general site reconnaissance carried out for archaeological purposes. The potentially contaminated area consists of the flat area between the toes of the north and south sideslopes adjacent to the creek. The creek alignment appears to have moved several times. In



general, eroded materials from the active overburden zone (see Section 6.9) were observed on the south side of the creek. Sediment loading caused by the active overburden zone has caused the creek to meander at several locations. In one location, the creek flow was completely blocked and water was flowing underground. Signs of previous higher water elevations within the creek were observed with iron staining on dead tree trunks and on the taller willow plants within the creek. The high water levels were most likely caused by ice jams or possibly by active overburden movement or both. The actual extent for remediation work is discussed in Section 6.5.

In general, the Dome Creek wetted perimeter is considered to be soft to very soft and saturated. Initial assumptions for remediation of the Dome Creek below the Tailings Storage Facility consisted of having heavy equipment within the creek area to conduct remediation and reclamation works. Based on field observations, this could be difficult to execute. Lighter construction equipment (i.e. spider excavator, small payload tracked trucks, etc.) may be more appropriate. However, working with this type of equipment will likely extend schedules and increase costs.

5.10.6 Potential Borrow Areas

An AMEC site representative conducted an additional site reconnaissance to locate potential borrows sources for remediation/reclamation works. The main purpose for this reconnaissance was to locate additional construction materials if the existing PAG and NAG waste rock materials are difficult and costly to sort before or during construction. One possibility is that all the waste rock materials would be included in the open pit inventory and borrow materials would be used to construct the open pit NAG buttress and reclamation works at the Tailings Storage Facility tie-in areas. Locations of the potential borrow sources are shown on Figure 1.1-1.

A total of six potential borrow areas were identified during the site reconnaissance, of which four (Borrow I, II, III, IV) are within the OIC boundary. Three out of the four potential borrow areas are located inside the inactive claim area (as identified by AAM) near the Tailings Storage Facility and Brown McDade pit (Borrow I, II, and III). These potential borrow areas within the inactive boundary are considered to be "preferred borrow areas" since they are close and would require less effort to develop. A hand dug test pit was completed in Borrow I area, using a hand shovel (see Photographs 5.10.6-1 and 5.10.6-2). The test pit indicated that the upper 1.5 m of material consisted of fine to medium sand with some silt. Increasing gravel content was observed from 1.5 m to 2.1 m depth, where occasional cobbles were also observed. It should be noted that the hand dug test pit was conducted in an existing trench which was 1.2 m below the original ground surface.





Photographs 5.10.6-1 and 5.10.6-2: Hand Dug Test Pit at Potential Borrow I

The fourth potential borrow area (Borrow IV) is located west of the Victoria Creek Wellhouse as shown on Figure 1.1-1. This location is less desirable due to steeper slopes in the vicinity.

The fifth borrow area (Borrow V) is located near the intersection between Victoria Creek and the main road. This location may require additional permitting. Transport distances are also relatively high. Thus, this location was judged the least desirable potential source.

The final possible borrow source (Borrow VI) was identified based on an AMEC site visit to a mining site on Back Creek approximately 1 km due north of the Brown McDade Pit. The mine proponent is currently drilling to determine the extent of the proposed open pit. According to the site superintendent of this mine, till-like materials (glaciolacustrine deposits) have been found at several locations during the drilling program. The till-like material is located underneath the permafrost zone, which is from 16 m to 30 m depth based on their drilling records. AMEC visited one of their boreholes (BH 54), inspected soil cuttings below 30 m depth and visually confirmed that the soil cuttings were glaciolacustrine deposits. The operation is planning to remove the entire overburden layer including the till-like materials during mine development. Depending on their operation and construction timing, it may be possible to use the excavated till-like materials to construct the cover of Brown McDade Pit.

It should be noted that the visual field reconnaissance did not include any soil sampling, testing, permafrost presence determination or volume calculations. As mentioned earlier, priority should be given to the potential borrow areas (Borrow I, II, and III) closer to the planned remediation areas where access roads already exist and less disturbance is required.

5.10.7 Victoria Creek Wellhouse

During the site reconnaissance at the Victoria Creek Wellhouse, AMEC and AAM representatives identified a 100 mm insulated pipe that was buried along the power line (consistent with the understanding that previous wellhouse decommissioning activity had addressed above grade features only). The power line to the Victoria Creek Wellhouse is accessible with an excavator for removal and reclamation purposes. Currently there are two wells at the Victoria Creek Wellhouse, one of which is sealed from the top. However, it is anticipated that these wells would need to be decommissioned by a certified driller(s) to comply with regulatory requirements.



6 Site Characterization Update

6.1 Hydrogeology

6.1.1 Brown McDade Pit

A methodology and the findings of a detailed interpretation of the Pit pond water elevation and temperature have been documented (AMEC, 2014b) and a range of rates at which water leaves the Pit pond quantified, using wintertime linear declines in pond water elevation, when surface water inputs can be expected to be negligible. The Pit pond has been concluded as draining year round, with an outflow continuing throughout winter, below the annual ice cover. The July 2014 downloaded data added a fourth wintertime decline cycle for Pit pond elevation, from which the pond outflow rate refined to 0.48 L/sec (average of four outflow rates).

Coinciding with the Pit pond datalogger download event, the pond water elevation was also surveyed, relative to a geodetic benchmark (Underhill, UU1981), from which a refined datalogger level-to-elevation calibration was derived and applied to all pond level data on record (August 2010 to July 2014, inclusive). This calibration refinement enabled a pond elevation minimum to be more precisely identified, which represents the upper bound elevation range for the rock mass and regional groundwater flow regime underlying the Pit pond. The Pit pond minimum and underlying rock mass upper bound piezometric elevation is verified to be on the order of 1,181 m, as shown in Table 6.1.1-1.

Date	Approximate Pit Pond Elevation Minima (m)
April 2002**	1,182.7
mid-April 2003**	1,181.0
April 24, 2011	1,183.4
April 11, 2012	1183.6
May 3, 2013	1,182.0
April 14, 2014	1,181.7

Table 6.1.1-1: Wintertime Minima Pond Elevations

(**) denotes data reported by Gartner Lee (2004).

Note: the level-to-elevation conversion for in-pond logger data used Underhill benchmark (UU1981).

High vertical gradients are observed in the figures of piezometric elevation between the Pit pond and adjacent instrumentation, as seen in Figure 5.4.3-1 with the Pit elevation and bedrock monitoring well GLL07-03, with consistent downward vertical gradients on the order of 0.5 m/m, year round. Figure 5.4.3-6A shows high vertical gradients in the early time data, from October 2013 to January 2014, most notably from the 50 m and 60 m sensor piezometric elevations, with respect to a prevailing Pit pond elevation of 1,182.5 m. It should be noted that this dataset could have been influenced by groundwater pressures that had not fully equilibrated following installation.



6.1.2 Ground Temperatures

The majority of ground temperature instrumentation revealed temperatures warmer than -0.6°C. Only at one location was the recorded ground temperature colder and closer to -1.0°C (corehole CH-P-13-04), east of the Brown McDade Pit. It appears that permafrost is sufficiently confining to be the cause of sub-artesian and flowing artesian conditions, observed at coreholes CH-P-13-01 and CH-P-13-02, respectively.

6.1.3 Groundwater Quality

Overall, the groundwater analytical results presented by Hemmera in 2014 are consistent with the results and conceptual model of the project site groundwater quality, as concluded by AMEC in 2013 (AMEC, 2014a). In 2014, acid rock drainage appears to be commencing at two locations in the tailings dam area (MW09-21 and MW09-22), with decreases in pH and alkalinity and increases in sulphate, compared to the results from 2009 to 2013 at these locations. Aside from these two groundwater monitoring locations, the 2014 results are generally consistent with the 2013 groundwater quality results.

6.2 Hydrology

This section presents an update to the hydrology of the Mount Nansen site based on 2014 results. The update provides a comparison between 2014 and pre-2014 flow measurements to identify any significant differences. The 2014 hydrology data includes only data which was manually measured on May 8-9, May 20-21 and June 24-26. Continuous flow data (2014) for the site was not available at the time of writing this section. The results of the flow monitoring data are presented in Table 6.2-1 from the quarterly report by EDI (2014).

The manual data presented in Table 6.2-1 represent instantaneous flows, which are snapshot values of flow at the time of measurement. Snapshot values do not capture the trend or the average flow of the period. However it is reasonable to demonstrate that snapshot values fall within the range of previously measured data

The data in Table 6.2-1 also present the range of measured flow results prior to 2014. At all of the stations, and in all cases, the measured 2014 results fall within the range of previously measured flows. Therefore, the 2014 data seem to be consistent with previously collected data.



Station	2014	2014 Measured Flow Data (m ³ /s)			Pre-2014 Measured Flow Data (m ³ /s)	
	May 8-9, 2014	May 20-21, 2014	June 24-25, 2014	Min	Max	
H-DC-DX+105	0.019	0.011	0.001	0.004	0.024	
H-DC-D1b	not measured	not measured	not measured			
H-DC-B	not measured	0.119	0.04	0	0.3	
H-DC-M	0.002	0.154	0.045	0	0.5	
H-DC-R	0.152	0.056	0.014	0.005	0.2	
H-PC-U	0.024	0.006	0.001	0.001	0.05	
H-PC-DSP	0.027	0.006	<0.001	0	0.04	
H-VC-U	0.058	1.929	0.556	0	4.8	
H-VC-DBC	2.388	0.627	0.177	0	5.2	
H-VC-UMN	0.022	2.605	0.702	0.3	6.7	
H-VC-R	0.261	3.204	0.758	0	8.6	

Table 6.2-1:	Flow Monitoring	Results 2014	and Range from	Pre-2014
--------------	-----------------	--------------	----------------	----------

6.3 Surface Water Quality

This section presents an update to the surface water quality characterization of the Mount Nansen site based on 2014 results. The update provides a qualitative assessment of whether the 2014 water quality at the site indicates any significant departures in the understanding of water quality compared to pre-2014 assessments. The 2014 water quality data in this section include data collected on April 14-15, May 8-9, May 20-21 and June 24-26. The key elements of the water quality characteristics assessed include:

- Comparison to CCME guidelines and Mount Nansen Effluent Discharge Standards;
- Temporal variability; and
- Spatial variability.

Understanding these three characteristics of the water quality data is significant for meeting the YESAA guidelines as they relate to baseline and environmental assessment of the site. The above characteristics are also key to the evaluation and implementation of the remedial design for the site.

The following observations are based on a comparison of these 2014 water quality results to those from previous years:

- The primary parameters of concern are the same, namely Al, As, Cd, Cu, Fe and Zn;
- Temporal variability of exceedances at the stations in Dome, Victoria and Pony Creeks are very noticeable, with May 8-9, 2014 (freshet) period showing the most exceedances, followed by May 20-21, 2014, then June 24-16, 2014. April data were not considered because several stations could not be sampled;



- Dome Creek shows less seasonal change in the number of exceedances over the different sampling dates. Dome Creek also shows less spatial variance in parameter exceedances between stations;
- The Victoria Creek sampling station shows clear seasonal variations in the number of exceedances. What is particularly noticeable is that in June, only Al exceeded the guidelines at all of the Victoria Creek stations;
- Pony Creek also exhibits some seasonal variation, with most parameters of concern exceeding guidelines during the freshet (May 8-9, 2014); and
- There is limited spatial variability in exceedances observed within the same creek or sampling area.

6.4 Water Treatment

The site investigation sampling of large volumes for treatability testing in the summer of 2014 has provided significant information that can be used in the next level of design for water treatment. The testing provided the information necessary to size the reagent systems for lime, ferric sulphate, and flocculant. The testing also showed that CN can be treated and it defined the required sulphuric acid dosages to reduce the final pH to 7.0 and mitigate ammonia toxicity.

The raw water concentrations of cyanide and ammonia in the collected samples were lower than the previous design basis. This suggests that proper raw water sequencing and blending of different site waters could remove the need to treat for these two contaminants. In this case, two of the planned reagents could be eliminated from the plant design: the peroxide and sulphuric acid. These are the two reagents which pose the greatest health and safety risks in the treatment plant.

Eliminating these two reagents is expected to reduce the estimated water treatment plant costs by approximately 15% as two of the five reagent systems are removed and the building size can also be reduced.

6.5 Creek Sediment Quality

The 2014 sediment sampling program added significantly to the existing database. An overview of the 2013 and 2014 soil and sediment sample locations is shown on Figure 6.5-1. 2013 sediment samples are shown in green, 2014 soil (borehole) and Dome Creek sediment samples are shown in yellow, and five soil samples collected from areas of blackened and/or dead vegetation are shown in red.







0

MOUNT NANSEN REMEDIATION PROJECT Figure No. 6.5-1

2014 SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT

SEDIMENT AND SOIL SAMPLING FOR METALS TESTING

> Scale 1:17,500 Metres

450 October 1, 2014

900



Table 6.5-1 gives a summary of Dome Creek sediment samples collected in 2013 and 2014. It can be seen that the 2014 sampling program confirmed the parameters of concern identified in previous years.

Table 6.5-1:	Summary	of Dome	Creek	Sediment	Samples
--------------	---------	---------	-------	----------	---------

Year	Company	Number of Samples	Parameters Elevated above CSR PL Standards
2013	Little Salmon Carmacks First Nation	9	As, Ba, Cd, Ag, Zn
2013	AMEC	20	As, Cd
2014	AMEC	63	Sb, As, Ba, Cd, Cu, Pb, Ag, Zn

As found in 2013 and shown in Table 5.2.1-1, arsenic is the predominant parameter of concern for the sediments and elevated concentrations are widespread. Figures 6.5-2 to 6.5-4 show the arsenic concentrations along Dome Creek for the upper, middle and lower sections of the creek. The results are displayed by concentration range, and it is clear that in general, the Mill Complex (including one of the trenches and/or mill ponds), the Tailings Storage Facility and the road (where it crosses Dome Creek) are significant sources of arsenic to the creek. Note that the 2013 and 2014 arsenic results are in general agreement. Figure 6.5-4 also shows some elevated arsenic concentrations upstream of the road. The source of those elevated arsenic concentrations is presumed to be from water backing up above the road due to ice blockages in winter and spring.

The remaining parameters of concern all coincided with samples that had elevated arsenic concentrations for the 2014 field program and did not have as many exceedances (Table 5.2.1-1). This indicates that arsenic is the controlling contaminant of concern in these areas.

The photographs collected during the 2014 sediment sampling program indicated there are visual impacts to the creek from previous mining activities that often correlate with elevated metal concentrations, but not always. Significant iron staining and precipitation were observed at many of the 2014 sediment sampling locations, but the observations did not always correlate with elevated metals. Photograph 6.5-1 shows sample location SED-DC-14-33, just downstream of the road. The sediments at this location had an arsenic concentration of 1,220 mg/kg, as well as elevated antimony and cadmium concentrations. The creek bed has orange iron staining and precipitation and the water is cloudy, but the surrounding vegetation is very lush.




S:\PROJECTS\VM00605 - Mount Nansen\GIS\Mapping\Ver2014_SiteInvestigationReport\VM00605_MetalsSamplingArsenic_DC1.mxd



S:\PROJECTS\VM00605 - Mount Nansen\GIS\Mapping\Ver2014_SiteInvestigationReport\VM00605_MetalsSamplingArsenic_DC2.mxd

Contour (5 m)

Datum: NAD 1983 CSRS UTM Zone 8N

100 October 1, 2014

200





S:\PROJECTS\VM00605 - Mount Nansen\GIS\Mapping\Ver2014_SiteInvestigationReport\VM00605_MetalsSamplingArsenic_DC3.mxd



Scale 1:5,000 Metres 100 200 0 October 1, 2014

Yukon





Photograph 6.5-1: Dome Creek Sediment Sample Location SED-DC-14-33

Photograph 6.5-2 shows sample location SED-DC-14-66, where no CSR PL standards are exceeded for metals, yet the creek bed appears impacted by way of iron staining and/or precipitation just upstream of the sample location. This shows that not all areas displaying visible iron staining have elevated sediment metal concentrations, particularly arsenic.



Photograph 6.5-2: Dome Creek Sediment Sample Location SED-DC-14-66

Conversely, some of the sample sites with the highest arsenic concentrations exhibited no visual indicators, as shown in Photograph 6.5-3 (note the lack of visual indicators of elevated antimony, arsenic, cadmium and zinc).





Photograph 6.5-3: Dome Creek Sediment Sample Location SED-DC-14-73

Photograph 6.5-4 is an example of iron staining on vegetation from presumed creek blockages during winter and spring (SED-DC-14-71). The arsenic concentration was 2,670 mg/kg at this location. Barium was the only other elevated metal, with a slightly elevated concentration of 506 mg/kg.



Photograph 6.5-4: Dome Creek Sediment Sample Location SED-DC-14-71 showing iron stained vegetation

In summary, Dome Creek is impacted along most of its length, but the impacts are highest immediately downstream of known sources.

Shallow soil samples were collected from areas of blackened and/or dead vegetation in the Dome Creek valley floor between the Tailings Storage Facility and the road. Previous work (EDI, 2006) found that the areas of blackened vegetation had elevated metal concentrations in vegetation and shallow soils, but lower concentrations in the deeper soils. The three 2014 samples from the areas of blackened vegetation exhibited arsenic concentrations ranging from 18.0 mg/kg at SED-DC-14-47 to 48.2 mg/kg at SED-DC-14-42. The CSR PL standard for arsenic is 20 mg/kg. Photograph 6.5-5 shows sample location SED-DC-14-42.





Photograph 6.5-5: Dome Creek Sediment Sample Location SED-DC-14-42 showing blackened vegetation

Closer to the Tailings Storage Facility, the areas of blackened and/or dead vegetation had higher arsenic concentrations in soil. Photograph 6.5-6 shows the location for SED-DC-14-87, which had elevated arsenic and copper concentrations of 157 mg/kg and 499 mg/kg, respectively.



Photograph 6.5-6: Dome Creek Sediment Sample Location SED-DC-14-87 showing blackened vegetation

In conclusion, the areas of blackened and/or dead vegetation immediately downstream of the Tailings Storage Facility have significantly higher arsenic concentrations and potentially elevated copper concentrations (only seen in one of two samples). Further downstream of the TSF, the areas of blackened vegetation seem to be of lower concern.

6.6 Disturbed Area Limits

The following presents some of the key observations that were made during the site investigation program, which will need to be considered during the upcoming 60% design stage:

• Permafrost was noted at shallower depths along the north facing slopes (south side) of the Tailings Facility, with a depth typically on the order of 1 m to 3.5 m.



- As noted in earlier sections, the moisture content of the unfrozen soil ranged between 3% and 77%, with the higher values typically reflecting the presence of organics. Excluding the soil samples with organics content, the average moisture content of the unfrozen soil was on the order of 11%.
- The moisture content in the permafrost soils generally ranged between 14% and 145%, with the majority of the values within the 20% to 60% range. Figure 6.6-1 presents the variation of moisture content with depth in the unfrozen and permafrost soils. It is significant that the water contents of unfrozen (and likely thawed permafrost) samples are typically less than the frozen or permafrost samples, reflecting the "excess" water content of the permafrost. This water is drained out of the permafrost as it thaws, and can create settlement and terrain instability if not appropriately managed in design.
- The soils encountered at the disturbed / undisturbed boundaries of the Tailings Storage Facility generally consist of fine to medium grained sand and silt. Such soils are prone to water induced erosion, which has been noted in several locations along the south slopes adjacent to the Tailings Facility. This will need to be considered in the future during the design of the tie-in zones (including filter compatibility).
- Permafrost was not encountered at the camp and mill complex where the subsurface conditions are generally more favourable than in the Tailings Storage Facility, given that bedrock was encountered at relatively shallow depths.
- The extent of the historical landfill at the mill complex was found to be greater than initially anticipated. This is illustrated in Figure 6.6-2.

6.7 Brown McDade Pit

There are no changes to the Open Pit Site Characterization presented in the Phase 1 Site Characterization Report (AMEC, 2014a).

6.8 Waste Rock Areas

There are no major changes to the Waste Rock Areas Site Characterization. However, as discussed earlier in Section 5.10.3, field observations (iron staining, presence of sulphides and signs of oxidation) suggest that PAG rocks may be mixed with NAG rocks more extensively than was characterized in 2013. If the remediation design requires additional volumes of NAG rock for the construction works, significant effort may be required to separate NAG from PAG rock.

6.9 Tailings Storage Facility

The results from the borehole drilling and laboratory testing program completed around the Tailings Facility were in general agreement with the findings from the 2013 site investigation. The in situ soils along the margins of the Tailings Facility had fines content typically varying between about 5% and to 60%. The in situ soils sampled in 2013 under the tailings had fines content generally varying between 5% to 35%. Summaries of the sieve analyses are presented in Appendix 4D.





Figure 6.6-1: Variation of Moisture Content with Depth in the Unfrozen and Permafrost Soils



October 2, 2014



Based on the drilling information, there is shallow permafrost in the disturbed/undisturbed boundaries at the Tailings Storage Facility area, particularly on the south side of the Tailings Storage Facility where the slope is steeper (average depth on the south side is on the order of 1 m to 3.5 m). Permafrost soils in the disturbed/undisturbed boundaries of the Tailings Storage Facility appear to have a higher silt content as well as amorphous organics (decayed plant and animals) that have a distinctive smell. Mosses and low lying shrubs are located on the south slopes, whereas evergreen and some aspen trees grow on the south facing (or north) slopes. In addition, dense willow grows in the Dome Creek valley upstream and downstream of the Tailings Facility.

The top vegetation layer in the undisturbed area consists of thick moss and shrubs. This layer not only provides considerable insulation to the ground in the summer, but also protects the underlying fine to medium grained sand with varying silt content (active layer) from deeper thawing. The active layer material is also subject to seasonal freeze-thaw cycles. The active layer in undisturbed terrain is vulnerable to surface disturbance, which results in thawing, subsequent settlement, focusing of runoff, and then the development of erosion channels in silty soils. The resulting erosion and environmental damage is apparent. For example, wash out areas at the south side of the sand borrow source upstream of the Tailings Storage Facility (Photographs 6.9-1 and 6.9-2) appear to have been caused by passing vehicles disturbing the fragile insulating top layer, causing observed erosion and settlement.



Photograph 6.9-1: Looking Upstream at a Disturbed Zone Caused by Vehicles - Access Road B





Photograph 6.9-2: Looking Downstream at an Erosion Trench Zone Caused by Vehicles - Access Road B

Other active erosion sites, which may have been initiated some time ago, can be seen at the south side of the borrow area at the downstream of Tailings Storage Facility (Photograph 6.9-3). Such damage may have been initiated by trafficking over permafrost in summer months.



Photograph 6.9-3: Looking North - Largest Erosion Trench (Scar) up to Approximately 5-6 m Deep

6.10 Mill Complex

Test pits completed in the Mill Complex suggest that the historical landfill may extend further north than previously reported. TP-M-14-03, located approximately 10 m north of the previous estimate of landfill extent, encountered significant waste material. This material appears to extend along the edge of the fill materials of the main mill road. The extent of the landfill and the extent of historical tailings are shown on Figure 6.6-2.



6.11 Geochemical Considerations

Leachate bin concentrations are dependent on site precipitation. Higher precipitation will result in lower concentrations because of dilution. This section discusses the differences in concentration between 2013 and 2014 in the leachate bins, but also presents a comparison of loadings to the extent possible. Leachate bin volumes were recorded in 2014 but are only estimated from 2013 by comparing photos from 2013 and 2014. Leachate bin volumes are not available for the waste rock plus organics bin or the tailings plus organics bin because the tube does not discharge into a secondary container. In addition, the waste rock plus organics bin was dry in 2014 and there was no sample.

In general, sulphate and dissolved metal concentrations in the leachates collected from the unsaturated tailings field bin and ore field bin were comparable with the concentrations of those parameters in the leachates collected from previous sampling programs. Some exceptions are that relatively high arsenic and iron concentrations were measured in the leachate collected from the saturated tailings bin. Arsenic and iron concentrations were around three times higher compared to the concentrations of those two parameters in the leachates from previous sampling programs. The 2014 results will be used to refine the tailings source terms for water quality modelling.

The waste rock field bin leachates are used to generate source terms for water quality modelling in the absence of kinetic testing results. Additional sampling serves to refine the source terms. Changes were observed in concentrations of arsenic, cadmium, and iron collected from the unsaturated waste rock bin. Arsenic and iron concentrations in this leachate were higher compared to arsenic and iron concentrations from the previous sampling program. The recent arsenic concentrations were around one order of magnitude higher than concentrations in leachate collected during the 2013 site investigation program. The iron concentration increased by approximately three times compared to the iron concentration in the leachate from the 2013 sampling program. The cadmium concentration in the recent leachates was around one order of magnitude lower than previous sampling programs. The 2014 results did not replicate the high zinc concentration measured in waste rock leachate from the 2013 sampling program.

The waste rock field bin results suggest some changes in the concentrations of certain parameters for the waste rock source terms. However, the source terms for this rock are also influenced by the monitoring results for the seepage and runoff quality from the existing waste rock pile. Both the field bin leachate quality and the waste rock dump seepage quality data will be reviewed and used to update the source terms for the waste rock that will be relocated to the pit.

The water volumes for the waste rock, ore and tailings sand bins were slightly lower in 2014 than in 2013. Table 6.11-1 shows the comparison of loadings between 2013 and 2014 for the three bins with measured volumes and concentrations above detection limit. In general, loadings were lower in 2014 than in 2013, even though many of the concentrations were higher. Total aluminum, arsenic and iron loadings were greater in 2014 for the waste rock field bin, adding to the finding above that the waste rock source term may need to be adjusted in the next phase of design. The total manganese in the tailings sand leachate bin was the only other result where the 2014 loading was appreciably greater than the 2013 loading. The variability in leachate field bin results over time is to be expected due to variability in site weather conditions.

Table 6.11-1: Comparison of 2013 and 2014 Loadings from Leachate Field Bins

Parameters	FIELD BIN - WASTE	FIELD BIN - WASTE		FIELD BIN - ORE	FIELD BIN - ORE	FIELD BIN - TAILINGS	FIELD BIN - TAILINGS
	24-Jul-14	18-SED-13		24-Jul-14	18-SFP-13	24-Jul-14	5AND 18-SFD-13
Water Volume (L)	1.5	1.5		24-JUI-14 8	10-3LF-13	17	20
Sulfate (SO4) (mg/L)	2415	3615	1	15760	25400	18530	24200
Total Metals							
Aluminum (Al) (mg/L)	0.411	0.0885		0.368	0.872	N/A	0.146
Antimony (Sb) (mg/L)	0.00546	N/A	1	0.2096	0.368	0.7055	1.616
Arsenic (As) (mg/L)	0.0336	0.00555		0.5704	1.19	0.034	0.037
Barium (Ba) (mg/L)	0.033	0.0399		N/A	0.112	0.51	0.778
Beryllium (Be) (mg/L)	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Boron (B) (mg/L)	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cadmium (Cd) (mg/L)	0.007935	0.0456		0.1696	0.181	0.01649	0.01848
Calcium (Ca) (mg/L)	657	715.5		2896	4000	6256	8900
Chromium (Cr) (mg/L)	N/A	N/A		N/A	0.0021	N/A	N/A
Cobalt (Co) (mg/L)	N/A	0.03465		N/A	N/A	0.09469	0.1668
Copper (Cu) (mg/L)	0.0138	N/A		0.0696	0.093	0.0221	0.026
Iron (Fe) (mg/L)	1.56	0.5295		1.224	3.14	N/A	0.24
Lead (Pb) (mg/L)	0.0228	N/A		0.072	0.188	N/A	N/A
Lithium (Li) (mg/L)	N/A	0.0426		N/A	0.073	N/A	0.028
Magnesium (Mg) (mg/L)	217.5	394.5		2296	3570	/8/.1	772
Manganese (Mn) (mg/L)	0.147	144.3		2.376	6.25	0.544	0.1206
Mercury (Hg) (mg/L)	IN/A	N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	N/A	N/A		N/A	0.0011		0.0100
Selenium (Se) (mg/L)	N/A	0.147 NI/A		N/A	0.011 N/A		0.07 N/A
Silver (Ag) (mg/L)	0.000608			0.00268	0.0039		
Sodium (Na) (mg/L)	0.000000 N/Δ	10 245		0.00200 N/Δ	22.6		19.2
Thallium (TI) (mg/L)	N/A	0.000555		N/A	0.00135	N/A	0.00072
Titanium (Ti) (mg/L)	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Uranium (U) (mg/L)	0.000405	N/A		N/A	0.00134	0.00527	0.01534
Vanadium (V) (mg/L)	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Zinc (Zn) (mg/L)	0.279	10.815	1	15.6	12.4	0.289	0.408
Dissolved Metals							
Aluminum (Al) (mg/L)	N/A	0.0165		N/A	0.046	N/A	0.142
Antimony (Sb) (mg/L)	0.0018	0.0018		0.2008	0.33	0.7106	1.622
Arsenic (As) (mg/L)	0.00285	0.0051		0.4856	0.987	0.0289	0.034
Barium (Ba) (mg/L)	N/A	0.03825		N/A	0.1	0.493	0.778
Beryllium (Be) (mg/L)	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Boron (B) (mg/L)	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cadmium (Cd) (mg/L)	0.00741	0.012615		0.1672	0.178	0.01428	0.01802
Calcium (Ca) (mg/L)	685.5	679.5		2944	4110	6358	8740
Chromium (Cr) (mg/L)	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	0.0058
Cobalt (Co) (mg/L)	N/A	0.05535		N/A	N/A	0.08534	0.1644
Copper (Cu) (mg/L)	0.0027	0.00375		0.0496	0.0608	0.0187	0.0218
Iron (Fe) (mg/L)	N/A	0.2805		N/A	N/A	N/A	0.2
Lead (Pb) (mg/L)	N/A	N/A		N/A	0.0022	N/A	N/A
Lithium (Li) (mg/L)	N/A	0.0402		N/A	0.068	N/A	0.028
Magnesium (Mg) (mg/L)	217.5	372		2320	3660	816	//8
Manganese (Mn) (mg/L)	0.075 N/A	163.5 N/A		Z.30	6.01 N/A	0.272	0.0352
Molybdonum (Mo) (mg/L)	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A		0.0178
Nickel (Ni) (mg/L)	N/A	0 1/55		N/A	0.01		0.0176
Selenium (Se) (mg/L)	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A		NI/A
Silver (Ag) (mg/L)	N/A	N/A		N/A	0.00032	N/A	N/A
Sodium (Na) (mg/L)	N/A	10.035		N/A	22 5	N/A	10.2
Thallium (TI) (mg/L)	N/A	0.000585		N/A	0 00117	N/A	0.0007
Titanium (Ti) (mg/l)	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Uranium (U) (mg/L)	0.00033	0.00021		N/A	0.00119	0.00544	0.01486
Vanadium (V) (mg/L)	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Zinc (Zn) (mg/L)	0.219	11.13		15.28	12	0.2329	0.37

Notes:

N/A - No value calculated as concentration was less than method detection limit.



The source term for non-PAG rock that will be left at surface following remediation activities or used as construction material will be determined by the pending kinetic testing results (trickle leach column testing).

The previous tailings source terms were mainly determined by the results of humidity cell testing. The recent results from the tailings field bin leachate testing are unlikely to change the tailings source terms used in the water quality model.

The lab analysis results for the field ore bin leachate confirm there is no major change in the ore rock source terms used in the water quality model.

Results of a statistical analysis of the geochemical database will be used to define the upper range limits of background concentrations to assist in defining remediation limits for the Mount Nansen site.

6.12 Exploration Trenches

6.12.1 Remediation/Reclamation Rankings and Schedule

The proposed exploration trench reclamation order is listed in Table 6.12-1. The order is numbered according to reclamation rank and location, order rationale is discussed below.

Reclamation Schedule	Trench/Access Road	Erosion	Access	Rank
1	Access Rd B	Extreme	Fair	16
2	ST 5	High	Good	14
2	ST 3	Minor	Good	9
3	ST 4	Minor	Good	9
	ST 10	Minor	Good	11
	ST 9	Moderate	Fair	9
	ST 11	Minor	Good	9
4	ST 13	None	Good	9
	ST 11/10	None	Good	7
	ST 11/12	None	Good	7
	ST 12	None	Good	7
TBD	*Access Rd D	High	Good	14

Table 6.12-1: Trench Reclamation Order

*The area above Access Road D is recommended for reclamation trials; see Section 6.13 for details.



The northernmost section of Access Road B is highest priority for reclamation because this lower section of road has been continuously eroding a natural sand deposit at the base of the slope. The eroding sand deposit connects to the north western edge of the interceptor ditch, located along the west edge of the Tailings Pond (Figure 4.5.1-1). The sand is transported to the upstream side of the interceptor ditch, which flows into Dome Creek, causing significant sediment deposition into Dome Creek. This deposition causes annual maintenance problems associated with water and ice.

Southern Trench 5 is sustaining a high level of erosion, which is causing sediment to be transported to the Mill Area and along the road ditch connected to Dome Creek. Seepage water from Southern Trench 5 may also be entering the Mill Area and adding to water seepage and contamination issues within the Mill Area site (pers. comm. Josee Peron AAM, July 24, 2014). Southern Trenches 3 and 4 are close to each other and can also be accessed via the Mill Area road system. Some erosion and seepage was observed in these trenches.

Southern Trenches 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13, all situated above the Huestis Adit, can be accessed and reclaimed simultaneously (Figure 4.5.1-2). These trenches are within a wildlife corridor (as reported in Phase 1). This area is highly fragmented, and reclamation of these trenches will increase wildlife habitat value and reduce obstruction to movement through this corridor.

Access Road D is ranked high for reclamation; however, in lieu of trench reclamation, reclamation trials are recommended in the cleared areas that are accessed by this road (specifically, the Northern Trench area; see Section 6.13 for details, Figure 4.5.1-1). If the trials proceed as recommended, reclamation of Access Road D would be postponed until they are concluded because this road provides the access required to complete the trials.

6.13 Reclamation

6.13.1 Reclamation Trials

As stated in the Phase 1 report, reclamation trials are essential to the success of a reclamation program (Gonzales, 2013). Some trials have been implemented at the Mount Nansen site (the Pony Creek Adit, and Huestis Adit, EDI, 2008; ELR, 2013; LORAX, 2011); however, few of these sites have been monitored for success or have had reclamation implemented at a scale comparable to the proposed MNRP program. Reclamation trials are recommended at the Northern Trench area, specifically the cleared and stripped areas that are bisected by the Northern Trenches (Figure 4.5.1-1). This area is currently accessed via Access Road D. Reclamation trial results within the cleared area can be applied to final reclamation planning for the Mill Area, Brown McDade Pit, Huestis Adit and all other compacted cleared areas to be reclaimed on the Mount Nansen Site. Successful reclamation trial methods in selected sections of the Northern Trenches can be applied to the reclamation of the Southern Trenches. In summary, development and implementation of a detailed trial program is recommended to help refine reclamation prescriptions that were proposed in Phase 1 for the Mount Nansen Project. This trial would need to be integrated appropriately with the broader project execution schedule. It may be more effective to execute these trials in parallel with at least some remedial activity and to develop reclamation Adaptive Management Plans to adjust



reclamation prescriptions following remediation based on long term trial outcomes (i.e. trials need not be part of a linear project development and execution process in which all trial outcomes are known before remediation proceeds).

6.13.2 Vegetation Sources

Availability of organics for use in reclamation is a limiting factor at the Mount Nansen site. In addition to vegetation and organic sources recommended in Phase 1 (i.e. willows present in the Dome Creek Valley within the Mount Nansen site), another vegetation source should be considered. A large source of willow was observed in the Dome Creek Valley during the Dome Creek sediment sample survey. This willow source is located within the lower Dome Creek Valley, 250 m to the east of Mount Nansen Road where it crosses Dome Creek (08V 391590N 6880308E). The willow could be brushed (leaving sufficient stem length to ensure the survival of the donator willow) and used for willow stakes and for applying organics as woody debris. This would aid soil development and patch creation on the site.

6.14 Borrow Areas

Two additional potential sand borrow areas were identified in the 2014 Site Investigation:

- Borrow Areas I and II are located upstream of the Tailings Storage Facility and may be used to supplement the existing sand borrow areas adjacent to the tailings.
- Borrow Area VI may contain appropriate fine grained material for a cover system; however, it is located on an external placer claim and procurement constraints and haul distances may make this borrow unfeasible.



7 Limitations and Closure

This report was prepared exclusively for Assessment and Abandoned Mines, Energy Mines and Resources by AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, a wholly owned subsidiary of AMEC Americas Limited. The quality of information, conclusions and estimates contained herein is consistent with the level of effort involved in AMEC services and based on: i) information available at the time of preparation, ii) data supplied by outside sources, and iii) the assumptions, conditions and qualifications set forth in this report. This report is intended to be used by Assessment and Abandoned Mines, Energy Mines and Resources only, subject to the terms and conditions of its contract with AMEC. Any other use of, or reliance on, this report by any third party is at that party's sole risk.

Yours truly, AMEC Environment & Infrastructure

Reviewed by:

R. Brian Geddes, P.Eng. Senior Design Lead

withe lites

Christine M. Peters, P.Eng. Project Manager & Water Quality Lead



E.C. McRoberts, Ph.D., P.Eng. Geotechnical

S.J.N. Sibbick, P.Geo., P.Geol. Geochemistry

PERMIT TO PRACTICE
AMEC ENVIRONMENT & MERASTRUCTURE
SIGNATURE
Date 1701ch 3 2015
PERMIT NUMBER PP130 Association of Professional Engineers of Yukon



8 References

AMEC Environment & Infrastructure (AMEC) (2013). *Memo to J. Perron of Government of Yukon re "Mount Nansen Remediation Project, Data Gap Analysis"*, July 24, 2013.

AMEC Environment & Infrastructure (AMEC) (2014). *Site Investigation Data Report*. Submitted to Assessment and Abandoned Mines Branch, Department of Energy, Mines and Resources, Government of Yukon.

AMEC Environment & Infrastructure (AMEC) (2014a). *Site Characterization Report*. Submitted to Assessment and Abandoned Mines Branch, Department of Energy, Mines and Resources, Government of Yukon.

AMEC Environment & Infrastructure (AMEC) (2014b). *Mount Nansen Remediation Project, 30% Design Phase Report.* Submitted to Assessment and Abandoned Mines Branch, Department of Energy, Mines and Resources, Government of Yukon.

AMEC Environment & Infrastructure (AMEC) (2014c). *Mount Nansen Remediation Project 30% Design Cost Estimate*. Submitted to Assessment and Abandoned Mines Branch, Department of Energy, Mines and Resources, Government of Yukon.

AMEC Environment & Infrastructure (AMEC) (2014d). *Mount Nansen Remediation Project, 2014 Site Investigation Program Execution Plan.* Submitted to Assessment and Abandoned Mines Branch, Department of Energy, Mines and Resources, Government of Yukon.

BC Ministry of Environment (BCMOE) (2010). *Field Manual for Describing Terrestrial Ecosystems, Land Management Handbook 25*. Co-published by Research Branch, BC Ministry of Forests and Range and Resources Inventory Branch, BC Ministry of Environment.

Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (CCME) (1999). Canadian Water Quality Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Life.

Ecological Logistics and Research Ltd. (ELR) (2013). *Mount Nansen Environmental Baseline Studies*. Prepared for Yukon Government – Energy Mines and Resources.

Environmental Dynamics Incorporated (EDI) (2006). *Mount Nansen Terrestrial and Aquatic Effects Study -Phase 1, Volume 1 of 2 - Report.* Submitted to Government of Yukon, Abandoned Mines Branch (Type II), Energy, Mines and Resources.

Environmental Dynamics Incorporated (EDI) (2008). *Mount Nansen Mine Site – Summary of 2008 Bioengineering Works*.



Environmental Dynamics Incorporated (EDI) (2014a). *Mount Nansen Water Resources Investigations, Quarterly Report (Q1): April - June 2014.* Prepared for Assessment Abandoned Mines Branch, Yukon Government.

Environmental Dynamics Incorporated (EDI) (2014b). *Mount Nansen Hydrology and Water Quality Field Memo: June 23-25, 2014.* Prepared for Assessment Abandoned Mines Branch, Yukon Government.

Gartner Lee (2004). Mt. Nansen Brown McDade Hydrological 7 Hydrogeological Study.

Government of Canada (2002). *Metal Mining Effluent Regulations, SOR/2002-222*. Regulations pursuant to the *Fisheries Act*.

Government of Canada (2012). Summary Review of Performance of Metal Mines Subject to the Metal Mining Effluent Regulations in 2012.

Gonzales, E. (2013). ASNP503 Restoration Ecology, Native Species and Natural Processes Professional Specialization Certificate Course. University of Victoria, British Columbia.

Hemmera (2014). *Mount Nansen June 2014 Groundwater Monitoring and Sampling*. Prepared for Yukon Government, Assessment and Abandoned Mines Branch, November 2014.

LORAX Environmental Services Ltd. (2011). *Mount Nansen Options for Closure*, Report prepared for Assessment and Abandoned Mines Branch, Department of Energy, Mines and Resources, Government of Yukon, July 2011.

MEND (2009). Prediction Manual for Drainage Chemistry from Sulphidic Geologic Materials. MEND Report 1.20.1

Peron, Josee (AAM) (2014). Personal communication to Carrie Nadeau during the 2014 Site Investigation Program while assessing the trenches above the Mill Site Area.

Price, W.A. (1997). Draft Guidelines and Recommended Methods for the Prediction of Metal Leaching and Acid Rock Drainage at Minesites in British Columbia.

Yukon Government (YG) (2002). O.I.C. 2002/171, Contaminated Sites Regulation - Yukon Regulations.



Appendix 3A – Site Investigation Locations

Mount Nansen Remediation Project 2014 Site Investigation Locations

		Investigation Location (UTM Zone 8, NAD83)					Summary of V	Vork Completed
Location ID	Type of Investigation	Site Area	Easting	Northing	Ground Elevation (m)	Date Completed	Depth of Investigation (m)	Lab Tests
BH-C-14-01	Borehole	Camp Area	387,693	6,881,324	1234.6	July-26-14	3.0	Geotechnical Geochemical
BH-C-14-02	Borehole	Camp Area	387,687	6,881,484	1256.9	July-26-14	2.3	Geotechnical Geochemical
BH-C-14-03	Borehole	Camp Area	387,668	6,881,371	1243.5	July-26-14	3.2	Geotechnical Geochemical
BH-C-14-04	Borehole	Camp Area	387,720	6,881,432	1244.6	July-26-14	4.0	Geotechnical Geochemical
BH-M-14-01	Borehole	Mill Complex	387,849	6,881,071	1197.3	July-26-14	1.1	Geotechnical Geochemical
BH-M-14-02	Borehole	Mill Complex	387,872	6,881,007	1210.4	July-26-14	2.2	Geotechnical
BH-M-14-03	Borehole	Mill Complex	387,901	6,881,032	1197.6	July-26-14	1.8	Geotechnical
BH-M-14-04	Borehole	Mill Complex	387,968	6,880,978	1196.8	July-26-14	1.6	Geotechnical
BH-M-14-05	Borehole	Mill Complex	387,834	6,880,986	1218.8	July-26-14	4.5	Geotechnical
BH-T-14-01	Borehole	Tailings Facility	388,984	6,880,690	1115.6	July-27-14	4.5	Geotechnical Geochemical
BH-T-14-02	Borehole	Tailings Facility	388,922	6,880,712	1117.8	July-27-14	4.5	Geotechnical Geochemical
BH-T-14-03	Borehole	Tailings Facility	389,096	6,880,766	1100.8	July-26-14	3.8	Geotechnical Geochemical
BH-T-14-04	Borehole	Tailings Facility	389,207	6,880,770	1098.8	July-25-14	4.3	Geotechnical
BH-T-14-05	Borehole	Tailings Facility	389,331	6,880,797	1100.9	July-26-14	4.6	Geotechnical
BH-T-14-06	Borehole	Tailings Facility	389,493	6,880,788	1103.7	July-25-14	4.6	Geotechnical
BH-T-14-07	Borehole	Tailings Facility	389,646	6,880,724	1090.9	July-25-14	5.0	Geotechnical Geochemical
BH-T-14-08	Borehole	Tailings Facility	389,766	6,880,728	1083.9	July-25-14	4.5	Geotechnical Geochemical
BH-T-14-09	Borehole	Tailings Facility	389,582	6,880,547	1082.1	July-25-14	4.3	Geotechnical Geochemical
BH-T-14-10	Borehole	Tailings Facility	389,525	6,880,558	1083.4	July-25-14	5.0	Geotechnical Geochemical
BH-T-14-11	Borehole	Tailings Facility	389,493	6,880,515	1090.8	July-25-14	3.9	Geotechnical
BH-T-14-12	Borehole	Tailings Facility	389,440	6,880,485	1100.0	July-24-14	4.5	Geotechnical
BH-T-14-13	Borehole	Tailings Facility	389,400	6,880,502	1098.0	July-24-14	3.0	Geotechnical
BH-T-14-14	Borehole	Tailings Facility	389,360	6,880,509	1098.0	July-24-14	5.0	Geotechnical
BH-T-14-15	Borehole	Tailings Facility	389,321	6,880,525	1097.2	July-24-14	5.0	Geotechnical
BH-T-14-16	Borehole	Tailings Facility	389,272	6,880,492	1100.1	July-24-14	5.0	Geotechnical
BH-T-14-17	Borehole	Tailings Facility	389,196	6,880,517	1098.7	July-24-14	4.3	Geotechnical
BH-T-14-18	Borehole	Tailings Facility	389,182	6,880,553	1099.5	July-24-14	5.0	Geotechnical Geochemical
BH-T-14-19	Borehole	Tailings Facility	389,139	6,880,615	1102.4	July-24-14	5.5	Geotechnical
BH-T-14-20	Borehole	Tailings Facility	389,428	6,880,490	1099.5	July-27-14	2.7	Geotechnical
BH-T-14-21	Borehole	Tailings Facility	389,379	6,880,504	1098.9	July-27-14	4.5	Geotechnical
TP-M-14-01	Test Pit	Mill Complex	388,032	6,880,960	1192.2	July-26-14	5.0	Geochemical
TP-M-14-02	Test Pit	Mill Complex	388,047	6,880,952	1191.6	July-26-14	4.0	Geochemical
TP-M-14-03	Test Pit	Mill Complex	388,011	6,880,996	1190.4	July-26-14	5.0	Geochemical
SED-DC-14-23	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	391,807	6,880,328	1006.2	July-22-14	Surface	Geochemical
SED-DC-14-24	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	391,756	6,880,306	1007.6	July-22-14	Surface	Geochemical
SED-DC-14-25	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	391,682	6,880,279	1010.2	July-22-14	Surface	Geochemical
SED-DC-14-26	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	391,590	6,880,305	1012.5	July-22-14	Surface	Geochemical
SED-DC-14-27	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	391,533	6,880,371	1014.1	July-22-14	Surface	Geochemical
SED-DC-14-28	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	391,490	6,880,380	1014.9	July-22-14	Surface	Geochemical
SED-DC-14-29	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	391,406	6,880,385	1016.3	July-22-14	Surface	Geochemical
SED-DC-14-30	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	391,356	6,880,406	1017.1	July-22-14	Surface	Geochemical
SED-DC-14-31	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	391,297	6,880,450	1018.8	July-22-14	Surface	Geochemical
SED-DC-14-32	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	391,235	6,880,479	1020.8	July-22-14	Surface	Geochemical
SED-DC-14-33	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	391,185	6,880,501	1022.4	July-22-14	Surface	Geochemical



Mount Nansen Remediation Project 2014 Site Investigation Locations

		Investigation	n Location (UT	M Zone 8, NAD	83)	Summary of Work Completed			
Location ID	Type of Investigation	Site Area	Easting	Northing	Ground Elevation (m)	Date Completed	Depth of Investigation (m)	Lab Tests	
SED-DC-14-34	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	391,071	6,880,471	1026.8	July-22-14	Surface	Geochemical	
SED-DC-14-35	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	391,017	6,880,470	1027.3	July-22-14	Surface	Geochemical	
SED-DC-14-36	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	390,991	6,880,447	1027.7	July-22-14	Surface	Geochemical	
SED-DC-14-37	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	390,921	6,880,449	1029.3	July-22-14	Surface	Geochemical	
SED-DC-14-38	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	390,859	6,880,446	1030.7	July-22-14	Surface	Geochemical	
SED-DC-14-39	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	390,789	6,880,488	1033.1	July-22-14	Surface	Geochemical	
SED-DC-14-40	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	390,741	6,880,488	1033.7	July-22-14	Surface	Geochemical	
SED-DC-14-41	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	390,674	6,880,478	1035.6	July-22-14	Surface	Geochemical	
SED-DC-14-42	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	390,679	6,880,501	1037.0	July-22-14	Surface	Geochemical	
SED-DC-14-43	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	390,627	6,880,492	1036.8	July-22-14	Surface	Geochemical	
SED-DC-14-44	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	390,568	6,880,521	1038.5	July-22-14	Surface	Geochemical	
SED-DC-14-45	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	390,519	6,880,561	1040.1	July-22-14	Surface	Geochemical	
SED-DC-14-46	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	390,476	6,880,576	1041.7	July-22-14	Surface	Geochemical	
SED-DC-14-47	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	390,471	6,880,591	1043.5	July-22-14	Surface	Geochemical	
SED-DC-14-48	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	390,406	6,880,589	1045.3	July-22-14	Surface	Geochemical	
SED-DC-14-49	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	390,348	6,880,585	1047.2	July-22-14	Surface	Geochemical	
SED-DC-14-50	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	390,268	6,880,579	1051.9	July-22-14	Surface	Geochemical	
SED-DC-14-51	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	390,206	6,880,619	1051.7	July-22-14	Surface	Geochemical	
SED-DC-14-52	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	390,116	6,880,617	1055.1	July-22-14	Surface	Geochemical	
SED-DC-14-53	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	390,035	6,880,641	1057.2	July-22-14	Surface	Geochemical	
SED-DC-14-54	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	389,967	6,880,641	1060.1	July-22-14	Surface	Geochemical	
SED-DC-14-55	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	389,889	6,880,624	1063.0	July-22-14	Surface	Geochemical	
SED-DC-14-56	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	389,063	6,880,749	1101.6	July-24-14	Surface	Geochemical	
SED-DC-14-57	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	389,028	6,880,775	1104.2	July-24-14	Surface	Geochemical	
SED-DC-14-58	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	388,989	6,880,789	1105.8	July-24-14	Surface	Geochemical	
SED-DC-14-59	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	388,947	6,880,806	1107.6	July-24-14	Surface	Geochemical	
SED-DC-14-60	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	388,915	6,880,817	1109.4	July-24-14	Surface	Geochemical	
SED-DC-14-61	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	388,888	6,880,827	1110.6	July-24-14	Surface	Geochemical	
SED-DC-14-62	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	388,849	6,880,828	1112.7	July-24-14	Surface	Geochemical	
SED-DC-14-63	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	388,723	6,880,837	1119.3	July-24-14	Surface	Geochemical	
SED-DC-14-64	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	388,723	6,880,838	1119.4	July-24-14	Surface	Geochemical	
SED-DC-14-65	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	388,656	6,880,864	1124.6	July-24-14	Surface	Geochemical	
SED-DC-14-66	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	388,599	6,880,888	1129.1	July-24-14	Surface	Geochemical	
SED-DC-14-67	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	388,545	6,880,922	1134.1	July-24-14	Surface	Geochemical	
SED-DC-14-68	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	388,490	6,880,959	1138.8	July-24-14	Surface	Geochemical	
SED-DC-14-69	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	388,417	6,880,973	1142.3	July-24-14	Surface	Geochemical	
SED-DC-14-70	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	388,335	6,880,941	1149.5	July-24-14	Surface	Geochemical	
SED-DC-14-71	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	388,286	6,880,956	1151.8	July-24-14	Surface	Geochemical	
SED-DC-14-72	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	388,244	6,881,001	1157.9	July-24-14	Surface	Geochemical	
SED-DC-14-73	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	388,245	6,880,999	1157.8	July-24-14	Surface	Geochemical	
SED-DC-14-74	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	388,196	6,881,050	1163.3	July-24-14	Surface	Geochemical	
SED-DC-14-75	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	388,110	6,881,045	1167.5	July-24-14	Surface	Geochemical	
SED-DC-14-76	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	388,033	6,881,116	1177.8	July-24-14	Surface	Geochemical	
SED-DC-14-77	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	387,977	6,881,123	1184.4	July-24-14	Surface	Geochemical	



Mount Nansen Remediation Project 2014 Site Investigation Locations

		Investigatio	n Location (UT	M Zone 8, NAD	83)		Summary of V	Vork Completed
Location ID	Type of Investigation	Site Area	Easting	Northing	Ground Elevation (m)	Date Completed	Depth of Investigation (m)	Lab Tests
SED-DC-14-78	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	387,903	6,881,120	1193.1	July-24-14	Surface	Geochemical
SED-DC-14-79	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	387,836	6,881,129	1196.9	July-24-14	Surface	Geochemical
SED-DC-14-80	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	387,673	6,881,123	1219.3	July-24-14	Surface	Geochemical
SED-DC-14-81	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	389,855	6,880,615	1064.6	July-25-14	Surface	Geochemical
SED-DC-14-82	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	389,838	6,880,586	1065.0	July-25-14	Surface	Geochemical
SED-DC-14-83	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	389,793	6,880,571	1066.8	July-25-14	Surface	Geochemical
SED-DC-14-84	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	389,740	6,880,576	1069.3	July-25-14	Surface	Geochemical
SED-DC-14-85	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	389,729	6,880,565	1069.7	July-25-14	Surface	Geochemical
SED-DC-14-86	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	389,672	6,880,563	1072.1	July-25-14	Surface	Geochemical
SED-DC-14-87	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	389,670	6,880,560	1072.4	July-25-14	Surface	Geochemical
SED-DC-14-88	Grab Sample	Dome Cr	389,611	6,880,593	1074.4	July-25-14	Surface	Geochemical
SED-VIC-14-22	Grab Sample	Victoria Cr	391,829	6,880,385	1005.3	July-22-14	Surface	Geochemical
SED-VIC-14-23	Grab Sample	Victoria Cr	391,797	6,880,398	1006.2	July-22-14	Surface	Geochemical
Pit Pond Sample	Grab Sample	Open Pit	388,920	6,881,606	1084.0	July-25-14	0.3	Water
Seepage Pond Sample	Grab Sample	Seepage Pond	389,614	6,880,594	1074.2	July-24-14	Discharge Pipe	Water
Tailings Pond Sample	Grab Sample	Tailings Facility	389,372	6,880,659	1096.0	July-23-14	0.3	Water





Appendix 3B – Daily Field Reports

DAILY FIELD REPORT



Project Mount Nansen Remediation Project - 2014 Site Investigation Program

Prepared By: Hamid Yousefbeigi

Date July 21, 2014

Project Number: VM00605J

Shift:Daytime 07:00 – 19:00 onReport Reference: MNDR14-0721Weather:Low/High 11°C/27°C – Sunny with cloudy periods

A - SUMMARY OF WORK ITEMS OR MILESTONES ACCOMPLISHED

- AMEC (Hamid Yousefbeigi) and AE (Nicole Jacques and Carrie Nadeau) arrived on site.
- Water and soil sampling equipments were delivered to site.
- AMEC/AE completed Site Safety Orientations.

B – ISSUES OF CONCERNS

• No items of concern

C – SUMMARY OF PROGRESS AND COST TRACKING INFORMATION

Staff	Activity	Hours
Hamid Yousefbeigi	Travel time/pickup supplies and food	12
Nicole Jacques	Shopping for SI supplies/ travel time/supplies and food	8
Carrie Nadeau	Travel time/pickup supplies and food	12
D – PLAN FORWARD	·	

- AMEC to conduct sedimentation sampling in Dome creek.
- AE to conduct ecology data collection in Dome creek.
- AE to download data logger.
- AAM staff to help with sedimentation sampling in Dome Creek and downloading data logger.



Project Mount Nansen Remediation Project - 2014 Site Investigation Program

Prepared By: Hamid Yousefbeigi

July 22, 2014 Date

Project Number: VM00605J

Shift[.] Davtime 07:00 - 19:00 on Weather:

Report Reference: MNDR14-0722

Low/High 3°C/27°C – Mostly Sunny

A - SUMMARY OF WORK ITEMS OR MILESTONES ACCOMPLISHED

- AMEC (Hamid Yousefbeigi) conducted sedimentation sampling in Dome creek starting from Victoria creek to approximately 250 m downstream of TSF. Samples were generally collected at approximately 50 m regular intervals along Dome Creek. Samples were labelled, coordinates recorded using handheld GPS, and sample locations were photographed. In addition, samples were collected from three blackened vegetation areas noticed based on visual observations. Sediments samples were placed in buckets filled with ice pack and were transported to camp fridge at mid day and at the end of the day.
- AE (Carrie Nadeau) conducted ecology data collection in Dome creek from Victoria • creek to 250 m downstream of TSF. Soil and vegetation samples were taken at 3 vegetation sites where blackened roots of dead patches of willow and scrub birch were observed. General ecology data collection included:
 - Dominant riparian vegetation species;
 - General creek morphology, including substrate description 0
 - Reclamation notes including sources for organic vegetation and stability concerns of \circ permafrost.
 - Photodocumentation of each site including creek substrate and creek banks. sloughing and unstable banks where present, Dome Creek Valley upstream and downstream, riparian vegetation understory and overstory, and detailed willow photos where able.
- Jeff Moore of YG-AAM helped to collect the sediment sampling.
- AE (Nicole Jacques) and Luca Poroni (of YG-AAM) completed the data logger downloads.
- Data collection started with high priority locations (in the open pit) and worked to those noted as lower priorities (around the tailings pond).

B – ISSUES OF CONCERNS

Issues raised by Nicole during data logger downloading program:

The surface water elevation of the Open Pit was not captured as there is no staff gauge or survey point in the Open Pit water. Instead, we found a survey pin from Underhill Geomatics 1981. We used a level and rod to survey the elevation difference between the survey pin and the Open Pit's water level. The Back Shot (from the survey point to the pin) was 0.78 m. The Fore Shot (from the survey point to the water level) was 2.99 m. A GPS reading of the survey point indicated it is located at 08V 0388,938; 6,881,558.

- CH-P-13-03's monument is labelled as CH-P-13-02. We don't believe this is CH-P-13-02 as another well had this label and matched with the document GPS coordinates. It seems to have been a mistake.
- CH-P-13-05/50m was a small width well, Waterra containing water was removed from the well before we could measure the water level. Most of the water drained from the Waterra as sand was stuck in the footvalve. Despite this, there is not an accurate reading of the water level. I replaced the Waterra in the pipe to reduce potential contamination of the Waterra

Issues raised by Hamid during Dome Creek sampling program:

- DES to consider accepting using hiking boots vs steel toed boots for some of the 2014 SI program (i.e., reconnaissance of the trenches) as it will be a safety concern to use inappropriate footwear.
- DES also to consider inclusion of speed limits onsite and left hand or right hand driving rules on Mount Nansen site in their safety orientation package.

Staff	Activity	Hours
Hamid Yousefbeigi	Dome Creek Sediment sampling	16
Nicole Jacques	Download data logger information	12
Carrie Nadeau	Dome Creek collect ecology data	12
D – PLAN FORWARD		
 AE to complete ecolog AMEC/AE start looking AE to sample water from AAM staff to help with AMEC (Dan) to arrive of Kryotek to arrive and complete to arrive to	y data collection in Dome creek. If at the trenches, if there is enough time in the atom monitoring wells at TSF. Sedimentation sampling in Dome Creek and wat on site and complete site safety orientation in the omplete the site safety orientation in the evening	afternoon. iter sampling. ie evening. ig.
Tailgate Meeting: no (ye	es/no) (minutes are kept on file at site)	
Near Misses: no (ve	s/no) Description:	
Near Misses: <u>no</u> (yes (if yes, complete a ne	s/no) Description:ar miss report)	
Near Misses: <u>no</u> (yes (if yes, complete a ne Property Damage Incident:	s/no) Description: ar miss report) no (yes/no) Description:	
Near Misses: <u>no</u> (yes (if yes, complete a ne Property Damage Incident: (if yes, complete appr	s/no) Description: ar miss report) no (yes/no) Description: opriate incident report(s))	



DAILY FIELD REPORT



Project Mount Nansen Remediation Project - 2014 Site Investigation Program

Prepared By: Hamid Yousefbeigi

Date July 23, 2014

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301

Shift:Daytime 07:00 – 19:00 onReport Reference: MNDR14-0723Weather:Low/High 5°C/25°C – Mostly cloudy with sunny breaks

A – SUMMARY OF WORK ITEMS OR MILESTONES ACCOMPLISHED

- Trenches and all access road within the sharpie line boundary provided by AAM were labelled by AMEC for identification purposes to be used by AMEC/AE/AAM throughout the 2014 SI program as well as for reporting purposes. Trenches were divided into four sections, south, west north and pit areas, having Camp as a dividing point. For example, trenches at south side of the Mill and Camp were labelled STn where S is for South, T is for Trench and n is of the trench number (i.e., ST1, ST2, etc.). A photo of the labels is attached to this daily report for reference.
- AMEC/AE/AAM conducted trench reconnaissance of trench number ST3 and ST4.
- AE/AAM continued trench reconnaissance in the after noon for ST1, ST2, ST5 to ST9, inclusiv.
- Generally the trenches were assessed for the following:
 - Erosion
 - Wildlife Impacts and Use
 - Assess priority/feasibility for Reclamation
 - o Access
- 40 GPS locations were taken, at each site the following was recorded:
 - o Slope
 - Aspect
 - Wildlife sign
 - Ability for wildlife to transverse the trench
 - Erosion observations
 - Feasibility for reclamation
 - Additional notes
- At this time Trenches 3-5 (sections east of the upper access road) are tentatively recommended for reclamation; further study will need to be completed on the remaining trenches that were analyzed today.
- Sediment samples collected yesterday from Dome Creek were shipped to ALS in Whitehorse. (see attached Chain of Custody form).
- Sedimentation sampling in Dome creek was cancelled for today due to 72 hour waiting time limit for testing sedimentations. Samples will be collected tomorrow so they can be shipped on Friday.
- AE (Nicole Jacques) and Luca Poloni (of YG-AAM) collected tailings pore water samples from MW09-04 and MW09-03 and collected Tailings Pond water sample. The samples were collected using a peristaltic pump (at an approximate rate of 0.6 L/min). At each of these monitoring locations we filled a 20L pail to approximately 1" below their brims (not

completely full as they are to be shipped via air to Montreal) and four laboratory supplied bottles (cyanide, ammonia, dissolved and total each filtered and preserved as per laboratory program requirements).

- AMEC located all boreholes and staked them so they are ready for tomorrow's drilling.
- Kryotek arrived on site at 6:50PM. Jim conducted site safety training and signed AMEC safety forms.
- Daniel Kennedy from AMEC Arrive at 8:15 PM.
- **B ISSUES OF CONCERNS**

Issues raised by Nicole during water sampling:

- The tailings pond pore water pumping went slow (0.6 L/min). This produced some concern over our allotted time for this field work.
- When we purged a second well (MW09-03) with a bailer (after purging until parameters stabilized and less than thee well volumes) we observed noticeable colour (orange) remained in the water. We then attached the pump and purged the same well (we found the water colour immediately cleared up). We continued purging until the parameters stabilized. We sampled from the peristaltic pump.

C – SUMMARY OF PROGRESS AND COST TRACKING INFORMATION					
Staff	Activity	Hours			
Hamid Yousefbeigi	See summary of activities	15			
Nicole Jacques	See summary of activities	12			
Carrie Nadeau	See summary of activities	12			
Daniel Kennedy	Site trip/supply	12			
Kryotek (Jim and Astrid)	Site trip/supply	0			
D – PLAN FORWARD					

- AMEC/AAM to complete sedimentation sampling, upstream of Dome creek.
- AE to continue trench reconnaissance with Josée.
- AE to complete the porewater sampling of MW09-01, 02 and 07 as well as water sampling from the Open Pit and seepage pond.
- AMEC (Dan) and Kryotek (Astrid) to complete safety indoctrination in the morning.
- AMEC (Dan) and Kryotek to start drilling in the TSF areas.

E – SAFETY REPORTING

Tailgate Meeting: yes	s (yes/no)	(minutes are kept on file at sit	ie)
-----------------------	------------	----------------------------------	-----

Near Misses: <u>no</u> (yes/no) Description:

(if yes, complete a near miss report)

Property Damage Incident: no (yes/no) Description:

(if yes, complete appropriate incident report(s))

First Aide Incident: no (yes/no) Description:

(if yes, complete appropriate report(s))

Yukon Government, Energy Mines and Resources Mount Nansen Remediation Project 2014 Site Investigation Daily Report July 23, 2014



Yukon Government, Energy Mines and Resources Mount Nansen Remediation Project 2014 Site Investigation Daily Report July 23, 2014

Subcontractors: Kryotek Drilling – Jim Coates (Refer to subcontractor invoice)



Project Mount Nansen Remediation Project - 2014 Site Investigation Program

Prepared By: Hamid Yousefbeigi

Date July 24, 2014

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301

Shift: Weather:

Daytime 07:00 – 19:00 on Low/High 6°C/21°C – Mostly cloudy Report Reference: MNDR14-0724

A – SUMMARY OF WORK ITEMS OR MILESTONES ACCOMPLISHED

- AE and AAM completed the Trench exploration assessment. AE and AAM walked trenches in the southern, western and northern sections of the property, and the trenches below the waste dump, 34 GPS locations were recorded. Trench assessment and data collection was the same as collected July 23.
- Reclamation priorities were noted and summarized in the field notes. All the trenches
 within the property were assigned numbers and recommended potential-treatment or notreatment rationale will be discussed in detail during the 60% design reporting stage.
- AE and AAM also assessed the following sites for potential reclamation recommendations:
 - Pony Creek Audit
 - Powerline Road
 - Victoria Creek Well location
 - Brown McDade Waste Dump
 - o Mill Site
 - Mill Storage Ponds
 - o Dome Creek Channel adjacent to the Mill Site
 - Dome Creek Upstream of the Taillings Pond
 - Interceptor Ditch and potential local sources for edimentation
 - o Camp and Cookhouse Site
- Huestis Audit area and disturbed sites above the audit Sites with historical vegetation trials were also visited and assessed.
- Drilling started at the TSF area and total of 8 boreholes were completed today (BH-T-14-12 to BH-T-14-19).
- Sediment samples collected from Dome Creek between TSF and Mill area today. The samples will be shipped to ALS in Whitehorse tomorrow to meet the 72 hour holding time.
- AE/AAM collected two completed samples today. The first was collected from the Seepage Pond effluent pipe. The second was collected from MW09-02, using the low flow pump method. At each of these locations we filled a 20L pail to approximately 1" below their brims (not completely full as they are to be shipped via air to Montreal) and four laboratory supplied bottles (cyanide, ammonia, dissolved and total each filtered and preserved as per laboratory requirements).
- We attempted to collect a water samples from MW09-01, it was dry. We also attempted to collect a sample from MW09-07, this well contained approximately 1m of water. We pumped the pump on the lowest speed, but it still drew the well level down to 0.5m. We shut off the pump so we were not sampling sediment (at this time, we had been pumping

for approximately 1.5 hrs. and we had collected 1-2 L of water). We allowed the well to recharge for an additional 1.5 hrs., in that time it was not quite recharged.

 We collected the leachate bin samples: Waste Rock, Ore, Tailings and Organic and Tailings Sand. For each of these, we filled five bottles (general, total metals, dissolved metals, total mercury and dissolved mercury) and filtered and preserved them as per laboratory requirements. There was no visible water in the Rock and Organic bin. When we tried to get water out of the tube, none came out.

B – ISSUES OF CONCERNS

Issues raised by Nicole during water sampling:

• There was insufficient water in MW09-01 and MW09-07 (tailings pond pore water wells)

C – SUMMARY OF PROGRESS AND COST TRACKING INFORMATION					
Staff	Activity	Hours			
Hamid Yousefbeigi	See summary of activities	14			
Nicole Jacques	See summary of activities	12			
Carrie Nadeau	See summary of activities	12			
Daniel Kennedy	Site trip/supply	12			
Kryotek (Jim and Astrid)	Drilling	10			
D – PLAN FORWARD					

- AMEC/AE to complete sedimentation sampling 250 m downstream of Dome creek.
- AMEC to revisit some of the trenches with AE.
- AE to complete final water sampling for the open pit pond and remaining water wells.
- AMEC and Kryotek to continue with drilling.
- AE to leave the site and drop off soil and water samples at Whitehorse.

E – SAFETY REPORTING

Tailgate Meeting: yes (yes/no) (minutes are kept on file at site)	
Near Misses: no (yes/no) Description:	
Property Damage Incident: no (yes/no) Description: (if yes, complete appropriate incident report(s))	
First Aide Incident: no (yes/no) Description: (if yes, complete appropriate report(s))	
F – DAILY ACTIVITIES PHOTOGRAPHS	

Yukon Government, Energy Mines and Resources Mount Nansen Remediation Project 2014 Site Investigation Daily Report July 24, 2014





Project Mount Nansen Remediation Project - 2014 Site Investigation Program

Prepared By: Hamid Yousefbeigi

Date July 25, 2014

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301

Shift: Weather: Daytime 07:00 – 19:00 on Low/High 9°C/20°C – Mostly cloudy Report Reference: MNDR14-0725

A – SUMMARY OF WORK ITEMS OR MILESTONES ACCOMPLISHED

- Safety meeting with AMEC, AE, AAM, and Denison at 07:00 AM in the Bunk House.
- AE and AAM completed sampling Open Pit pond water. The sampling included collecting 2 X 20 L plastic pails via pump, as well as 4 analytical samples, including total, dissolved, cyanide, and ammonia. All sampling within the pond was completed by 9:55 AM (photo #1).
- AE and AAM completed sampling of monitoring well MW09-07 within the Tailings Pond. Only a small quantity of water could be extracted. In terms of the analytical data, we were able to retrieve a sample for the Total, Dissolved, and Ammonia samples however, due to low recharge, we were unable to extract one for the cyanide. After Nicole followed up with Paul Morton, he proposed that the remaining water within the pail that was extracted from the well be used for a cyanide sample. This was performed (photo #2).
- AMEC/AE/AAM completed sediment sampling in the remaining 250 m of the Dome Creek downstream of TSF.
- AE requested AMEC to review some of the trenches for further assessment. AE/AMEC walked trenches in the southern and western sections of the property.
- Drilling continued at the TSF area and total of 7 boreholes were drilled as per the following:
 - o BH-T-14-7, Completion depth 5m
 - BH-T-14-6, Completion depth 4.6m
 - BH-T-14-8 Completion depth 4.5m
 - BH-T-14-4 Completion depth 4.3m/auger refusal
 - BH-T-14-10 Completion depth 1.7m, slow hard drilling, auger refusal at 1.7m, attempt tomorrow with Talon.
 - BH-T-14-9 Completion depth 4.3 m, auger refusal at 4.3 m, moved hole 3 times to reach 4.3m.
 - BH-T-14-11 Completion depth 3.9m, switched to Talon drilling at 1.2 m to reach 3.9 m.
- All sediment samples and water samples collected from the SI program were shipped to Whitehorse. The sediment samples were dropped off at ALS in Whitehorse (note that the 72 hour holding time was lifted, and 7 day holding time used in accordance with BC MOE.
- Water samples were dropped of at AGAT lab/depot for storage in their fridge and the smaller samples will be shipped to AGAT lab in Burnaby tomorrow.
- AMEC completed visual inspection and photographed the open pit to assist with pit stability analysis.
B – ISSUES OF CONCERNS

Issues raised by Nicole during water sampling:

There was insufficient water in MW09-07 (tailings pond pore water well)

Staff	Activity	Hours
Hamid Yousefbeigi	See summary of activities	14
Nicole Jacques	See summary of activities	12
Carrie Nadeau	See summary of activities	12
Daniel Kennedy	Drilling	12
Kryotek (Jim and Astrid)	Drilling	10
D – PLAN FORWARD		

- AMEC/AAM will start site reconnaissance.
- AMEC to complete test pit program at the dump area at the Mill site.
- AMEC and Kryotek to continue with the drilling.

E – SAFETY REPORTING

Tailgate Meeting: yes (yes/no) (minutes are kept on file at site)

Near Misses: no (yes/no) Description: (if yes, complete a near miss report)

Property Damage Incident: no (yes/no) Description: (if yes, complete appropriate incident report(s))

First Aide Incident: no (yes/no) Description: (if yes, complete appropriate report(s))

F – DAILY ACTIVITIES PHOTOGRAPHS



from Monitoring Well MW09-07.

Yukon Government, Energy Mines and Resources Mount Nansen Remediation Project 2014 Site Investigation Daily Report July 25, 2014



Photo 3:. In addition to the Dome Creek sediments, soils at Photo 4: AMEC/AE completed trench reconnaissance. the blackened vegetation area were also sampled.



Photo 5: All soil and water samples that shipped at 13:30, arrived at 17:05 in Whitehorse.



Photo 6: Hot steam was forced down the frozen monitoring well GLL07-01, but only melted about 0.3 m.



Photo 7: Open pit wall conditions were photographed and partial reconnaissance made to assist with pit stability analysis. Note Mill and camp in the background.



Project Mount Nansen Remediation Project - 2014 Site Investigation Program

Prepared By: Hamid Yousefbeigi

Date July 26, 2014

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301

Shift:Daytime 07:00 – 19:00 onReport Reference: MNDR14-0726Weather:Low/High 3°C/20°C – Mostly cloudy with sunny periods & light rain in the evening

A - SUMMARY OF WORK ITEMS OR MILESTONES ACCOMPLISHED

- Daily general meeting and tailgate meetings completed in the morning with AMEC, AAM, Kryotek and Denison at 07:00 AM in the Bunk House.
- Drilling continued at the TSF, Mill and Camp areas and total of 11 boreholes were drilled. All boreholes at the Mill and Camp were terminated in shallow bedrock before reaching the 5 m depth.
- AMEC completed visual inspection and conducted field reconnaissance at the tailing facility area.
- We were able to thaw out the frozen data logger in MW GLL07-01 after several attempt using a steamer and have downloaded the data. The data logger doesn't appear to be damaged except for the string, which appears to be frayed.
- AMEC conducted three test pits in the vicinity of the landfill at the Mill site and collected several soil samples for analytical testing.

B – ISSUES OF CONCERNS

Issues or concerns:

There has been some sort of stomach flu (my interpretation) going around the camp and so far four people (Hamid with AMEC, Karen with DES, Astrid with Kryotek and Dan with AMEC) have had the symptoms in the last few days. The symptoms include vomiting, and diarrhea, low-grade fever, lost of appetite, and reduced energy level.

C – SUMMARY OF PROGRESS AND COST TRACKING INFORMATION				
Staff Activity Hou				
Hamid Yousefbeigi	See summary of activities	14		
Daniel Kennedy	Drilling	12		
Kryotek (Jim and Astrid)	Drilling	10		
D – PLAN FORWARD				

- AMEC/AAM will continue with site reconnaissance at the Mill, waste rock and pit areas.
- AMEC to complete test pit program at the dump area at the Mill site.
- AMEC and Kryotek to continue and complete the drilling program.

E – SAFETY REPORTING

Tailgate Meeting: yes (yes/no) (minutes are kept on file at site) Near Misses: no (yes/no) Description: (if yes, complete a near miss report) Property Damage Incident: no (yes/no) Description: (if yes, complete appropriate incident report(s)) First Aide Incident: no (yes/no) Description: (if yes, complete appropriate report(s)) F – DAILY ACTIVITIES PHOTOGRAPHS Photo 1: Drilling continued in the TSF, Mill and Camp Photo 2: Drilling, using the above bit, in the Mill and areas. Camp area terminated in shallow bed rock. Photo 3: Excavation of the dump at the Mill area Photo 4: Photo of an exposed section of the dump area completed.

at the Mill.

DAILY FIELD REPORT



Project Mount Nansen Remediation Project - 2014 Site Investigation Program

Prepared By: Hamid Yousefbeigi

Date July 27, 2014

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301

Shift:Daytime 07:00 – 19:00 onReport Reference: MNDR14-0727Weather:Low/High 6°C/23°C – Mostly cloudy with sunny periods

A – SUMMARY OF WORK ITEMS OR MILESTONES ACCOMPLISHED

- Daily general meeting and tailgate meetings completed in the morning with AMEC, AAM, Kryotek and Denison at 07:00 AM in the Bunk House.
- Drilling continued at the TSF in the morning and total of 5 boreholes were drilled. All drilling has now been completed on site, and details of drilling today is below:

BH-T-14-02, Completion depth 4.6m
BH-T-14-01, Completion depth 4.6m
BH-T-14-20 Completion depth 2.7m/auger refusal
BH-T-14-21 Completion depth 4.6m/auger refusal
Re-Drilling with Talon BH-T-14-10 Completion Depth 5m/auger refusal

- AMEC completed field reconnaissance at the tailings facility, Mill and waste rock areas.
- Several potential construction materials borrow source were visually inspected during the field reconnaissance, including an area near Victoria Creek as well as an area between Dome Creek and Power Line Road where a small hand dug test pit was completed to assess the materials.
- Kryotek staff: Jim Coates and Astrid Grawehr left the camp at 2:00 pm.
- AAM staff: Josée Perron, Jeff Moore, and Luca Poloni left the site at 4:00 pm.

B – ISSUES OF CONCERNS

None

C – SUMMARY OF PROGRESS AND COST TRACKING INFORMATION			
Staff	Activity	Hours	
Hamid Yousefbeigi	See summary of activities	14	
Daniel Kennedy	See summary of activities	12	
Kryotek (Jim and Astrid)	Drilling	10	
D – PLAN FORWARD			

- AMEC will leave the camp at 7:00 am and drop off soil samples collected from the test pit program to ALS in Whitehorse.
- AMEC will also arrange to ship the soil samples collected during the 2014 SI program to AMEC's Surrey lab from Whitehorse via FedEx.

Yukon Government, Energy Mines and Resources Mount Nansen Remediation Project 2014 Site Investigation Daily Report July 27, 2014

- Return rental trucks to K&K truck rental and have lunch.
- AMEC staff: Hamid Yousefbeigi and Daniel Kennedy to depart Whitehorse at 2:20 pm and arrive in Vancouver at 4:45 pm.

E – SAFETY REPORTING

Tailgate Meeting: yes (yes/no) (minutes are kept on file at site)

Property Damage Incident: <u>no</u> (yes/no) Description: ______ (if yes, complete appropriate incident report(s))

First Aide Incident: <u>no</u> (yes/no) Description: (if yes, complete appropriate report(s))

F – DAILY ACTIVITIES PHOTOGRAPHS



Photo 1: Last remaining four boreholes were drilled at TSF that completed the 2014 SI drilling program. Photo 2: Near Victoria Creek, potential construction materials borrow source visually inspected.



power line road. High potential borrow pit.

Photo 4: Looking at the waste rock fill near open pit. Field reconnaissance completed at Mill/pit & TSF areas.

DAILY FIELD REPORT



Project Mount Nansen Remediation Project - 2014 Site Investigation Program

Prepared By: Hamid Yousefbeigi

Date July 28, 2014

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301

Shift:Daytime 07:00 – 19:00Report Reference: MNDR14-0728Weather:Low/High 3°C/22°C – Sunny with cloudy periods

A – SUMMARY OF WORK ITEMS OR MILESTONES ACCOMPLISHED

- AMEC staff conducted the last daily tailgate meetings in the morning and prior to leaving the site at 07:00 AM in the Bunk House.
- AMEC left the site at 7:45 to Whitehorse.
- Soil samples from the test pitting was dropped off at ALS in Whitehorse
- Total of 7 bucket of soil samples from the drilling program was dropped off at UPS store at 108 Elliot St. in Whitehorse to be shipped to AMEC Surrey office.
- Returned rental trucks as per K&K instructions.
- Completed the AMEC internal check-in procedure (TigerTel).

B – ISSUES OF CONCERNS

None

C – SUMMARY OF PROGRESS AND COST TRACKING INFORMATION		
Staff	Activity	Hours
Hamid Yousefbeigi	See summary of activities	12
Daniel Kennedy	Site trip/supply	12
D – PLAN FORWARD		-
AMEC to complete the SI program rep E – SAFETY REPORTING	port	
Tailgate Meeting: yes (yes/no) (minute	es are kept on file at site)	
Near Misses: <u>no</u> (yes/no) Descrip (if ves. complete a near miss report)	tion:	
Property Damage Incident: no (yes/no) Description:		
First Aide Incident: <u>no</u> (yes/no) Des (if yes, complete appropriate report(s	scription:	

Mount Nansen Remediation Project 2014 Site Investigation Report and Site Characterization Update March 3, 2015



Appendix 3C – SI Program Photographs



Mount Nansen 2014 Site Investigation

LIST OF PHOTOS

Page

DRILLING PHOTOS	1
PHOTO 1: First drilling set up at BH-T-14-19, July 24, 2014.	1
PHOTO 2: Subsurface soil cuttings on solid stem auger at BH-114-19, July 24, 2014.	1 2
PHOTO 4: Drilling using Talon equipment at BH-T-14-11, July 25, 2014.	2
TEST PIT PHOTOS	3
PHOTO 5: Test pit TP-M-14-02, July 26, 2014 PHOTO 6: Test pit TP-M-14-03, July 26, 2014	3 3
SITE TRENCH PHOTOS	4
PHOTO 7: Trenches and access road were labelled prior to trench reconnaissance, July 23, 2014 PHOTO 8: Looking south west at trench ST3, July 23, 2014 PHOTO 9: Looking north east with in trench ST3, July 23, 2014 PHOTO 10: Looking north east from access road A at trench ST4. July 23, 2014	4 4 5 5
WATER SAMPLING PHOTOS (DATA LOGGER)	6
PHOTO 11: Downloading data logger at Monitoring Wells CH-P-13-05 & CH-P-13-06, July 22, 2014 PHOTO 12: Downloading data logger at Monitoring Wells MW09-03 & MW09-04, July 22, 2014	6 6
WATER SAMPLING PHOTOS (LEACHATE BINS)	7
PHOTO 13: View of the Leachate bins, July 24, 2014 PHOTO 14: Labelling small sample containers for analytical testing, July 24, 2014	7 7
WATER SAMPLING PHOTOS (POND WATER)	8
PHOTO 15: TSF pond water sampling, July 25, 2014 PHOTO 16: McDade Pit pond water sampling, July 25, 2014	8 8
DOME CREEK SEDIMENT SAMPLING PHOTOS	9
PHOTO 17: Dome Creek sediment sampling collected for analytical testing, July 22, 2014 PHOTO 18: Sediment samples collected at approx. 50 m intervals, July 25, 2014 PHOTO 19: Soil sediment from blackened vegetation were colleted, July 26, 2012 PHOTO 20: Dome Creek sediments were shipped to ALS for analytical testing, July 26, 2014.	9 9 10 10
BROWN MCDADE PIT PHOTOS	11
PHOTO 21: Open Pit Looking west, July 25, 2014 PHOTO 22: Open Pit, Looking North, July 25, 2014.	11 11
WASTE ROCK AREA PHOTOS	12
PHOTO 23: Looking north - View of the waste rock piles near the open pit, July 26, 2014 PHOTO 24: Looking north – closer view of the waste rock piles, July 26, 2014 PHOTO 25: Looking west at upper waste rock pile, July 26, 2014 PHOTO 26: Looking west at lower waste rock pile, July 26, 2014	12 12 13 13
MILL COMPLEX PHOTOS	14
PHOTO 27: Overall view of the Mill complex, July 26, 2014 PHOTO 28: Looking North West - Dump area of the mill, July 26, 2014	14 14



LIST OF PHOTOS

Page

GENERAL SIT	FE RECONNAISSANCE PHOTOS	15
PHOTO 29:	Looking south at the start of erosion area south of the borrow area downstream of TF, July 26, 2014.	. 15
PHOTO 30:	Looking north at the erosion area south of the borrow area downstream of TF, July 26, 2014	.15
PHOTO 31:	Buried insulated pipe along the power line near Victoria Creek Wellhouse, July 27, 2014	.16
PHOTO 32:	Top sealed well at Victoria Creek Wellhouse, July 27, 2013.	.16
PHOTO 33:	Possible Borrow area near Victoria Creek Wellhouse, July 27, 2014	.17
PHOTO 34:	Possible borrow source near Victoria Creek, July 27, 2014.	.17

Drilling Photos



PHOTO 1: First drilling set up at BH-T-14-19, July 24, 2014.





PHOTO 3: Auger drilling in undisturbed area at BH-T-14-03, July 26, 2014.



PHOTO 4: Drilling using Talon equipment at BH-T-14-11, July 25, 2014.

AMEC Environment & Infrastructure		(Moun	Government of Yukon - AAM It Nansen 2014 Site Investigat Selected Photographs	ion
Energy	, Mines and Resources			
Logged by: HY	Scale: NTS	Date: Sept 2014	Project: VM00605J	Page 2

Test Pit Photos



PHOTO 5: Test pit TP-M-14-02, July 26, 2014.



PHOTO 6: Test pit TP-M-14-03, July 26, 2014.



Site Trench Photos



PHOTO 7: Trenches and access road were labelled prior to trench reconnaissance, July 23, 2014.



PHOTO 8: Looking south west at trench ST3, July 23, 2014.





PHOTO 9: Looking north east in trench ST3, July 23, 2014.





PHOTO 11: Downloading data logger at Monitoring Wells CH-P-13-05 & CH-P-13-06, July 22, 2014.



PHOTO 12: Downloading data logger at Monitoring Wells MW09-03 & MW09-04, July 22, 2014.



Water Sampling Photos (Leachate Bins)



PHOTO 13: View of the Leachate bins, July 24, 2014.



PHOTO 14: Labelling small sample containers for analytical testing, July 24, 2014.



Water Sampling Photos (Pond Water)



PHOTO 15: TSF pond water sampling, July 25, 2014.



PHOTO 16: McDade Pit pond water sampling, July 25, 2014.



Dome Creek Sediment Sampling Photos



PHOTO 17: Dome Creek sediment sampling collected for analytical testing, July 22, 2014.





PHOTO 19: Soil sediment from blackened vegetation were collected, July 26, 2014.



Brown McDade Pit Photos



PHOTO 21: Open Pit Looking west, July 25, 2014.



PHOTO 22: Open Pit, Looking North, July 25, 2014.



Waste Rock Area Photos



PHOTO 23: Looking north - View of the waste rock piles near the open pit, July 26, 2014.



PHOTO 24: Looking north - closer view of the waste rock piles, July 26, 2014.





PHOTO 25: Looking west at upper waste rock pile, July 26, 2014.



PHOTO 26: Looking west at lower waste rock pile, July 26, 2014.

AMEC Environment & Infrastructure	(Moun	Government of Yukon - AAM it Nansen 2014 Site Investigati Selected Photographs	on
Logged by: HY Scale: NTS	Date: Sept 2014	Project: VM00605J	Page 13

Mill Complex Photos



PHOTO 27: Overall view of the Mill complex, July 26, 2014.



PHOTO 28: Looking North West - Dump area of the mill, July 26, 2014.



General Site Reconnaissance Photos PHOTO 29: Looking south at the start of erosion area south of the borrow area downstream of Tailings Storage Facility, July 26, 2014. PHOTO 30: Looking north at the erosion area south of the borrow area downstream of Tailings Storage Facility, July 26, 2014. ame Government of Yukon - AAM AMEC Environment & Infrastructure Mount Nansen 2014 Site Investigation Selected Photographs ources Logged by: HY Scale: NTS Date: Sept 2014 Project: VM00605J Page 15



PHOTO 31: Buried insulated pipe along the power line near Victoria Creek Wellhouse, July 27, 2014.



Logged by: HY

Scale: NTS

Date: Sept 2014

Project: VM00605J

Page 16



PHOTO 33: Possible Borrow area near Victoria Creek Wellhouse, July 27, 2014.



Logged by: HY	Scale: NTS	Date: Sept 2014	Project: VM00605J	Page 17

Mount Nansen Remediation Project 2014 Site Investigation Report and Site Characterization Update March 3, 2015



Appendix 4A – Borehole Logs

EXPLANATION OF TERMS FOR GEOTECHNICAL SOIL LOGS

1.0 SOIL CONSTITUENTS

Based on particle size and distribution per the Modified Unified Soil Classification System as described in the following tables.

Proportions of Constituents:

Descriptive Term	Proportion by Weight
noun (e.g. sand, etc.)	over 50%
and (e.g. and sand, etc.)	over 35%
adjective (e.g. sandy, etc.)	20% to 35%
some (e.g. some sand, etc.)	10% to 20%
trace (e.g. trace sand, etc.)	0% to 10%

Particle Sizes:

Material	Fraction	Sieve Size (grain size)	Approx Scale	
Boulders		> 200 mm	Larger than a soccer ball	
Cobbles		Less than 200 mm & retained on 3- in (75 mm) sieve	Fist sized to soccer ball sized	
Crovel	Coarse	Passes 3-in (75 mm) sieve & retained on ¾-in (19 mm) sieve	Thumb sized to fist sized	
Glaver	Fine	Passes ¾-in (19 mm) sieve & retained on No. 4 (4.75 mm) sieve	Pea sized to thumb sized	
	Coarse	Passes No. 4 (4.75 mm) sieve & retained on No. 10 (2.00 mm) sieve	Rock salt sized to pea sized	Actual Size
Sand	Medium	Passes No. 10 (2.00 mm) sieve & retained on No. 40 (425 μm) sieve	Sugar sized to rock salt sized	
	Fine	Passes No. 40 (425 μm) sieve & Retained on No. 200 (75 μm) sieve	Flour sized to sugar _ sized	
Fines (silt & clay)		Passes No. 200 (75 μm) sieve	Flour sized and smaller (indiscernible / to naked eye)	0mm 10

Gradation of Coarse Fraction:

Descriptive Term	Field Identification
Well graded	contains particles of sizes assorted over a wide range with no one size predominating or missing
Poorly Graded	contains a limited range of particle sizes
Uniform Graded	contains a predominance of one size
Gap Graded	missing a size within a range

2.0 RELATIVE DENSITY / CONSISTENCY

Descriptive Term	Relative Density	SPT N-Value
Very Loose	0 - 20 %	0 - 4
Loose	20 – 40 %	4 – 10
Compact	40 – 70 %	10 – 30
Dense	70 – 90 %	30 – 50
Very Dense	90 – 100 %	> 50

For Coarse Grained Soils:

For Fine Grained Soils:

Consistency	Field Identification	Undrained Strength (kPa)	SPT N Value
Very Soft	Easily penetrated several centimetres by the fist	< 12	0 - 2
Soft	Easily penetrated several centimetres by the thumb	12 – 25	2 - 4
Firm	Can be penetrated several centimetres by the thumb with moderate effort	25 – 50	4 - 8
Stiff	Readily indented by the thumb but penetrated only with great effort	20 – 100	8 - 15
Very Stiff	Readily indented by the Thumbnail	100 – 200	15 - 30
Hard	Indented with difficulty by the Thumbnail	> 200	> 30

3.0 PLASTICITY



Explanation of Terms for Geotechnical Logs

4.0 MOISTURE

Descriptive Term	Field Identification					
Dry	no visible moisture, dusty					
Damp	slight moisture content but fingers do not become moistened when touching soil. Only fines sticks to fingers.					
Moist	visible moisture, but no visible free water, finger becomes moistened when touching the soil. Soil does not stick to fingers. Near the plastic limit for cohesive soil.					
Wet	a film of water is present on particle surface, soil sticks to fingers.					
Free water	water is separated from soil particles.					

5.0 FROZEN SOIL DESCRIPTION

Permafrost (see ASTM D4083)

Three main categories – not visible (i.e. a frozen soil but ice is not visible to the naked eye), visible (can see ice but there is more soil than ice), and ice (>1" thickness where there is more ice than soil). Each has subdivisions as follows:



Explanation of Terms for Geotechnical Logs







ICE WITH SOIL INCLUSIONS



ICE ICE WITHOUT SOIL INCLUSIONS

6.0 DEFINITION OF SYMBOLS USED ON LOGS

0

1

4 5 6

INCHES

	SOIL		SOIL	WELL DETAILS			
	GRAVEL, well graded, to and SAND little to no fines,(GW)		SILT, high plastic (MH)		Solid pipe with BACKFILL		
	GRAVEL, poorly graded, to and SAND little to no fines (GP)		CLAY, low plastic (CL)		Solid Pipe with BENTONITE		
	GRAVEL, silty to and SAND, and SILT(GM)		CLAY, medium plastic (CI)	<mark>իլիլի</mark>	Solid pipe with GROUT		
	GRAVEL, clayey to and SAND, and CLAY (GC)		CLAY, high plastic (CH)		Solid pipe with SAND		
·	SAND, well graded, to gravely, little to no fines, (SW)		ORGANIC silt or clay, low plastic (OL)		Slotted pipe with SAND		
	SAND, poorly graded, to gravely, little to no fines (SW)	$\underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array}} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array}} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array}} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array}} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array}} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \end{array}} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \end{array}} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array}} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \end{array}} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \end{array}} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \end{array}} \underbrace{\end{array}} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \end{array}} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \end{array}} \underbrace{\end{array}} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \end{array}} \underbrace{\end{array}} \underbrace{\end{array}} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \end{array}} \underbrace{\end{array}} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \end{array}} \underbrace{\end{array}} \underbrace{\end{array}} \underbrace{\end{array}} \underbrace{\end{array}} \underbrace{\end{array}} \underbrace{\end{array}} \underbrace{\end{array}} $	ORGANIC silt or clay, high plastic (OH)		Slotted pipe with NO BACKFILL		
	SAND, silty (SM)	ম ম ম ম ম ন ম ম ম ম ম ম ম ম ম ম ম ম ম ম	PEAT (Pt)		SAND backfill		
	SAND, clayey to SAND and CLAY (SC)		ROCK		GROUT backfill		
	FILL	77	Granodiorite (GRDR)		GENERAL BACKFILL (e.g. drill cutting, slough)		
	SILT, low plastic (ML)	87 87 87 14 1	Andesite (ANDS)				

Projec	ct: Mount Nansen 2014 Si	te Inv	vestigation	Area: Camp Area			В	oreh	ole No: BH-C-	14-01	
Client	: Yukon Government AAM	1		Northing (UTM Zone 8,	NAD 83):387693		P	rojec	t No: VM0060)5J	
Contra	actor & Method: Dark Side	Drilli	ng - Auger	Easting (UTM Zone 8, 1	NAD 83):6881324		E	levat	ion (m): 1235		
BACK	FILL TYPE Bentonite (Chins	Bentonite Gr	rout SPT (N)	Grab Sample		ttina	s [• Sand	Slo	uah
Brion	◆ Fines (%)◆						ung		Joana		
DEPTH (m)		SOIL SYMBOL		SOIL DESCRIP	ΓΙΟΝ	SAMPLE TYPE	SAMPLE NO	SPT (N)	OTHEF COMM	R TESTS, MENTS	ELEVATION (m)
			SILTY SAND - fi [Weathered Bed	ine to coarse, trace angular (rock]	gravel, brown, moist.		1		Coordinates t handheld GPS. 2012 LiDAR sur Drilling compl 150 mm diamete auger mounted o	aken with a Elevation from vey. eted with a er solid stem on an ATV.	
- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -			END OF HOLE Auger hit refusal No well/instrume Hole backfilled v No groundwater	at 3.0 m I. ent installation. vith drill cuttings. encountered during drilling.			3				- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
											1231 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
5 5 5											
5					Start Date: 26/07/201	4		Loç	ged By: D.Kenne	edy	I
	med				Completion Date: 26/0	07/2014	_	Re	viewed By: MS/H	Y Der	10 1 of
a 🥌					Completion Debtil:3.			1		Pag	µe I0⊺

Project	t: Mount Nansen 2014 Site	e Inv	vestigation	Area: Camp Area			B	oreh	ole No: BH-C-14-02	
Client:	Yukon Government AAM			Northing (UTM Zone 8	, NAD 83):387687		Pi	rojec	t No: VM00605J	
Contra	Contractor & Method: Dark Side Drilling - Auger Easting (UTM Zone 8, NAD 83):6881484						EI	levat	ion (m): 1257	
SAMP	SAMPLE TYPE 🔀 Shelby Tube 🔲 Core 🛛 SPT (N) 🚍 Grab Sample									
BACK	FILL TYPE 🔀 Bentonite C	hips	Bentonite Gr	rout SBentonite Pellets	Cement Grout	Drill Cu	tting	s 🖁	🖞 Sand 🛛 🔅	Slough
DEPTH (m)	◆ Fines (%) ◆ 20 40 60 80 ◆ SPT (N) ◆ 20 40 60 80 PL W LL 20 40 60 80	SOIL SYMBOL		SOIL DESCRIP	TION	SAMPLE TYPE	SAMPLE NO	SPT (N)	OTHER TESTS COMMENTS	ELEVATION (m)
			SILTY SAND - fi [Weathered Bed BEDROCK - Gre END OF HOLE a Auger hit refusal Reached target o No well/instrume Hole backfilled w No groundwater	ine to medium, trace suban [rock] ey at 2.3 m I. criteria. ent installation. with drill cuttings. encountered during drilling	gular gravel, grey, mo	ist.	1		Coordinates taken with handheld GPS. Elevation 1 2012 LiDAR survey. Drilling completed with a 150 mm diameter solid ste auger mounted on an ATV	hard
					Start Data: 26/07/201	4		1.01	and Rui D. Konnedu	1201
2017					Completion Date: 26/07/2014	+)7/2014		Re	viewed By: MS/HY	
	リリビビン				Completion Depth:2.3	30 m		+	,	Page 1 of

Project: Mount Nansen 2014 Site In	vestigation	Area: Camp Area			Bor	rehole No: BH-C-	14-03	
Client: Yukon Government AAM		Northing (UTM Zone 8, NA	D 83):387668		Pro	ject No: VM0060)5J	
Contractor & Method: Dark Side Drill	ng - Auger	Easting (UTM Zone 8, NAD	0 83):6881371		Ele	vation (m): 1244		
SAMPLE TYPE Shelby Tube		Ut Bontonito Pollots	Grab Sample		ttinge	Sond		uab
◆ Fines (%)◆			Cement Grout		ungs		· 3100	lgn
(L) 20 40 60 80 → SPT (N)↔ 20 40 60 80 → SPT (N)↔ 20 40 60 80 		SOIL DESCRIPTIC	DN	SAMPLE TYPE	SAMPLE NO		? TESTS, ÆNTS	ELEVATION (m)
	SAND AND SILT subangular gravel [Weathered Bedro - grades to grey/b	- fine to coarse grained sand, j , brown, moist. nck]	poorly graded, so	me fine	2	Coordinates t handheld GPS. 2012 LiDAR sur Drilling compl 150 mm diamete auger mounted	aken with a Elevation from <i>r</i> ey. eted with a er solid stem on an ATV.	
	END OF HOLE at Auger hit refusal. Reached target cr No well/instrumen Hole backfilled wit No groundwater e	3.2 m iteria. t installation. h drill cuttings. ncountered during drilling.			3			
		Sta	rt Date: 26/07/2014	4		Logged By: D.Kenne	edy	
amecy		Col	mpletion Date: 26/0 mpletion Depth: 3.2	07/2014 0 m		Reviewed By: MS/H	Y Pan	ie 1 of

Projec	ct: Mount Nansen 2014 Site In	vestigation Area: Camp Area	В	prehole No: BH-C-14-04						
Client	t: Yukon Government AAM	ND 83):387720 Pi	oject No: VM00605J							
Contractor & Method: Dark Side Drilling - Auger Easting (UTM Zone 8, NAD 83):6881432 Elevation (m): 1245										
SAM	PLE TYPE Shelby Tube	Core SPT (N)	Grab Sample							
BACK	FILL I I PE Bentonite Chips			s <u>i</u> Slougn						
DEPTH (m)	20 40 60 80 → SPT (N)→ 20 40 60 80 PL W LL 20 40 60 80 PL W LL 20 40 60 80	SOIL DESCRIPTIO	SAMPLE TYPE SAMPLE NO	(N) LdS OTHER TESTS, COMMENTS						
		SILTY SAND - sands of fine to medium, low pla subrounded fine to coarse gravel, poorly graded [Weathered Bedrock]	sticity, gravelly, I, moist, brown.	Coordinates taken with a handheld GPS. Elevation from 2012 LiDAR survey. Drilling completed with a 150 mm diameter solid stem auger mounted on an ATV. - 1244 -						
		- coarse rock fragments inferred, difficult drilling	below 2.5 m depth	- 1243 						
			3	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -						
		END OF HOLE at 4.0 m Auger hit refusal. Reached target criteria. No well/instrument installation. Hole backfilled with drill cuttings. No groundwater encountered during drilling.		No groundwater encountered during drilling. 						
; 		 	art Date: 26/07/2014	Logged By: D Keppedy						
	mad		mpletion Date:26/07/2014	Reviewed By: MS/HY						
	<i>ッ</i> ノノピレ ~	Co	mpletion Depth: 4.00 m	Page 1 o						
Projec	ct: Mount Nansen 2014 Site Ir	nvestigation	Area: Mill Complex				Boreh	ole No: BH-M-	14-01	
---	---	--	--	----------------------	-----------	-------------	---------	--	---	---
Client	: Yukon Government AAM		Northing (UTM Zone 8, N/	AD 83):387849			Projec	ct No: VM0060	5J	
Contra	actor & Method: Dark Side Dri	lling - Auger	Easting (UTM Zone 8, NA	D 83):6881071			Eleva	tion (m): 1197		
SAM	PLE TYPE Shelby Tube	Core	SPT (N)	Grab Sample						
BACK	FILL TYPE Bentonite Chip	s 🙀 Bentonite G	rout 🖉 Bentonite Pellets 🚺	Cement Grout	Drill	Cutti	ngs	Sand	Slo	ugh
DEPTH (m)	20 40 60 80 → SPT (N) 20 40 60 80 20 40 60 80 PL W LL 20 40 60 80 SUBJECT		SOIL DESCRIPTIO	ON		SAMPLE TYPE	SPT (N)	OTHER COMM	TESTS, IENTS	ELEVATION (m)
- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		SAND AND SIL light brown (oxio [Weathered Bec	T - fine to medium, silt is low pla lized), moist. lrock]	astic, trace angula	r gravel,		I	Coordinates ta handheld GPS. E 2012 LiDAR surv Drilling comple 150 mm diamete auger mounted c	ken with a Elevation from ey. eted with a r solid stem n an ATV.	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
- - - - - - - - -		END OF HOLE Auger hit refusa Reached target No well/instrume Hole backfilled v No groundwater	at 1.1 m I. criteria. ent installation. vith drill cuttings. encountered during drilling.							- - 1196 - - - - -
2 										- -
										- - - - - - - - -
1 14/09/02 03:48 PM (SMAR										- - - - - - - - - - -
ROGRAM BH LOGS - 2014-08-22.GF										- - - - - - - - - - - - - -
4 SI P			St	art Date: 26/07/2014	4		Ln	gged Bv: D.Kenne	dy	
7 201	mer		Co	ompletion Date: 26/0	7/2014		Re	eviewed By: MS/H	· <u>)</u> (
	リリてし -		Co	ompletion Depth:1.1	0 m				Pa	ge 1 of

Projec	ct: Mount Nansen 2014 Sit	te Inv	restigation Area: Mill Complex		E	Boreh	ole No: BH-M-14	1-02	
Client	: Yukon Government AAM	1	Northing (UTM Zone 8, NAD 83):387872		F	Projec	t No: VM00605J	J	
Contra	actor & Method: Dark Side	Drilli	ng - Auger Easting (UTM Zone 8, NAD 83):6881007		E	leva	ion (m): 1210		
BACK	FLE TYPE Shelby Tub)e China	Core SPT (N) Grab Sample		utting	10	···· Sond		Jah
DACK	◆ Fines (%)◆	Jubs				JS [· 300	Jgn
DEPTH (m)	20 40 60 80 → SPT (N)→ 20 40 60 80 PL W LL 20 40 60 80	SOIL SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE	SAMPLE NO	SPT (N)	OTHER T COMME	ests, Ints	ELEVATION (m)
	•		SAND AND SILT - fine to medium, trace fine angular gravel, silt is low plastic, brown, moist. [Weathered Bedrock] - Increasing gravel from 1.0 m to 1.4 m depth.		1		Coordinates take handheld GPS. Ele 2012 LIDAR survey Drilling complete 150 mm diameter s auger mounted on a	en with a vation from /. d with a olid stem an ATV.	- -
2			END OF HOLE at 2.2 m Auger bit refusal		2				-
			Reached target criteria. No well/instrument installation. Hole backfilled with drill cuttings. No groundwater encountered during drilling.						
33:48 PM (SMAKI LOG) + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +									- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
BH LOGS - 2014-08-22.6PU 14/09/02									- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
	mec®		Start Date: 26/07/2014 Completion Date: 26/07/2014 Completion Depth: 2.20 m			Lo	gged By: D.Kennedy viewed By: MS/HY	Par	

Projec	t: Mount Nansen 2014 Site	e Inv	vestigation	Area: M	ill Complex				B	oreh	ole No: BH-M-	14-03	
Client	: Yukon Government AAM			Northing	UTM Zone 8,	NAD 83):387901			Pr	ojec	t No: VM0060	5J	
Contra	actor & Method: Dark Side [Drilli	ng - Auger	Easting	(UTM Zone 8,	NAD 83):6881032			EI	evat	ion (m): 1198		
SAM	PLE TYPE 📝 Shelby Tube	е	Core		PT (N)	Grab Sample			1				
BACK	FILL TYPE 🔀 Bentonite C	hips	Bentonite Gr	out 🔀 Be	entonite Pellets	Cement Grout	🔀 Drill	l Cu	ttings	S 🖁	Sand	Slov	ugh
DEPTH (m)	◆ Fines (%)◆ 20 40 60 80 ◆ SPT (N)◆ 20 40 60 80 PL W LL 20 40 60 80 PL 0 LL 20 40 60 80	SOIL SYMBOL			SOIL DESCRIP	TION		SAMPLE TYPE	SAMPLE NO	SPT (N)	OTHER COMM	TESTS, IENTS	ELEVATION (m)
			SAND AND GR/ gravel, yellow/ta [FILL] END OF HOLE A Auger hit refusal Reached target No well/instrume Hole backfilled v No groundwater	AVEL, silty, n, dry.	fine to medium : ion. tings. ed during drilling	sand, subangular to a	angular		1		Coordinates ta handheld GPS. E 2012 LIDAR surv Drilling comple 150 mm diamete auger mounted o	ken with a levation from ey. ted with a r solid stem n an ATV.	ш
2014 S		1				Start Date: 26/07/201 Completion Date: 26/0	4 07/2014			Loo Re	gged By: D.Kenne viewed By: MS/HY	dy	
						Completion Depth: 1.8	30 m					Pa	ae 1 of

Project: Mount Nansen 2014 Site In	vestigation Area: Mill Complex	E	3orehole No: BH-M-14-04
Client: Yukon Government AAM	Northing (UTM Zone 8, NAD 83):387968	F	Project No: VM00605J
Contractor & Method: Dark Side Drill	ng - Auger Easting (UTM Zone 8, NAD 83):68809/8	E	elevation (m): 1197
BACKFILL TYPE Bentonite Chin	Core SPT (N) Grab Sample	Cutting	ns 🕅 Sand 🗔 Slough
Fines (%)			
DE DIT SNUM 20 40 60 80 + SPT (N)+ 20 40 60 80 20 40 60 80 80 100000000000000000000000000000000000	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE SAMPLE NO	(II) OTHER TESTS, COMMENTS
	SAND AND SILT - fine to medium sand, cobbles and angular gravel, grey, dry. [Weathered Bedrock]		Coordinates taken with a handheld GPS. Elevation from 2012 LiDAR survey. Drilling completed with a 150 mm diameter solid stem auger mounted on an ATV. Below 0.0 m: difficult drilling,- auger cutting up weathered bedrock.
	END OF HOLE at 1.6 m	1	
	Auger hit refusal. Reached target criteria. No well/instrument installation. Hole backfilled with drill cuttings. No groundwater encountered during drilling.		- 1195 - - - - - -
-3			- - - -
	Start Date: 26/07/2014 Completion Date: 26/07/2014		Logged By: D.Kennedy Reviewed By: MS/HY

Proje	ect: Mount Nansen 2014 Site In	vestigation	Area: Mill Complex			Bore	ehole No: BH-M-14	4-05	
Clien	t: Yukon Government AAM		Northing (UTM Zone 8,	NAD 83):387834		Proj	ect No: VM00605.	J	
Contr	ractor & Method: Dark Side Drill	ing - Auger	Easting (UTM Zone 8, I	VAD 83):6880986		Elev	vation (m): 1219		
SAM				Grab Sample					-
DACK	FILL ITPE / Bentonite Chip: ◆ Fines (%)◆	s pg Bentonite G				ttings	<u>, sand</u>	Slough	
DEPTH (m)	20 40 60 80 → SPT (N)→ 20 40 60 80 PL ₩ L 20 40 60 80 PL ₩ L 20 40 60 80		SOIL DESCRIP	ΓΙΟΝ	SAMPLE TYPE	SAMPLE NO	OTHER T COMME	TESTS, ENTS	ELEVATION (m)
		SANDY SILT - t brown (oxidized [Weathered Bec - Below 2.0 m: t	race to some fine subangula), dry. irock]	r gravel, low plasticity	noist.	2	Coordinates take handheld GPS. Ele 2012 LiDAR surve Drilling complete 150 mm diameter s auger mounted on	en with a evation from - y. ed with a - solid stem - an ATV - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	218 217 216
		END OF HOLE No well/instrum Hole backfilled v No groundwater	at 4.5 m ent installation. vith drill cuttings. encountered during drilling.			4			215 214 211
		1		Start Date: 26/07/2014	4		Logged By: D.Kennedy	<i>I</i>	_
2	amer			Completion Date:26/0)7/2014		Reviewed By: MS/HY	Dogo 1	
	~ = = = 💙 🔍			Sompletion Depth.4.3	/v m			гауе Г	

Project: Mount Nansen 2014 Site Inve	stigation Area: Tailings Facility - Borrow Area E	3orehole No: BH-T-14-01				
Client: Yukon Government AAM	Northing (UTM Zone 8, NAD 83):388984 F	Project No: VM00605J				
Contractor & Method: Dark Side Drillin	g - Auger Easting (UTM Zone 8, NAD 83):6880690	Elevation (m): 1116				
SAMPLE IYPE Shelby Tube	Core SPT (N) Grab Sample					
◆ Fines (%)◆		Js				
DE L V C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	SOIL DESCRIPTION SAMPLE NO	(N) Lds OTHER TESTS, COMMENTS (III) NOLLYAPJ				
-2	SAND - fine to medium, some silt, trace fine gravel, subrounded to subangular, occasional organic pockets (red), light brown, moist. 1 SAND - fine to medium, trace silt, fines are plastic, trace fine gravel, grey, wet. END OF HOLE at 4.5 m No wel/Instrument installation. Hole backfilled with drill cuttings. Groundwater encountered at 3.5 m during drilling.	Soil turns grey at 3.5 meters below ground surface. Wet at 3.5 meters below ground surface.				
	Start Date: 27/07/2014	Logged By: D.Kennedy Reviewed By: MS/HV				
amec	Completion Depth: 4.50 m	Page 1 of				



Proje	ct: Mount Nansen 2014 Site li	nvestigation Area: Tailings	s Facility - Diversion Channel	Во	prehole No: BH-T-14-03	
Client	t: Yukon Government AAM	Northing (UTN	I Zone 8, NAD 83):389096	Pro	oject No: VM00605J	
Contr	actor & Method: Dark Side Dri	ling - Auger Easting (UTM	Zone 8, NAD 83):6880766	Ele	evation (m): 1101	
SAM	PLE TYPE Shelby Tube) Grab Sample			
BACK	FILL TYPE Fines (%)●	es 🔁 Bentonite Grout 🎦 Bentonit	e Pellets 🔝 Cement Grout 👔	Drill Cuttings	Sand Si	ough
DEPTH (m)	20 40 60 80 → SPT (N)→ 20 40 60 80 PL W LL 20 40 60 80 PL W LL 20 40 60 80	DES	SOIL SCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE SAMPLE NO	COMMENTS	ELEVATION (m)
		SILTY SAND - fine to medium, or gravel, moist, dark grey/black.	ganic (amorphous and fibrous), trac	ce	Coordinates taken with a handheld GPS. Elevation fro 2012 LiDAR survey. Drilling completed with a 150 mm diameter solid stem auger mounted on an ATV.	m - - - - -
+ 1 - ⊻ 		 Organics content test result was Grades wet below 1.2 m depth. 	6.6%.	1	Groundwater encountered during drilling at 1.2 meters below ground surface.	1100 - - - - - - -
- - 2 -		- Organics content test result was amorphous and sand grades finer	6.1%. Organics grades more with depth.	2		- -
- - - 		- Frozen at 2.8 m below ground su places, no visible/excess ice.	urface, -1.0 degree C, well bonded	in3	Very hard drilling from 2.8 to 3.8 meters below ground surface.	- - - 1098 - - - - -
		 GRAVEL - some clayey sand, gra END OF HOLE at 3.8 m Auger hit refusal. No well/instrument installation. Hole backfilled with drill cuttings. Groundwater encountered at 1.2 m 	vel is coarse, wet, light brown.	3.8		- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
						- -
						-1095
; 			Start Date: 26/07/2014		Logged By: D Kennedy	
			Completion Date: 26/07/2	014	Reviewed By: MS/HY	
			Completion Depth: 3.80 m	1	P	age 1 of



Proje	ct: Mount Nanser	n 2014 Si	ite Inv	vestigation Area: Tailings Facility	- Diversion Channel	Bore	hole No: BH-T-14-05	
Client	: Yukon Governr	ment AAN	N	Northing (UTM Zone 8	NAD 83):389331	Proje	ect No: VM00605J	
Contr	actor & Method: [Dark Side	e Drilli	ing - Auger Easting (UTM Zone 8,	NAD 83):6880797	Elev	ation (m): 1101	
SAM		Shelby Tu	be China	Core SPT (N)	Grab Sample	tinge		iab
DACK	Fines (%)					ungs	Slot Slot	ugn
DEPTH (m)	20 40 60 → SPT (N) 20 40 60 PL W 20 40 60 20 40 60 0 60	80 80 	SOIL SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIP	SAMPLE NO SPT (N)	OTHER TESTS, COMMENTS	ELEVATION (m)	
				SAND - fine to medium, trace gravel, trace graded, light brown, moist.	silt, , gravel is subangular, gap	2	Coordinates taken with a handheld GPS. Elevation from 2012 LiDAR survey. Drilling completed with a 150 mm diameter solid stem auger mounted on an ATV.	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
		•		- Grades trace gravel below 3.0 m depth.		3		- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
				END OF HOLE at 4.6 m No well/instrument installation. Hole backfilled with drill cuttings. Groundwater encountered at 3.5 m during c	rilling.	4		
					Start Date: 26/07/2014	I	ogged By: D Kennedy	-1095
9	mod	U			Completion Date: 26/07/2014	F	Reviewed By: MS/HY	
	<i>ッ ・ ・</i> てし				Completion Depth: 4.60 m		Pac	te 1 of

Proje	ect: Mount Nansen 2014 Site Inv	estigation Area: Tailings Facility -	Borrow Area	Borehole No: BH-T-14-06
Client	t: Yukon Government AAM	Northing (UTM Zone 8,	NAD 83):389493	Project No: VM00605J
Contr	ractor & Method: Dark Side Drillin	ng - Auger Easting (UTM Zone 8, I	NAD 83):6880788	Elevation (m): 1104
DACK	VELL TYPE Shelby Tube	Core SPT (N)	Grab Sample	
DACK	Fines (%)◆	Bentonite Grout Bentonite Pellets		
DEPTH (m)	20 40 60 80 → SPT (N) 20 40 60 80 PL W LL 20 40 60 80 PL W LL 20 40 60 80	SOIL DESCRIP	ON THER TESTS, COMMENTS (N) UIL (N) COMMENTS	
-		SILT - some sand, some organic, brown/blac	ck, moist [Topsoil].	Coordinates taken with a handheld GPS. Elevation from
- - - - -		SILTY SAND - fine to medium, trace fibrous - Organics content test result at 1.0 m depth	organic, moist, brown. [Fill] was 16.4%.	2012 LiDAR survey. - Drilling completed with a - 150 mm diameter solid stem - auger mounted on an ATV. - - - 1 -
		SAND - fine to medium, some silt, poorly gra subangular gravel, trace organic wood debri	ided, trace fine angular to s, moist, light brown.	
- 2 				2
- - - - - - - -	 ● ●	- Sand grades fine below 3.0 m depth.		3
- - - - - - - -				4
- - - 5 -		END OF HOLE at 4.6 m No well/instrument installation. Hole backfilled with drill cuttings. No groundwater encountered during drilling.		4.6
- - - -				
			Start Date: 25/07/2014 Completion Date: 25/07/2014	Logged By: D.Kennedy Reviewed Bv: MS/HY
G	<i># </i>		Completion Depth: 4.60 m	Page 1 of

Projec	ect: Mount Nansen 2014 Site Inv	vestigation Area: Tailings Facility - Borrow Area	Во	rehole No: BH-T-14-07			
Client	t: Yukon Government AAM	Northing (UTM Zone 8, NAD 83):38964	5 Pro	bject No: VM00605J			
Contra	ractor & Method: Dark Side Drilli	ng - Auger Easting (UTM Zone 8, NAD 83):688072	4 Ele	evation (m): 1091			
BACK	IPLE ITPE Shelby Tube KEILL TVPE Reptopito Chips	Core SPT (N) Grab Sample					
DACK	◆ Fines (%)◆						
DEPTH (m)	20 40 60 80 + SPT (N) 20 40 60 80 PL W LL 20 40 60 80 20 40 60 80	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE SAMPLE NO	OTHER TESTS, COMMENTS			
		 SAND - fine to medium, poorly graded, some silt, moist, light b Trace fine subangular gravel below 2.0 m depth Grades silty and grey below 4.8 m. Frozen at 4.8 m, 10 to 20 mm diameter frozen pieces, weakly is approximately 10% of total sample by volume. 	own. 1 2 2 3 4 bonded, ice 5	Coordinates taken with a handheld GPS. Elevation from 2012 LiDAR survey. Drilling completed with a 150 mm diameter solid stem auger mounted on an ATV. 			
-		No well/instrument installation. Hole backfilled with drill cuttings. No groundwater encountered during drilling.					
)14	- 1085			
		Start Date: 25/07/2 Completion Date: 2	5/07/2014	Logged By: D.Kennedy Reviewed By: MS/HY			
- G	#Nec~	Completion Date:2	Completion Depth:5.00 m P				

Proje	ct: Mo	ount	Nans	sen	201	4 Si	te Inv	restigation	Area: Tailings Facility	- Borrow Area		Bor	ehole No: BH-T-14-08	
Client	t: Yuk	con (Sove	nm	ent	AAN	Λ		Northing (UTM Zone 8	NAD 83):389766		Pro	ject No: VM00605J	
Contr	actor	& M	ethoc	l: Da	ark	Side	Drilli	ng - Auger	Easting (UTM Zone 8,	NAD 83):6880728		Elev	vation (m): 1084	
SAIVI				Sh	elb	y Tul	be OL:			Grab Sample				
DACK		T T P	Fines] Be (%)♦	nto	nite	Chips	Bentonite Gr	rout bog Bentonite Pellets			tings		bugn
DEPTH (m)		20 20 PL PL	40 • SPT (40 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	60 N)✦ 60	80 80 11 80 11 80)	SOIL SYMBOL		SOIL DESCRIP	TION	SAMPLE TYPE	SAMPLE NO	OTHER TESTS, COMMENTS	ELEVATION (m)
								SAND - fine to n moist, light brow	nedium, trace silt, trace fine n	angular gravel, poorl	y graded,		Coordinates taken with a handheld GPS. Elevation fror 2012 LiDAR survey. Drilling completed with a 150 mm diameter solid stem auger mounted on an ATV.	n _ _ _ _ _ _
	•											1		
	•											2		-
	•											3		- 1081 - - - - - - - - -
-4	•								at 4.5 m			4 4.5	Non-frozen, no permafrost encountered.	- -
5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5								END OF HOLE & No well/instrume Hole backfilled v No groundwater	at 4.5 m ent installation. vith drill cuttings. • encountered during drilling					- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
5					0)				Start Date: 25/07/201	4		Logged By: D.Kennedy	
	П	76	2/		J	,				Completion Date: 25/0	07/2014		Reviewed By: MS/HY	ano 1 of
	- 2 2									1 completion Deput.4.3	50 III		ΓC	iyo i t

Projec	ct: M	ount	t Na	nse	n 20)14 S	ite Ir	vest	tigation	Area: Tai	lings Facility	- Southern M	largin			Boreh	ole No: Bl	H-T-14-0	9	
Client	t: Yu	kon	Gov	erni	men	t AAI	N			Northing (UTM Zone 8	8, NAD 83):389582 Pro				Projec	roject No: VM00605J			
Contra	actor		leth	od: [Dark	Side	e Dril	ling	- Auger	Easting (L	JTM Zone 8,	NAD 83):688	30547			Elevat	ion (m): 1	082		
SAMI				<u>/</u> s	Shell	by Tu	be	NCA			T (N)	Grab Sar	mple	~		1		г		
BACK		. Y	Fin	E (%)	3ento)◆	onite	Chip T	s <u>k</u> g	Bentonite Gro	out <u>Co</u> Ben	tonite Pellets	Cement (Grout	🛛 Drill (Cuttin	gs .	. <u>°.</u> j Sand ∣		Slou	igh
DEPTH (m)		20 20 PL 20 20	40	60 •T (N)• 60 ₩	¢	80 1 80 8 0	SOIL SYMBOL			C	SOIL DESCRIP	TION			SAMPLE IYPE SAMPLE NO	SPT (N)	OT CI	HER TES OMMEN	STS, TS	ELEVATION (m)
-								S	SAND - fine to me subangular grave	edium, gap I, moist, bro	graded, trace wn.	to some silt, so	me angu	llar to			Coordina handheld G 2012 LiDAI Drilling c 150 mm dia auger mou	ates taken v GPS. Elevat R survey. ompleted v ameter solio nted on an	vith a ion from vith a d stem ATV.	
- - 		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·													1					- -
- - 2 - -								· · · · ·							2					- - - - - - - - - - - -
	•							· · · · · ·	Grades some si	It and grave	lly below 3.0 r	n depth.			3					- - - - - - - - - - -
 - - - - - - - - -			•					s g h	SAND and SILT - gravel, poorly gra *Frozen at 4.0 m ponded, no visible	fine to med ded, grey, v eters below e/excess ice	lium, trace an vet. v ground surfa 2.	gular to subang ce, frozen piece	ular coar es, weakl	rse ly	4					- - - - - - - - - - - - -
- - 5 - - - - -								E A N H G	END OF HOLE a Auger hit refusal. No well/instrumer Hole backfilled wi Groundwater enc	t 4.3 m. Bedrock or ht installatio th drill cuttir ountered at	cobbles/bould n. 1gs. 3.5 m during	lers inferred. drilling.								- - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
-					K	<u> </u>						Start Date: 25/	/07/2014			Lo	gged By: D.k	Kennedy		-
2			0		U	,						Completion Da	ate:25/07	/2014		Re	viewed By: N	/IS/HY		
			5	L								Completion De	epth:4.30	m					Pag	e 1 of

Projec	ct: Mount Nansen 2014 Site	e Inve	estigation	Area: Tailings Facility	- Southern Margin		В	oreh	ole No: BH-T-14-10		
Client	: Yukon Government AAM			Northing (UTM Zone 8	NAD 83):389525		P	rojec	t No: VM00605J		
Contra	actor & Method: Dark Side D	Drillin	ig - Auger	Easting (UTM Zone 8,	NAD 83):6880558		E	levat	ion (m): 1083		
SAMI	PLE TYPE Shelby Tube	Э [Core	SPT (N)	Grab Sample			م			
BACK	Fill IYPE Bentonite Cl ◆ Fines (%)◆	hips	Bentonite Gr	out Solutionite Pellets	Cement Grout	Drill Cu	tting	IS [.	Sand ···	Slough	
DEPTH (m)	20 40 60 80 → SPT (N)→ 20 40 60 80 PL ₩ LL 20 40 60 80	SOIL SYMBOL		SOIL DESCRIP	TION	SAMPLE TYPE	SAMPLE NO	SPT (N)	OTHER TEST COMMENTS	S, (W) NOLLY	
			MOSS and ROO	TS - organics					Coordinates taken with handheld GPS. Elevation 2012 LiDAR survey. Drilling completed with	from	
+ + + + + + + + + 1 +			SAND and SILT trace of rootlets a grey/black. **Frozen at 0.5 n excess ice, frost	 fine grained, low plasticity and decomposed wood pies meters below ground surfaction can be seen on sample. 	, poorly graded, occas ces, strong organic od e, -1°C, well bonded/f	sional lor, wet, iirm, no	1		Drilling completed with 150 mm diameter solid si auger mounted on an AT	a — 10 V. – – – – – – –	83
- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -							2		At 1.7 m: 150 mm diar auger met refusal. Switch 50 mm diameter Talon dr system at 1.7 m.	neter illing - - - - - - - - - -	82
- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -							3			- 10 - - - - - - - - - - - -	81
			**Frozen soil bec be seen on samp	comes increasingly more w ples GS @ 4m and GS @ 5	ell bonded with depth, m.	frost can	4			10 10	80
- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -			END OF HOLE a No well/instrume Hole backfilled w No groundwater	at 5.0 m. ent installation. vith drill cuttings. encountered during drilling			5			- - - - - - - - - - - - 10 -	178
ļ										F	
					Start Date: 25/07/2014	1		Loc	gged By: D.Kennedv		
	mad				Completion Date: 25/0	7/2014		Re	viewed By: MS/HY		
G	<i>ッハ</i> てし ~				Completion Depth:5.0	0 m				Page 1	0



Proje	ct: Mount Nansen 2014 Site	Investigation	Area: Tailings Facility	Southern Margin		Bore	ehole No: BH-T-1	4-12	
Client	t: Yukon Government AAM		Northing (UTM Zone 8,	NAD 83):389440		Proj	ect No: VM0060	5J	
Contr	actor & Method: Dark Side D	rilling - Auger	Easting (UTM Zone 8, I	VAD 83):6880485		Elev	vation (m): 1100		
SAM	PLE TYPE Shelby Tube	Core	SPT (N)	Grab Sample					
BACK	FILL TYPE Bentonite Ch	ips 🙀 Bentonite G	rout Bentonite Pellets	Cement Grout	Drill Cu	ttings	Sand	Slough	h
DEPTH (m)		SUL STMBUL	SOIL DESCRIP	ΓΙΟΝ	SAMPLE TYPE	SAMPLE NO	COMV	TESTS, IENTS	ELEVATION (m)
		SILT - organic r rootlets (topsoil) - Organics contr	ich (peat), trace sand, decon net test result was 25.1%.	nposed wood pieces	and	1	Coordinates ta handheld GPS. E 2012 LiDAR surv Drilling comple 150 mm diameter auger mounted o	ken with a levation from ey. • solid stem n an ATV. - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	1099
- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		SILTY SAND - f moist, brown.	ine to medium, some fine ar	ıgular to subangular ç	gravel,	2			1098
		- Grades gravel	ly below 3.0 m depth approx	imately.		3		- - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	1097
: 03:49 PM (SMART LOG) + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +		GRAVELY SAN redish/brown.	D and SILT - fine to coarse,	gravel is subrounded	d, moist,	4		- - - - - - - - - -	1096
5RAM BH LOGS - 2014-08-22.GPJ 14/09/02		END OF HOLE a Auger hit refusa No well/instrume Hole backfilled w No groundwater	at 4.5 m. I. Bedrock or cobbles/boulde int installation. <i>i</i> th drill cuttings. encountered during drilling.	ers inferred.					1095
ARO T								F	
14 SI		1		Start Date: 24/07/201	4		Logged By: D.Kenne	l	
-7 20	mary			Completion Date:24/0	07/2014		Reviewed By: MS/HY		
j C	リノノてし			Completion Depth: 4.5	50 m			Page	1 of

Projec	t: Mount Nansen 2014 Si	te Inv	vestigation	Area: Tailings Facility	- Southern Margin			Borel	nole No: BH-T-14	4-13	
Client	: Yukon Government AAN	1		Northing (UTM Zone 8	, NAD 83):389400			Proje	ct No: VM00605	J	
Contra	actor & Method: Dark Side	Drilli	ng - Auger	Easting (UTM Zone 8,	NAD 83):6880502			Eleva	tion (m): 1098		
SAMF	PLE TYPE 🛛 Shelby Tul	ре	Core	SPT (N)	Grab Sample						
BACK	FILL TYPE Bentonite	Chips	Bentonite Gr	rout Bentonite Pellets	Cement Grout	🔀 Drill	Cutt	ngs	Sand	· Slou	ıgh
DEPTH (m)	20 40 60 80 20 40 60 80 20 40 60 80 PL ₩ LL 20 40 60 80 80	SOIL SYMBOL		SOIL DESCRIP	TION		SAMPLE TYPE	SPT (N)	other ⁻ Commi	TESTS, ENTS	ELEVATION (m)
			SILTY SAND - fi occasional rootle brown. **Frozen at 0.8 r	fine to medium, occasional l lets, trace fine angular to su meters below ground surfac	enses of amorphous o bangular gravel, mois ce, -1°C, weakly bond	organics, t, dark led ice		1	Coordinates tak handheld GPS. El- 2012 LiDAR surve Drilling complete 150 mm diameter auger mounted on Wet at 0.8 meters	en with a evation from y. ed with a solid stem an ATV. below	-
1 - - - - - -			pieces approxim approximately 10 - Grades light br	nately 10 to 20mm in diame 0 to 15% total sample volur rown.	eter, ice/frozen conten ne.	ıt			ground surface, po melted ice pockets	ossibly S.	
								3			-
			END OF HOLE a Auger hit refusal No well/instrumer Hole backfilled w Groundwater en	at 3.0 m. II. ent installation. vith drill cuttings. ncountered at 0.8 m during o	drilling.						
ē					Start Date: 24/07/201	4		Lo	I ogged By: D.Kenned	у	
	mer				Completion Date:24/0	07/2014		R	eviewed By: MS/HY		
રા 🔛					Completion Depth: 3.0	JU m				Pag	e 1 of

Project	: Mount Nansen 2014 Si	te Inv	estigation	Area: Tailings Facility	- Southern Margin		Borel	nole No: BH-T-14-14	1	
Client:	Yukon Government AAN	1		Northing (UTM Zone 8,	NAD 83):389360		Project No: VM00605J			
Contrac	ctor & Method: Dark Side	Drilli	ng - Auger	Easting (UTM Zone 8,	NAD 83):6880509		Eleva	ition (m): 1098		
BACKE	LE I I PE Shelby Tul			SPT (N)	Grab Sample		tingo	° • Cond	· Slough	
DACKI	Fines (%)♦						ungs		Slough	
DEPTH (m)	20 40 60 80 → SPT (N)→ 20 40 60 80 PL W LL 20 40 60 80	SOIL SYMBOL		SOIL DESCRIP	TION	SAMPLE TYPE	SAMPLE NO SPT (N)	OTHER TES COMMENT	ELEVATION (m)	
			SAND - fine to m trace rootlets, tra **Frozen at 2.0 r approximately 10 ice/frozen conter - Grades to light END OF HOLE a No well/instrumen Hole backfilled wi No groundwater	medium, some silt to silty, tra ace amorphous organics, m meters below ground surfac 0 to 20 mm in diameter, no i nt is approximately 10 to 15 t brown.	ice fine subangular g oist, brown.	eces nded,	1 2 3 4 5	Coordinates taken w handheld GPS. Elevati 2012 LiDAR survey. Drilling completed w 150 mm diameter solic auger mounted on an <i>i</i>	 in a second second	
2014					Start Date: 24/07/2014	4	L	ogged By: D.Kennedy		
đ	mec 🔪				Completion Depth:5.0	10 m			Page 1 of	

Proje	ct: Mount Nansen 2014 Site Ir	nvestigation Area: Tailings Fac	ility - Southern Margin	Borehole No: BH-T-14-15
Client	t: Yukon Government AAM	Northing (UTM Zor	ne 8, NAD 83):389321	Project No: VM00605J
Contr	ractor & Method: Dark Side Dri	Iling - Auger Easting (UTM Zon	e 8, NAD 83):6880525	Elevation (m): 1097
SAIVI	PLE TYPE Shelby Tube	Core SPT (N)	Grab Sample	
DACK	Fines (%)◆			
DEPTH (m)	20 40 60 80 → SPT (N)→ 20 40 60 80 FL W L 20 40 60 80 SPT (N)→	SC DESCF	SAMPLE TYPE SAMPLE TYPE	Image: Constraint of the second se
		SAND and ORGANIC SILT - fine to me trace to some clay (low plasticity), som wood pieces, rootlets), moist, black.	edium, trace subangular fine gravel, e fibrous organics (decomposed	Coordinates taken with a handheld GPS. Elevation from 2012 LiDAR survey. 1097 Drilling completed with a 150 mm diameter solid stem auger mounted on an ATV. -
- - - - - - - -		- Organics content test result was 19.9	%.	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
2		SAND - fine to medium, some silt (occa diameter), moist, light brown.	asional silt clusters, few mm in	- - - - - - 1095 - - -
- - 			3	
		SAND and SILT - fine to medium, silt is	s non plastic, wet, grey.	
		no visible ice.		
		End of Borehole at 5.0 m. No well/instrument installation. Hole backfilled with drill cuttings. Groundwater encountered at 3.5 m dur	ing drilling.	
10			Start Date: 24/07/2014	Logged By: D.Kennedy
	mer		Completion Date: 24/07/2014	Reviewed By: MS/HY
j C			Completion Depth:5.00 m	Page 1 of

Proje	ect: Mount Nansen 2014 Site Inv	estigation Area: Tailings Facility - Southern Margin	Borehole No: BH-T-14-16
Clien	t: Yukon Government AAM	Northing (UTM Zone 8, NAD 83):389272	Project No: VM00605J
Contr	ractor & Method: Dark Side Drillin	g - Auger Easting (UTM Zone 8, NAD 83):6880492	Elevation (m): 1100
SAIVI	IPLE I YPE Shelby Tube	L Core SPT (N) Grab Sample	
DACE	← Fines (%)←		
DEPTH (m)	20 40 60 80 → SPT (N)→ 20 40 60 80 PL W LL 20 40 60 80 SUBJECT NO	SOIL DESCRIPTION	(N) Lds OTHER TESTS, COMMENTS
		SAND - fine to medium, poorly graded, trace fine gravel, trace silt, moist, light brown.	Coordinates taken with a handheld GPS. Elevation from 2012 LiDAR survey. Drilling completed with a 150 mm diameter solid stem auger mounted on an ATV.
- - - - - - - -			- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
2		2	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
		3	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
		SAND and SILT - fine, trace fine gravel, some organic pieces, wet, grey. **Frozen at 3.5 meters below ground surface, cloudy, hard, free ice crystals approximately 10 to 20 mm can be seen, weakly bonded.	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
		END OF HOLE at 5.0 m. No well/instrument installation. Hole backfilled with drill cuttings. No groundwater encountered during drilling.	Hard drilling from 4.5 to 5.0 mbgs. - - - - - - - - - - - - -
			-
2014		Start Date: 24/07/2014 Completion Date: 24/07/2014	Reviewed By: MS/HY
	<i>까 / /</i> ピし ~	Completion Depth:5.00 m	Page 1 of

Projec	ct: Mount Nansen 2014 Site Investigation	Area: Tailings Facility - Southern Margin	E	Borehole No: BH-T-14-17			
Client	t: Yukon Government AAM	Northing (UTM Zone 8, NAD 83):389196	AD 83):389196 Project No: VM00605J				
Contra	ractor & Method: Dark Side Drilling - Auger	Easting (UTM Zone 8, NAD 83):6880517	E	Elevation (m): 1099			
SAMF	PLE TYPE 🛛 Shelby Tube 🔲 Core	SPT (N) Grab Sample					
BACK	KFILL TYPE Bentonite Chips 🔀 Bentonite Gr	out 🔯 Bentonite Pellets 🚺 Cement Grout 🏾 🕅	Drill Cutting	gs 🟥 Sand 🛛 🤄	[·] Slough		
DEPTH (m)	20 40 60 80 → SPT (N)→ 20 40 60 80 PL W LL 20 40 60 80 PL W LL 20 40 60 80	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE SAMPLE NO	훈 OTHER TES 눈 COMMENT	S S S S S S S S		
	ORGANIC SILT dark brown.	- Some sand, decomposed wood pieces, rootlets, w nt test result was 13.5%.	vet,	Coordinates taken wi handheld GPS. Elevatic 2012 LiDAR survey. Drilling completed wi 150 mm diameter solid auger mounted on an A Ponded water on groun surface.	ith a		
	- Grades organic	silty sand below 2.0 m depth.	2		- - 1097 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		
	SAND - some sil **Frozen at 4.0 r - Strong odor do	t, grey, moist/frozen. neters below ground surface, weakly bonded. wn to 3.5 m depth.	3	Strong odor down to 3.5 depth.	5 m 1095		
- 4 	SAND and SILT	 fine, trace organic rootlets, wet, grey. 4.3 m. Bedrock or cobbles/boulders inferred. 	4	Hard drilling from 4.0 to meters below ground su	- 4.3 urface. - - -		
- - 5 - - - - - - - - - - - -	Hole backfilled wi Groundwater en	in in stanauon. th drill cuttings. countered at 1.0 m during drilling.			- 		
t					F		
		Start Date: 24/07/2014		Logged By: D Kennedy			
		Completion Date: 24/07/2	2014	Reviewed By: MS/HY			
	<i>川 // ピ</i> し [~]	Completion Depth:4.30 n	n		Page 1 of		



Project: Mount Nansen 2014 Site Investigation Area: Tailings Faci	Area: Tailings Facility - Tailings Beach Borehole No: BH-T-14-19				
Client: Yukon Government AAM Northing (UTM Zon	e 8, NAD 83):389139	Project No: VM00605J			
Contractor & Method: Dark Side Drilling - Auger Easting (UTM Zone	8, NAD 83):6880615	Elevation (m): 1102			
SAMPLE I YPE Shelby Tube Core SPT (N)	Grab Sample				
BACKFILL TYPE Bentonite Chips 2 Bentonite Grout Bentonite Pell	ets 🔝 Cement Grout 🛛 Drill Cu	Cuttings Sand Slough			
(E) HLd∃ 20 40 60 80 ★ SPT (N)★ 20 40 60 80 PL ₩ L 20 40 60 80 PL ₩ L 20 40 60 80 PL ₩ L 20 40 60 80 DESCR	IL INTION	OTHER TESTS, COMMENTS (N) NOLLAR COMMENTS (N) NOLLAR COMMENTS			
-1 -1 SAND and SILT - fine, poorly graded, m	oist, light brown.	Coordinates taken with a handheld GPS. Elevation from 2012 LiDAR survey. Drilling completed with a 150 mm diameter solid stem auger mounted on an ATV. 1 1			
-2		2			
		3 3 			
-4 **Frozen t 4.0 m below ground surface, bonded/blocky in places.	no visible/excess ice, weakly	4			
-5 - Occasional very fine thin lenses of am	orphous organics.	5 5 5.5 5.5			
END OF HOLE at 5.5 m. No well/instrument installation. Hole backfilled with drill cuttings. Groundwater encountered at 2.7 m duri	ng drilling.				
	Start Date: 24/07/2014	Logged By: D.Kennedy			
	Completion Date: 24/07/2014				

Proje	ct: Mount Nansen 2014 Si	te In	vestigation Area: Tailings Facility - Souther	n Margin	Borehole No: BH-T-14-20
Client	: Yukon Government AAN	1	Northing (UTM Zone 8, NAD 83)	:389428	Project No: VM00605J
Contr	actor & Method: Dark Side	Drill	ng - Auger Easting (UTM Zone 8, NAD 83):	5880490	Elevation (m): 1100
SAM	PLE TYPE Shelby Tul	ре	Core SPT (N) Grab	Sample	
BACK	FILL TYPE Bentonite	Chips	Bentonite Grout Bentonite Pellets	nt Grout 🔀 Drill Cuttin	gs [∴] Slough
DEPTH (m)	20 40 60 80 20 40 60 80 20 40 60 80 PL ₩ LL 20 40 60 80	SOIL SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE SAMPLE TYPE	(I) OTHER TESTS, COMMENTS ECOMMENTS
			SILTY GRAVELLY SAND - fine to medium, trace rootle moist, brown. (Fill)	ets with organic,	Coordinates taken with a handheld GPS. Elevation from 2012 LiDAR survey. Drilling completed with a 150 mm diameter solid stem auger mounted on an ATV.
1 	•		- Organics content test result was 3.8%.	1	- - -
<u>−</u> 2 ⊻			 Grades lighter colour below 1.8 m depth. **Frozen at 2.5 meters below ground surface, -1°C, ice cm in diameter, weakly bonded, total ice is approximat sample. 	e crystals less than 2 ely 10 to 15% of	Groundwater encountered at 2.0 meters below ground surface during drilling. No recovery/auger cuttings from 1.5 to 2.5 meters below ground surface.
-3			END OF HOLE at 2.7 m. Auger hit refusal. Bedrock or cobbles/boulders inferred No well/instrument installation. Hole backfilled with drill cuttings. Groundwater encountered at 2.0 m during drilling.		- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
2.GPJ 14/09/02 03:49 PM (SWARLLO)					- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
I PROGRAM BH LOGS - 2014-08-22					- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
014 S		1	Start Date	: 27/07/2014	Logged By: D.Kennedy
	mery		Completio	n Date: 27/07/2014	Reviewed By: MS/HY
- 1			Completio	n Depth:2.70 M	Page 1 of

Projec	ct: Mount	Nanse	en 20	014 S	Site In	vestigation	Area: Tailings	Facility -	Southern Marg	Jin		B	oreh	ole No: BH	I-T-14-21		
Client	: Yukon G	Goverr	nmer	nt AA	М		Northing (UTM	I Zone 8,	NAD 83):38937	19		P	roject No: VM00605J				
Contra	actor & Me	ethod:	Dar	k Side	e Drill	ing - Auger	Easting (UTM	Zone 8, N	IAD 83):688050)4		E	levat	ion (m): 10)99		
SAM			She	lby Tu	ıbe	Core			Grab Sample	e				-			
BACK	FILL TYP	E	Bent ‰	tonite	Chips	s 🔀 Bentonite G	Brout Sentonite	e Pellets	Cement Gro	ut 🔀 D	rill Cu	itting	s 🖁	Sand		Slou	ıgh
DEPTH (m)	20 20 20 PL 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	40 6 • SPT (N 40 6 • W	50 1)� 50 10 10	80 80 L 80 80	SOIL SYMBOL		DES	SOIL SCRIPT	TION		SAMPLE TYPE	SAMPLE NO	SPT (N)	OTH CC	HER TES DMMENT	TS, S	ELEVATION (m)
						SAND - fine to orange mottling - Grades silty, **Frozen at 2.8 approximately END OF HOLE No well/instrum Hole backfilled Groundwater e	medium, some silt, g.	trace grav	el, moist, light bro	onded, ice		1 2 3 4 4.5		Coordinal handheld G 2012 LiDAR Drilling cc 150 mm dia auger moun	tes taken wi PS. Elevatio ? survey. mpleted wi meter solid ted on an A	red at	
	1		K			1			Start Date: 27/07/2	2014			Loc	gged By: D.K	ennedy		1
9		3/	S	7					Completion Date:2	27/07/2014			Re	viewed By: M	IS/HY		
	7115	5L	•						Completion Depth	:4.50 m						Pag	e 1 of

Mount Nansen Remediation Project 2014 Site Investigation Report and Site Characterization Update March 3, 2015



Appendix 4B – Imagery of Test Hole Locations


















Mount Nansen 2014 SI Program



Mount Nansen Remediation Project 2014 Site Investigation Report and Site Characterization Update March 3, 2015



Appendix 4C – Test Pit Logs

AMEC Environme Infrastructure a division of AMEC America Suite 600 - 4445 Lougheed Hwy Burnaby, BC V5C 0E4 tel: 604-294-3811 Fax: 604-294-4664



TEST PIT (TP-M-14-01)

Project Number: VM00605J Project Name: Mount Nansen Remediation Project - 2014 SI Program Project Location: Mount Nansen, Yukon Date: March 9, 2015



Test Pit Plan Location



al						TP Completion Date: 26-Jul-14 Logged by : HY	n ⁵
erv	/pe nd				e	Equipment: ⁴ Case CX210 – track excavtor Reviewed by :	atio
ja la	m m m	/el ¹	<mark>л</mark> 2	ິຈ	stur	Ground Elevation (m): 1192.2 TP Station: N/A	fice
) bth	nbe	ira	and	ine	lois	Coordinates (m): N 6880960 E 388032	ssi
De	San Nur Dep	0 %	% S	% ₽	% N	Soil Description	Cla
0.0 - 5.0						 Fill - Granular rock fragments, sand and silt, trace organic roots, compact, moist, brown. Notes: No garbage found at this location, no soil samples were collected. Test pit backfilled with excavated materials and bucket compacted. No ground water or seepage encountered. Test pit terminated at 5.0 m depth. Vertical walls. 	

¹ Particles smaller than 75mm and larger than 4.75 mm in diameter.

² Particles smaller than 4.75 mm and larger than 0.075 mm in diameter.

³ Materials passing sieve size 200 (0.075 mm US Standard Sieve Size).

⁴ Track excavator owned by contractor, Denison Environmental Services.

⁵ Soil are classified according to their particle size, distribution and plasticity based upon the Modified Unified Soil Classification System.

⁵ Soil are classified according to their particle size, distribution and plasticity based upon the mounted or and end of the mounted or an end plasticity based upon the mounted or an end of the size, distribution and plasticity based upon the mounted or an end of the size of the 2014.xlsx

AMEC Environme Infrastructure a division of AMEC America Suite 600 - 4445 Lougheed Hwy Burnaby, BC V5C 0E4 tel: 604-294-3811 Fax: 604-294-4664



TEST PIT (TP-M-14-02)

Project Number: VM00605J Project Name: Mount Nansen Remediation Project - 2014 SI Program Project Location: Mount Nansen, Yukon Date: March 9, 2015

Test Pit Photo





al	F					TP Completion Date: 26-Jul-14 Logged by : HY	n5
erv	/pe nd				e	Equipment: ⁴ Case CX210 – track excavtor Reviewed by :	atio
ja II	u) ara ara	/el ¹	d2	ິຈ	stur	Ground Elevation (m): 1191.6 TP Station: N/A	fice
bth	nbe th	ira	an	ine	lois	Coordinates (m): N 6880952 E 388047	ssi
De	San Nur Dep	Э %	s %	% ⊩	N %	Soil Description	Cla
0.0 - 1.0	GS1 - 0.7					Waste - metals, woods, construction materials, plastic, wire, bottles, oil filter, hose, cardboard, paper, rope, plywood, nails, car parts, etc.	
1.0 - 3.1	GS2 - 3.0					Fill - Sand, gravels, compact, moist brown,	
3.1 - 3.5						Topsoil/Ash	
3.5 - 4.0	GS3 - 3.6 GS4 - 3.7					Sand, fine to medium, compact, moist, tan	
						Notes: -Grab Samples (GS) were tested for moisture, pH, total Cyanide, metal, and hydrocarbon contamination. No ground water or seepage encountered. Side walls collapsing. Test pit terminated at 4.0 m depth. Test pit backfilled with excavated materials and bucket compacted.	-

¹ Particles smaller than 75mm and larger than 4.75 mm in diameter.

² Particles smaller than 4.75 mm and larger than 0.075 mm in diameter.

³ Materials passing sieve size 200 (0.075 mm US Standard Sieve Size).

⁴ Track excavator owned by contractor, Denison Environmental Services.

⁵ Soil are classified according to their particle size, distribution and plasticity based upon the Modified Unified Soil Classification System.

⁵ Soil are classified according to their particle size, distribution and plasticly backs apon the insertion of the size of t 2014.xlsx

AMEC Environme Infrastructure a division of AMEC America Suite 600 - 4445 Lougheed Hwy Burnaby, BC V5C 0E4 tel: 604-294-3811 Fax: 604-294-4664



TEST PIT (TP-M-14-03)

Project Number: VM00605J Project Name: Mount Nansen Remediation Project - 2014 SI Program Project Location: Mount Nansen, Yukon Date: March 9, 2015

Test Pit Photo

Test Pit Plan Location



al	•					TP Completion Date: 26-Jul-14 Logged by : HY	n ⁵
erv	/pe nd				e	Equipment: ⁴ Case CX210 – track excavtor Reviewed by :	atio
j II	me T) (m)	/el	d²	ູ້	stur	Ground Elevation (m): 1190.4 TP Station: N/A	fice
pth (nbe	ira	ane	ine	lois	Coordinates (m): N 6880996 E 388011	ssi
Del	San Nun Dep	9 %	% S	₩ Ε	N %	Soil Description	Cla
0.0 - 5.0						Waste - metals, wood, construction materials, plastic, wire, bottles, oil filter, hose, cardboard, paper, rope, plywood, nails, car parts, etc.	
	GS1 - 1.5 GS2 - 5.0					- White soft and moist substance found at 1.5 m depth	
						Notes: -Grab Samples (GS) were tested for moisture, pH, total Cyanide, metal, and hydrocarbon contamination. No ground water or seepage encountered. Side walls collapsing. Test pit terminated at 5.0 m depth, where a concrete slab found. Not able to determine bottom of the waste due to the concrete slab. Test pit backfilled with excavated materials and bucket compacted.	

¹ Particles smaller than 75mm and larger than 4.75 mm in diameter.

² Particles smaller than 4.75 mm and larger than 0.075 mm in diameter.

³ Materials passing sieve size 200 (0.075 mm US Standard Sieve Size).

⁴ Track excavator owned by contractor, Denison Environmental Services.

⁵ Soil are classified according to their particle size, distribution and plasticity based upon the Modified Unified Soil Classification System.

⁵ Soil are classified according to their particle size, distribution and plasticly backs apon the incenter of the source of th 2014.xlsx

Mount Nansen Remediation Project 2014 Site Investigation Report and Site Characterization Update March 3, 2015



Appendix 4D – Geotechnical Results

Mount Nansen Remediation Project 2014 Site Investigation Report Summary of 2014 Geotechnical Lab Results

						Moistu	re content	Organic	Grain Size Analysis (Summary)		Filter Compatibility			Atterberg Limits			
						Lab MC	Permafrost MC	Organic Matter	Gravel (75-4.75 mm)	Sand (4.75-0.075 mm)	Fines (<0.075 mm)	D15	D50	D85	Liquid Limit	Plastic Limit	Plasticity Index
Site Area	Test Hole ID	Sample	Depth (m)	Soil Type	Soil Description	%	%	%	%	%	%	mm	mm	mm	Index	Index	Index
Camp	BH-C-14-01	GS2	2	In Situ	Weathered Bedrock	8											
Camp	BH-C-14-01	GS3	3	In Situ	Weathered Bedrock	8											
Camp	BH-C-14-02	GS1	1	In Situ	Weathered Bedrock	5			7	67	26	<0.075	0.23	1.17			
Camp	BH-C-14-02	GS2	2	In Situ	Weathered Bedrock	4											
Camp	BH-C-14-03	GS1	1	In Situ	Weathered Bedrock	9			17	47	37	<0.075	0.21	5.51			
Camp	BH-C-14-03	GS2	2	In Situ	Weathered Bedrock	9											
Camp	BH-C-14-04	GS1	1	In Situ	Weathered Bedrock	10			26	41	33	<0.075	0.23	21.63			
Camp	BH-C-14-04	GS2	2	In Situ	Weathered Bedrock	9											
Camp	BH-C-14-04	GS3	3	In Situ	Weathered Bedrock	10											
Camp	BH-C-14-04	GS4	4	In Situ	Weathered Bedrock	6											
Mill	BH-M-14-01	GS1	1	In Situ	Weathered Bedrock	12			3	52	45	<0.075	0.15	0.84			
Mill	BH-M-14-02	GS1	1	In Situ	Weathered Bedrock	16			5	58	37	<0.075	0.11	0.86			
Mill	BH-M-14-02	GS2	2	In Situ	Weathered Bedrock	13											
Mill	BH-M-14-03	GS1	1	Fill	Fill	5											
Mill	BH-M-14-04	GS1	1	In Situ	Weathered Bedrock	4			3	60	36	<0.075	0.14	0.98			
Mill	BH-M-14-05	GS1	1	In Situ	Weathered Bedrock	6											
Mill	BH-M-14-05	GS2	2	In Situ	Weathered Bedrock	16											
Mill	BH-M-14-05	GS3	3	In Situ	Weathered Bedrock	15			6	44	50	<0.075	<0.075	1.49			
Mill	BH-M-14-05	GS4	4	In Situ	Weathered Bedrock	13											
TF-Borrow	BH-T-14-01	GS1	1	In Situ	Unfrozen	7			1	81	18	<0.075	0.13	0.33			
TF-Borrow	BH-T-14-01	GS2	2	In Situ	Unfrozen	4			0	87	13	0.078	0.14	0.38			
TF-Borrow	BH-T-14-01	GS3	3	In Situ	Unfrozen	4											
TF-Borrow	BH-T-14-01	GS4	4	In Situ	Unfrozen	16											
TF-Borrow	BH-T-14-01	GS5	4.5	In Situ	Unfrozen	17											
TF-Borrow	BH-T-14-02	GS1	1	In Situ	Unfrozen	19			0	77	23	<0.075	0.12	0.26			
TF-Borrow	BH-T-14-02	GS2	2	In Situ	Unfrozen	9			0	82	18	<0.075	0.12	0.24			
TF-Borrow	BH-T-14-02	GS3	3	In Situ	Unfrozen	7											
TF-Borrow	BH-T-14-02	GS4	4	In Situ	Unfrozen	16			0	79	21	<0.075	0.12	0.27			
TF-Borrow	BH-T-14-02	GS5	4.5	In Situ	Unfrozen	17											
TF-North	BH-T-14-03	GS1	1	In Situ	Unfrozen	34		6.6	1	74	25	0.083	0.15	0.31			
TF-North	BH-T-14-03	GS2	2	In Situ	Unfrozen	33		6.1	3	72	24	<0.075	0.13	0.36			
TF-North	BH-T-14-03	GS3	3	In Situ	Frozen	43	46		11	60	29	0.096	0.20	0.48			
TF-North	BH-T-14-03	GS4	3.8	In Situ	Frozen	24	52									1	
TF-North	BH-T-14-04	GS1	1	In Situ	Frozen	88	145		0	41	59	0.103	0.24	0.55			
TF-North	BH-T-14-04	GS2	2	In Situ	Frozen	50		22.9	0	56	44	0.096	0.22	0.52			
TF-North	BH-T-14-04	GS3	3	In Situ	Frozen	44	52										
TF-North	BH-T-14-04	GS4	4	In Situ	Frozen	17			2	68	31	<0.075	0.15	0.44			
TF-North	BH-T-14-05	GS1	1	In Situ	Unfrozen	7			20	75	4	<0.075	0.13	0.30			
TF-North	BH-T-14-05	GS2	2	In Situ	Unfrozen	17											
8	1	1							1								

Mount Nansen Remediation Project 2014 Site Investigation Report Summary of 2014 Geotechnical Lab Results

basis basis <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Moistu</th><th>re content</th><th>Organic</th><th colspan="2">Grain Size Analysis (Summary)</th><th>mmary)</th><th colspan="3">Filter Compatibility</th><th colspan="3">Atterberg Limits</th></t<>							Moistu	re content	Organic	Grain Size Analysis (Summary)		mmary)	Filter Compatibility			Atterberg Limits		
<table-container>3bed5bed5bed5bed6b</table-container>							Lab MC	Permafrost MC	Organic Matter	Gravel (75-4.75 mm)	Sand (4.75-0.075 mm)	Fines (<0.075 mm)	D15	D50	D85	Liquid Limit	Plastic Limit	Plasticity Index
nbm nbm <th>Site Area</th> <th>Test Hole ID</th> <th>Sample</th> <th>Depth (m)</th> <th>Soil Type</th> <th>Soil Description</th> <th>%</th> <th>%</th> <th>%</th> <th>%</th> <th>%</th> <th>%</th> <th>mm</th> <th>mm</th> <th>mm</th> <th>Index</th> <th>Index</th> <th>Index</th>	Site Area	Test Hole ID	Sample	Depth (m)	Soil Type	Soil Description	%	%	%	%	%	%	mm	mm	mm	Index	Index	Index
Thiom Phi-Mode Cis Sind Mixam Mixam <t< td=""><td>TF-North</td><td>BH-T-14-05</td><td>GS3</td><td>3</td><td>In Situ</td><td>Unfrozen</td><td>14</td><td></td><td></td><td>7</td><td>85</td><td>8</td><td><0.075</td><td>0.12</td><td>0.53</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	TF-North	BH-T-14-05	GS3	3	In Situ	Unfrozen	14			7	85	8	<0.075	0.12	0.53			
Thinking Birlay Birl	TF-North	BH-T-14-05	GS4	4	In Situ	Unfrozen	17											
Theom Influe Influe<	TF-North	BH-T-14-05	GS5	4.6	In Situ	Unfrozen	17											
Thirding 97-Labe Size Misse	TF-North	BH-T-14-06	GS1	1	Fill	Unfrozen	14		16.4									
Tehone9hT-46095.0	TF-North	BH-T-14-06	GS2	2	In Situ	Unfrozen	8											
TenderBin FradeBin Binder<	TF-North	BH-T-14-06	GS3	3	In Situ	Unfrozen	4			0	88	11	<0.075	<0.075	0.18			
Frame Frame Frame Frame Frame Frame Frame Frame Frame Frame Frame Frame Frame Frame Frame Frame Frame Frame Frame 	TF-North	BH-T-14-06	GS4	4	In Situ	Unfrozen	6											
Tedrom 9h7-140 Size 1 Inform 9h7-140 Size 2 9b7a 9b7a 5b7a 5b7aa	TF-North	BH-T-14-06	GS5	4.6	In Situ	Unfrozen	4											
TehoreNi-1407SizeSizeNi-1<	TF-Borrow	BH-T-14-07	GS1	1	In Situ	Unfrozen	3			0	90	10	<0.075	0.09	0.22			
In-start InstanceIn-start Instan	TF-Borrow	BH-T-14-07	GS2	2	In Situ	Unfrozen	5											
Ti-Bornov BHT-14-00 Sés	TF-Borrow	BH-T-14-07	GS3	3	In Situ	Unfrozen	5											
Teberow BHT-1400 GS0 5 mSu freemond 14 Inc	TF-Borrow	BH-T-14-07	GS4	4	In Situ	Unfrozen	3											
Tebero BHT-1408 GS1 1 Num Order 3 Image 1 93 6 0.118 0.218 7.20 Image Image TF-Borrov BHT-1408 GS2 3 Isfue Unforant 4 Image 1 94 5 0.20 0.22 0.21 0.	TF-Borrow	BH-T-14-07	GS5	5	In Situ	Frozen	14			0	75	25	<0.075	0.19	1.01			
TeborowBH-14-08GS21InfituUnforcen4AInfituInforAInfituInforAInfituInforAInfituInforAInfituInforAInfituInforAInfituInforAInfituInforAInfituInforAInfituInforAInfituInforAInfituInforAInfituInforAInfituInforAInfituInforAInfituInforInfituInforAInfituInforInfituInforAInfituInforInfituInforAInfituInforInfituInforInfituInforInforInfituInforInfituInfor <td>TF-Borrow</td> <td>BH-T-14-08</td> <td>GS1</td> <td>1</td> <td>In Situ</td> <td>Unfrozen</td> <td>3</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>93</td> <td>6</td> <td>0.118</td> <td>0.31</td> <td>7.22</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	TF-Borrow	BH-T-14-08	GS1	1	In Situ	Unfrozen	3			1	93	6	0.118	0.31	7.22			
Theorow BH-1406 GS4 J Instru Undrozen J Instru	TF-Borrow	BH-T-14-08	GS2	2	In Situ	Unfrozen	4											
TedorowInf-14-98Gs4Gs4Inf-10InfraceG-3Inf-10Inf-10G-192770.0840.0210.054Inf-10Inf-10Inf-10Inf-10G-1Inf-10G-1Inf-10G-1Inf-10G-1Inf-10G-1Inf-10G-1Inf-10G-1Inf-10G-1Inf-10G-1Inf-10G-1Inf-10G-1Inf-10G-1Inf-10Inf-10Inf-10G-1Inf-10 <thinf< td=""><td>TF-Borrow</td><td>BH-T-14-08</td><td>GS3</td><td>3</td><td>In Situ</td><td>Unfrozen</td><td>3</td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td>94</td><td>5</td><td>0.092</td><td>0.22</td><td>0.91</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></thinf<>	TF-Borrow	BH-T-14-08	GS3	3	In Situ	Unfrozen	3			1	94	5	0.092	0.22	0.91			
TF-bornow BH-714-08 GS5 A.5 In Situ Unfrozen A Interpression I	TF-Borrow	BH-T-14-08	GS4	4	In Situ	Unfrozen	3			1	92	7	0.084	0.21	0.54			
From From<	TF-Borrow	BH-T-14-08	GS5	4.5	In Situ	Unfrozen	4											
Tr.South BH-T14-09 G52 Q InStu Unfrozen 16 InStu InStu InStu Unfrozen 12 InStu	TF-South	BH-T-14-09	GS1	1	In Situ	Unfrozen	14											
TF-southBH-T1409GS33InStuUnfrozen12IC2564120.0890.2922.98ICICICTF-southBH-T14109GS44InStuFrozen252866553940.0750.110.45IC<	TF-South	BH-T-14-09	GS2	2	In Situ	Unfrozen	16											
TF-South BH-714-00 GS4 4 InStu Frozen 25 28 1 6 55 39 <0.075 0.11 0.45 1 0 1 0 </td <td>TF-South</td> <td>BH-T-14-09</td> <td>GS3</td> <td>3</td> <td>In Situ</td> <td>Unfrozen</td> <td>12</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>25</td> <td>64</td> <td>12</td> <td>0.089</td> <td>0.29</td> <td>22.98</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	TF-South	BH-T-14-09	GS3	3	In Situ	Unfrozen	12			25	64	12	0.089	0.29	22.98			
FF-southBHT-14-10GS11In SituFrozen3.53.606.33.7<0.0750.110.27II0.27IIITF-southBHT-14-10GS22In SituFrozen3.1II	TF-South	BH-T-14-09	GS4	4	In Situ	Frozen	25	28		6	55	39	<0.075	0.11	0.45			
Fr-South BH-T4-10 G52 2 In Situ Frozen 31 (1) <td>TF-South</td> <td>BH-T-14-10</td> <td>GS1</td> <td>1</td> <td>In Situ</td> <td>Frozen</td> <td>35</td> <td>36</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td>63</td> <td>37</td> <td><0.075</td> <td>0.11</td> <td>0.27</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	TF-South	BH-T-14-10	GS1	1	In Situ	Frozen	35	36		0	63	37	<0.075	0.11	0.27			
TF-southBH-714-10GSAAIn SituFrozenAAA<	TF-South	BH-T-14-10	GS2	2	In Situ	Frozen	31											
FF-SouthBH-T 410GS44In SituFrozen6511<	TF-South	BH-T-14-10	GS3	3	In Situ	Frozen	37	37										
TF-SouthBH-T-14-10GSSSIn SituFrozen38Im04753<0.075<0.0750.19Image: Second Sec	TF-South	BH-T-14-10	GS4	4	In Situ	Frozen	65											
FF-SouthBH-T-14-10GS2+32 & 3In SituFrozen2.3In <t< td=""><td>TF-South</td><td>BH-T-14-10</td><td>GS5</td><td>5</td><td>In Situ</td><td>Frozen</td><td>38</td><td></td><td></td><td>0</td><td>47</td><td>53</td><td><0.075</td><td><0.075</td><td>0.19</td><td></td><td>Non-Plastic</td><td>C</td></t<>	TF-South	BH-T-14-10	GS5	5	In Situ	Frozen	38			0	47	53	<0.075	<0.075	0.19		Non-Plastic	C
HF-1411GS11In SituFrozen10810804357<0.075<0.0750.025<11	TF-South	BH-T-14-10	GS2+3	2&3	In Situ	Frozen	23			0	59	41	<0.075	0.09	0.20	22	20	2
FF-southBH-T-14-11GS1.91.9In SituFrozen17006634<0.0750.130.390000TF-SouthBH-T-14-11GS3.92.9In SituFrozen19006634<0.0750.130.390.390000TF-SouthBH-T-14-12GS3.93.9In SituFrozen20006634<0.0750.130.390.330000TF-SouthBH-T-14-12GS11In SituUnfrozen77025.1006634<0.0750.130.390.330000TF-SouthBH-T-14-12GS22In SituUnfrozen77025.1175627<0.0750.285.5601000<	TF-South	BH-T-14-11	GS1	1	In Situ	Frozen	108	108		0	43	57	<0.075	<0.075	0.25			
H-T-4-11GS32.9In SituFrozen19In	TF-South	BH-T-14-11	GS1.9	1.9	In Situ	Frozen	17			0	66	34	<0.075	0.13	0.39			
H-F-3outhBH-T-14-12GS3.93.9In SituFrozen2006832<0.0750.130.330.03000TF-SouthBH-T-14-12GS11In SituUnfrozen7725.11175627<0.0750.130.330000TF-SouthBH-T-14-12GS22In SituUnfrozen1710175627<0.0750.285.56101	TF-South	BH-T-14-11	GS3	2.9	In Situ	Frozen	19											
H-T-4-12GS11In SituUnfrozen7725.125.1ImodeImo	TF-South	BH-T-14-11	GS3.9	3.9	In Situ	Frozen	20			0	68	32	<0.075	0.13	0.33			
FF-SouthBH-T-14-12GS22In SituUnfrozen1710175627<0.0750.285.56101010TF-SouthBH-T-14-12GS33In SituUnfrozen111110275221<0.0750.2811.8510101010TF-SouthBH-T-14-12GS44In SituUnfrozen7110224930<0.0750.586.9610 <t< td=""><td>TF-South</td><td>BH-T-14-12</td><td>GS1</td><td>1</td><td>In Situ</td><td>Unfrozen</td><td>77</td><td></td><td>25.1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	TF-South	BH-T-14-12	GS1	1	In Situ	Unfrozen	77		25.1									
TF-South BH-T-14-12 GS3 3 In Situ Unfrozen 11 C 27 52 21 <0.075 0.58 11.85 C C C TF-South BH-T-14-12 GS4 4 In Situ Unfrozen 7 22 49 30 <0.075	TF-South	BH-T-14-12	GS2	2	In Situ	Unfrozen	17			17	56	27	<0.075	0.28	5.56	1	1	
TF-South BH-T-14-12 GS4 4 In Situ Unfrozen 7 22 49 30 <0.075 0.56 6.96 1 1 In Situ Frozen 31 36 20 57 23 <0.075 0.23 8.71 1 In Situ Frozen 31 36 20 57 23 <0.075 0.23 8.71 1 In Situ In Situ In Situ Situ 36 20 57 23 <0.075 0.23 8.71 In Situ In Situ In Situ In Situ	TF-South	BH-T-14-12	GS3	3	In Situ	Unfrozen	11			27	52	21	<0.075	0.58	11.85	1	1	
TF-South BH-T-14-13 GS1 1 In Situ Frozen 31 36 20 57 23 <0.075 0.23 8.71	TF-South	BH-T-14-12	GS4	4	In Situ	Unfrozen	7			22	49	30	<0.075	0.56	6.96			
	TF-South	BH-T-14-13	GS1	1	In Situ	Frozen	31	36		20	57	23	<0.075	0.23	8.71			
TF-South BH-T-14-13 GS3 3 In Situ Frozen 19 20 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	TF-South	BH-T-14-13	GS3	3	In Situ	Frozen	19	20								1	1	
TF-South BH-T-14-13 GS2 2 In Situ Frozen 17 17 17	TF-South	BH-T-14-13	GS2	2	In Situ	Frozen	17	17								1	1	

Mount Nansen Remediation Project 2014 Site Investigation Report Summary of 2014 Geotechnical Lab Results

						Moistu	re content	Organic	Grain S	Grain Size Analysis (Summary)		Filter Compatibility			Atterberg Limits		
						Lab MC	Permafrost MC	Organic Matter	Gravel (75-4.75 mm)	Sand (4.75-0.075 mm)	Fines (<0.075 mm)	D15	D50	D85	Liquid Limit	Plastic Limit	Plasticity Index
Site Area	Test Hole ID	Sample	Depth (m)	Soil Type	Soil Description	%	%	%	%	%	%	mm	mm	mm	Index	Index	Index
TF-South	BH-T-14-13	GS2 & 3	2&3	In Situ	Frozen	18			10	59	32	<0.075	0.20	2.64			
TF-South	BH-T-14-14	GS1	1	In Situ	Unfrozen	21			7	73	20	<0.075	0.14	0.93			
TF-South	BH-T-14-14	GS2	2	In Situ	Frozen	14			9	73	18	<0.075	0.20	1.00			
TF-South	BH-T-14-14	GS3	3	In Situ	Frozen	16											
TF-South	BH-T-14-14	GS4	4	In Situ	Frozen	23	26		0	79	21	<0.075	0.17	0.45			
TF-South	BH-T-14-14	GS5	5	In Situ	Frozen	19	21		1	81	19	<0.075	0.18	0.48			
TF-South	BH-T-14-15	GS1	1	In Situ	Unfrozen	42		19.9	1	62	37	<0.075	0.13	0.45			
TF-South	BH-T-14-15	GS2	2	In Situ	Unfrozen	9			0	88	12	0.082	0.20	0.50			
TF-South	BH-T-14-15	GS3	3	In Situ	Unfrozen	17											
TF-South	BH-T-14-15	GS4	4	In Situ	Unfrozen	22			0	64	36	<0.075	0.11	0.39			
TF-South	BH-T-14-15	GS5	5	In Situ	Frozen	25	29		0	55	45	<0.075	0.09	0.27			
TF-South	BH-T-14-16	GS1	1	In Situ	Unfrozen	4			1	94	6	0.096	0.22	0.55			
TF-South	BH-T-14-16	GS2	2	In Situ	Unfrozen	5											
TF-South	BH-T-14-16	GS3	3	In Situ	Unfrozen	14			0	94	6	0.093	0.19	0.48			
TF-South	BH-T-14-16	GS4	4	In Situ	Frozen	19	27		0	60	40	<0.075	0.10	0.29			
TF-South	BH-T-14-16	GS5	5	In Situ	Frozen	45											
TF-South	BH-T-14-17	GS1	1	In Situ	Unfrozen	71		13.5									
TF-South	BH-T-14-17	GS2	2	In Situ	Unfrozen	70											
TF-South	BH-T-14-17	GS3	3	In Situ	Unfrozen	69											
TF-South	BH-T-14-17	GS4	4	In Situ	Frozen	48	51		0	53	47	<0.075	0.08	0.25			
TF-South	BH-T-14-18	GS1	1	Tailings	Unfrozen	26											
TF-South	BH-T-14-18	GS2	2	In Situ	Unfrozen	21			0	56	44	<0.075	0.09	0.23			
TF-South	BH-T-14-18	GS3	3	In Situ	Unfrozen	21											
TF-South	BH-T-14-18	GS4	4	In Situ	Unfrozen	23			0	45	55	<0.075	<0.075	0.15			
TF-South	BH-T-14-18	GS5	5	In Situ	Unfrozen	35	36		0	57	43	<0.075	0.09	0.21			
TF-South	BH-T-14-19	GS1	1	In Situ	Unfrozen	16			0	63	37	<0.075	0.10	0.17			
TF-South	BH-T-14-19	GS2	2	In Situ	Unfrozen	12											
TF-South	BH-T-14-19	GS3	3	In Situ	Unfrozen	23											
TF-South	BH-T-14-19	GS4	4	In Situ	Frozen	29			0	49	51	<0.075	<0.075	0.13			
TF-South	BH-T-14-19	GS5	5	In Situ	Frozen	22											
TF-South	BH-T-14-19	GS5.5	5.5	In Situ	Frozen	19			0	47	53	<0.075	<0.075	0.13			
TF-South	BH-T-14-20	GS1	1	Fill	Unfrozen	20		3.8									
TF-South	BH-T-14-20	GS2	2.5	In Situ	Frozen	17	21										
TF-South	BH-T-14-21	GS1	1	In Situ	Unfrozen	8			1	85	14	0.076	0.13	0.32			
TF-South	BH-T-14-21	GS2	2	In Situ	Unfrozen	15			2	67	31	<0.075	0.12	0.52			
TF-South	BH-T-14-21	GS3	3	In Situ	Frozen	17	17										
TF-South	BH-T-14-21	GS4	4	In Situ	Frozen	20	21		1	68	31	<0.075	0.10	0.23			
TF-South	BH-T-14-21	GS5	4.5	In Situ	Frozen	23											

Mount Nansen Remediation Project 2014 Site Investigation Report Appendix B Lab Testing Results



Tailings Facility Borrow Area Sieve Results



Tailings Facility – In Situ Soil Below Tailings Sieve 2013 and Historical Results

Mount Nansen Remediation Project 2014 Site Investigation Report Appendix B Lab Testing Results



Tailings Facility - Insitu Soil Sieve 2014 Results

Mount Nansen Remediation Project 2014 Site Investigation Report Appendix B Lab Testing Results



Mill and Camp Area Sieve Results



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:





Lab Number: L5165-1

Date Sampled: Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14 Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi Tested By: William Mendez

Sample Location: BH-T-14-01

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Depth (m): 1 Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradatio	n Limits	Sand Sizes And	Percent	Gradatio	on Limits
(mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	Fines (mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper
100	100			4.75	99		-
75	100			2.36	98		-
50	100			1.18	98		
37.5	100			0.6	95		-
25	100		•	0.3	84		-
19	100			0.15	60		-
12.5	100	-	•	0.075	18		-
9.5	100		•	Natural Moistur	re Content =	6.5%	

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 μm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-13

Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:





Lab Number: L5165-2

Date Sampled: Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14 Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi Tested By: William Mendez

Sample Location: BH-T-14-01

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Depth (m): 2 Soil Classification:

Gradation Limits Gradation Limits Gravel Sizes Percent Sand Sizes And Percent (mm) Passing Fines (mm) Passing Upper Lower Upper Lower 100 100 4.75 100 --100 75 100 -2.36 -50 100 1.18 100 --37.5 100 -0.6 96 -25 100 0.3 81 --19 100 0.15 55 --12.5 100 0.075 13 --Natural Moisture Content = 3.7% 9.5 100 -

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 μm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-13

Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:





Tested By: William Mendez Sample Location: BH-T-14-02

> Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Depth (m): 1 Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradatio	n Limits	Sand Sizes And	Percent	Gradatio	on Limits
(mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	Fines (mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper
100	100	-		4.75	100		-
75	100			2.36	100		-
50	100	-		1.18	100		-
37.5	100	-		0.6	99		-
25	100	-		0.3	92		-
19	100	-		0.15	65		-
12.5	100	-		0.075	23		-
9.5	100	-		Natural Moistur	re Content =	19.5%	

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 μm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C1 17-13

Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:





Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradatio	n Limits	Sand Sizes And	Percent	Gradatio	on Limits
(mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	Fines (mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper
100	100	-	a	4.75	100		-
75	100	-		2.36	100		-
50	100	-		1.18	100		-
37.5	100	-	8	0.6	99		-
25	100	-		0.3	95		-
19	100	-	0	0.15	71		-
12.5	100	-		0.075	18		-
9.5	100	-	6	Natural Moistur	e Content =	9.0%	

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 μm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-13

Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:

Site





Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradation Limit	s	Sand Sizes And	Percent	Gradatio	on Limits
(mm)	Passing	Lower Uppe	r	Fines (mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper
100	100	-		4.75	100		-
75	100	-		2.36	100		-
50	100	-		1.18	100		-
37.5	100	-		0.6	97		-
25	100	-		0.3	89		-
19	100	-		0.15	67		-
12.5	100	-		0.075	21		-
9.5	100	-		Natural Moistur	re Content =	16.2%	

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 µm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-13

> 2000 Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:

ATTN: Josée Perron, Sr. Project Manager PROJECT: Nansen 2014 Site Investigation Program



Lab Number: L5165-6

Date Sampled: Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14 Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi Tested By: William Mendez

Sample Location: BH-T-14-03

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Depth (m): 1 Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradatio	n Limits	Sand Sizes And	Percent	Gradatio	on Limits
(mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	Fines (mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper
100	100	-		4.75	99		-
75	100	-		2.36	98		-
50	100			1.18	98		-
37.5	100	-	•	0.6	93		-
25	100			0.3	77		-
19	100			0.15	52		-
12.5	100			0.075	25		-
9.5	100			Natural Moistur	re Content =	34.5%	

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 μm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-1β

Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:





Lab Number: L5165-7

Date Sampled: Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14 Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi Tested By: William Mendez

Sample Location: BH-T-14-03

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Depth (m): 2 Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradation Limits	Sand Sizes And	Percent	Gradation Limits		
(mm)	Passing	Lower Upper	Fines (mm)	Passing	Lower Upper		
100	100	-	4.75	97	-		
75	100	-	2.36	96			
50	100	-	1.18	96	-		
37.5	100	-	0.6	94	-		
25	100	-	0.3	85	-		
19	100	-	0.15	62			
12.5	100	-	0.075	24	-		
9.5	99	-	Natural Moisture Content = 33.3%				

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 μm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-13

Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:





Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14 Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi Tested By: William Mendez

Sample Location: BH-T-14-03

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Depth (m): 3 Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradation Limits		Sand Sizes And	Percent	Gradation Limits		
(mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	Fines (mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	
100	100	-		4.75	89		-	
75	100	-		2.36	89		-	
50	100	-		1.18	88		-	
37.5	100	-		0.6	87		-	
25	92	-		0.3	80		-	
19	92	-		0.15	61		-	
12.5	90	-		0.075	29		-	
9.5	90	-		Natural Moisture Content = 42.5%				

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 µm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-13

Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P,Eng.



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:





Lab Number: L5165-9

Date Sampled: Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14 Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi Tested By: William Mendez

Sample Location: BH-T-14-04

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Depth (m): 1

Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradation Limits		Sand Sizes And	Percent	Gradatio	on Limits
(mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	Upper Fines (mm)		Lower	Upper
100	100	-		4.75	100		-
75	100	-		2.36	100		-
50	100	-		1.18	100		-
37.5	100	-		0.6	99		-
25	100	-		0.3	94		-
19	100	-		0.15	82		-
12.5	100	-		0.075	59		-
9.5	100	-		Natural Moisture Content = 87.7%			

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 μm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117

Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:





Lab Number: L5165-10

Date Sampled: Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14 Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi Tested By: William Mendez

Sample Location: BH-T-14-04

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Depth (m): 2 Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradatio	n Limits	Sand Sizes And	Percent	Gradation Limits		
(mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	Fines (mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	
100	100	-		4.75	100		-	
75	100	-	ų.	2.36	100		-	
50	100	-		1.18	100		-	
37.5	100	-	8	0.6	99		-	
25	100	-	6	0.3	94		-	
19	100	-	6	0.15	77		-	
12.5	100	-		0.075	44		-	
9.5	100	-		Natural Moisture Content = 50.4%				

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 μm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117

Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:





Lab Number: L5165-11

Date Sampled: Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14 Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi Tested By: William Mendez

Sample Location: BH-T-14-04

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Depth (m): 4 Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradation Limits
(mm)	Passing	Lower Upper
100	100	-
75	100	-
50	100	-
37.5	100	-
25	100	-
19	100	-
12.5	100	-
9.5	100	-

Sand Sizes And	Percent	Gradation Limits	
Fines (mm)	Passing	Lower Upper	
4.75	98	-	
2.36	94	-	
1.18	88		
0.6	79	-	
0.3	63	-	
0.15	46	-	
0.075	31	-	

Natural Moisture Content = 16.6%





SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:

ATTN: Josée Perron, Sr. Project Manager **PROJECT: Nansen 2014 Site Investigation Program**



Lab Number: L5165-12

Date Sampled: Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14 Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi Tested By: William Mendez

Sample Location: BH-T-14-05

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Gradation Limits

----_ -

Lower

Upper

Depth (m): 1 Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradatio	n Limits	1 [Sand Sizes And	Percent	Grad
(mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper		Fines (mm)	Passing	Low
100	100	-	6		4.75	80	
75	100				2.36	72	
50	100	-			1.18	68	
37.5	100	-	1		0.6	64	
25	100	-			0.3	50	
19	100	-	-		0.15	23	
12.5	96	-			0.075	4	
9.5	90	-	8		Natural Moistur	e Content =	7.0%

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 µm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-13

Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:

ATTN: Josée Perron, Sr. Project Manager **PROJECT: Nansen 2014 Site Investigation Program**



Date Sampled: Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14

Lab Number: L5165-13

Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi Tested By: William Mendez

Sample Location: BH-T-14-05

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Gradation Limits

----_ -

Lower

Upper

Depth (m): 3 Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradatio	n Limits	Sand Sizes And	Percent	Grad
(mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	Fines (mm)	Passing	Lowe
100	100			4.75	93	
75	100			2.36	90	
50	100	-		1.18	88	
37.5	100	-		0.6	82	
25	100	-		0.3	63	
19	100	-		0.15	39	
12.5	100	-		0.075	8	
9.5	98	-		Natural Moistu	re Content =	14.0%

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 μm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-1β

Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:





Lab Number: L5165-14

Date Sampled: Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14 Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi Tested By: William Mendez

Sample Location: BH-T-14-06

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Gradation Limits

---_ --- Upper

Lower

Depth (m): 3 Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradatio	n Limits	S	and Sizes And	Percent	Grad
(mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper		Fines (mm)	Passing	Low
100	100				4.75	100	
75	100				2.36	99	
50	100	-			1.18	98	
37.5	100				0.6	89	
25	100				0.3	66	
19	100				0.15	40	
12.5	100	-			0.075	11	
9.5	100				Natural Moistur	e Content =	4.2%

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 µm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-13

Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:





Lab Number: L5165-15

Date Sampled: Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14 Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi Tested By: William Mendez

Sample Location: BH-T-14-07

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Depth (m): 1 Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradation Limits	Sand Sizes And	Percent	Gradation Limits	
(mm)	Passing	Lower Upper	Fines (mm)	Passing	Lower Upper	
100	100	-	4.75	100	-	
75	100		2.36	100	-	
50	100	-	1.18	100	-	
37.5	100	-	0.6	98	-	
25	100	-	0.3	84	-	
19	100	-	0.15	52	-	
12.5	100	-	0.075	10	-	
9.5	100	-	Natural Moisture Content = 3.5%			

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 μm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-1β

Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:





Lab Number: L5165-16

Date Sampled: Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14 Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi Tested By: William Mendez

Sample Location: BH-T-14-07

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Gradation Limits

------- Upper

Lower

Depth (m): 5 Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradatio	n Limits		Sand Sizes And	Percent	Grad
(mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper		Fines (mm)	Passing	Lowe
100	100				4.75	100	
75	100		-		2.36	99	
50	100	-			1.18	99	
37.5	100				0.6	95	
25	100	-			0.3	83	
19	100				0.15	60	
12.5	100				0.075	25	
9.5	100			ľ	Natural Moistur	re Content =	14.4%

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06
Materials finer than 75 μ m (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-13
anne
Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:





Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradation Limits	Sand Sizes And	Percent	Gradation Limits	
(mm)	Passing	Lower Upper	Fines (mm)	Passing	Lower Upper	
100	100	-	4.75	99	-	
75	100	-	2.36	99	-	
50	100	-	1.18	98	-	
37.5	100	-	0.6	94	-	
25	100	-	0.3	72	-	
19	100	-	0.15	38	-	
12.5	100	-	0.075	6	-	
9.5	100	-	Natural Moisture Content = 3.2%			

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 μm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-13

Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:





Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradation Limits		Sand Sizes And	Percent	Gradation Limits	
(mm)	Passing	Lower U	Jpper	Fines (mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper
100	100	-		4.75	99	-	
75	100	-		2.36	98	-	
50	100	-		1.18	96	-	
37.5	100	-		0.6	89		-
25	100	-1		0.3	64		-
19	100	-		0.15	31	-	
12.5	100	-		0.075	5		-
9.5	100	-		Natural Moisture Content = 3.0%			

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 μm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-¹(3

Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:



Lab Number: L5165-19

Date Sampled: Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14 Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi Tested By: William Mendez

Sample Location: BH-T-14-08

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Depth (m): 4 Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradation Limits		Sand Sizes Ar		Percent	Gradation Limits	
(mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	Fines (n	nm)	Passing	Lower	Upper
100	100	-		4.75		99	-	
75	100		-	2.36		98		-
50	100	-		1.18		97	-	
37.5	100	-		0.6		91		-
25	100		-	0.3		67		-
19	100		•	0.15		34		-
12.5	100		-	0.075	5	7		-
9.5	100		-	Natural Moisture Content = 3.4%				

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 µm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-13

Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.

Reporting of these test results constitutes a testing services only. Engineering interpretation or evaluation of these test results is provided only on written request. The data presented is for the sole use of the client stipulated above.

ATTN: Josée Perron, Sr. Project Manager **PROJECT: Nansen 2014 Site Investigation Program**



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:





Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradatio	Sand Size	
(mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	Fines (
100	100			4.75
75	100	-		2.36
50	100	-		1.18
37.5	87	-		0.6
25	87		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.3
19	82	-		0.15
12.5	80	-		0.07
9.5	78	-		Natural

Sand Sizes And	Percent	Gradation Limits		
Fines (mm)	Passing	Lower Upper		
4.75	75	-		
2.36	73	-		
1.18	72	-		
0.6	67	-		
0.3	51	-		
0.15	29	-		
0.075	12	-		

Natural Moisture Content = 12.3%

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 μm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-13

Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:

Lab Number: L5165-21





Date Sampled: Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14 Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi Tested By: William Mendez Sample Location: BH-T-14-09

> Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Depth (m): 4 Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradation Limits		Sand Sizes And	Percent	Gradation Limits	
(mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	Fines (mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper
100	100			4.75	94	-	
75	100	-		2.36	94		-
50	100	-		1.18	93	-	
37.5	100		-	0.6	90		-
25	100		-	0.3	80		-
19	96		-	0.15	64		-
12.5	94		•	0.075	39		-
9.5	94		•	Natural Moisture Content = 25.3%			

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 μm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-13

Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:

ATTN: Josée Perron, Sr. Project Manager PROJECT: Nansen 2014 Site Investigation Program



Lab Number: L5165-22

Date Sampled: Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14 Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi Tested By: William Mendez

Sample Location: BH-T-14-10

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Depth (m): 1 Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradation Limits		Sand Sizes And	Percent	Gradation Limits	
(mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	Fines (mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper
100	100	-		4.75	100	-	
75	100	-		2.36	100		
50	100	-		1.18	100	-	
37.5	100	-		0.6	99		-
25	100		-	0.3	90		-
19	100		-	0.15	67	0	-
12.5	100		-	0.075	37		-
9.5	100		•	Natural Moistu	re Content =	35.3%	

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 μm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-13

Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:

ATTN: Josée Perron, Sr. Project Manager **PROJECT: Nansen 2014 Site Investigation Program**



Lab Number: L5165-23

Date Sampled: Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14 Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi Tested By: William Mendez

Sample Location: BH-T-14-10

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Depth (m): 2+3 combined Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradation Limits		Sand Sizes And	Percent	Gradation Limits	
(mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	Fines (mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper
100	100	-		4.75	100	-	
75	100			2.36	100	-	
50	100	-		1.18	100	-	
37.5	100	-		0.6	99		-
25	100		•	0.3	95		-
19	100	-		0.15	80		-
12.5	100	-		0.075	41		-
9.5	100			Natural Moistu	re Content =	33.2%	

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 µm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-13

Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.


SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:

ATTN: Josée Perron, Sr. Project Manager **PROJECT: Nansen 2014 Site Investigation Program**



Lab Number: L5165-24

Date Sampled: Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14 Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi Tested By: Mark Elmasry

Sample Location: BH-T-14-10

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Gradation Limits

Upper

Lower

Depth (m): 5 Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradation Limits		Sand Sizes And	Percent	Grad
(mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	Fines (mm)	Passing	Lowe
100	100	-	R.	4.75	100	
75	100	-		2.36	100	
50	100	-		1.18	100	
37.5	100	-	6	0.6	99	
25	100	-		0.3	95	
19	100	-	0	0.15	81	
12.5	100	-	6	0.075	53	
9.5	100	-		Natural Moistur	re Content =	38.2%

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 μm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-13

Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:





Lab Number: L5165-25

Date Sampled: Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14 Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi Tested By: Mark Elmasry

Sample Location: BH-T-14-11

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Depth (m): 1 Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradatio	n Limits	Sand Size
(mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	Fines (
100	100	-	ē.	4.7
75	100	-		2.3
50	100	-		1.18
37.5	100	-		0.6
25	100			0.3
19	100	-	0	0.1
12.5	100			0.07
9.5	100	-		Natura

Percent	Gradation Limit	
Passing		
100	-	
100	-	
100	-	
98		-
91		-
75		-
57		-
	Percent Passing 100 100 98 91 75 57	PercentGradationPassingLower100-100-100-98-91-75-57-

Natural Moisture Content = 108.1%

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 μ m (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-13

Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:

ATTN: Josée Perron, Sr. Project Manager PROJECT: Nansen 2014 Site Investigation Program



Lab Number: L5165-26

Date Sampled: Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14 Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi Tested By: Mark Elmasry

Sample Location: BH-T-14-11

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Depth (m): 1.9 Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradation Limits		Sand Sizes And	Percent	Gradati	on Limit
(mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	Fines (mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper
100	100			4.75	100		-
75	100			2.36	100		-
50	100	-		1.18	99		-
37.5	100	-		0.6	95		-
25	100	-		0.3	81		-
19	100	-		0.15	58		-
12.5	100	-		0.075	34		-
9.5	100			Natural Moistur	re Content =	16.6%	

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 μm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-13 Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:

Lab Number: L5165-27





Date Sampled: Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14 Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi Tested By: Mark Elmasry

Sample Location: BH-T-14-11

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Depth (m): 3.9 Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradatio	n Limits	Sand Sizes And	Perc
(mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	Fines (mm)	Pass
100	100	-		4.75	10
75	100	-		2.36	10
50	100	-		1.18	99
37.5	100	-		0.6	96
25	100	-		0.3	84
19	100	-		0.15	58
12.5	100	-		0.075	32
9.5	100	-		Natural Moistur	e Cont

;	Sand Sizes And	Percent	Gradation Limit							
·	Fines (mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper						
	4.75	100		-						
	2.36	100	-							
	1.18	99	-							
	0.6	96	-0							
	0.3	84		-						
	0.15	58	-							
	0.075 32 -		-							
	Natural Moisture Content = 20.4%									

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 μm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-1\$

Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:



ATTN: Josée Perron, Sr. Project Manager

Date Sampled: Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14 Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi Tested By: Mark Elmasry Sample Location: BH-T-14-12

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Depth (m): 2 Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradation Limits		Sand Sizes And	Percent	Gradatio	on Limits
(mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	Fines (mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper
100	100			4.75	83		-
75	100	-		2.36	74		-
50	100	-		1.18	67		-
37.5	100	-		0.6	61		-
25	100	-		0.3	52		-
19	100	-	0	0.15	39		-
12.5	98	-	0	0.075	27		-
9.5	93	-		Natural Moistur	re Content =	17.0%	

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 μm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-1\$ mo

Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:



ATTN: Josée Perron, Sr. Project Manager PROJECT: Nansen 2014 Site Investigation Program

	Date Sampled:
	Date Received: 8-Aug-14
	Date Tested: 13-Aug-14
-	Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi
	Tested By: Mark Elmasry
	Sample Location: BH-T-14-12
	Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site
	Depth (m): 3

Lab Number: L5165-29

Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradation Limits		Sand Sizes And	Percent	Gradatio	on Limits
(mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	Fines (mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper
100	100			4.75	73		-
75	100			2.36	63		-
50	100			1.18	56		-
37.5	100			0.6	50		-
25	100			0.3	42		-
19	91		•	0.15	31		-
12.5	86		-	0.075	21		-
9.5	82		-	Natural Moistu	re Content =	11.1%	

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 μm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-13 Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:

Site



ATTN:	Josée Perron, Sr. Project Manager
PROJECT:	Nansen 2014 Site Investigation Program

Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradation Limits		Sand Sizes And	Percent	Gradatio	on Limits
(mm)	Passing	Lower l	Upper	Fines (mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper
100	100	-	- 4		78	3	-
75	100	-		2.36	67		-
50	100	-		1.18	58		-
37.5	100	-		0.6	51		-
25	100	-		0.3	43		-
19	100	-		0.15	35		-
12.5	96	-		0.075	30	3	-
9.5	93	-		Natural Moisture Content = 6.7%			

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 μm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-13

Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:

ATTN: Josée Perron, Sr. Project Manager PROJECT: Nansen 2014 Site Investigation Program



Lab Number: L5165-31

Date Sampled: Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14 Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi Tested By: Mark Elmasry

Sample Location: BH-T-14-13

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Depth (m): 1 Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradation Limits		Sand Sizes And	Percent	Gradati	on Limits
(mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	Fines (mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper
100	100		-	4.75	80		-
75	100		-	2.36	74		-
50	100	-		1.18	70		-
37.5	100			0.6	65		-
25	100		•	0.3	56		-
19	87		-	0.15	43		-
12.5	87			0.075	23		-
9.5	86			Natural Moisture Content = 31.4%			

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 μm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-1β

Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:

ATTN: Josée Perron, Sr. Project Manager PROJECT: Nansen 2014 Site Investigation Program



Lab Number: L5165-32

Date Sampled: Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14 Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi Tested By: William Mendez

Sample Location: BH-T-14-13

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Depth (m): 2 & 3 combined Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradatio	on Limits	Sand Sizes And	Percent	Gradati	on Limits
(mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	Fines (mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper
100	100		-	4.75	90	-	
75	100		•	2.36	84		-
50	100	-		1.18	77	-	
37.5	100		-	0.6	69		-
25	100		-	0.3	58		-
19	100		-	0.15	46		
12.5	99		-	0.075	32		-
9.5	96		-	Natural Moistu	re Content =	18.0%	

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 μm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-13

Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:

ATTN: Josée Perron, Sr. Project Manager PROJECT: Nansen 2014 Site Investigation Program



Lab Number: L5165-33

Date Sampled: Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14 Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi Tested By: Mark Elmasry

Sample Location: BH-T-14-14

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Depth (m): 1 Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradatic	on Limits	Sand Sizes And	Percent	Gradatio	on Limits	
(mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	Fines (mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	
100	100			4.75	93		-	
75	100		-	2.36	90		-	
50	100			1.18	87		-	
37.5	100			0.6	82		-	
25	100		-	0.3	70		-	
19	100			0.15	53		-	
12.5	100			0.075	20		-	
9.5	98			Natural Moisture Content = 20.6%				

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 μm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-13

Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:

ATTN: Josée Perron, Sr. Project Manager PROJECT: Nansen 2014 Site Investigation Program



Lab Number: L5165-34

Date Sampled: Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14 Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi Tested By: Mark Elmasry

Sample Location: BH-T-14-14

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Depth (m): 2 Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradatio	n Limits	Sand Sizes And	Percent	Gradatio	on Limits
(mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	Fines (mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper
100	100	-		4.75	91		-
75	100	-		2.36	89		-
50	100	-		1.18	87	-	
37.5	100	-		0.6	81	-	
25	100	-		0.3	63	-	
19	97	-	0	0.15	43	-	
12.5	94	-		0.075	18		-
9.5	93	-		Natural Moisture Content = 13.8%			

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 μm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-13 Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:

ATTN: Josée Perron, Sr. Project Manager PROJECT: Nansen 2014 Site Investigation Program



Lab Number: L5165-35

Date Sampled: Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14 Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi Tested By: Mark Elmasry

Sample Location: BH-T-14-14

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Depth (m): 4 Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradatio	n Limits	Sand Sizes And	Percent	Gradation Limits	
(mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	Fines (mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper
100	100			4.75	100		-
75	100	-		2.36	99		-
50	100			1.18	99	-	
37.5	100			0.6	95		-
25	100	-		0.3	75		-
19	100		•	0.15	46		-
12.5	100	-		0.075	21		-
9.5	100			Natural Moistur	e Content =	22.8%	

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 μm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-1β

Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:

Lab Number: L5165-36

ATTN: Josée Perron, Sr. Project Manager **PROJECT: Nansen 2014 Site Investigation Program**



Date Sampled: Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14 Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi Tested By: Mark Elmasry Sample Location: BH-T-14-14

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Depth (m): 5 Soil Classification:

the second se								
Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradatic	on Limits	Sand Sizes And	Percent	Gradatio	on Limits	
(mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	Fines (mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	
100	100	-		4.75	99		-	
75	100		-	2.36	99		-	
50	100	-		1.18	98		-	
37.5	100		-	0.6	94		-	
25	100		-	0.3	72	-		
19	100		-	0.15	44	-		
12.5	100		-	0.075	19	-		
9.5	100			Natural Moistu	re Content =	: 19.0%		

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 μm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-13

> Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:





Lab Number: L5165-37

Date Sampled: Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14 Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi Tested By: William Mendez

Sample Location: BH-T-14-15

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Depth (m): 1 Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradation Li	imits	Sand Size
(mm)	Passing	Lower U	pper	Fines (
100	100	-		4.7
75	100	-		2.3
50	100	-		1.18
37.5	100			0.6
25	100	-		0.3
19	100	-		0.1
12.5	100	-		0.07
9.5	99	-		Natural

Sand Sizes And	Percent	Gradation Limits		
Fines (mm)	Passing	Lower Upper		
4.75	99	-		
2.36	99	-		
1.18	99			
0.6	93	-		
0.3	77			
0.15	56	-		
0.075	37	-		

Natural Moisture Content = 41.9%

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 μm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-1β

Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:

ATTN: Josée Perron, Sr. Project Manager PROJECT: Nansen 2014 Site Investigation Program



Lab Number: L5165-38

Date Sampled: Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14 Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi Tested By: William Mendez

Sample Location: BH-T-14-15

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Depth (m): 2 Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradatio	n Limits	Sand Sizes And	Percent	Gradatio	Gradation Limits	
(mm)	Passing	Lower Upper		Fines (mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	
100	100			4.75	100		-	
75	100		•10	2.36	100		-	
50	100		•	1.18	100		-	
37.5	100		•	0.6	93		-	
25	100		•S	0.3	68	-		
19	100			0.15	41	-		
12.5	100			0.075	12		-	
9.5	100			Natural Moistur	re Content =	9.0%		

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 μm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C11/7-13

Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:

ATTN: Josée Perron, Sr. Project Manager PROJECT: Nansen 2014 Site Investigation Program



Lab Number: L5165-39

Date Sampled: Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14 Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi Tested By: William Mendez

Sample Location: BH-T-14-15

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Depth (m): 4 Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradation	ו Limits	Sand Sizes And	Percent	Gradatio	on Limits
(mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	Fines (mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper
100	100	-		4.75	100		-
75	100	-	<u>à</u>	2.36	100		-
50	100	-		1.18	99	-	
37.5	100	-		0.6	94		-
25	100	-		0.3	81		-
19	100	-		0.15	64		-
12.5	100	-		0.075	36		-
9.5	100	-		Natural Moistur	re Content =	22.2%	





SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:

ATTN: Josée Perron, Sr. Project Manager **PROJECT: Nansen 2014 Site Investigation Program**



Lab Number: L5165-40

Date Sampled: Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14 Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi Tested By: William Mendez

Sample Location: BH-T-14-15

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Depth (m): 5 Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradatic	Gradation Limits		Sand Sizes And	Percent	Gradati	on Limits
(mm)	Passing	Lower	ower Upper Fines (mm) Pas		Passing	Lower	Upper	
100	100				4.75	100		-
75	100		•		2.36	100		-
50	100	-			1.18	100	-	
37.5	100				0.6	98	-	
25	100		-		0.3	88		-
19	100				0.15	71		-
12.5	100		-		0.075	45		-
9.5	100			Natural Moisture Content = 24.9%				

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 µm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-13 72200

Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:





Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14 Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi

Sample Location: BH-T-14-16

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Depth (m): 1 Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradatio	on Limits	Sand Sizes And	Percent	Gradatio	on Limits
(mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	Fines (mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper
100	100			4.75	99		
75	100			2.36	99		-
50	100			1.18	98	-	
37.5	100			0.6	90		-
25	100		•	0.3	64		-
19	100			0.15	38		-
12.5	100			0.075	6		-
9.5	100			Natural Moistur	re Content =	3.8%	

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 μm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-13

Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:





Lab Number: L5165-42

Date Sampled: Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14 Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi Tested By: William Mendez

Sample Location: BH-T-14-16

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Depth (m): 3 Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradatio	Gradation Limits		and Sizes And	Percent	Gradation Limits	
(mm)	Passing	Lower Upper			Fines (mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper
100	100		-		4.75	100	-	
75	100				2.36	100		-
50	100		-		1.18	99	-	
37.5	100				0.6	94	-	
25	100	-			0.3	71	-	
19	100	-	•		0.15	42	-	
12.5	100	-			0.075	6	-	
9.5	100		•		Natural Moistur	e Content =		

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 μm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-13

Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:





Lab Number: L5165-43

Date Sampled: Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14 Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi Tested By: William Mendez

Sample Location: BH-T-14-16

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Depth (m): 4 Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradatio	n Limits	Sand Sizes And	Percent	Gradation Limits		
(mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	Fines (mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	
100	100			4.75	100		-	
75	100		•.	2.36	100		-	
50	100	-		1.18	99	-		
37.5	100			0.6	96		-	
25	100			0.3	86		-	
19	100		•	0.15	69		-	
12.5	100			0.075	40		-	
9.5	100			Natural Moisture Content = 18.6%				

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 μm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-13

Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.

2223



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:

Lab Number: L5165-44





Date Sampled:	
Date Received:	8-Aug-14
Date Tested:	13-Aug-14
Sampled By:	Hamid Yousefbeigi
Tested By:	William Mendez

Sample Location: BH-T-14-17

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Depth (m): 4 Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradation Limits	Sand Sizes And	Percent	Gradation Limits	
(mm)	Passing	Lower Upper	Fines (mm)	Passing	Lower Upper	
100	100		4.75	100	-	
75	100	-	2.36	100	-	
50	100	-	1.18	99	-	
37.5	100	-	0.6	98		
25	100	-	0.3	90	-	
19	100	-	0.15	72	-	
12.5	100	-	0.075	47	-	
9.5	100		Natural Moisture Content = 48.0%			

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 μm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-13

Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P Eng.

22220



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:

ATTN: Josée Perron, Sr. Project Manager PROJECT: Nansen 2014 Site Investigation Program



Lab Number: L5165-45

Date Sampled: Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14 Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi Tested By: Mark Elmasry

Sample Location: BH-T-14-18

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Depth (m): 2 Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradatio	n Limits	Sand Sizes And	Percent	Gradation Limits		
(mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	Fines (mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	
100	100	-		4.75	100		-	
75	100	-		2.36	100		-	
50	100	-		1.18	100	•		
37.5	100			0.6	100		-	
25	100	-		0.3	93		-	
19	100	-		0.15	75	4	-	
12.5	100	-		0.075	44		-	
9.5	100	-		Natural Moisture Content = 20.9%				

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 μm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-13

Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:





Lab Number: L5165-46

Date Sampled: Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14 Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi Tested By: William Mendez

Sample Location: BH-T-14-18

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Depth (m): 4 Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradation Limits		Sand Sizes And	Percent	Gradation Limits		
(mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	Fines (mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	
100	100			4.75	100		-	
75	100			2.36	100		-	
50	100	-		1.18	100			
37.5	100			0.6	100		-	
25	100			0.3	97		-	
19	100			0.15	85		-	
12.5	100			0.075	55		-	
9.5	100			Natural Moisture Content = 22.8%				

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 μm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-1β

Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.

ma



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:





Lab Number: L5165-47
Date Sampled:

Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14 Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi Tested By: William Mendez

Sample Location: BH-T-14-18

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Depth (m): 5 Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradation Limits		Sand Sizes And	Percent	Gradation Limits		
(mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	Fines (mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	
100	100	- 4.75 100		100				
75	100			2.36	100		-	
50	100	-		1.18	100	-		
37.5	100	-		0.6	99		-	
25	100	-		0.3	94		-	
19	100	-		0.15	78		-	
12.5	100	-		0.075	43		-	
9.5	100			Natural Moisture Content = 35.5%				

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 μm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-1

Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:





Lab Number: L5165-48

Date Sampled: Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14 Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi Tested By: William Mendez

Sample Location: BH-T-14-19

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Depth (m): 1 Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradatio	n Limits	Sand Sizes And	Percent	Gradatio	Gradation Limits	
(mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	Fines (mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	
100	100			4.75	100		-	
75	100	-		2.36	100		-	
50	100	-		1.18	100	-		
37.5	100			0.6	100		-	
25	100			0.3	96		-	
19	100	-		0.15	84		-	
12.5	100	-		0.075	37		-	
9.5	100	-		Natural Moisture Content = 16.0%				

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 μm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C11¹/₇-13

Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:





Lab Number: L5165-49

Date Sampled: Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14 Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi Tested By: William Mendez

Sample Location: BH-T-14-19

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Depth (m): 4 Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradation Limits		Sand Sizes And	Percent	Gradation Limits	
(mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	Fines (mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper
100	100			4.75	100		-
75	100		·	2.36	100		-
50	100			1.18	100		-
37.5	100			0.6	100		-
25	100			0.3	99		-
19	100			0.15	93		-
12.5	100			0.075	51		-
9.5	100		•	Natural Moisture Content = 28.7%			

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 μm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-13

Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:





Lab Number: L5165-50

Date Sampled: Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14 Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi Tested By: William Mendez

Sample Location: BH-T-14-19

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Depth (m): 5.5 Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradation Limits		Sand Sizes And	Percent	Gradatio	Gradation Limits	
(mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	Fines (mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	
100	100			4.75	100		-	
75	100			2.36	100		-	
50	100			1.18	100		-	
37.5	100			0.6	100		-	
25	100			0.3	99		-	
19	100			0.15	95		-	
12.5	100			0.075	53			
9.5	100			Natural Moisture Content = 19.0%				

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 μm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-13

Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:





Lab Number: L5165-51

Date Sampled: Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14 Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi Tested By: William Mendez

Sample Location: BH-T-14-21

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Depth (m): 1 Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradatio	n Limits	Sand Sizes And	Percent	Gradati	on Limits	
(mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	Fines (mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	
100	100			4.75	99		-	
75	100			2.36	98		-	
50	100			1.18	97		-	
37.5	100			0.6	94		-	
25	100			0.3	84		-	
19	100			0.15	64			
12.5	100			0.075	14		-	
9.5	100			Natural Moisture Content = 8.3%				

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 μm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-13

Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:





Lab Number: L5165-52

Date Sampled: Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14 Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi Tested By: William Mendez

Sample Location: BH-T-14-21

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Depth (m): 2 Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradation Limits		Sand Sizes And	Percent	Gradation Limits	
(mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	Fines (mm)	Passing	Lower Upper	
100	100			4.75	98	-	
75	100		•	2.36	95	-	
50	100	-		1.18	92	-	
37.5	100		-	0.6	87	-	
25	100			0.3	79	-	
19	100		•	0.15	65	-	
12.5	100			0.075	31		
9.5	100			Natural Moisture Content = 14.9%			

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 μm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117/13

Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.

Zanzo



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:

ATTN: Josée Perron, Sr. Project Manager PROJECT: Nansen 2014 Site Investigation Program



Lab Number: L5165-53

Date Sampled: Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14 Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi Tested By: William Mendez

Sample Location: BH-T-14-21

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Depth (m): 4 Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradation Limits		Sand Sizes And	Percent	Gradatio	on Limits	
(mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	Fines (mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	
100	100			4.75	99		-	
75	100			2.36	99		-	
50	100	-		1.18	98	8	-	
37.5	100		-	0.6	97		-	
25	100		-	0.3	91		-	
19	100			0.15	78		-	
12.5	100			0.075	31		-	
9.5	100		•	Natural Moisture Content = 19.8%				

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 μm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-13

Reviewed By: Theodore Atanes, P Eng.

577827



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:

ATTN: Josée Perron, Sr. Project Manager PROJECT: Nansen 2014 Site Investigation Program



Lab Number: L5165-54

Date Sampled: Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14 Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi Tested By: William Mendez

Sample Location: BH-C-14-02

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Depth (m): 1 Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Gravel Sizes Percent Gradation Limits		Sand Sizes A	nd Percent	Gradation Limit		
(mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	Fines (mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper
100	100	-		4.75	93		-
75	100			2.36	89	-	
50	100	-		1.18	83	-	
37.5	100			0.6	71		-
25	100			0.3	56	-	
19	100		•	0.15	39	-	
12.5	96			0.075	25		-
9.5	96			Natural Moisture Content = 5.3%			

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 μm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-13

Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:

ATTN: Josée Perron, Sr. Project Manager PROJECT: Nansen 2014 Site Investigation Program



Lab Number: L5165-55

Date Sampled: Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14 Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi Tested By: William Mendez

Sample Location: BH-M-14-02

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Depth (m): 1 Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradation Limits		Sand Sizes And	Percent	Gradation Limits	
(mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	Fines (mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper
100	100	-		4.75	95	-	
75	100	-		2.36	92	-	
50	100	-		1.18	89	-	
37.5	100	-		0.6	82	-	
25	100			0.3	65		-
19	100		•	0.15	50		-
12.5	100			0.075	37		-
9.5	98			Natural Moisture Content = 15.5%			

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 μm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-1β

Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:

ATTN: Josée Perron, Sr. Project Manager PROJECT: Nansen 2014 Site Investigation Program



Lab Number: L5165-56

Date Sampled: Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14 Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi Tested By: William Mendez

Sample Location: BH-C-14-03

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Depth (m): 1 Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradation Limits		Sand Sizes And	Percent	Gradatio	on Limits
(mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	Fines (mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper
100	100	-		4.75	83	-	
75	100	-		2.36	75	-	
50	100	-		1.18	68	-	
37.5	100	-		0.6	62		-
25	100			0.3	55	-	
19	100	-		0.15	47	-	
12.5	97			0.075	37		-
9.5	94			Natural Moisture Content = 9.1%			

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 μm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-13

Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:





Lab Number: L5165-57

Date Sampled: Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14 Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi Tested By: William Mendez

Sample Location: BH-C-14-04

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Depth (m): 1 Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Percent	ercent Gradation Limits		Sand Sizes And	Percent	Gradation Limits		
(mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	Fines (mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	
100	100	-		4.75	74	-		
75	100	-		2.36	71	-		
50	100	-		1.18	66	-		
37.5	100			0.6	61	-		
25	86	-		0.3	54	-		
19	84	-		0.15	45	-		
12.5	79			0.075	33		-	
9.5	77			Natural Moisture Content = 9.9%				

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 μm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-13

Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:

ATTN: Josée Perron, Sr. Project Manager PROJECT: Nansen 2014 Site Investigation Program



Lab Number: L5165-58

Date Sampled: Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14 Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi Tested By: William Mendez

Sample Location: BH-M-14-01

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Depth (m): 1 Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradation Limits		Sand Sizes And	Percent	Gradation Limit	
(mm)	Passing	Lower U	Jpper	Fines (mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper
100	100	-		4.75	97	-	
75	100	-		2.36	95	-	
50	100	-		1.18	90	-	
37.5	100	-		0.6	81		-
25	100	-		0.3	67		-
19	100	-		0.15	55		-
12.5	99	-		0.075	45		-
9.5	98	-		Natural Moisture Content = 11.9%			

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 μm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-13

Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:

ATTN: Josée Perron, Sr. Project Manager **PROJECT: Nansen 2014 Site Investigation Program**



Lab Number: L5165-59

Date Sampled: Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14 Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi Tested By: William Mendez

Sample Location: BH-M-14-04

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Depth (m): 1 Soil Classification:

							the same second s	
Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradation Limits			Sand Sizes And	Percent	Gradatio	on Limits
(mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper		Fines (mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper
100	100				4.75	97	-	
75	100	-			2.36	93	-	
50	100	-			1.18	88	-	
37.5	100	,	-		0.6	80		-
25	100		-		0.3	67		-
19	100	-			0.15	53		-
12.5	100				0.075	36		-
9.5	99				Natural Moistur	e Content =	4.1%	

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 μm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C11λ-13

> mnd Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.
AMEC Environment & Infrastructure #110 - 18568 - 96th Avenue Surrey British Columbia Canada, V4N 3P9 Tell: 604-295-8657 Fax: 604-295-8658



SIEVE ANALYSIS REPORT

CLIENT: Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 15-Aug-14 Client P.O.:

ATTN: Josée Perron, Sr. Project Manager PROJECT: Nansen 2014 Site Investigation Program



Lab Number: L5165-60

Date Sampled: Date Received: 8-Aug-14 Date Tested: 13-Aug-14 Sampled By: Hamid Yousefbeigi Tested By: William Mendez

Sample Location: BH-M-14-05

Source: Mt. Nansen Mine Site

Depth (m): 3 Soil Classification:

Gravel Sizes	Percent	Gradation Limits		Sand Sizes And	Percent	Gradatio	on Limits
(mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper	Fines (mm)	Passing	Lower	Upper
100	100	-		4.75	94		-
75	100	-		2.36	89		-
50	100	-	•)	1.18	84		-
37.5	100	-		0.6	77		-
25	100	-	6	0.3	69		-
19	100	-		0.15	60		-
12.5	98	-		0.075	50		-
9.5	98	-	•	Natural Moistu	re Content =	14.7%	

Comments: Sieve Analysis test was conducted in accordance with ASTM C136-06 Materials finer than 75 μm (No. 200) sieve were tested in accordance with ASTM C117-13

Reviewed By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.

Reporting of these test results constitutes a testing services only. Engineering interpretation or evaluation of these test results is provided only on written request. The data presented is for the sole use of the client stipulated above. AMEC Environment & Infrastructure 4445 Lougheed Highway Burnaby, British Columbia Canada, V5C 0E4 Tell: 604-294-3811 Fax: 604-294-4664

ATTERBERG LIMITS REPORT

Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.3001 Date: September 23, 2014 Client P.O. Number: CC: Hamid Yousefbeigi - AMEC

Attention: Josee Perron

PROJECT: Nansen 2014 SI Lab Program

Resu	ults S	Sumn	narv

Borehole Location	Sample Type & Depth		Elevation	Natural Moisture	Atte	erberg Limits	Retained on 425-μm	Soil	
	Number	(11)	(11)	Content (%)	LL	PL	PI	Sieve (%)	Type
BH-T-14-10	GS2 & GS3	2&3	-	33	22	20	2	3	ML
BH-T-14-10	GS5	5		38					NP
				•					

PLASTICITY CHART FOR SOILS PASSING 425-µm SIEVE



Lab Number: L5165

)ate sampled: 5-Aug-14

Sampled By: DK

ate Received: 8-Aug-14

Tested By: RL

Date Tested: 13-Aug-14

Preparation: Dry preparation



Approved By: Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.

Reporting of these test results constitutes a testing services only. Engineering interpretation or evaluation of these test results is provided only on written request. The data presented is for the sole use of the client stipulated above.



AMEC Environment & Infrastructure 4445 Lougheed Highway Burnaby, British Columbia Canada, V5C 0E4 Tell: 604-294-3811 Fax: 604-294-4664

amec[®]

Moisture content

Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301 Date: 23-Sep-14 Client P.O. Number: CC: Hamid Yousefbeigi - AMEC Lab Number: L5165 Date Tested: 15-Aug-14 Tested By: RL

Attention: Josee Perron

PROJECT: Nansen 2014 SI Lab Program

Hole #	Grab sample #	Depth (m)	Tare #	Mass wet+ tare (g)	Mass dry + tare (g)	Mass of water (g)	Tare container (g)	Mass dry sample (g)	Moisture content (%)
BH-T-14-01	GS1	1	HA	838.00	813.30	24.7	434.00	379.3	6.5
BH-T-14-01	GS2	2	#1	741.00	726.20	14.8	331.50	394.7	3.7
BH-T-14-01	GS3	3	SR	147.72	142.68	5.0	16.10	126.6	4.0
BH-T-14-01	GS4	4	EB	145.08	126.87	18.2	15.96	110.9	16.4
BH-T-14-01	GS5	4.5	JA	146.12	127.55	18.6	15.94	111.6	16.6
BH-T-14-02	GS1	1	1E	811.30	747.80	63.5	421.40	326.4	19.5
BH-T-14-02	GS2	2	BMW	854.90	819.30	35.6	423.10	396.2	9.0
BH-T-14-02	GS3	3	AAX	160.46	151.35	9.1	15.94	135.4	6.7
BH-T-14-02	GS4	4	#5	788.50	724.60	63.9	331.30	393.3	16.2
BH-T-14-02	GS5	4.5	ABK	143.39	124.86	18.5	16.03	108.8	17.0
BH-T-14-03	GS1	1	1J	862.50	750.60	111.9	426.30	324.3	34.5
BH-T-14-03	GS2	2	10-D	736.10	632.70	103.4	322.40	310.3	33.3
BH-T-14-03	GS3	3	SOL	995.50	863.80	131.7	554.20	309.6	42.5
BH-T-14-03	GS4	3.8	BQ1	117.13	97.86	19.3	15.99	81.9	23.5
BH-T-14-04	GS1	1	007	747.40	567.00	180.4	361.40	205.6	87.7
BH-T-14-04	GS2	2	K	764.20	630.50	133.7	365.10	265.4	50.4
BH-T-14-04	GS3	3	ACQ	145.98	106.00	40.0	15.90	90.1	44.4
BH-T-14-04	GS4	4.0	ABA	925.50	869.60	55.9	533.00	336.6	16.6
BH-T-14-05	GS1	1	REZ	966.10	939.20	26.9	554.80	384.4	7.0
BH-T-14-05	GS2	2	OF	135.34	118.21	17.1	15.91	102.3	16.7
BH-T-14-05	GS3	3	RK1	585.70	536.10	49.6	183.00	353.1	14.0
BH-T-14-05	GS4	4	ABK	151.46	132.18	19.3	15.84	116.3	16.6
BH-T-14-05	GS5	4.6	IZ	142.36	124.13	18.2	15.96	108.2	16.9
BH-T-14-06	GS1	1	AAA	127.72	114.25	13.5	15.98	98.3	13.7
BH-T-14-06	GS2	2	BD-4	131.72	123.58	8.1	15.98	107.6	7.6
BH-T-14-06	GS3	3	X2C	554.00	538.80	15.2	177.70	361.1	4.2
BH-T-14-06	GS4	4	AAY	123.81	117.42	6.4	15.86	101.6	6.3
BH-T-14-06	GS5	4.6	JD	125.16	120.79	4.4	16.01	104.8	4.2
BH-T-14-07	GS1	1	E	697.40	684.40	13.0	312.10	372.3	3.5
BH-T-14-07	GS2	2	IA	127.81	122.32	5.5	15.79	106.5	5.2
BH-T-14-07	GS3	3	JLI	122.60	117.78	4.8	15.98	101.8	4.7
BH-T-14-07	GS4	4	SN	126.26	122.60	3.7	15.93	106.7	3.4
Comments :					T	echnician :	Mark Elma	asry	

Project: Project#:	Nansen 2014 VM00605J.0	4 SI Lab P 3.301	rogram	Date: Lab#:	15-Aug-14 L5165				
Hole #	Grab sample #	Depth (m)	Tare #	Mass wet+ tare (g)	Mass dry + tare (g)	Mass of water (g)	Tare container (g)	Mass dry sample (g)	Moisture content (%)
BH-T-14-07	GS5	5	MH	953.70	903.50	50.2	555.30	348.2	14.4
BH-T-14-08	GS1	1	CCC	928.80	916.50	12.3	534.90	381.6	3.2
BH-T-14-08	GS2	2	JLI	132.94	128.68	4.3	15.96	112.7	3.8
BH-T-14-08	GS3	3	V	504.00	495.00	9.0	192.20	302.8	3.0
BH-T-14-08	GS4	4	GI	794.80	780.40	14.4	351.90	428.5	3.4
BH-T-14-08	GS5	4.5	JR	128.70	124.61	4.1	15.86	108.8	3.8
BH-T-14-09	GS1	1	OK	136.20	121.03	15.2	15.81	105.2	14.4
BH-T-14-09	GS2	2	ABS	116.64	103.01	13.6	15.95	87.1	15.7
BH-T-14-09	GS3	3	AA	918.80	842.60	76.2	225.10	617.5	12.3
BH-T-14-09	GS4	4	FRY-1	787.60	691.40	96.2	311.40	380.0	25.3
BH-T-14-10	GS1	1	A	853.20	677.40	175.8	179.60	497.8	35.3
BH-T-14-10	GS2	2	AK	73.76	59.94	13.8	15.87	44.1	31.4
BH-T-14-10	GS3	3	SL	89.67	70.02	19.7	16.00	54.0	36.4
BH-T-14-10	GS4	4	ABX	111.44	73.80	37.6	16.09	57.7	65.2
BH-T-14-10	GS5	5	B4	822.20	645.20	177.0	181.30	463.9	38.2
BH-T-14-11	GS1	1	B1-A	622.20	393.10	229.1	181.20	211.9	108.1
BH-T-14-11	GS2	1.9	LAC	387.30	360.00	27.3	195.10	164.9	16.6
BH-T-14-11	GS3	2.9	ACP	159.68	136.28	23.4	16.01	120.3	19.5
BH-T-14-11	GS4	3.9	HX	808.00	704.40	103.6	196.90	507.5	20.4
BH-T-14-12	GS1	1	YM37	145.36	89.02	56.3	16.02	73.0	77.2
BH-T-14-12	GS2	2	DZ	1027.4	958.10	69.3	550.40	407.7	17.0
BH-T-14-12	GS3	3	BB1	806.40	744.80	61.6	189.40	555.4	11.1
BH-T-14-12	GS4	4	MARY	737.00	702.90	34.1	192.90	510.0	6.7
BH-T-14-13	GS1	1	X2C	622.30	516.10	106.2	177.60	338.5	31.4
BH-T-14-13	GS2	2	ACF	147.09	127.89	19.2	16.15	111.7	17.2
BH-T-14-13	GS3	3	IS	159.72	136.76	23.0	16.12	120.6	19.0
BH-T-14-14	GS1	1	HA	858.20	785.60	72.6	434.00	351.6	20.6
BH-1-14-14	GS2	2	V	639.40	585.10	54.3	192.20	392.9	13.8
BH-1-14-14	GS3	3	ACR	158.70	139.29	19.4	15.98	123.3	15.7
BH-1-14-14	GS4	4	#5	867.20	767.80	99.4	331.30	436.5	22.8
BH-1-14-14	GS5	5	#1	698.40	639.80	58.6	331.50	308.3	19.0
BH-1-14-15	651	1	BMM	697.80	616.70	81.1	423.20	193.5	41.9
BH-1-14-15	GS2	2	B53	537.50	504.20	33.3	134.70	369.5	9.0
BH-1-14-15	GS3	3	DH	150.21	130.50	19.7	16.07	114.4	17.2
BH-1-14-15	G54	4	GI	810.90	727.60	83.3	351.90	375.7	22.2
BH-1-14-15	GS5	5		1013.9	922.50	91.4	555.40	367.1	24.9
BH-1-14-16	GST	1	RK1	623.00	606.80	16.2	183.00	423.8	3.8
	652	2	JAAU	151.37	144.58	6.8	15.99	128.6	5.3
BH-1-14-16	G53	3	1J	856.70	805.50	51.2	426.30	379.2	13.5
	654	4	K	824.30	/52.30	/2.0	365.10	387.2	18.6
BH-1-14-16	GS5	5	00	240.41	171.26	69.2	16.06	155.2	44.6
DH T 4 4 47	651	1	ACO	160.54	100.61	59.9	16.08	84.5	70.9
DH-1-14-17	652	2	AAV	133.04	84.71	48.3	15.99	68.7	70.3
BH-1-14-1/	GS3	3	ACH	168.86	106.53	62.3	16.03	90.5	68.9
BH-1-14-17	GS4	4	1E	953.50	781.00	172.5	421.40	359.6	48.0

Comments :

Technician : Mark Elmasry

Project: Project#:	Nansen 201 VM00605J.0	4 SI Lab P 3.301	rogram	Date: Lab#:	15-Aug-14 L5165				
Hole #	Grab sample #	Depth (m)	Tare #	Mass wet+ tare (g)	Mass dry + tare (g)	Mass of water (g)	Tare container (g)	Mass dry sample (g)	Moisture content (%)
BH-T-14-18	GS1	1	JP	174.53	142.09	32.4	16.08	126.0	25.7
BH-T-14-18	GS2	2	SOL	962.10	891.60	70.5	554.20	337.4	20.9
BH-T-14-18	GS3	3	OJ	167.08	140.72	26.4	16.10	124.6	21.2
BH-T-14-18	GS4	4	10-D	737.30	660.20	77.1	322.40	337.8	22.8
BH-T-14-18	GS5	5	AA	714.10	586.10	128.0	225.10	361.0	35.5
BH-T-14-19	GS1	1	MARY	631.00	570.40	60.6	192.80	377.6	16.0
BH-T-14-19	GS2	2	YM17	139.19	125.73	13.5	16.09	109.6	12.3
BH-T-14-19	GS3	3	BJ	186.18	154.26	31.9	16.13	138.1	23.1
BH-T-14-19	GS4	4	BB1	602.40	510.30	92.1	189.40	320.9	28.7
BH-T-14-19	GS5	5	JNI	138.89	116.74	22.2	16.17	100.6	22.0
BH-T-14-19	GS6	5.5	DZ	961.70	896.00	65.7	550.50	345.5	19.0
BH-T-14-20	GS1	1	IU	311.47	261.55	49.9	16.18	245.4	20.3
BH-T-14-20	GS2	2.5	OD	227.14	196.04	31.1	16.05	180.0	17.3
BH-T-14-21	GS1	1	HX	595.60	565.00	30.6	197.10	367.9	8.3
BH-T-14-21	GS2	2	G5	592.30	538.40	53.9	177.60	360.8	14.9
BH-T-14-21	GS3	3	ABN	162.50	141.91	20.6	16.18	125.7	16.4
BH-T-14-21	GS4	4	LAC	681.30	600.90	80.4	195.20	405.7	19.8
BH-T-14-21	GS5	4.5	SH	162.59	135.59	27.0	16.12	119.5	22.6
BH-C-14-01	GS2	2	AAB	166.27	155.24	11.0	16.34	138.9	7.9
BH-C-14-01	GS3	3	AA	165.62	154.34	11.3	16.23	138.1	8.2
BH-C-14-02	GS1	1	REZ	980.30	959.00	21.3	554.80	404.2	5.3
BH-C-14-02	GS2	2	JKI	166.62	160.25	6.4	16.11	144.1	4.4
BH-C-14-03	GS1	1	B1A	590.70	556.70	34.0	181.40	375.3	9.1
BH-C-14-03	GS2	2	CAN	158.59	147.23	11.4	15.98	131.3	8.7
BH-C-14-04	GS1	1	ABA	1200.6	1140.6	60.0	533.40	607.2	9.9
BH-C-14-04	GS2	2	YM44	151.43	140.49	10.9	16.09	124.4	8.8
BH-C-14-04	GS3	3	JUI	146.20	134.37	11.8	16.13	118.2	10.0
BH-C-14-04	GS4	4	YM14	170.14	161.14	9.0	16.08	145.1	6.2
BH-M-14-01	GS1	1	А	637.90	589.20	48.7	179.60	409.6	11.9
BH-M-14-02	GS1	1	CCC	988.40	927.40	61.0	534.80	392.6	15.5
BH-M-14-02	GS2	2	ACW	169.10	151.94	17.2	15.96	136.0	12.6
BH-M-14-03	GS1	1	DT	231.24	221.76	9.5	16.09	205.7	4.6
BH-M-14-04	GS1	1	007	769.40	753.30	16.1	361.40	391.9	4.1
BH-M-14-05	GS1	1	DC	168.17	159.58	8.6	16.28	143.3	6.0
BH-M-14-05	GS2	2	ABM	185.50	162.41	23.1	16.23	146.2	15.8
BH-M-14-05	GS3	3	GO2	744.20	673.80	70.4	196.30	477.5	14.7
BH-M-14-05	GS4	4	JTI-X	212.30	190.07	22.2	16.22	173.9	12.8

Technician : Mark Elmasry

Comments: Moisture Content Tests were conducted in accordance with ASTM D 42216.

Approved By: 300 Theodore Alanes, P.Eng.

Reporting of these test results constitutes a testing services only. Engineering interpretation or evaluation of these test results is provided only on written request. The data presented is for the sole use of the client stipulated above.

AMEC Environment & Infrastructure 4445 Lougheed Highway Burnaby, British Columbia Canada, V5C 0E4 Tell: 604-294-3811 Fax: 604-294-4664



ORGANIC CONTENT REPORT

Yukon Government AAM

Project Number: VM00605J.03.301

Date: September 23, 2014

Client P.O. Number:

CC: Hamid Yousefbeigi - AMEC

Attention: Josee Perron

PROJECT: Nansen 2014 SI Lab Program

Results Summary

Sample I.D	BH-T-14-03	BH-T-14-03	BH-T-14-04	BH-T-14-06
Depth	1.0m	2.0m	2.0m	1.0m
Oven-dry test specimen (g)	40.83	73.70	24.59	36.89
Mass of ash (g)	38.14	69.17	18.95	30.85
Mass loss (g)	2.69	4.53	5.64	6.04
Ash Content (%)	93.4	93.9	77.1	83.6
Organic Matter (%)	6.6	6.1	22.9	16.4
Sample I.D	BH-T-14-12	BH-T-14-15	BH-T-14-17	BH-T-14-20
Depth	1.0m	1.0m	1.0m	1.0m
Oven-dry test specimen (g)	37.82	39.92	49.84	60.00
Mass of ash (g)	28.33	31.99	43.12	57.74
Mass loss (g)	9.49	7.93	6.72	2.26
Ash Content (%)	74.9	80.1	86.5	96.2
Organic Matter (%)	25.1	19.9	13.5	3.8

Oven temperature:	440°C	Project:	Nansen 2014 SI Lab Program			
		Report Date:	22-Aug-14			
Date Sampled:		Source:	Mt. Nansen Mine Site			
Test No:	1	Type of Sample:	Bore holes			
Tested by:	GG/TA	Date Tested:	20-Aug-14			

Comments: Organic Content tests were conducted in accordance with ASTM D 2974, Method C.

Approved By:	1
Theodore Ala	ines, P.Eng.

Reporting of these test results constitutes a testing services only. Engineering interpretation or evaluation of these test results is provided only on written request. The data presented is for the sole use of the client stipulated above.

Mount Nansen Remediation Project 2014 Site Investigation Report and Site Characterization Update March 3, 2015



Appendix 4E – Soil and Sediment Results



AMEC Environment & Infrastructure ATTN: Hamid Yousefbeigi # 600 - 4445 Lougheed Hwy Burnaby BC V5C 0E4 Date Received: 23-JUL-14 Report Date: 11-SEP-14 19:28 (MT) Version: FINAL REV. 3

Client Phone: 604-295-6181

Certificate of Analysis

Lab Work Order #:

Project P.O. #: Job Reference: C of C Numbers: Legal Site Desc: L1491427 NOT SUBMITTED VM00605J 1

Comments: ADDITIONAL 01-AUG-14 16:31

6-AUG-2014 Sample L1491427-5 added for metals analyses and labeled per client's request.

Selam Worku Account Manager

[This report shall not be reproduced except in full without the written authority of the Laboratory.]

ADDRESS: 8081 Lougheed Hwy, Suite 100, Burnaby, BC V5A 1W9 Canada | Phone: +1 604 253 4188 | Fax: +1 604 253 6700 ALS CANADA LTD Part of the ALS Group A Campbell Brothers Limited Company



www.alsglobal.com

RIGHT SOLUTIONS RIGHT PARTNER

L1491427 CONTD.... PAGE 2 of 11 11-SEP-14 19:28 (MT) Version: FINAL REV. 3

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL	ANALYTICAL	REPORT
-------------------	------------	--------

		Sample ID Description Sampled Date Sampled Time Client ID	L1491427-2 Sediment 22-JUL-14 12:00 SED-VIC-14-22	L1491427-3 Sediment 22-JUL-14 12:00 SED-VIC-14-23	L1491427-4 Sediment 22-JUL-14 12:00 SED-DC-14-24	L1491427-5 Sediment 22-JUL-14 12:00 SED-DC-14-23	L1491427-6 Sediment 22-JUL-14 12:00 SED-DC-14-25
Grouping	Analyte						
SOIL							
Physical Tests	Moisture (%)		16.4	25.6	46.2	29.5	79.3
	pH (1:2 soil:water) (pH)		7.76	7.85	7.91	7.75	7.23
Cyanides	Cyanide, Total (mg/kg)		0.056	<0.050	0.22		0.78
Metals	Antimony (Sb) (mg/kg)		1.24	1.30	4.95	3.05	137
	Arsenic (As) (mg/kg)		27.0	30.5	187	112	1420
	Barium (Ba) (mg/kg)		81.6	99.1	180	99.0	374
	Beryllium (Be) (mg/kg)		<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	0.55
	Cadmium (Cd) (mg/kg)		0.380	0.483	0.823	0.434	5.78
	Chromium (Cr) (mg/kg)		5.98	8.07	12.4	7.90	22.3
	Cobalt (Co) (mg/kg)		3.68	4.69	6.46	4.39	12.8
	Copper (Cu) (mg/kg)		9.84	12.1	10.5	6.96	291
	Lead (Pb) (mg/kg)		14.9	18.4	20.5	13.4	792
	Mercury (Hg) (mg/kg)		<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	0.226
	Molybdenum (Mo) (mg/kg)		0.83	0.95	0.59	<0.50	2.24
	Nickel (Ni) (mg/kg)		4.17	5.13	9.11	6.17	23.7
	Selenium (Se) (mg/kg)		<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	1.45
	Silver (Ag) (mg/kg)		<0.10	0.11	0.40	0.19	20.3
	Thallium (TI) (mg/kg)		<0.050	0.057	0.070	0.057	0.432
	Tin (Sn) (mg/kg)		<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0
	Uranium (U) (mg/kg)		0.457	0.633	0.472	0.367	5.39
	Vanadium (V) (mg/kg)		22.6	27.3	32.8	21.0	50.4
	Zinc (Zn) (mg/kg)		49.3	62.2	108	64.6	409

L1491427 CONTD.... PAGE 3 of 11 11-SEP-14 19:28 (MT) Version: FINAL REV. 3

		Sample ID Description Sampled Date Sampled Time Client ID	L1491427-7 Sediment 22-JUL-14 12:00 SED-DC-14-26	L1491427-8 Sediment 22-JUL-14 12:00 SED-DC-14-27	L1491427-9 Sediment 22-JUL-14 12:00 SED-DC-14-28	L1491427-10 Sediment 22-JUL-14 12:00 SED-DC-14-29	L1491427-11 Sediment 22-JUL-14 12:00 SED-DC-14-30
Grouping	Analyte						
SOIL							
Physical Tests	Moisture (%)		45.9	54.8	74.8	42.4	18.7
	pH (1:2 soil:water) (pH)		7.69	7.77	7.79	7.93	7.90
Cyanides	Cyanide, Total (mg/kg)		<0.50	олы сары сары сары сары сары сары сары сар	<1.0 DLM	олы страната. <1.0	<0.050
Metals	Antimony (Sb) (mg/kg)		5.96	9.67	9.41	5.54	2.34
	Arsenic (As) (mg/kg)		212	817	702	326	108
	Barium (Ba) (mg/kg)		129	386	231	102	38.8
	Beryllium (Be) (mg/kg)		0.21	0.24	0.30	0.22	<0.20
	Cadmium (Cd) (mg/kg)		0.610	1.48	1.49	0.888	0.176
	Chromium (Cr) (mg/kg)		14.1	12.5	14.8	9.13	4.55
	Cobalt (Co) (mg/kg)		5.93	11.3	6.93	3.36	2.03
	Copper (Cu) (mg/kg)		14.4	18.4	22.2	13.8	4.45
	Lead (Pb) (mg/kg)		30.3	29.6	37.7	28.2	11.3
	Mercury (Hg) (mg/kg)		<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050
	Molybdenum (Mo) (mg/kg)		<0.50	1.16	0.64	<0.50	<0.50
	Nickel (Ni) (mg/kg)		9.29	10.8	10.0	6.14	3.66
	Selenium (Se) (mg/kg)		0.22	0.47	0.51	0.33	<0.20
	Silver (Ag) (mg/kg)		0.73	0.56	0.71	0.48	0.11
	Thallium (TI) (mg/kg)		0.083	0.123	0.139	0.081	<0.050
	Tin (Sn) (mg/kg)		<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0
	Uranium (U) (mg/kg)		0.667	0.913	0.820	0.523	0.214
	Vanadium (V) (mg/kg)		35.3	38.5	36.8	22.7	14.2
	Zinc (Zn) (mg/kg)		89.9	173	142	81.4	37.0

L1491427 CONTD.... PAGE 4 of 11 11-SEP-14 19:28 (MT) Version: FINAL REV. 3

		Sample ID Description Sampled Date Sampled Time Client ID	L1491427-12 Sediment 22-JUL-14 12:00 SED-DC-14-30 DUP	L1491427-13 Sediment 22-JUL-14 12:00 SED-DC-14-31	L1491427-14 Sediment 22-JUL-14 12:00 SED-DC-14-32	L1491427-15 Sediment 22-JUL-14 12:00 SED-DC-14-33	L1491427-16 Sediment 22-JUL-14 12:00 SED-DC-14-34
Grouping	Analyte						
SOIL							
Physical Tests	Moisture (%)		21.3	21.6	35.1	72.9	43.2
	pH (1:2 soil:water) (pH)		7.93	7.91	7.32	7.13	7.83
Cyanides	Cyanide, Total (mg/kg)		<0.050	<0.050	<1.0	DLM <1.0	DLM <1.0
Metals	Antimony (Sb) (mg/kg)		1.95	5.48	5.65	31.9	7.20
	Arsenic (As) (mg/kg)		109	120	150	1220	189
	Barium (Ba) (mg/kg)		38.4	40.9	65.2	222	74.6
	Beryllium (Be) (mg/kg)		<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	0.42	<0.20
	Cadmium (Cd) (mg/kg)		0.185	0.424	0.754	3.96	1.03
	Chromium (Cr) (mg/kg)		4.59	6.87	10.7	27.2	12.9
	Cobalt (Co) (mg/kg)		1.92	2.47	3.54	11.6	3.96
	Copper (Cu) (mg/kg)		3.63	4.66	8.21	57.2	9.19
	Lead (Pb) (mg/kg)		11.7	21.7	29.8	106	38.9
	Mercury (Hg) (mg/kg)		<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	0.066	<0.050
	Molybdenum (Mo) (mg/kg)		<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.02	<0.50
	Nickel (Ni) (mg/kg)		3.29	4.47	6.34	16.4	6.66
	Selenium (Se) (mg/kg)		<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	0.71	<0.20
	Silver (Ag) (mg/kg)		0.18	0.22	0.43	3.02	0.76
	Thallium (TI) (mg/kg)		<0.050	0.052	0.078	0.209	0.068
	Tin (Sn) (mg/kg)		<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0
	Uranium (U) (mg/kg)		0.196	0.292	0.403	1.85	0.471
	Vanadium (V) (mg/kg)		13.6	16.8	24.6	85.5	31.9
	Zinc (Zn) (mg/kg)		34.7	55.2	84.1	364	93.7

L1491427 CONTD.... PAGE 5 of 11 11-SEP-14 19:28 (MT) Version: FINAL REV. 3

		Sample ID Description Sampled Date Sampled Time Client ID	L1491427-17 Sediment 22-JUL-14 12:00 SED-DC-14-35	L1491427-18 Sediment 22-JUL-14 12:00 SED-DC-14-36	L1491427-19 Sediment 22-JUL-14 12:00 SED-DC-14-37	L1491427-20 Sediment 22-JUL-14 12:00 SED-DC-14-38	L1491427-21 Sediment 22-JUL-14 12:00 SED-DC-14-39
Grouping	Analyte						
SOIL							
Physical Tests	Moisture (%)		18.8	21.1	16.5	60.6	35.7
	pH (1:2 soil:water) (pH)		8.08	6.29	7.77	7.38	6.48
Cyanides	Cyanide, Total (mg/kg)		<0.050	олы со станование со станов Станование со станование со	<0.050	DLM <1.0	DLM <1.0
Metals	Antimony (Sb) (mg/kg)		1.04	2.36	1.62	5.48	3.19
	Arsenic (As) (mg/kg)		26.1	51.5	66.1	163	238
	Barium (Ba) (mg/kg)		38.0	51.4	28.7	132	85.3
	Beryllium (Be) (mg/kg)		<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	0.35	0.20
	Cadmium (Cd) (mg/kg)		0.171	0.375	0.158	0.884	0.434
	Chromium (Cr) (mg/kg)		7.32	9.04	4.46	20.2	12.3
	Cobalt (Co) (mg/kg)		2.73	2.52	2.08	6.45	4.09
	Copper (Cu) (mg/kg)		4.48	5.02	3.48	27.2	12.4
	Lead (Pb) (mg/kg)		5.39	11.8	9.65	29.5	13.0
	Mercury (Hg) (mg/kg)		<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	0.051	<0.050
	Molybdenum (Mo) (mg/kg)		<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.58	<0.50
	Nickel (Ni) (mg/kg)		4.88	4.93	3.63	13.0	7.87
	Selenium (Se) (mg/kg)		<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	0.51	0.23
	Silver (Ag) (mg/kg)		<0.10	0.21	<0.10	0.45	0.17
	Thallium (TI) (mg/kg)		<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	0.125	0.081
	Tin (Sn) (mg/kg)		<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0
	Uranium (U) (mg/kg)		0.306	0.325	0.195	1.41	0.559
	Vanadium (V) (mg/kg)		18.0	23.1	13.4	43.3	28.8
	Zinc (Zn) (mg/kg)		28.8	47.6	31.6	92.1	64.7

L1491427 CONTD.... PAGE 6 of 11 11-SEP-14 19:28 (MT) Version: FINAL REV. 3

	Sa Sa	Sample ID Description ampled Date mpled Time Client ID	L1491427-22 Sediment 22-JUL-14 12:00 SED-DC-14-40	L1491427-23 Sediment 22-JUL-14 12:00 SED-DC-14-40 DUP	L1491427-24 Sediment 22-JUL-14 12:00 SED-DC-14-41	L1491427-25 Sediment 22-JUL-14 12:00 SED-DC-14-42	L1491427-26 Sediment 22-JUL-14 12:00 SED-DC-14-43
Grouping	Analyte						
SOIL							
Physical Tests	Moisture (%)		17.3	17.5	25.0	28.2	25.0
	pH (1:2 soil:water) (pH)		7.82	7.88	7.57	6.94	7.98
Cyanides	Cyanide, Total (mg/kg)		0.057	<0.050	<0.50	<1.0	<0.50
Metals	Antimony (Sb) (mg/kg)		0.60	0.50	0.68	1.63	1.71
	Arsenic (As) (mg/kg)		23.0	18.6	25.2	48.2	34.3
	Barium (Ba) (mg/kg)		33.7	31.9	52.0	93.1	57.9
	Beryllium (Be) (mg/kg)		<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	0.22	<0.20
	Cadmium (Cd) (mg/kg)		0.084	0.151	0.131	1.50	0.146
	Chromium (Cr) (mg/kg)		5.90	6.31	14.9	15.7	11.9
	Cobalt (Co) (mg/kg)		2.17	1.99	3.55	4.99	3.61
	Copper (Cu) (mg/kg)		3.91	3.29	5.53	24.1	5.87
	Lead (Pb) (mg/kg)		3.57	3.51	4.37	9.67	5.53
	Mercury (Hg) (mg/kg)		<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050
	Molybdenum (Mo) (mg/kg)		<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50
	Nickel (Ni) (mg/kg)		4.14	3.66	5.84	9.94	6.34
	Selenium (Se) (mg/kg)		<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	0.23	<0.20
	Silver (Ag) (mg/kg)		<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	1.54	0.82
	Thallium (TI) (mg/kg)		<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	0.081	<0.050
	Tin (Sn) (mg/kg)		<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0
	Uranium (U) (mg/kg)		0.221	0.235	0.404	0.597	0.369
	Vanadium (V) (mg/kg)		15.2	15.6	48.6	36.6	31.1
	Zinc (Zn) (mg/kg)		23.5	26.4	34.3	155	37.2

L1491427 CONTD.... PAGE 7 of 11 11-SEP-14 19:28 (MT) Version: FINAL REV. 3

		Sample ID Description Sampled Date Sampled Time Client ID	L1491427-27 Sediment 22-JUL-14 12:00 SED-DC-14-44	L1491427-28 Sediment 22-JUL-14 12:00 SED-DC-14-45	L1491427-29 Sediment 22-JUL-14 12:00 SED-DC-14-46	L1491427-30 Sediment 22-JUL-14 12:00 SED-DC-14-47	L1491427-31 Sediment 22-JUL-14 12:00 SED-DC-14-48
Grouping	Analyte						
SOIL							
Physical Tests	Moisture (%)		24.6	45.7	25.1	19.9	17.4
	pH (1:2 soil:water) (pH)		8.04	7.80	8.12	5.71	7.97
Cyanides	Cyanide, Total (mg/kg)		<1.0	<1.0	<0.50	<1.0	<0.50
Metals	Antimony (Sb) (mg/kg)		0.98	1.43	0.96	0.84	0.81
	Arsenic (As) (mg/kg)		53.1	80.5	50.8	18.0	34.7
	Barium (Ba) (mg/kg)		59.1	78.7	56.8	70.4	32.7
	Beryllium (Be) (mg/kg)		<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20
	Cadmium (Cd) (mg/kg)		0.226	0.304	0.199	0.968	0.093
	Chromium (Cr) (mg/kg)		9.78	11.1	8.92	12.8	4.07
	Cobalt (Co) (mg/kg)		3.43	3.86	3.27	3.96	1.92
	Copper (Cu) (mg/kg)		6.91	8.05	5.60	23.2	4.60
	Lead (Pb) (mg/kg)		5.51	7.23	5.27	5.60	8.18
	Mercury (Hg) (mg/kg)		<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050
	Molybdenum (Mo) (mg/kg)		<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50
	Nickel (Ni) (mg/kg)		6.10	6.87	5.41	9.25	3.43
	Selenium (Se) (mg/kg)		<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20
	Silver (Ag) (mg/kg)		<0.10	0.13	<0.10	1.60	<0.10
	Thallium (TI) (mg/kg)		0.051	0.057	<0.050	0.064	<0.050
	Tin (Sn) (mg/kg)		<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0
	Uranium (U) (mg/kg)		0.319	0.379	0.283	0.474	0.203
	Vanadium (V) (mg/kg)		25.0	26.1	21.4	26.8	12.0
	Zinc (Zn) (mg/kg)		41.9	51.4	39.5	147	24.3

L1491427 CONTD.... PAGE 8 of 11 11-SEP-14 19:28 (MT) Version: FINAL REV. 3

	s	Sample ID Description Sampled Date Sampled Time Client ID	L1491427-32 Sediment 22-JUL-14 12:00 SED-DC-14-49	L1491427-33 Sediment 22-JUL-14 12:00 SED-DC-14-50	L1491427-34 Sediment 22-JUL-14 12:00 SED-DC-14-50 DUP	L1491427-35 Sediment 22-JUL-14 12:00 SED-DC-14-51	L1491427-36 Sediment 22-JUL-14 12:00 SED-DC-14-52
Grouping	Analyte						
SOIL							
Physical Tests	Moisture (%)		20.7	16.1	16.6	27.1	21.7
	pH (1:2 soil:water) (pH)		7.88	7.99	8.04	7.47	8.13
Cyanides	Cyanide, Total (mg/kg)		<0.50	<0.050	0.068	<0.50	0.066
Metals	Antimony (Sb) (mg/kg)		1.85	0.82	0.87	1.01	1.71
	Arsenic (As) (mg/kg)		47.1	36.3	31.9	40.7	45.9
	Barium (Ba) (mg/kg)		47.1	37.0	41.0	46.7	43.9
	Beryllium (Be) (mg/kg)		<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20
	Cadmium (Cd) (mg/kg)		0.174	0.157	0.110	0.191	0.308
	Chromium (Cr) (mg/kg)		10.4	9.58	7.36	9.46	9.36
	Cobalt (Co) (mg/kg)		3.28	2.83	2.53	2.85	3.10
	Copper (Cu) (mg/kg)		6.31	5.60	4.60	6.09	6.18
	Lead (Pb) (mg/kg)		9.94	5.10	4.23	6.87	8.35
	Mercury (Hg) (mg/kg)		<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050
	Molybdenum (Mo) (mg/kg)		<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50
	Nickel (Ni) (mg/kg)		6.43	4.76	4.40	5.50	5.76
	Selenium (Se) (mg/kg)		<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20
	Silver (Ag) (mg/kg)		<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	0.22
	Thallium (TI) (mg/kg)		<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050
	Tin (Sn) (mg/kg)		<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0
	Uranium (U) (mg/kg)		0.344	0.287	0.247	0.297	0.264
	Vanadium (V) (mg/kg)		29.8	34.3	24.3	28.4	21.9
	Zinc (Zn) (mg/kg)		41.6	31.2	30.1	36.2	48.0

L1491427 CONTD.... PAGE 9 of 11 11-SEP-14 19:28 (MT) Version: FINAL REV. 3

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

	Sam	ple ID L1491427-37	L1491427-38	L1491427-39	
	Descr	iption Sediment	Sediment	Sediment	
	Sampleo	1 Time 12:00	12:00	12:00	
	Cli	ent ID SED-DC-14-53	SED-DC-14-54	SED-DC-14-55	
Grouping	Analyte				
SOIL					
Physical Tests	Moisture (%)	22.3	28.9	36.6	
	pH (1:2 soil:water) (pH)	8.21	8.07	7.74	
Cyanides	Cyanide, Total (mg/kg)	0.088	<1.0	<1.0	
Metals	Antimony (Sb) (mg/kg)	1.30	1.04	1.57	
	Arsenic (As) (mg/kg)	36.9	36.6	65.6	
	Barium (Ba) (mg/kg)	49.0	61.6	69.7	
	Beryllium (Be) (mg/kg)	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	
	Cadmium (Cd) (mg/kg)	0.267	0.204	0.393	
	Chromium (Cr) (mg/kg)	10.2	12.4	13.1	
	Cobalt (Co) (mg/kg)	3.17	3.59	4.33	
	Copper (Cu) (mg/kg)	6.97	7.46	11.6	
	Lead (Pb) (mg/kg)	10.2	8.35	8.13	
	Mercury (Hg) (mg/kg)	< 0.050	<0.050	<0.050	
	Molybdenum (Mo) (mg/kg)	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
	Nickel (Ni) (mg/kg)	6 10	7 12	7 94	
	Selenium (Se) (mg/kg)	<0.20	<0.20	0.21	
	Silver (Ag) (mg/kg)	0.19	<0.10	0.12	
	Thallium (TI) (mg/kg)	< 0.050	0.058	0.064	
	Tin (Sn) (mg/kg)	<2.0	<20	<20	
	Uranium (U) (mg/kg)	0.278	0.355	0.460	
	Vanadium (V) (mg/kg)	28.8	27.0	32.9	
	Zinc (Zn) (mg/kg)	42.0	41 7	58.9	
		-2.0	41.7	50.5	

Reference Information

L1491427 CONTD PAGE 10 of 11 11-SEP-14 19:28 (MT) Version: FINAL REV. 3

QC Samples with Qualifiers & Comments:

QC Type Description	Parameter	Qualifier	Applies to Sample Number(s)
Method Blank	Arsenic (As)	В	L1491427-10, -11, -12, -13, -14, -15, -16, -6, -7, -8, -9
Duplicate	Antimony (Sb)	DUP-H	L1491427-17, -18, -19, -20, -21, -22, -23, -24, -25, -26, - 27, -28, -29, -30, -31, -32, -33, -34, -35, -36, -37, -38, -39
Duplicate	Silver (Ag)	DUP-H	L1491427-17, -18, -19, -20, -21, -22, -23, -24, -25, -26, - 27, -28, -29, -30, -31, -32, -33, -34, -35, -36, -37, -38, -39
Duplicate	pH (1:2 soil:water)	J,G	L1491427-2, -3, -4
Qualifiers for Individual Paran	neters Listed:		

Qualifier Description в Method Blank exceeds ALS DQO. All associated sample results are at least 5 times greater than blank levels and are considered reliable. DLM Detection Limit Adjusted due to sample matrix effects. DUP-H Duplicate results outside ALS DQO, due to sample heterogeneity. J.G QC result did not meet ALS DQO. Refer to narrative comments for further information. Duplicate expressed in terms of absolute difference.

Test Method References:

ALS Test Code	Matrix	Test Description	Method Reference**
CN-T-NAOH-CFA-VA	Soil	Total Cyanide in soil by CFA	ONMOE CN-E3015/ISO 14403:2002

This analysis is carried out using procedures adapted from the Ontario Ministry of Environment CN-E3015 and ISO Method 14403:2002 "Determination of Total Cyanide using Flow Analysis (FIA and CFA)". Total or strong acid dissociable (SAD) cyanide is determined by rotary extraction of the soil with 0.04M Sodium Hydroxide, followed by in-line UV digestion along with sample distillation and final determination by colourimetric analysis.

HG-200.2-CVAF-VA Mercury in Soil by CVAFS Soil

This analysis is carried out using procedures from CSR Analytical Method: "Strong Acid Leachable Metals (SALM) in Soil", BC Ministry of Environment, 26 June 2009, and procedures adapted from EPA Method 200.2. The sample is manually homogenized, dried at 60 degrees Celsius, sieved through a 2 mm (10 mesh) sieve (this sieve step is omitted for international soil samples), and a representative subsample of the dry material is weighed. The sample is then digested at 95 degrees Celsius for 2 hours by block digester using concentrated nitric and hydrochloric acids. Instrumental analysis is by atomic fluorescence spectrophotometry or atomic absorption spectrophotometry (EPA Method 245.7).

Method Limitation: This method is not a total digestion technique. It is a very strong acid digestion that is intended to dissolve those metals that may be environmentally available. By design, elements bound in silicate structures are not normally dissolved by this procedure as they are not usually mobile in the environment.

MET-200.2-CCMS-VA Soil Metals in Soil by CRC ICPMS

This analysis is carried out using procedures from CSR Analytical Method: "Strong Acid Leachable Metals (SALM) in Soil", BC Ministry of Environment, 26 June 2009, and procedures adapted from EPA Method 200.2. The sample is manually homogenized, dried at 60 degrees Celsius, sieved through a 2 mm (10 mesh) sieve (this sieve step is omitted for international soil samples), and a representative subsample of the dry material is weighed. The sample is then digested at 95 degrees Celsius for 2 hours by block digester using concentrated nitric and hydrochloric acids. Instrumental analysis of the digested extract is by collision cell inductively coupled plasma - mass spectrometry (modifed from EPA Method 6020A).

Method Limitation: This method is not a total digestion technique. It is a very strong acid digestion that is intended to dissolve those metals that may be environmentally available. By design, elements bound in silicate structures are not normally dissolved by this procedure as they are not usually mobile in the environment.

MOISTURE-VA

Soil Moisture content

This analysis is carried out gravimetrically by drying the sample at 105 C for a minimum of six hours.

PH-1:2-VA Soil

pH in Soil (1:2 Soil:Water Extraction)

BC WLAP METHOD: PH, ELECTROMETRIC, SOIL

ASTM D2974-00 Method A

This analysis is carried out in accordance with procedures described in the pH, Electrometric in Soil and Sediment method - Section B Physical/Inorganic and Misc. Constituents, BC Environmental Laboratory Manual 2007. The procedure involves mixing the dried (at <60°C) and sieved (No. 10 / 2mm) sample with deionized/distilled water at a 1:2 ratio of sediment to water. The pH of the solution is then measured using a standard pH probe.

** ALS test methods may incorporate modifications from specified reference methods to improve performance.

The last two letters of the above test code(s) indicate the laboratory that performed analytical analysis for that test. Refer to the list below:

Laboratory Definition Code	Laboratory Location
VA	ALS ENVIRONMENTAL - VANCOUVER, BRITISH COLUMBIA, CANADA

Chain of Custody Numbers:

EPA 200.2/6020A

EPA 200.2/245.7

Reference Information

1

GLOSSARY OF REPORT TERMS

Surrogate - A compound that is similar in behaviour to target analyte(s), but that does not occur naturally in environmental samples. For applicable tests, surrogates are added to samples prior to analysis as a check on recovery. mg/kg - milligrams per kilogram based on dry weight of sample.

mg/kg wwt - milligrams per kilogram based on wet weight of sample.

mg/kg lwt - milligrams per kilogram based on lipid-adjusted weight of sample.

mg/L - milligrams per litre.

< - Less than.

D.L. - The reported Detection Limit, also known as the Limit of Reporting (LOR).

N/A - Result not available. Refer to qualifier code and definition for explanation.

Test results reported relate only to the samples as received by the laboratory. UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED, ALL SAMPLES WERE RECEIVED IN ACCEPTABLE CONDITION. Analytical results in unsigned test reports with the DRAFT watermark are subject to change, pending final QC review.



			Workorder: I	_1491427	Re	eport Date:	11-SEP-14	Paç	ge 1 of 11
Client:	AMEC En # 600 - 44 Burnaby Hamid Yo	ivironment & Infra 145 Lougheed Hv BC V5C 0E4 usefbeigi	astructure vy						
Test		Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
CN-T-NAOH-CFA	-VA	Soil							
Batch R	2898835								
WG1918734-4 Cyanide, Total	DUP		L1491427-19 <0.050	<0.050	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	35	27-JUL-14
WG1918734-3 Cyanide, Total	IRM		ALS-TCN-IRM1	93.3		%		80-120	27-JUL-14
WG1918734-2 Cyanide, Total	LCS			96.5		%		80-120	27-JUL-14
WG1918734-1 Cyanide, Total	MB			<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	27-JUL-14
Batch R	2901809								
WG1918850-4 Cyanide, Total	DUP		L1491427-33 <0.050	0.083	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	35	28-JUL-14
WG1918850-3 Cyanide, Total	IRM		ALS-TCN-IRM1	94.8		%		80-120	28-JUL-14
WG1918850-2 Cyanide, Total	LCS			102.8		%		80-120	28-JUL-14
WG1918850-1 Cyanide, Total	MB			<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	28-JUL-14
HG-200.2-CVAF-\	/A	Soil							
Batch R	2898911								
WG1918895-3 Mercury (Hg)	IRM		ALS MET IRM1	95.2		%		70-130	27-JUL-14
WG1918895-4 Mercury (Hg)	LCS			99.97		%		70-130	27-JUL-14
WG1918895-1 Mercury (Hg)	MB			<0.0050		mg/kg		0.005	27-JUL-14
Batch R	2900005								
WG1918804-2 Mercury (Hg)	DUP		L1491427-23 <0.050	<0.050	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	40	28-JUL-14
WG1918804-3 Mercury (Hg)	IRM		ALS MET IRM1	102.0		%		70-130	28-JUL-14
WG1918921-3 Mercury (Hg)	IRM		ALS MET IRM1	111.3		%		70-130	28-JUL-14
WG1918804-4 Mercury (Hg)	LCS			99.6		%		70-130	28-JUL-14
WG1918921-4 Mercury (Hg)	LCS			98.6		%		70-130	28-JUL-14
WG1918804-1 Mercury (Hg)	MB			<0.0050		mg/kg		0.005	28-JUL-14



		Workorder: L1491427		Report Date: 11-SEP-14		Page 2 of 11		
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
HG-200.2-CVAF-VA	Soil							
Batch R290000 WG1918921-1 MB Mercury (Hg)	05		<0.0050		mg/kg		0.005	28-JUL-14
Batch R290847 WG1923817-3 IRM Mercury (Hg)	78	ALS MET IRM	1 96.5		%		70-130	05-AUG-14
WG1923817-4 LCS Mercury (Hg)	5		94.6		%		70-130	05-AUG-14
WG1923817-1 MB Mercury (Hg)			<0.0050		mg/kg		0.005	05-AUG-14
MET-200.2-CCMS-VA	Soil							
Batch R289992	24							
WG1918895-3 IRM		ALS MET IRM	1 102.8		0/		70 120	07 11 14
Arsenic (As)			97.5		%		70-130	27-JUL-14
Barium (Ba)			99.5		%		70-130	27-JUI -14
Beryllium (Be)			106.7		%		70-130	27-JUL-14
Cadmium (Cd)			100.0		%		70-130	27-JUL-14
Chromium (Cr)			100.6		%		70-130	27-JUL-14
Cobalt (Co)			101.2		%		70-130	27-JUL-14
Copper (Cu)			101.2		%		70-130	27-JUL-14
Lead (Pb)			98.8		%		70-130	27-JUL-14
Molybdenum (Mo)			78.9		%		70-130	27-JUL-14
Nickel (Ni)			100.3		%		70-130	27-JUL-14
Selenium (Se)			98.3		%		70-130	27-JUL-14
Silver (Ag)			96.7		%		70-130	27-JUL-14
Thallium (TI)			100.0		%		70-130	27-JUL-14
Tin (Sn)			103.0		%		70-130	27-JUL-14
Uranium (U)			99.1		%		70-130	27-JUL-14
Vanadium (V)			102.8		%		70-130	27-JUL-14
Zinc (Zn)			104.3		%		70-130	27-JUL-14
WG1918895-4 LCS Antimony (Sb)	5		96.0		%		70-130	27-JUL-14
Arsenic (As)			99.5		%		70-130	27-JUL-14
Barium (Ba)			95.8		%		70-130	27-JUL-14
Beryllium (Be)			93.6		%		70-130	27-JUL-14



		Workorder	Workorder: L1491427		Report Date: 11-SEP-14		Page 3 of 11	
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
MET-200.2-CCMS-VA	Soil							
Batch R289992	24							
WG1918895-4 LCS	;							
Cadmium (Cd)			96.0		%		70-130	27-JUL-14
Chromium (Cr)			96.1		%		70-130	27-JUL-14
Cobalt (Co)			94.2		%		70-130	27-JUL-14
Copper (Cu)			94.4		%		70-130	27-JUL-14
Lead (Pb)			95.7		%		70-130	27-JUL-14
Molybdenum (Mo)			97.2		%		70-130	27-JUL-14
Nickel (Ni)			94.9		%		70-130	27-JUL-14
Selenium (Se)			104.6		%		70-130	27-JUL-14
Silver (Ag)			92.3		%		70-130	27-JUL-14
Thallium (TI)			93.4		%		70-130	27-JUL-14
Tin (Sn)			99.3		%		70-130	27-JUL-14
Uranium (U)			92.5		%		70-130	27-JUL-14
Vanadium (V)			97.6		%		70-130	27-JUL-14
Zinc (Zn)			97.3		%		70-130	27-JUL-14
WG1918895-1 MB								
Antimony (Sb)			<0.10		mg/kg		0.1	27-JUL-14
Arsenic (As)			<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	27-JUL-14
Barium (Ba)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	27-JUL-14
Beryllium (Be)			<0.20		mg/kg		0.2	27-JUL-14
Cadmium (Cd)			<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	27-JUL-14
Chromium (Cr)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	27-JUL-14
Cobalt (Co)			<0.10		mg/kg		0.1	27-JUL-14
Copper (Cu)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	27-JUL-14
Lead (Pb)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	27-JUL-14
Molybdenum (Mo)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	27-JUL-14
Nickel (Ni)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	27-JUL-14
Selenium (Se)			<0.20		mg/kg		0.2	27-JUL-14
Silver (Ag)			<0.10		mg/kg		0.1	27-JUL-14
Thallium (TI)			<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	27-JUL-14
Tin (Sn)			<2.0		mg/kg		2	27-JUL-14
Uranium (U)			<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	27-JUL-14
Vanadium (V)			<0.20		mg/kg		0.2	27-JUL-14
Zinc (Zn)			<1.0		mg/kg		1	27-JUL-14



		Workorder:	L149142	27 Re	eport Date: 1	Page 4 of 11				
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed		
MET-200.2-CCMS-VA	Soil									
Batch R29014	36									
WG1918804-2 DU	Р	L1491427-23								
Antimony (Sb)		0.50	1.03	DUP-H	mg/kg	69	30	28-JUL-14		
Arsenic (As)		18.6	18.6		mg/kg	0.0	30	28-JUL-14		
Barium (Ba)		31.9	32.5		mg/kg	2.1	40	28-JUL-14		
Beryllium (Be)		<0.20	<0.20	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	30	28-JUL-14		
Cadmium (Cd)		0.151	0.074	J	mg/kg	0.077	0.1	28-JUL-14		
Chromium (Cr)		6.31	5.84		mg/kg	7.7	30	28-JUL-14		
Cobalt (Co)		1.99	2.00		mg/kg	0.7	30	28-JUL-14		
Copper (Cu)		3.29	3.45		mg/kg	4.8	30	28-JUL-14		
Lead (Pb)		3.51	3.58		mg/kg	1.8	40	28-JUL-14		
Molybdenum (Mo)		<0.50	<0.50	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	40	28-JUL-14		
Nickel (Ni)		3.66	3.97		mg/kg	8.0	30	28-JUL-14		
Selenium (Se)		<0.20	<0.20	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	30	28-JUL-14		
Silver (Ag)		<0.10	0.20	DUP-H	mg/kg	N/A	40	28-JUL-14		
Thallium (TI)		<0.050	<0.050	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	30	28-JUL-14		
Tin (Sn)		<2.0	<2.0	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	40	28-JUL-14		
Uranium (U)		0.235	0.218		mg/kg	7.9	30	28-JUL-14		
Vanadium (V)		15.6	15.3		mg/kg	1.8	30	28-JUL-14		
Zinc (Zn)		26.4	21.6		mg/kg	20	30	28-JUL-14		
WG1918804-3 IRM	1	ALS MET IRI	M1		0/		70.400	00 11 11 11		
Anumony (Sb)			97.3		% 0/		70-130	28-JUL-14		
Arsenic (As)			101.9		%		70-130	28-JUL-14		
Barium (Ba)			100.5		%		70-130	28-JUL-14		
Beryllium (Be)			102.5		%		70-130	28-JUL-14		
Cadmium (Cd)			100.1		%		70-130	28-JUL-14		
			100.7		%		70-130	28-JUL-14		
Cobalt (Co)			100.8		%		70-130	28-JUL-14		
Copper (Cu)			102.3		%		70-130	28-JUL-14		
Lead (Pb)			100.3		%		70-130	28-JUL-14		
Molybdenum (Mo)			81.2		%		70-130	28-JUL-14		
Nickel (Ni)			100.6		%		70-130	28-JUL-14		
Selenium (Se)			95.9		%		70-130	28-JUL-14		
Silver (Ag)			95.7		%		70-130	28-JUL-14		
Thallium (Tl)			110.9		%		70-130	28-JUL-14		
Tin (Sn)			106.3		%		70-130	28-JUL-14		



		Workorder	: L149142	27	Report Date: 1	11-SEP-14	Page 5 of 11				
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed			
MET-200.2-CCMS-VA	Soil										
Batch R2901	436										
WG1918804-3 IR	М	ALS MET IR	M1								
Uranium (U)			97.3		%		70-130	28-JUL-14			
Vanadium (V)			99.7		%		70-130	28-JUL-14			
Zinc (Zn)			101.2		%		70-130	28-JUL-14			
WG1918921-3 IR Antimony (Sb)	Μ	ALS MET IR	M1 102.5		%		70-130	28-JUL-14			
Arsenic (As)			100.8		%		70-130	28-JUL-14			
Barium (Ba)			106.5		%		70-130	28-JUL-14			
Beryllium (Be)			107.5		%		70-130	28-JUL-14			
Cadmium (Cd)			99.8		%		70-130	28-JUL-14			
Chromium (Cr)			104.7		%		70-130	28-JUL-14			
Cobalt (Co)			101.3		%		70-130	28-JUL-14			
Copper (Cu)			100.7		%		70-130	28-JUL-14			
Lead (Pb)			103.3		%		70-130	28-JUL-14			
Molybdenum (Mo)			82.2		%		70-130	28-JUL-14			
Nickel (Ni)			101.5		%		70-130	28-JUL-14			
Selenium (Se)			98.6		%		70-130	28-JUL-14			
Silver (Ag)			101.4		%		70-130	28-JUL-14			
Thallium (TI)			107.2		%		70-130	28-JUL-14			
Tin (Sn)			113.3		%		70-130	28-JUL-14			
Uranium (U)			102.4		%		70-130	28-JUL-14			
Vanadium (V)			104.7		%		70-130	28-JUL-14			
Zinc (Zn)			103.6		%		70-130	28-JUL-14			
WG1918804-4 LC	s										
Antimony (Sb)			101.9		%		70-130	28-JUL-14			
Arsenic (As)			104.6		%		70-130	28-JUL-14			
Barium (Ba)			104.6		%		70-130	28-JUL-14			
Beryllium (Be)			100.0		%		70-130	28-JUL-14			
Cadmium (Cd)			99.4		%		70-130	28-JUL-14			
Chromium (Cr)			102.4		%		70-130	28-JUL-14			
Cobalt (Co)			100.5		%		70-130	28-JUL-14			
Copper (Cu)			98.5		%		70-130	28-JUL-14			
Lead (Pb)			101.0		%		70-130	28-JUL-14			
Molybdenum (Mo)			97.1		%		70-130	28-JUL-14			
Nickel (Ni)			101.8		%		70-130	28-JUL-14			
Selenium (Se)			102.3		%		70-130	28-JUL-14			



		Workorder	: L149142	27	Report Date: 1	1-SEP-14	Page 6 of 11				
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed			
MET-200.2-CCMS-VA	Soil										
Batch R29014	36										
WG1918804-4 LCS	6										
Silver (Ag)			95.5		%		70-130	28-JUL-14			
Thallium (TI)			102.3		%		70-130	28-JUL-14			
Tin (Sn)			102.4		%		70-130	28-JUL-14			
Uranium (U)			100.0		%		70-130	28-JUL-14			
Vanadium (V)			103.2		%		70-130	28-JUL-14			
Zinc (Zn)			97.8		%		70-130	28-JUL-14			
WG1918921-4 LCS	6		100 7		%		70 120	29 11 14			
Arsenic (As)			107.0		78 9/		70-130	28-JUL-14			
Barium (Ba)			107.0		70 0/		70-130	28-JUL-14			
Benyllium (Be)			102.5		78 9/		70-130	28-JUL-14			
Cadmium (Cd)			102.0		%		70-130	20-JUL-14			
Chromium (Cr)			102.0		%		70-130	28-JUL-14			
Cobalt (Co)			104.0		%		70-130	20-JUL-14			
Copper (Cu)			103.5		%		70-130	28-JUL-14			
Lead (Pb)			102.9		%		70-130	28-001-14			
Molybdenum (Mo)			102.0		%		70-130	28-101-14			
Nickel (Ni)			103.3		%		70-130	28-111-14			
Selenium (Se)			101.3		%		70-130	28-111-14			
Silver (Ag)			93.9		%		70-130	28-111-14			
Thallium (TI)			102.8		%		70-130	28-111-14			
Tin (Sn)			100.6		%		70-130	28-1111 -14			
Uranium (U)			100.7		%		70-130	28-1111 -14			
Vanadium (V)			104.2		%		70-130	28-111-14			
Zinc (Zn)			102.8		%		70-130	28-JUI -14			
WG1918804-1 MB								20 002			
Antimony (Sb)			<0.10		mg/kg		0.1	28-JUL-14			
Arsenic (As)			<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	28-JUL-14			
Barium (Ba)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	28-JUL-14			
Beryllium (Be)			<0.20		mg/kg		0.2	28-JUL-14			
Cadmium (Cd)			<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	28-JUL-14			
Chromium (Cr)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	28-JUL-14			
Cobalt (Co)			<0.10		mg/kg		0.1	28-JUL-14			
Copper (Cu)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	28-JUL-14			
Lead (Pb)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	28-JUL-14			



	Workorder	: L149142	27	Report Date: 1	1-SEP-14	Page 7 of 11			
Test Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed		
MET-200.2-CCMS-VA Soil									
Batch R2901436									
WG1918804-1 MB									
Molybdenum (Mo)		<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	28-JUL-14		
Nickel (Ni)		<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	28-JUL-14		
Selenium (Se)		<0.20		mg/kg		0.2	28-JUL-14		
Silver (Ag)		<0.10		mg/kg		0.1	28-JUL-14		
Thallium (TI)		<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	28-JUL-14		
Tin (Sn)		<2.0		mg/kg		2	28-JUL-14		
Uranium (U)		<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	28-JUL-14		
Vanadium (V)		<0.20		mg/kg		0.2	28-JUL-14		
Zinc (Zn)		<1.0		mg/kg		1	28-JUL-14		
WG1918921-1 MB									
Antimony (Sb)		<0.10		mg/kg		0.1	28-JUL-14		
Arsenic (As)		0.063	В	mg/kg		0.05	28-JUL-14		
Barium (Ba)		<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	28-JUL-14		
Beryllium (Be)		<0.20		mg/kg		0.2	28-JUL-14		
Cadmium (Cd)		<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	28-JUL-14		
Chromium (Cr)		<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	28-JUL-14		
Cobalt (Co)		<0.10		mg/kg		0.1	28-JUL-14		
Copper (Cu)		<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	28-JUL-14		
Lead (Pb)		<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	28-JUL-14		
Molybdenum (Mo)		<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	28-JUL-14		
Nickel (Ni)		<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	28-JUL-14		
Selenium (Se)		<0.20		mg/kg		0.2	28-JUL-14		
Silver (Ag)		<0.10		mg/kg		0.1	28-JUL-14		
Thallium (TI)		<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	28-JUL-14		
Tin (Sn)		<2.0		mg/kg		2	28-JUL-14		
Uranium (U)		<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	28-JUL-14		
Vanadium (V)		<0.20		mg/kg		0.2	28-JUL-14		
Zinc (Zn)		<1.0		mg/kg		1	28-JUL-14		
Batch R2909238									
WG1923817-3 IRM Antimony (Sb)	ALS MET IR	M1 102.0		%		70-130	05-AUG-14		
Arsenic (As)		94.8		%		70-130	05-4116-14		
Barium (Ba)		96.4		%		70-130	05-4110-14		
Bervlium (Be)		102.5		%		70-130	05-AUG-14		



		Workorder	: L149142	27	Report Date: 1	1-SEP-14	Page 8 of 11			
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed		
MET-200.2-CCMS-VA	Soil									
Batch R29092	238									
WG1923817-3 IRI	м	ALS MET IR	M1							
Cadmium (Cd)			98.0		%		70-130	05-AUG-14		
Chromium (Cr)			97.8		%		70-130	05-AUG-14		
Cobalt (Co)			98.3		%		70-130	05-AUG-14		
Copper (Cu)			100.0		%		70-130	05-AUG-14		
Lead (Pb)			98.3		%		70-130	05-AUG-14		
Molybdenum (Mo)			80.7		%		70-130	05-AUG-14		
Nickel (Ni)			99.0		%		70-130	05-AUG-14		
Selenium (Se)			94.9		%		70-130	05-AUG-14		
Silver (Ag)			98.7		%		70-130	05-AUG-14		
Thallium (TI)			103.5		%		70-130	05-AUG-14		
Tin (Sn)			101.3		%		70-130	05-AUG-14		
Uranium (U)			98.0		%		70-130	05-AUG-14		
Vanadium (V)			100.0		%		70-130	05-AUG-14		
Zinc (Zn)			98.0		%		70-130	05-AUG-14		
WG1923817-4 LC	s									
Antimony (Sb)			91.2		%		70-130	05-AUG-14		
Arsenic (As)			91.7		%		70-130	05-AUG-14		
Barium (Ba)			100.7		%		70-130	05-AUG-14		
Beryllium (Be)			97.4		%		70-130	05-AUG-14		
Cadmium (Cd)			98.1		%		70-130	05-AUG-14		
Chromium (Cr)			99.4		%		70-130	05-AUG-14		
Cobalt (Co)			100.0		%		70-130	05-AUG-14		
Copper (Cu)			99.2		%		70-130	05-AUG-14		
Lead (Pb)			99.9		%		70-130	05-AUG-14		
Molybdenum (Mo)			91.1		%		70-130	05-AUG-14		
Nickel (Ni)			100.4		%		70-130	05-AUG-14		
Selenium (Se)			91.1		%		70-130	05-AUG-14		
Silver (Ag)			94.6		%		70-130	05-AUG-14		
Thallium (TI)			101.0		%		70-130	05-AUG-14		
Tin (Sn)			92.2		%		70-130	05-AUG-14		
Uranium (U)			99.9		%		70-130	05-AUG-14		
Vanadium (V)			102.2		%		70-130	05-AUG-14		
Zinc (Zn)			96.3		%		70-130	05-AUG-14		
WG1923817-1 ME	3							-		
Antimony (Sb)			<0.10		mg/kg		0.1	05-AUG-14		



		Workorder:	L149142	27	Report Date: 1	1-SEP-14	Page 9 of 11			
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed		
MET-200.2-CCMS-VA	Soil									
Batch R29092	38									
WG1923817-1 ME	5									
Arsenic (As)			<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	05-AUG-14		
Barium (Ba)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	05-AUG-14		
Beryllium (Be)			<0.20		mg/kg		0.2	05-AUG-14		
Cadmium (Cd)			<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	05-AUG-14		
Chromium (Cr)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	05-AUG-14		
Cobalt (Co)			<0.10		mg/kg		0.1	05-AUG-14		
Copper (Cu)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	05-AUG-14		
Lead (Pb)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	05-AUG-14		
Molybdenum (Mo)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	05-AUG-14		
Nickel (Ni)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	05-AUG-14		
Selenium (Se)			<0.20		mg/kg		0.2	05-AUG-14		
Silver (Ag)			<0.10		mg/kg		0.1	05-AUG-14		
Thallium (TI)			<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	05-AUG-14		
Tin (Sn)			<2.0		mg/kg		2	05-AUG-14		
Uranium (U)			<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	05-AUG-14		
Vanadium (V)		<0.20		mg/kg		0.2	05-AUG-14			
Zinc (Zn)			<1.0		mg/kg		1	05-AUG-14		
MOISTURE-VA	Soil									
Batch R28983	572									
WG1918812-2 LC	s									
Moisture			94.2		%		90-110	25-JUL-14		
WG1918812-1 ME Moisture	6		<0.25		%		0.25	25-JUL-14		
Batch R28983	73									
WG1918810-3 DU	Р	L1491427-17								
Moisture		18.8	21.0		%	11	20	25-JUL-14		
WG1918810-4 DU Moisture	Р	L1491427-36 21.7	21.8		%	0.5	20	25-JUL-14		
WG1918810-2 LC Moisture	S		100.2		%		90-110	25-JUL-14		
WG1918810-1 ME Moisture	6		<0.25		%		0.25	25-JUL-14		
Batch R28984	82									
WG1918900-2 LC Moisture	S		100.5		%		90-110	26-JUL-14		
WG1918900-1 ME	}						-			



		Workorder: L1491427 F			Report Date: 11	-SEP-14	Page 10 of 11				
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed			
MOISTURE-VA	Soil										
Batch R2898482 WG1918900-1 MB Moisture			<0.25		%		0.25	26-JUL-14			
Batch R2901886 WG1920977-2 LCS											
Moisture			96.2		%		90-110	29-JUL-14			
WG1920977-1 MB Moisture			<0.25		%		0.25	29-JUL-14			
Batch R2906978 WG1923665-2 LCS											
Moisture			99.8		%		90-110	02-AUG-14			
WG1923665-1 MB Moisture			<0.25		%		0.25	02-AUG-14			
PH-1:2-VA	Soil										
Batch R2898802 WG1918804-2 DUP pH (1:2 soil:water)		L1491427-23 7.88	7.89	J	рН	0.01	0.3	27-JUL-14			

Workorder: L1491427

Report Date: 11-SEP-14

Legend:

Limit	ALS Control Limit (Data Quality Objectives)
DUP	Duplicate
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
N/A	Not Available
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample
SRM	Standard Reference Material
MS	Matrix Spike
MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate
ADE	Average Desorption Efficiency
MB	Method Blank
IRM	Internal Reference Material
CRM	Certified Reference Material
CCV	Continuing Calibration Verification
CVS	Calibration Verification Standard
LCSD	Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate

Sample Parameter Qualifier Definitions:

Qualifier	Description
В	Method Blank exceeds ALS DQO. All associated sample results are at least 5 times greater than blank levels and are considered reliable.
DUP-H	Duplicate results outside ALS DQO, due to sample heterogeneity.
G	QC result did not meet ALS DQO. Refer to narrative comments for further information.
J	Duplicate results and limits are expressed in terms of absolute difference.
RPD-NA	Relative Percent Difference Not Available due to result(s) being less than detection limit.

Hold Time Exceedances:

All test results reported with this submission were conducted within ALS recommended hold times.

ALS recommended hold times may vary by province. They are assigned to meet known provincial and/or federal government requirements. In the absence of regulatory hold times, ALS establishes recommendations based on guidelines published by the US EPA, APHA Standard Methods, or Environment Canada (where available). For more information, please contact ALS.

The ALS Quality Control Report is provided to ALS clients upon request. ALS includes comprehensive QC checks with every analysis to ensure our high standards of quality are met. Each QC result has a known or expected target value, which is compared against predetermined data quality objectives to provide confidence in the accuracy of associated test results.

Please note that this report may contain QC results from anonymous Sample Duplicates and Matrix Spikes that do not originate from this Work Order.

ALS)Enviro	nmer

Chain of Custody (COC) / Analytical Request Form



COC Number: 14 -

Page of	
---------	--

. . . .

) environm	enta	31	Canada Toll	Free 1 PAG	669 0970		L1491	427-	COF	С				i.		Page		of		
	www.alsglobal.com				Fiee: 1 800	009 3818	Į.				-				;		-				
Report To	ENVIRONME.	V7 5			<u> </u>					······································		······									
Company: A	MEC INFRASTOLIC	TINE -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Report For	nat / Distribution	1			Select S	Service I	Level Be	low (Rus	1 Turnar	ound Tin	ne (TAT)) is not av	vailable for	all'tests)	
Contact: U	JA Variable	· · · · ·		[*]	Select Report Format: PDF SECEL EDD (DIGITAL)						tegular ((Standan	d TAT if	eceived i	w 3 nm	- husines				u (cətə)	
Address:	amile rooseque	<u> </u>			Quality Control (QC) Report with Report Ves Vo						P Priority (2-4 bus, days if received by 3pm) 50% surcharge - contact ALS to configure TAT										
444	5 Loughard Hur	Burna	by BC	[1	Criteria on Report - provide details below if box checked							E Emergency (1-2 bus, riave if received by 3pm) 100% surcharge - contact ALS to confirm TAT									
Phone				٤	Select Distribution: EMAI MAIL FAX							Contact ALS to confirm TAT									
600	1-295-6181	6.4-8	\$38-985	8	Email 1 or Fax	hamid.	lause thei	a @ amer		د 💷 -	anie day	y or wee	kena em	ergency -	contact /	ALS to co	ənfirm TA	T and su	Ircharge		
·				- E	mail 2		0052,02	<u>re</u>	- ope	cary Da	ale Re	quired	for E2,	E or P:							
Invoice To	Same as Report To	r ves	i E No			Invoine	Distributio							Ar	alysis	Requ	est				
	Copy of Invoice with Repor	t 🗆 Yes			Sola et Investere		Distribution			1	ndicate I	Filtered (F), Pres	erved (P)	or Filtere	ed and Pe	veserved	l (F/P) be	tow		
Company;			, , NU		elect involce	Distribution:] FAX									<u> </u>	T	<u> </u>		
Contact:				P	mail 1 or Fax	<u> </u>					<u> </u>		+	1		╼┾╸		+	┝╼╾┼╼╸		
				E	imail 2																
ALC 0	Project Info	rmation		2	0	il and Gas Requi	red Fields (clien	t use)	-											5	
ALS Quote #:				A	pprover ID:		Cost Center		-		1									ine	
Job #: VM	000053			6	L Account:		Boutles Co-					[Inta	
PO/AFE:				A	ctivity Code				4		1]							្ត្	
LSD:				·····	clivity Code.	<u>. 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 19</u>			<u>.</u>				-							5	
				[LC	ocation:		<u> </u>		. <u>.</u>					1. 1						, de	
ALS Lab Wo	ork Order # (lab use only)	:			Contrat.				je je											L N	
	<u></u>			_	Lo contact:		Sampler:		Pi -	臣		1	1					1			
ALS Sample #	Sample	Identificatio	on and/or Coor	dinates		Date	Time		- ŝ	a l										1	
(IBD USO ONIY)	(This de	scription will	l appear on the	report)		(dd-mmm-ys)	(hbumm)	Sample Type	ata	ā											
	DC-SED-21 520-1	C.14- 2	1 TROING	4 55		(de mini / / / /	Quinning	<u> </u>	ž	L P											
	DUP-01					E * - Jul-14	69.90	Sediment	R	R											
		<u> </u>			22	243 🖉 Jul-14	-1-239	Sediment	R	R				[·	-+-		+1	┟╼╾┼╴		- <u> </u>	
									+						-+-		+	┢━━╋		1	
	1						+		<u> </u>									í [
		·····						1									++			-{	
						······															
			· · · <u>- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</u>								ľ	· ·								T	
											_		-		-		++	-+-		╆───╸	
			•						<u> </u>								╞╌┥			<u> </u>	
							<u> </u>	<u> </u>	┝──┥												
							<u> </u>						[1					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · ·							1		T			_ -	1	+	<u>†</u> -+	-+		<u>∱</u>	
				_					┞━┼							┉	┞──╆	+-			
Drinkina '	Water (DW) Samples ¹ (clion	tuee)		Provid			.L	·			<u> </u>										
	(any campical (clien			opecial Instru	ctions / Specif	ly Criteria to add or	n report (client Use)	Crown		S		E CON	DITION	AS RE	ECEIVE	ED (lab	i use o	niy)		
ue samples taker	n from a Regulated DW System'	?				<u> </u>			rrozer	1		Ц		SI.	- Obse	ervation	ns Y	/es	No		
i Ye	s 🔽 No								ice pa	oks	Yes		No	🗖 Ci	stody :	seal int	tact `	Yes [] No		
re samples for hi	uman drinking water use?								Coolin	g Initia	ted										
∏ Yes	s 🔽 No								INIIT	AL COC	LER TE	MPERA	TURES	°C		FINAL	COOLEF	TEMPE	RATURES	~	
	SHIPMENT RELEASE (all	unt use)	L						5.5												
Released by:	sed by:			INITIAL SHIPMENT RECEPTION (lab use only)				FINAL SHIPMENT RECEPTION (Ab the set A													
	Date.		nine:	rieceived.by	,		Date:	Time:	Receiv	/ed by:	:				Dat	- HON (1000 USI	e oniy) ime:		<u> </u>	
EFER TO BACK F	PAGE FOR ALS LOCATIONS AN	D SAMPLING	INFORMATION				19 19 23	1:20		-							["				
				、 、		141111	T LADODATION	0.000	-						1						

.

NA-FM-0325e y09 Front/04 January 2014

Failure to complete all portions of this form may delay analysis. Piease fill in this form LEGIBLY. By the use of this form the user acknowledges and agrees with the Terms and Conditions as specified on the back page of the white - report copy. 1. If any water samples are taken from a Regulated Drinking Water (DW) System, please submit using an Authorized DW COC form.



AMEC Environment & Infrastructure ATTN: Hamid Yousefbeigi # 600 - 4445 Lougheed Hwy Burnaby BC V5C 0E4 Date Received:28-JUL-14Report Date:08-AUG-14 14:44 (MT)Version:FINAL

Client Phone: 604-295-6187

Certificate of Analysis

Lab Work Order #: L1493277

Project P.O. #: Job Reference: C of C Numbers: Legal Site Desc: NOT SUBMITTED VM00605J 1

Selam Worku Account Manager

[This report shall not be reproduced except in full without the written authority of the Laboratory.]

ADDRESS: 8081 Lougheed Hwy, Suite 100, Burnaby, BC V5A 1W9 Canada | Phone: +1 604 253 4188 | Fax: +1 604 253 6700 ALS CANADA LTD Part of the ALS Group A Campbell Brothers Limited Company

Environmental 🐊

www.alsglobal.com

RIGHT SOLUTIONS RIGHT PARTNER

L1493277 CONTD.... PAGE 2 of 11 08-AUG-14 14:44 (MT) Version: FINAL

	Sample ID Description Sampled Date Sampled Time Client ID	L1493277-1 Sediment 24-JUL-14 12:00 SED.DC.14-56	L1493277-2 Sediment 24-JUL-14 12:00 SED.DC.14-57	L1493277-3 Sediment 24-JUL-14 12:00 SED.DC.14-58	L1493277-4 Sediment 24-JUL-14 12:00 SED.DC.14-59	L1493277-5 Sediment 24-JUL-14 12:00 SED.DC.14-60
Grouping	Analyte					
SOIL						
Physical Tests	Moisture (%)	- 31.3	21.4	21.9	37.4	38.5
	pH (1:2 soil:water) (pH)	7.77	7.47	7.87	7.94	7.58
Cyanides	Cyanide, Total (mg/kg)		<0.050	<0.050	<0.50	<1.0
Metals	Antimony (Sb) (mg/kg)	0.69	0.42	0.41	6.96	1.89
	Arsenic (As) (mg/kg)	21.9	25.1	11.1	185	39.6
	Barium (Ba) (mg/kg)	57.4	53.3	73.8	101	69.1
	Beryllium (Be) (mg/kg)	<0.20	<0.20	0.20	<0.20	<0.20
	Cadmium (Cd) (mg/kg)	0.141	0.089	0.106	1.01	0.498
	Chromium (Cr) (mg/kg)	12.2	10.9	11.3	12.9	10.9
	Cobalt (Co) (mg/kg)	3.65	3.27	3.57	5.26	3.59
	Copper (Cu) (mg/kg)	9.45	5.70	7.00	10.1	8.15
	Lead (Pb) (mg/kg)	3.77	3.00	3.05	23.7	8.39
	Mercury (Hg) (mg/kg)	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050
	Molybdenum (Mo) (mg/kg)	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50
	Nickel (Ni) (mg/kg)	7.53	6.14	7.47	8.83	6.97
	Selenium (Se) (mg/kg)	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	0.33	0.23
	Silver (Ag) (mg/kg)	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	0.73	0.13
	Thallium (TI) (mg/kg)	0.058	<0.050	0.059	0.140	0.085
	Tin (Sn) (mg/kg)	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0
	Uranium (U) (mg/kg)	0.390	0.338	0.308	0.674	0.524
	Vanadium (V) (mg/kg)	25.4	28.8	25.1	30.5	25.5
	Zinc (Zn) (mg/kg)	41.4	25.1	28.2	214	86.2

L1493277 CONTD.... PAGE 3 of 11 08-AUG-14 14:44 (MT) Version: FINAL

		Sample ID Description Sampled Date Sampled Time Client ID	L1493277-6 Sediment 24-JUL-14 12:00 SED.DC.14-60 DUP	L1493277-7 Sediment 24-JUL-14 12:00 SED.DC.14-61	L1493277-8 Sediment 24-JUL-14 12:00 SED.DC.14-62	L1493277-9 Sediment 24-JUL-14 12:00 SED.DC.14-63	L1493277-10 Sediment 24-JUL-14 12:00 SED.DC.14-64
Grouping	Analyte						
SOIL							
Physical Tests	Moisture (%)		40.5	68.0	25.8	22.9	31.4
	pH (1:2 soil:water) (pH)		7.52	7.31	7.72	7.81	7.34
Cyanides	Cyanide, Total (mg/kg)		^{DLM}	олы страната. Справо страната страната страната справо страната страната страната страната страната страната страната странат	<0.50	олы страната. <1.0	DLM <1.0
Metals	Antimony (Sb) (mg/kg)		2.38	7.74	2.88	1.03	1.15
	Arsenic (As) (mg/kg)		46.4	147	41.9	20.0	33.1
	Barium (Ba) (mg/kg)		88.1	224	59.5	71.4	48.7
	Beryllium (Be) (mg/kg)		<0.20	0.49	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20
	Cadmium (Cd) (mg/kg)		0.549	1.87	0.440	0.200	0.239
	Chromium (Cr) (mg/kg)		13.2	28.2	9.28	11.5	8.82
	Cobalt (Co) (mg/kg)		4.02	8.54	3.56	4.02	2.92
	Copper (Cu) (mg/kg)		11.2	45.6	5.18	6.60	4.90
	Lead (Pb) (mg/kg)		8.39	32.5	9.67	4.55	4.51
	Mercury (Hg) (mg/kg)		<0.050	0.100	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050
	Molybdenum (Mo) (mg/kg)		<0.50	0.95	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50
	Nickel (Ni) (mg/kg)		8.28	20.1	6.05	7.63	5.44
	Selenium (Se) (mg/kg)		0.28	1.23	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20
	Silver (Ag) (mg/kg)		0.23	0.68	0.41	<0.10	<0.10
	Thallium (TI) (mg/kg)		0.113	0.253	0.086	0.095	0.067
	Tin (Sn) (mg/kg)		<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0
	Uranium (U) (mg/kg)		0.705	2.24	0.369	0.446	0.429
	Vanadium (V) (mg/kg)		30.0	62.8	20.9	24.3	20.1
	Zinc (Zn) (mg/kg)		102	257	81.2	65.4	55.2

L1493277 CONTD.... PAGE 4 of 11 08-AUG-14 14:44 (MT) Version: FINAL

	Sam Desc Sample Sample Cl	ple ID ription d Date d Time ient ID	L1493277-11 Sediment 24-JUL-14 12:00 SED.DC.14-65	L1493277-12 Sediment 24-JUL-14 12:00 SED.DC.14-66	L1493277-13 Sediment 24-JUL-14 12:00 SED.DC.14-67	L1493277-14 Sediment 24-JUL-14 12:00 SED.DC.14-68	L1493277-15 Sediment 24-JUL-14 12:00 SED.DC.14-69
Grouping	Analyte						
SOIL							
Physical Tests	Moisture (%)		33.5	23.2	52.3	22.6	54.9
	pH (1:2 soil:water) (pH)		7.69	7.41	6.86	7.54	7.28
Cyanides	Cyanide, Total (mg/kg)		<1.0 DLM	<0.050	DLM <1.0	DLM <0.50	<1.0 DLM
Metals	Antimony (Sb) (mg/kg)		0.96	0.56	2.71	0.53	2.37
	Arsenic (As) (mg/kg)		27.5	13.9	63.2	13.6	209
	Barium (Ba) (mg/kg)		69.4	53.8	233	68.8	212
	Beryllium (Be) (mg/kg)		<0.20	<0.20	0.34	<0.20	0.24
	Cadmium (Cd) (mg/kg)		0.118	0.071	0.682	0.120	0.381
	Chromium (Cr) (mg/kg)		8.98	8.34	19.9	11.2	13.9
	Cobalt (Co) (mg/kg)		3.37	2.94	7.73	3.93	9.57
	Copper (Cu) (mg/kg)		5.72	3.69	25.7	6.81	18.3
	Lead (Pb) (mg/kg)		3.95	3.42	8.87	3.05	7.77
	Mercury (Hg) (mg/kg)		<0.050	<0.050	0.067	<0.050	<0.050
	Molybdenum (Mo) (mg/kg)		<0.50	<0.50	0.61	<0.50	0.52
	Nickel (Ni) (mg/kg)		6.11	5.30	13.3	7.64	9.40
	Selenium (Se) (mg/kg)		<0.20	<0.20	0.51	<0.20	0.36
	Silver (Ag) (mg/kg)		<0.10	<0.10	0.24	<0.10	0.22
	Thallium (TI) (mg/kg)		0.063	0.057	0.171	0.062	0.120
	Tin (Sn) (mg/kg)		<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0
	Uranium (U) (mg/kg)		0.432	0.345	2.02	0.367	1.04
	Vanadium (V) (mg/kg)		23.2	21.4	45.9	22.9	39.9
	Zinc (Zn) (mg/kg)		35.3	28.3	82.7	28.1	88.3

L1493277 CONTD.... PAGE 5 of 11 08-AUG-14 14:44 (MT) Version: FINAL

		Sample ID Description Sampled Date Sampled Time Client ID	L1493277-16 Sediment 24-JUL-14 12:00 SED.DC.14-70	L1493277-17 Sediment 24-JUL-14 12:00 SED.DC.14-70 DUP	L1493277-18 Sediment 24-JUL-14 12:00 SED.DC.14-71	L1493277-19 Sediment 24-JUL-14 12:00 SED.DC.14-72	L1493277-20 Sediment 24-JUL-14 12:00 SED.DC.14-73
Grouping	Analyte						
SOIL							
Physical Tests	Moisture (%)		39.8	34.5	78.6	45.5	51.2
	pH (1:2 soil:water) (pH)		7.82	7.91	7.94	7.84	7.98
Cyanides	Cyanide, Total (mg/kg)		олы странование с	олы со.50	0.618	олы страната. <1.0	DLM 7.0
Metals	Antimony (Sb) (mg/kg)		62.3	66.5	19.5	58.7	73.6
	Arsenic (As) (mg/kg)		1340	1110	2670	789	732
	Barium (Ba) (mg/kg)		173	121	506	93.1	213
	Beryllium (Be) (mg/kg)		0.24	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	0.29
	Cadmium (Cd) (mg/kg)		11.0	9.88	3.26	11.1	26.3
	Chromium (Cr) (mg/kg)		13.7	8.55	4.45	9.93	12.8
	Cobalt (Co) (mg/kg)		5.61	5.87	9.10	4.44	10.5
	Copper (Cu) (mg/kg)		40.0	29.8	7.28	34.1	42.8
	Lead (Pb) (mg/kg)		246	232	47.5	257	262
	Mercury (Hg) (mg/kg)		0.074	0.054	<0.050	0.062	0.103
	Molybdenum (Mo) (mg/kg)		<0.50	0.51	1.78	<0.50	0.71
	Nickel (Ni) (mg/kg)		8.16	6.05	4.25	7.23	14.3
	Selenium (Se) (mg/kg)		0.60	0.36	0.36	0.33	0.48
	Silver (Ag) (mg/kg)		4.26	4.54	0.89	5.31	6.78
	Thallium (TI) (mg/kg)		0.291	0.249	0.088	0.253	0.570
	Tin (Sn) (mg/kg)		<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0
	Uranium (U) (mg/kg)		1.04	0.554	0.799	0.572	0.667
	Vanadium (V) (mg/kg)		34.1	27.1	15.9	24.8	38.2
	Zinc (Zn) (mg/kg)		1110	913	400	1110	3050

L1493277 CONTD.... PAGE 6 of 11 08-AUG-14 14:44 (MT) Version: FINAL

		Sample ID Description Sampled Date Sampled Time Client ID	L1493277-21 Sediment 24-JUL-14 12:00 SED.DC.14-74	L1493277-22 Sediment 24-JUL-14 12:00 SED.DC.14-75	L1493277-23 Sediment 24-JUL-14 12:00 SED.DC.14-76	L1493277-24 Sediment 24-JUL-14 12:00 SED.DC.14-77	L1493277-25 Sediment 24-JUL-14 12:00 SED.DC.14-78
Grouping	Analyte						
SOIL							
Physical Tests	Moisture (%)		47.0	48.8	67.4	72.1	25.1
	pH (1:2 soil:water) (pH)		7.98	8.07	7.93	7.84	7.98
Cyanides	Cyanide, Total (mg/kg)		олы органия 6.3	0.192	DLM <1.0	о.54 DLM	0.466
Metals	Antimony (Sb) (mg/kg)		29.4	136	127	146	91.8
	Arsenic (As) (mg/kg)		522	1850	2490	3130	895
	Barium (Ba) (mg/kg)		157	196	523	460	173
	Beryllium (Be) (mg/kg)		0.20	0.23	0.62	0.57	0.39
	Cadmium (Cd) (mg/kg)		8.75	42.1	187	191	12.5
	Chromium (Cr) (mg/kg)		11.3	8.07	17.3	15.2	8.18
	Cobalt (Co) (mg/kg)		6.00	10.4	46.8	43.4	10.5
	Copper (Cu) (mg/kg)		23.7	83.9	96.6	102	69.7
	Lead (Pb) (mg/kg)		57.8	370	377	405	378
	Mercury (Hg) (mg/kg)		0.058	0.060	0.174	0.159	0.054
	Molybdenum (Mo) (mg/kg)		0.57	2.06	2.37	3.06	0.99
	Nickel (Ni) (mg/kg)		7.70	11.0	46.5	54.4	7.91
	Selenium (Se) (mg/kg)		0.43	0.55	0.93	0.94	0.35
	Silver (Ag) (mg/kg)		1.10	8.65	6.31	7.58	7.45
	Thallium (TI) (mg/kg)		0.235	0.525	2.01	2.29	0.402
	Tin (Sn) (mg/kg)		<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0
	Uranium (U) (mg/kg)		0.540	0.915	1.41	1.47	0.685
	Vanadium (V) (mg/kg)		32.9	27.0	60.5	53.4	51.4
	Zinc (Zn) (mg/kg)		1410	3670	18700	16700	1240
L1493277 CONTD.... PAGE 7 of 11 08-AUG-14 14:44 (MT) Version: FINAL

	S	Sample ID Description Sampled Date Sampled Time Client ID	L1493277-26 Sediment 24-JUL-14 12:00 SED.DC.14-79	L1493277-27 Sediment 24-JUL-14 12:00 SED.DC.14-80	L1493277-28 Sediment 24-JUL-14 12:00 SED.DC.14-80 DUP	L1493277-29 Sediment 25-JUL-14 12:00 SED.DC.14-81	L1493277-30 Sediment 25-JUL-14 12:00 SED.DC.14-82
Grouping	Analyte						
SOIL							
Physical Tests	Moisture (%)		29.3	23.3	31.6	31.8	26.5
	pH (1:2 soil:water) (pH)		7.52	7.40	7.41	7.73	8.13
Cyanides	Cyanide, Total (mg/kg)		<1.0	олы страната страната справо страната справо страната страната справо страната справо страната справо справо с Справо справо С	<1.0	олы страктика. <1.0	1.3
Metals	Antimony (Sb) (mg/kg)		29.4	3.21	3.01	2.68	1.34
	Arsenic (As) (mg/kg)		301	65.8	72.3	36.5	46.6
	Barium (Ba) (mg/kg)		114	49.3	53.4	92.7	55.9
	Beryllium (Be) (mg/kg)		0.29	<0.20	<0.20	0.21	<0.20
	Cadmium (Cd) (mg/kg)		13.0	0.152	0.164	0.311	0.190
	Chromium (Cr) (mg/kg)		12.1	6.28	7.05	13.0	9.95
	Cobalt (Co) (mg/kg)		9.27	4.05	3.87	5.46	3.27
	Copper (Cu) (mg/kg)		27.9	7.51	7.02	24.7	7.47
	Lead (Pb) (mg/kg)		61.9	9.98	9.44	5.79	7.57
	Mercury (Hg) (mg/kg)		0.062	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050
	Molybdenum (Mo) (mg/kg)		0.83	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50
	Nickel (Ni) (mg/kg)		10.7	3.73	4.00	8.75	6.46
	Selenium (Se) (mg/kg)		0.24	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20
	Silver (Ag) (mg/kg)		1.11	0.12	0.13	0.26	0.10
	Thallium (TI) (mg/kg)		0.446	0.152	0.133	0.089	<0.050
	Tin (Sn) (mg/kg)		<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0
	Uranium (U) (mg/kg)		0.593	0.339	0.338	0.520	0.346
	Vanadium (V) (mg/kg)		46.0	29.0	29.1	29.8	22.5
	Zinc (Zn) (mg/kg)		1140	43.1	42.7	68.1	43.0

L1493277 CONTD.... PAGE 8 of 11 08-AUG-14 14:44 (MT) Version: FINAL

	Samp Descri Sampled Sampled Clie	ole ID iption I Date Time ent ID	L1493277-31 Sediment 25-JUL-14 12:00 SED.DC.14-83	L1493277-32 Sediment 25-JUL-14 12:00 SED.DC.14-84	L1493277-33 Sediment 25-JUL-14 12:00 SED.DC.14-85	L1493277-34 Sediment 25-JUL-14 12:00 SED.DC.14-86	L1493277-35 Sediment 25-JUL-14 12:00 SED.DC.14-87
Grouping	Analyte						
SOIL							
Physical Tests	Moisture (%)		36.2	21.2	57.5	66.6	21.0
	pH (1:2 soil:water) (pH)		7.65	8.00	7.61	7.90	5.55
Cyanides	Cyanide, Total (mg/kg)		DLM <1.0	олы сары сары сары сары сары сары сары сар	DLM 7.06	DLM 13.7	DLM 1.1
Metals	Antimony (Sb) (mg/kg)		2.43	0.65	11.5	2.87	15.8
	Arsenic (As) (mg/kg)		217	58.3	607	1130	157
	Barium (Ba) (mg/kg)		87.2	50.1	253	254	107
	Beryllium (Be) (mg/kg)		<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20
	Cadmium (Cd) (mg/kg)		0.479	0.149	8.08	4.51	1.22
	Chromium (Cr) (mg/kg)		13.2	7.75	4.70	6.36	2.17
	Cobalt (Co) (mg/kg)		3.61	2.83	5.88	4.47	3.42
	Copper (Cu) (mg/kg)		12.8	5.60	93.8	35.5	499
	Lead (Pb) (mg/kg)		8.60	3.60	49.1	6.71	77.1
	Mercury (Hg) (mg/kg)		<0.050	<0.050	0.177	<0.050	0.171
	Molybdenum (Mo) (mg/kg)		<0.50	<0.50	1.79	1.53	1.41
	Nickel (Ni) (mg/kg)		6.56	5.56	8.19	6.07	7.14
	Selenium (Se) (mg/kg)		<0.20	<0.20	0.67	0.55	0.27
	Silver (Ag) (mg/kg)		0.18	<0.10	15.0	1.04	5.03
	Thallium (TI) (mg/kg)		0.069	<0.050	0.091	<0.050	0.071
	Tin (Sn) (mg/kg)		<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0
	Uranium (U) (mg/kg)		0.495	0.449	1.26	1.11	1.48
	Vanadium (V) (mg/kg)		47.2	20.7	19.5	25.5	4.61
	Zinc (Zn) (mg/kg)		62.2	34.4	108	87.1	99.6

L1493277 CONTD.... PAGE 9 of 11 08-AUG-14 14:44 (MT)

Version: FINAL L1493277-36 Sample ID Description Sediment Sampled Date 25-JUL-14 Sampled Time 12:00 SED.DC.14-88 Client ID Grouping Analyte SOIL **Physical Tests** Moisture (%) 82.3 pH (1:2 soil:water) (pH) 7.62 DLM Cyanides Cyanide, Total (mg/kg) 19.5 Metals Antimony (Sb) (mg/kg) 1.68 Arsenic (As) (mg/kg) 210 Barium (Ba) (mg/kg) 80.3 Beryllium (Be) (mg/kg) <0.20 Cadmium (Cd) (mg/kg) 1.62 Chromium (Cr) (mg/kg) 8.74 Cobalt (Co) (mg/kg) 3.88 Copper (Cu) (mg/kg) 18.6 Lead (Pb) (mg/kg) 7.08 Mercury (Hg) (mg/kg) <0.050 Molybdenum (Mo) (mg/kg) 0.83 Nickel (Ni) (mg/kg) 7.54 Selenium (Se) (mg/kg) 0.25 Silver (Ag) (mg/kg) 0.28 Thallium (TI) (mg/kg) 0.061 Tin (Sn) (mg/kg) <2.0 Uranium (U) (mg/kg) 0.574 Vanadium (V) (mg/kg) 22.1 Zinc (Zn) (mg/kg) 48.2

Reference Information

QC Samples with Qualifiers & Comments:

QC Type Description		Parameter	Qualifier	Applies to Sample Number(s)		
Method Blank		Arsenic (As)	В	L1493277-10, -11, -12, -13, -14, -15, -16, -17, -18, -19, - 20, -21, -22, -23, -24, -6, -7, -8, -9		
Duplicate		Arsenic (As)	DUP-H	L1493277-1, -2, -3, -4		
Duplicate		Lead (Pb)	DUP-H	L1493277-1, -2, -3, -4		
Qualifiers for	Individual Parameter	rs Listed:				
Qualifier	Description					

Method Blank exceeds ALS DQO. All associated sample results are at least 5 times greater than blank levels and are considered в reliable.

DI M Detection Limit Adjusted due to sample matrix effects.

DUP-H Duplicate results outside ALS DQO, due to sample heterogeneity.

Test Method References:

ALS Test Code	Matrix	Test Description	Method Reference**
CN-T-NAOH-CFA-VA	Soil	Total Cyanide in soil by CFA	ONMOE CN-E3015/ISO 14403:2002

This analysis is carried out using procedures adapted from the Ontario Ministry of Environment CN-E3015 and ISO Method 14403:2002 "Determination of Total Cyanide using Flow Analysis (FIA and CFA)". Total or strong acid dissociable (SAD) cyanide is determined by rotary extraction of the soil with 0.04M Sodium Hydroxide, followed by in-line UV digestion along with sample distillation and final determination by colourimetric analysis.

HG-200.2-CVAF-VA Mercury in Soil by CVAFS Soil

This analysis is carried out using procedures from CSR Analytical Method: "Strong Acid Leachable Metals (SALM) in Soil", BC Ministry of Environment, 26 June 2009, and procedures adapted from EPA Method 200.2. The sample is manually homogenized, dried at 60 degrees Celsius, sieved through a 2 mm (10 mesh) sieve (this sieve step is omitted for international soil samples), and a representative subsample of the dry material is weighed. The sample is then digested at 95 degrees Celsius for 2 hours by block digester using concentrated nitric and hydrochloric acids. Instrumental analysis is by atomic fluorescence spectrophotometry or atomic absorption spectrophotometry (EPA Method 245.7).

Method Limitation: This method is not a total digestion technique. It is a very strong acid digestion that is intended to dissolve those metals that may be environmentally available. By design, elements bound in silicate structures are not normally dissolved by this procedure as they are not usually mobile in the environment.

MET-200.2-CCMS-VA Metals in Soil by CRC ICPMS Soil

Soil

This analysis is carried out using procedures from CSR Analytical Method: "Strong Acid Leachable Metals (SALM) in Soil", BC Ministry of Environment, 26 June 2009, and procedures adapted from EPA Method 200.2. The sample is manually homogenized, dried at 60 degrees Celsius, sieved through a 2 mm (10 mesh) sieve (this sieve step is omitted for international soil samples), and a representative subsample of the dry material is weighed. The sample is then digested at 95 degrees Celsius for 2 hours by block digester using concentrated nitric and hydrochloric acids. Instrumental analysis of the digested extract is by collision cell inductively coupled plasma - mass spectrometry (modifed from EPA Method 6020A).

Method Limitation: This method is not a total digestion technique. It is a very strong acid digestion that is intended to dissolve those metals that may be environmentally available. By design, elements bound in silicate structures are not normally dissolved by this procedure as they are not usually mobile in the environment.

MOISTURE-VA

Moisture content This analysis is carried out gravimetrically by drying the sample at 105 C for a minimum of six hours.

PH-1:2-VA

Soil pH in Soil (1:2 Soil:Water Extraction)

This analysis is carried out in accordance with procedures described in the pH, Electrometric in Soil and Sediment method - Section B Physical/Inorganic and Misc. Constituents, BC Environmental Laboratory Manual 2007. The procedure involves mixing the dried (at <60°C) and sieved (No. 10 / 2mm) sample with deionized/distilled water at a 1:2 ratio of sediment to water. The pH of the solution is then measured using a standard pH probe.

** ALS test methods may incorporate modifications from specified reference methods to improve performance.

The last two letters of the above test code(s) indicate the laboratory that performed analytical analysis for that test. Refer to the list below:

Laboratory Definition Code	Laboratory Location
VA	ALS ENVIRONMENTAL - VANCOUVER, BRITISH COLUMBIA, CANADA

Chain of Custody Numbers:

EPA 200.2/245.7

EPA 200.2/6020A

ASTM D2974-00 Method A

BC WLAP METHOD: PH, ELECTROMETRIC, SOIL

Reference Information

GLOSSARY OF REPORT TERMS

Surrogate - A compound that is similar in behaviour to target analyte(s), but that does not occur naturally in environmental samples. For applicable tests, surrogates are added to samples prior to analysis as a check on recovery. *mg/kg* - *milligrams per kilogram based on dry weight of sample.*

mg/kg wwt - milligrams per kilogram based on wet weight of sample.

mg/kg lwt - milligrams per kilogram based on lipid-adjusted weight of sample.

mg/L - milligrams per litre.

< - Less than.

D.L. - The reported Detection Limit, also known as the Limit of Reporting (LOR).

N/A - Result not available. Refer to qualifier code and definition for explanation.

Test results reported relate only to the samples as received by the laboratory.

UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED, ALL SAMPLES WERE RECEIVED IN ACCEPTABLE CONDITION.

Analytical results in unsigned test reports with the DRAFT watermark are subject to change, pending final QC review.



			Workorder:	L1493277	r Re	port Date: (08-AUG-14	Pa	ge 1 of 12
Client:	AMEC Er # 600 - 44 Burnaby	nvironment & Infi 445 Lougheed H BC V5C 0E4	astructure wy						
		Matrix	Poforonco	Posult	Qualifier	Unite	PPD	Limit	Analyzed
		Watitx	Reference	Result	Quaimer	onits		Linin	Analyzeu
CN-T-NAOH-CFA	-VA	Soil							
Batch R WG1924080-4 Cyanide, Total	2911008 DUP		L1493277-2 <0.050	<0.050	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	35	06-AUG-14
WG1924080-3 Cyanide, Total	IRM		ALS-TCN-IRM1	I 93.0		%		80-120	06-AUG-14
WG1924080-2 Cyanide, Total	LCS			93.4		%		80-120	06-AUG-14
WG1924080-1 Cyanide, Total	MB			<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	06-AUG-14
Batch R	2911013								
WG1924086-4 Cyanide, Total	DUP		L1493277-27 <1.0	<1.0	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	35	06-AUG-14
WG1924086-3 Cyanide, Total	IRM		ALS-TCN-IRM1	l 94.5		%		80-120	06-AUG-14
WG1924086-2 Cyanide, Total	LCS			97.8		%		80-120	06-AUG-14
WG1924086-1 Cyanide, Total	MB			<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	06-AUG-14
HG-200.2-CVAF-V	/A	Soil							
Batch R WG1922651-2 Mercury (Hg)	2907103 DUP		L1493277-24 0.159	0.173		mg/kg	8.1	40	03-AUG-14
WG1922651-3 Mercury (Hg)	IRM		ALS MET IRM1	l 105.6		%		70-130	03-AUG-14
WG1922653-3 Mercury (Hg)	IRM		ALS MET IRM1	l 105.6		%		70-130	03-AUG-14
WG1922651-4 Mercury (Hg)	LCS			111.5		%		70-130	03-AUG-14
WG1922653-4 Mercury (Hg)	LCS			107.9		%		70-130	03-AUG-14
WG1922651-1 Mercury (Hg)	MB			<0.0050		mg/kg		0.005	03-AUG-14
WG1922653-1 Mercury (Hg)	МВ			<0.0050		mg/kg		0.005	03-AUG-14
Batch R	2909703			_					
WG1924792-3 Mercury (Hg)	IRM		ALS MET IRM1	91.7		%		70-130	06-AUG-14
WG1924792-4 Mercury (Hg)	LCS			97.8		%		70-130	06-AUG-14



		Workorder: L1493277			Report Date: 08-AUG-14		Page 2 of 12	
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
HG-200.2-CVAF-VA	Soil							
Batch R2909703	3							
WG1924792-1 MB Mercury (Hg)			<0.0050		mg/kg		0.005	06-AUG-14
Batch R291019)							
WG1924789-6 CRM		VA-NRC-STS	SD1					
Mercury (Hg)			94.1		%		70-130	07-AUG-14
WG1924789-7 CRM Mercury (Hg)		VA-CANMET	-TILL1 89.9		%		70-130	07-AUG-14
WG1924789-3 IRM		ALS MET IR	M1					
Mercury (Hg)			89.1		%		70-130	07-AUG-14
WG1924789-4 LCS Mercury (Hg)			77.3		%		70-130	07-AUG-14
WG1924789-1 MB Mercury (Hg)			<0.0050		mg/kg		0.005	07-AUG-14
MET-200.2-CCMS-VA	Soil							
Batch R2908403	3							
WG1922651-2 DUP		L1493277-24	455					
Antimony (Sb)		146	155		mg/kg	5.7	30	02-AUG-14
Arsenic (As)		3130	3160		mg/kg	0.9	30	02-AUG-14
Barium (Ba)		460	470		mg/kg	2.1	40	02-AUG-14
Beryllium (Be)		0.57	0.59		mg/kg	2.1	30	02-AUG-14
Cadmium (Cd)		191	200		mg/kg	4.7	30	02-AUG-14
		15.2	13.9		mg/kg	8.7	30	02-AUG-14
Cobalt (Co)		43.4	43.8		mg/kg	0.8	30	02-AUG-14
Copper (Cu)		102	111		mg/kg	8.2	30	02-AUG-14
Lead (Pb)		405	466		mg/kg	14	40	02-AUG-14
Molybdenum (Mo)		3.06	3.08		mg/kg	0.5	40	02-AUG-14
		54.4	55.1		mg/kg	1.3	30	02-AUG-14
Selenium (Se)		0.94	1.05		mg/kg	11	30	02-AUG-14
Silver (Ag)		7.58	8.21		mg/kg	7.9	40	02-AUG-14
Thallium (TI)		2.29	2.52		mg/kg	9.9	30	02-AUG-14
Tin (Sn)		<2.0	<2.0	RPD-N/	4 mg/kg	N/A	40	02-AUG-14
Uranium (U)		1.47	1.62		mg/kg	9.6	30	02-AUG-14
Vanadium (V)		53.4	52.3		mg/kg	2.0	30	02-AUG-14
Zinc (Zn)		16700	16400		mg/kg	1.9	30	02-AUG-14
WG1922651-3 IRM Antimony (Sb)		ALS MET IR	M1 100.7		%		70-130	02-AUG-14



		Workorder	Workorder: L1493277			Report Date: 08-AUG-14		Page 3 of 12	
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed	
MET-200.2-CCMS-VA	Soil								
Batch R290840)3								
WG1922651-3 IRM		ALS MET IR	M1						
Arsenic (As)			102.2		%		70-130	02-AUG-14	
Barium (Ba)			101.3		%		70-130	02-AUG-14	
Beryllium (Be)			106.1		%		70-130	02-AUG-14	
Cadmium (Cd)			100.2		%		70-130	02-AUG-14	
Chromium (Cr)			98.2		%		70-130	02-AUG-14	
Cobalt (Co)			104.3		%		70-130	02-AUG-14	
Copper (Cu)			105.0		%		70-130	02-AUG-14	
Lead (Pb)			100.7		%		70-130	02-AUG-14	
Molybdenum (Mo)			92.2		%		70-130	02-AUG-14	
Nickel (Ni)			105.0		%		70-130	02-AUG-14	
Selenium (Se)			98.3		%		70-130	02-AUG-14	
Silver (Ag)			104.6		%		70-130	02-AUG-14	
Thallium (TI)			103.6		%		70-130	02-AUG-14	
Tin (Sn)			102.2		%		70-130	02-AUG-14	
Uranium (U)			98.6		%		70-130	02-AUG-14	
Vanadium (V)			101.2		%		70-130	02-AUG-14	
Zinc (Zn)			105.3		%		70-130	02-AUG-14	
WG1922653-3 IRM		ALS MET IR	M1						
Antimony (Sb)			102.1		%		70-130	02-AUG-14	
Arsenic (As)			100.6		%		70-130	02-AUG-14	
Barium (Ba)			102.5		%		70-130	02-AUG-14	
Beryllium (Be)			108.6		%		70-130	02-AUG-14	
Cadmium (Cd)			103.5		%		70-130	02-AUG-14	
Chromium (Cr)			102.4		%		70-130	02-AUG-14	
Cobalt (Co)			103.4		%		70-130	02-AUG-14	
Copper (Cu)			105.0		%		70-130	02-AUG-14	
Lead (Pb)			100.8		%		70-130	02-AUG-14	
Molybdenum (Mo)			80.7		%		70-130	02-AUG-14	
Nickel (Ni)			104.1		%		70-130	02-AUG-14	
Selenium (Se)			99.4		%		70-130	02-AUG-14	
Silver (Ag)			104.8		%		70-130	02-AUG-14	
Thallium (TI)			105.1		%		70-130	02-AUG-14	
Tin (Sn)			108.1		%		70-130	02-AUG-14	
Uranium (U)			103.6		%		70-130	02-AUG-14	



		Workorder	: L149327	77	Report Date: 08-AUG-14		Page 4 of 12	
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
MET-200.2-CCMS-VA	Soil							
Batch R29084	03							
WG1922653-3 IRN Vanadium (V)	n	ALS MET IR	M1 101.5		%		70-130	02-AUG-14
Zinc (Zn)			108.1		%		70-130	02-AUG-14
WG1922651-4 LC	S							
Antimony (Sb)			102.0		%		70-130	02-AUG-14
Arsenic (As)			103.2		%		70-130	02-AUG-14
Barium (Ba)			105.9		%		70-130	02-AUG-14
Beryllium (Be)			99.6		%		70-130	02-AUG-14
Cadmium (Cd)			101.0		%		70-130	02-AUG-14
Chromium (Cr)			100.9		%		70-130	02-AUG-14
Cobalt (Co)			102.6		%		70-130	02-AUG-14
Copper (Cu)			102.6		%		70-130	02-AUG-14
Lead (Pb)			100.8		%		70-130	02-AUG-14
Molybdenum (Mo)			98.9		%		70-130	02-AUG-14
Nickel (Ni)			103.6		%		70-130	02-AUG-14
Selenium (Se)			102.6		%		70-130	02-AUG-14
Silver (Ag)			98.8		%		70-130	02-AUG-14
Thallium (TI)			102.8		%		70-130	02-AUG-14
Tin (Sn)			104.1		%		70-130	02-AUG-14
Uranium (U)			97.6		%		70-130	02-AUG-14
Vanadium (V)			103.9		%		70-130	02-AUG-14
Zinc (Zn)			101.5		%		70-130	02-AUG-14
WG1922653-4 LC	S							
Antimony (Sb)			100.1		%		70-130	02-AUG-14
Arsenic (As)			102.6		%		70-130	02-AUG-14
Barium (Ba)			104.2		%		70-130	02-AUG-14
Beryllium (Be)			99.7		%		70-130	02-AUG-14
Cadmium (Cd)			101.6		%		70-130	02-AUG-14
Chromium (Cr)			98.8		%		70-130	02-AUG-14
Cobalt (Co)			100.0		%		70-130	02-AUG-14
Copper (Cu)			100.5		%		70-130	02-AUG-14
Lead (Pb)			98.2		%		70-130	02-AUG-14
Molybdenum (Mo)			100.2		%		70-130	02-AUG-14
Nickel (Ni)			102.6		%		70-130	02-AUG-14
Selenium (Se)			100.8		%		70-130	02-AUG-14
Silver (Ag)			98.6		%		70-130	02-AUG-14



		Workorder	Workorder: L1493277			Report Date: 08-AUG-14		Page 5 of 12	
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed	
MET-200.2-CCMS-VA	Soil								
Batch R290840	03								
WG1922653-4 LCS	6								
Thallium (TI)			100.1		%		70-130	02-AUG-14	
Tin (Sn)			101.9		%		70-130	02-AUG-14	
Uranium (U)			100.9		%		70-130	02-AUG-14	
Vanadium (V)			100.3		%		70-130	02-AUG-14	
Zinc (Zn)			101.3		%		70-130	02-AUG-14	
WG1922651-1 MB Antimony (Sb)			<0.10		mg/kg		0.1	02-AUG-14	
Arsenic (As)			0.050	В	mg/kg		0.05	02-AUG-14	
Barium (Ba)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	02-AUG-14	
Beryllium (Be)			<0.20		mg/kg		0.2	02-AUG-14	
Cadmium (Cd)			<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	02-AUG-14	
Chromium (Cr)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	02-AUG-14	
Cobalt (Co)			<0.10		mg/kg		0.1	02-AUG-14	
Copper (Cu)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	02-AUG-14	
Lead (Pb)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	02-AUG-14	
Molybdenum (Mo)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	02-AUG-14	
Nickel (Ni)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	02-AUG-14	
Selenium (Se)			<0.20		mg/kg		0.2	02-AUG-14	
Silver (Ag)			<0.10		mg/kg		0.1	02-AUG-14	
Thallium (TI)			<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	02-AUG-14	
Tin (Sn)			<2.0		mg/kg		2	02-AUG-14	
Uranium (U)			<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	02-AUG-14	
Vanadium (V)			<0.20		mg/kg		0.2	02-AUG-14	
Zinc (Zn)			<1.0		mg/kg		1	02-AUG-14	
Batch R290923	38								
WG1922653-1 MB									
Antimony (Sb)			<0.10		mg/kg		0.1	05-AUG-14	
Arsenic (As)			<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	05-AUG-14	
Barium (Ba)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	05-AUG-14	
Beryllium (Be)			<0.20		mg/kg		0.2	05-AUG-14	
Cadmium (Cd)			<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	05-AUG-14	
Chromium (Cr)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	05-AUG-14	
Cobalt (Co)			<0.10		mg/kg		0.1	05-AUG-14	
Copper (Cu)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	05-AUG-14	



		Workorder: L1493277			Report Date: 08-AUG-14		Page 6 of 12	
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
MET-200.2-CCMS-VA	Soil							
Batch R2909	238							
WG1922653-1 M	В							
Lead (Pb)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	05-AUG-14
Molybdenum (Mo)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	05-AUG-14
Nickel (Ni)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	05-AUG-14
Selenium (Se)			<0.20		mg/kg		0.2	05-AUG-14
Silver (Ag)			<0.10		mg/kg		0.1	05-AUG-14
Thallium (TI)			<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	05-AUG-14
Tin (Sn)			<2.0		mg/kg		2	05-AUG-14
Uranium (U)			<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	05-AUG-14
Vanadium (V)			<0.20		mg/kg		0.2	05-AUG-14
Zinc (Zn)			<1.0		mg/kg		1	05-AUG-14
Batch R2909	767							
WG1924792-3 IR	RM	ALS MET IR	M1					
Antimony (Sb)			102.2		%		70-130	06-AUG-14
Arsenic (As)			103.1		%		70-130	06-AUG-14
Barium (Ba)			95.0		%		70-130	06-AUG-14
Beryllium (Be)			100.4		%		70-130	06-AUG-14
Cadmium (Cd)			98.5		%		70-130	06-AUG-14
Chromium (Cr)			99.2		%		70-130	06-AUG-14
Cobalt (Co)			99.2		%		70-130	06-AUG-14
Copper (Cu)			100.4		%		70-130	06-AUG-14
Lead (Pb)			99.7		%		70-130	06-AUG-14
Molybdenum (Mo)			91.4		%		70-130	06-AUG-14
Nickel (Ni)			101.4		%		70-130	06-AUG-14
Selenium (Se)			97.5		%		70-130	06-AUG-14
Silver (Ag)			95.7		%		70-130	06-AUG-14
Thallium (TI)			101.7		%		70-130	06-AUG-14
Tin (Sn)			98.8		%		70-130	06-AUG-14
Uranium (U)			100.8		%		70-130	06-AUG-14
Vanadium (V)			98.5		%		70-130	06-AUG-14
Zinc (Zn)			100.6		%		70-130	06-AUG-14
WG1924792-4 L(CS		07.3		%		70 420	
Arcenic (Ac)			97.3 102.0		70 0/		70-130	
Arsenic (AS)			102.9		70		70-130	
Danun (Da)			90.0		70		70-130	
Derymum (Be)			90.4		70		70-130	06-AUG-14



		Workorder: L1493277			Report Date: 08-AUG-14		Page 7 of 12	
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
MET-200.2-CCMS-VA	Soil							
Batch R2909767	7							
WG1924792-4 LCS								
Cadmium (Cd)			100.5		%		70-130	06-AUG-14
Chromium (Cr)			100.1		%		70-130	06-AUG-14
Cobalt (Co)			101.4		%		70-130	06-AUG-14
Copper (Cu)			100.2		%		70-130	06-AUG-14
Lead (Pb)			98.4		%		70-130	06-AUG-14
Molybdenum (Mo)			96.8		%		70-130	06-AUG-14
Nickel (Ni)			101.6		%		70-130	06-AUG-14
Selenium (Se)			103.9		%		70-130	06-AUG-14
Silver (Ag)			91.8		%		70-130	06-AUG-14
Thallium (TI)			96.1		%		70-130	06-AUG-14
Tin (Sn)			98.8		%		70-130	06-AUG-14
Uranium (U)			100.4		%		70-130	06-AUG-14
Vanadium (V)			99.5		%		70-130	06-AUG-14
Zinc (Zn)			100.6		%		70-130	06-AUG-14
WG1924792-1 MB								
Antimony (Sb)			<0.10		mg/kg		0.1	06-AUG-14
Arsenic (As)			<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	06-AUG-14
Barium (Ba)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	06-AUG-14
Beryllium (Be)			<0.20		mg/kg		0.2	06-AUG-14
Cadmium (Cd)			<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	06-AUG-14
Chromium (Cr)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	06-AUG-14
Cobalt (Co)			<0.10		mg/kg		0.1	06-AUG-14
Copper (Cu)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	06-AUG-14
Lead (Pb)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	06-AUG-14
Molybdenum (Mo)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	06-AUG-14
Nickel (Ni)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	06-AUG-14
Selenium (Se)			<0.20		mg/kg		0.2	06-AUG-14
Silver (Ag)			<0.10		mg/kg		0.1	06-AUG-14
Thallium (Tl)			<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	06-AUG-14
Tin (Sn)			<2.0		mg/kg		2	06-AUG-14
Uranium (U)			<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	06-AUG-14
Vanadium (V)			<0.20		mg/kg		0.2	06-AUG-14
Zinc (Zn)			<1.0		mg/kg		1	06-AUG-14



		Workorder: L1493277			Report Date: (8-AUG-14	Page 8 of 12		
Test	Matrix	ix Reference Result Qualifier Units		RPD	Limit	Analyzed			
MET-200.2-CCMS-VA	Soil								
Batch R29110	051								
WG1924789-6 CR	M	VA-NRC-ST	SD1						
Antimony (Sb)			105.3		%		70-130	07-AUG-14	
Arsenic (As)			100.2		%		70-130	07-AUG-14	
Barium (Ba)			93.4		%		70-130	07-AUG-14	
Beryllium (Be)			102.5		%		70-130	07-AUG-14	
Cadmium (Cd)			96.0		%		70-130	07-AUG-14	
Chromium (Cr)			99.9		%		70-130	07-AUG-14	
Cobalt (Co)			99.8		%		70-130	07-AUG-14	
Copper (Cu)			101.2		%		70-130	07-AUG-14	
Lead (Pb)			97.3		%		70-130	07-AUG-14	
Molybdenum (Mo)			96.8		%		70-130	07-AUG-14	
Nickel (Ni)			101.3		%		70-130	07-AUG-14	
Selenium (Se)			102.1		%		70-130	07-AUG-14	
Silver (Ag)			107.5		%		70-130	07-AUG-14	
Thallium (TI)			101.9		%		70-130	07-AUG-14	
Tin (Sn)			98.7		%		70-130	07-AUG-14	
Vanadium (V)			102.7		%		70-130	07-AUG-14	
Zinc (Zn)			102.8		%		70-130	07-AUG-14	
WG1924789-7 CR	M	VA-CANME	-TILL1						
Antimony (Sb)			98.7		%		70-130	07-AUG-14	
Arsenic (As)			106.4		%		70-130	07-AUG-14	
Barium (Ba)			104.4		%		70-130	07-AUG-14	
Beryllium (Be)			0.50		mg/kg		0.34-0.74	07-AUG-14	
Cadmium (Cd)			117.4		%		70-130	07-AUG-14	
Chromium (Cr)			108.9		%		70-130	07-AUG-14	
Cobalt (Co)			101.5		%		70-130	07-AUG-14	
Copper (Cu)			98.6		%		70-130	07-AUG-14	
Lead (Pb)			117.6		%		70-130	07-AUG-14	
Molybdenum (Mo)			0.66		mg/kg		0.24-1.24	07-AUG-14	
Nickel (Ni)			102.3		%		70-130	07-AUG-14	
Selenium (Se)			0.36		mg/kg		0.12-0.52	07-AUG-14	
Silver (Ag)			0.22		mg/kg		0.12-0.32	07-AUG-14	
Thallium (TI)			0.129		mg/kg		0.075-0.175	07-AUG-14	
Tin (Sn)			1.2		mg/kg		0-3	07-AUG-14	
Uranium (U)			108.4		%		70-130	07-AUG-14	



	Workorder: L1493277		Report Date: ()8-AUG-14	Page 9 of 12			
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
MET-200.2-CCMS-VA	Soil							
Batch R2911	051							
WG1924789-7 CF	RM	VA-CANME	T-TILL1		0/			
vanadium (v)			109.8		%		70-130	07-AUG-14
Zinc (Zn)			106.0		%		70-130	07-AUG-14
WG1924789-3 IR Antimony (Sb)	М	ALS MET IR	M1 95.5		%		70-130	07-AUG-14
Arsenic (As)			98.2		%		70-130	07-AUG-14
Barium (Ba)			97.6		%		70-130	07-AUG-14
Bervllium (Be)			101.5		%		70-130	07-AUG-14
Cadmium (Cd)			95.7		%		70-130	07-AUG-14
Chromium (Cr)			97.8		%		70-130	07-AUG-14
Cobalt (Co)			99.3		%		70-130	07-AUG-14
Copper (Cu)			102.0		%		70-130	07-AUG-14
Lead (Pb)			94.9		%		70-130	07-AUG-14
Molybdenum (Mo)			81.2		%		70-130	07-AUG-14
Nickel (Ni)			99.3		%		70-130	07-AUG-14
Selenium (Se)			93.7		%		70-130	07-AUG-14
Silver (Ag)			91.1		%		70-130	07-AUG-14
Thallium (Tl)			99.7		%		70-130	07-AUG-14
Tin (Sn)			97.8		%		70-130	07-AUG-14
Uranium (U)			95.2		%		70-130	07-AUG-14
Vanadium (V)			98.4		%		70-130	07-AUG-14
Zinc (Zn)			99.5		%		70-130	07-AUG-14
WG1924789-4 LC	cs							
Antimony (Sb)			97.9		%		70-130	07-AUG-14
Arsenic (As)			100.7		%		70-130	07-AUG-14
Barium (Ba)			99.9		%		70-130	07-AUG-14
Beryllium (Be)			98.0		%		70-130	07-AUG-14
Cadmium (Cd)			99.5		%		70-130	07-AUG-14
Chromium (Cr)			99.4		%		70-130	07-AUG-14
Cobalt (Co)			97.5		%		70-130	07-AUG-14
Copper (Cu)			98.3		%		70-130	07-AUG-14
Lead (Pb)			96.3		%		70-130	07-AUG-14
Molybdenum (Mo)			95.9		%		70-130	07-AUG-14
Nickel (Ni)			97.0		%		70-130	07-AUG-14
Selenium (Se)			100.6		%		70-130	07-AUG-14
Silver (Ag)			93.1		%		70-130	07-AUG-14



		Workorder: L1493277		Report Date: 0	8-AUG-14	Page 10 of 12		
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
MET-200.2-CCMS-VA	Soil							
Batch R291105	1							
WG1924789-4 LCS								
Thallium (TI)			96.1		%		70-130	07-AUG-14
Tin (Sn)			99.6		%		70-130	07-AUG-14
Uranium (U)			94.7		%		70-130	07-AUG-14
Vanadium (V)			99.5		%		70-130	07-AUG-14
Zinc (Zn)			97.2		%		70-130	07-AUG-14
WG1924789-1 MB			0.40					
Antimony (Sb)			<0.10		mg/kg		0.1	07-AUG-14
Arsenic (As)			<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	07-AUG-14
Barium (Ba)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	07-AUG-14
Beryllium (Be)			<0.20		mg/kg		0.2	07-AUG-14
Cadmium (Cd)			<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	07-AUG-14
Chromium (Cr)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	07-AUG-14
Cobalt (Co)			<0.10		mg/kg		0.1	07-AUG-14
Copper (Cu)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	07-AUG-14
Lead (Pb)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	07-AUG-14
Molybdenum (Mo)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	07-AUG-14
Nickel (Ni)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	07-AUG-14
Selenium (Se)			<0.20		mg/kg		0.2	07-AUG-14
Silver (Ag)			<0.10		mg/kg		0.1	07-AUG-14
Thallium (TI)			<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	07-AUG-14
Tin (Sn)			<2.0		mg/kg		2	07-AUG-14
Uranium (U)			<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	07-AUG-14
Vanadium (V)			<0.20		mg/kg		0.2	07-AUG-14
Zinc (Zn)			<1.0		mg/kg		1	07-AUG-14
MOISTURE-VA	Soil							
Batch R290533	8							
WG1922649-2 LCS			09 5		0/		00.440	
			96.5		70		90-110	31-JUL-14
WG1922649-1 MB Moisture			<0.25		%		0.25	31-JUL-14
Batch R290851	8							
WG1924785-2 LCS			09.4		9/		00.440	
			90.1		70		90-110	05-AUG-14
MG1924785-1 MB Moisture			<0.25		%		0.25	05-AUG-14



	Workorder: L1493277		Report Date: 08	-AUG-14	Page 11 of 12				
Test		Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
PH-1:2-VA		Soil							
Batch WG192265 pH (1:2 so	R2906322 i1-2 DUP il:water)		L1493277-24 7.84	7.81	J	рН	0.03	0.3	01-AUG-14

Workorder: L1493277

Report Date: 08-AUG-14

Legend:

Limit	ALS Control Limit (Data Quality Objectives)
DUP	Duplicate
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
N/A	Not Available
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample
SRM	Standard Reference Material
MS	Matrix Spike
MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate
ADE	Average Desorption Efficiency
MB	Method Blank
IRM	Internal Reference Material
CRM	Certified Reference Material
CCV	Continuing Calibration Verification
CVS	Calibration Verification Standard
LCSD	Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate

Sample Parameter Qualifier Definitions:

Description
Method Blank exceeds ALS DQO. All associated sample results are at least 5 times greater than blank levels and are considered reliable.
Duplicate results outside ALS DQO, due to sample heterogeneity.
Duplicate results and limits are expressed in terms of absolute difference.
Relative Percent Difference Not Available due to result(s) being less than detection limit.

Hold Time Exceedances:

All test results reported with this submission were conducted within ALS recommended hold times.

ALS recommended hold times may vary by province. They are assigned to meet known provincial and/or federal government requirements. In the absence of regulatory hold times, ALS establishes recommendations based on guidelines published by the US EPA, APHA Standard Methods, or Environment Canada (where available). For more information, please contact ALS.

The ALS Quality Control Report is provided to ALS clients upon request. ALS includes comprehensive QC checks with every analysis to ensure our high standards of quality are met. Each QC result has a known or expected target value, which is compared against predetermined data quality objectives to provide confidence in the accuracy of associated test results.

Please note that this report may contain QC results from anonymous Sample Duplicates and Matrix Spikes that do not originate from this Work Order.



COC / Analytical Request Form

Canada Toll Free: 1 800 668 9878



COC Number: Pa

Page 1 of 1

www.alsglobal.com

						1		Condes	Partico	11/F2 F	and P T	ATs are	not ava	ilable for	all tests)	
Report To	Hamid Yousefbeigi		Report Format	/ Distribution												
Company:	AMEC Environmental and Infrastructure	Select Report Form	at: IPDF		D (DIGITAL)	R R	Regular (Standard Fornardand Finnes Costant any									
Contact:		Criteria on Report - p	rovide details below if	box checked			Phoney (2-4 business days) 5070 sticking e - contact ALS to confirm TAT									
Address:	Suit 600 - 4445 Lougheed Hwy Burnaby, BC V5C 0E4	Select Distribution:	EMAL:		x	6		e dav n	weekend	i emergen	cy - cont	act ALS to	confirm	TAT		
			Email 1 or Fax				L Date	Requ	ired for	E2.E or	P:					
Phone:	604-295-6187	Email 2	hamid	.youserbeigi@an		Option	y Duie			A	nalysis	Reque	st			
		Email 3	chris	tine.peters@ame	ac.com		ind	icate Fi	tered(F).	Preserved	(P)or Fi	tered and	Presen	ed (F/P) b	etow	
Invoice To	Same as Report To IV Yes I No		Project Inf	formation		╂──┐		—							\top	
	Copy of Invoice with Report Ves Vo	Job #:	VM00605J												+	ers —
Company:	AMEC Environmental and Infrastructure	PO/AFE:	·······			4		1								tain
Contact:	Christine Peters	LSD:				-										l S
Select Invoice	Distribution:					1										. 5
Invoice Email:		Quote #:				-										- Per
Lab Wor	€ Order# (labuse only)	ALS Contact:		Sampler:			yanide.	Scan								Ż
Sample #	Sample Identification and/or Coordinates		Date (dd-mmm-yy)	Time (hh:mm)	Sample Type	표	Total C	Metal S								
	(This description will appear on the report)		24-Jul-14		Sediment	X	X	X								
2個新聞	SED.DC.14-56 to SED.DC.14.80 inclusive		24 101-14		Sediment	X	X	X	i	_		_				
	SED.DC.14-60 DUP		24-30-14		Sediment	X	17	X	[-		-					
	SED.DC.14-70 DUP	····	24-Jul-14	<u> </u>	Ordiment	+ `	H\$-	V								
	SED.DC.14-80 DUP		*24-Jul-14		Sediment	+÷		<u>-</u>		— -						r
CHARTER CONTRACTOR	SED DC. 14-81 to SED.DC. 14.88, inclusive		25-Jul-14		Sediment								┝╌┥		+	\vdash \vdash
								ļ					├			├ ── ├ ─
												_				
14.100 PALE			<u>+.</u>													
	227. 2017			+		1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>								
		·					╂	┼──				_			-	
$\frac{12^{n}}{n} \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{n}$					<u> </u>			┼─							-	
				1		-1. Media	unest R	21420 Q)		CONDI	TION A	S RECI	IVED	(lab use	only)	
	Oll and Gas Required	Fields (client use)				E AS	an i	<u>n</u>	ice o	acks Y	es] No		Cool	ing Initis	ated 👘
Approver 1D	Cost Center	Location				SIE (Ohsen	ations	1.000	Yes	n T	No		FUNDED O		ula fisiqu
GL Account	Routing Code	Activity Code				-120				va.	T N	No	'n			16-0 6 21 17
Special	Instructions and/or Criteria/Regulation/Standard to add to final	eport (client use)	Drink	king Water (DW)	Samples'	- Cust	uay se		vi 1	1 93	u ozna Gantalao	त्तरा दिस्तान्त्रे ब्लानी संस्ताद		unstatus Politikus		
THE	MAXIMUM HOLD TIME FOR SEDIME	NTS 15	Are samples taken	from a Regulated D No	W System?		IIITIAL C	OOLER	TEMPER	ATURES	°C	F1	NAL CO	DLER TEA	IPERATU	RESIC
72 HOURS.			Are samples for hu	uman drinking water No	use?] 7:	6	[<u>s</u>	3.H	17.7						
	CHIDMENT DELEASE (client use)	INITIALS	HIPMENT RECE	PTION (lab use o	nly)		e Greek		FINAL	SHIPM	ENTR	ECEP	UN (IA fe:	uuse Ol Ti	<u>ne</u> :	naseruorii Tatloh Mas
Released b	W: Date: Time: Rece	wed by:		Date	Time:	Red	æived.	нy.					i lor ess			a Sierrei
					I TU AVY D	<u>Secony</u>	195 <u>5</u> 146	13:010 (j	<u>ges 20.09</u>	1999 - 1993 - 1997 - 199	wei 2000	<u> </u>	NA FM C	i26e v08 Ficnit/O	October 2013	

REFER TO BACK PAGE FOR ALS LOCATIONS AND SAMPLING INFORMATION

YELLOW - CLIENT COP WHITE - LABORATORY COPY

de e

÷

Failure to complete all portions of this form may delay analysis. Please fill in this form LEGIBLY. By the use of this form the user acknowledges and agrees with the Terms and Conditions as specified on the back page of the white - report copy.

Footnote 1. If any water samples are taken from a Regulated Drinking Water (DW) System, please submit using an Authorized DW COC form.



AMEC Environment & Infrastructure ATTN: Hamid Yousefbeigi # 600 - 4445 Lougheed Hwy Burnaby BC V5C 0E4 Date Received:28-JUL-14Report Date:12-AUG-14 14:46 (MT)Version:FINAL

Client Phone: 604-295-6187

Certificate of Analysis

Lab Work Order #: Project P.O. #:

NOT SUBMITTED

Job Reference: C of C Numbers: Legal Site Desc:

10-152784

L1493447

Selam Worku Account Manager

[This report shall not be reproduced except in full without the written authority of the Laboratory.]

ADDRESS: 8081 Lougheed Hwy, Suite 100, Burnaby, BC V5A 1W9 Canada | Phone: +1 604 253 4188 | Fax: +1 604 253 6700 ALS CANADA LTD Part of the ALS Group A Campbell Brothers Limited Company

Environmental 🐊

www.alsglobal.com

RIGHT SOLUTIONS RIGHT PARTNER

L1493447 CONTD.... PAGE 2 of 7 12-AUG-14 14:46 (MT) Version: FINAL

	Sample ID Description Sampled Date Sampled Time Client ID	L1493447-1 Soil 26-JUL-14 12:00 SED-M-14-TP2 GS@ 3.6M	L1493447-3 Soil 26-JUL-14 12:00 SED-M-14-TP2 GS @ 0.7M	L1493447-4 Soil 26-JUL-14 12:00 SED-M-14-TP3 GS@ 5.0M	L1493447-5 Soil 26-JUL-14 12:00 SED-M-14-TP2 GS@ 3.7M	L1493447-7 Soil 26-JUL-14 12:00 SED-M-14-TP3 GS@ 5.0M(JAR)
Grouping	Analyte					
SOIL	Pilotyte					
Physical Tests	Moisture (%)	0.05	44.0	44.4	44 7	40.0
	pH (1:2 soil:water) (pH)	6.65	7.70	7.42	41.7	10.8
Cvanides	Cvanide. Total (mg/kg)	6.47	7.72 DLM	7.43	0./1 DLM	
Metals	Antimony (Sb) (mg/kg)	<0.050	20.1	5.02	0.0	
inotalo	Arsenic (As) (ma/ka)	0.55	51.0	674	8.89 00 F	
	Barium (Ba) (mg/kg)	9.21	141	4410	90.5	
	Bervilium (Be) (ma/ka)	15.2	0.21	0.22	100	
	Cadmium (Cd) (mg/kg)	<0.20	0.31	0.33	<0.20	
	Chromium (Cr) (ma/ka)	0.083	2.97	30.4 20.6	0.020	
	Cobalt (Co) (mg/kg)	9.93	10.0	30.6	10.7	
	Copper (Cu) (ma/ka)	3.40	0.41	7.03	0.00	
	Lead (Pb) (ma/ka)	0.23	00.0	575	21.1	
	Mercury (Ha) (ma/ka)	3.91	297	3380	36.2	
	Molybdenum (Mo) (mg/kg)	<0.050	0.087	0.340	<0.050	
	Nickel (Ni) (ma/ka)	<0.50	10.2	100	7.00	
	Selenium (Se) (ma/ka)	0.13	0.21	0.60	1.20	
	Silver (Ag) (mg/kg)	<0.20	0.31	0.69	<0.20	
	Thallium (TI) (mg/kg)	<0.10	0.209	1.00	0.454	
	Tin (Sn) (ma/ka)	0.073	0.308	1.00	0.151	
	Uranium (U) (mg/kg)	<2.0	<2.0	0.7	<2.0	
	Vanadium (V) (mg/kg)	0.200	59.0	0.776	0.402	
	$Z_{inc}(Z_n)$ (mg/kg)	24.7	58.0	39.5	47.5	
Volatile Organic Compounds	Benzene (mg/kg)	23.1	290	1830	94.0	<0.040
-	Ethylbenzene (mg/kg)					<0.050
	Methyl t-butyl ether (MTBE) (mg/kg)					<0.20
	Styrene (mg/kg)					<0.050
	Toluene (mg/kg)					<0.050
	ortho-Xylene (mg/kg)					<0.050
	meta- & para-Xylene (mg/kg)					<0.050
	Xylenes (mg/kg)					<0.075
	Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (SS) (%)					95.3
	Surrogate: 1,4-Difluorobenzene (SS) (%)					96.0
Hydrocarbons	EPH10-19 (mg/kg)					<200
	EPH19-32 (mg/kg)					2730
	LEPH (mg/kg)					<200
	HEPH (mg/kg)					2730
	Volatile Hydrocarbons (VH6-10) (mg/kg)					<100

L1493447 CONTD.... PAGE 3 of 7 12-AUG-14 14:46 (MT) Version: FINAL

	Sample ID Description Sampled Date Sampled Time Client ID	L1493447-8 Soil 26-JUL-14 12:00 SED-M-14-TP2 GS@ 3.0M(JAR)	L1493447-9 Soil 26-JUL-14 12:00 SED-M-14-TP2 GS® 0.7M(JAR)	L1493447-10 Soil 26-JUL-14 12:00 SED-M-14-TP2 GS@ 3.0M	L1493447-11 SED-M-14-TP3 GS@ 5.0M AG	L1493447-12 SED-M-14-TP2 GS@ 3.0M AG
Grouping	Analyte					
SOIL						
Physical Tests	Moisture (%)	13.1	12.5	12.1		
	pH (1:2 soil:water) (pH)			7.81		
Cyanides	Cyanide, Total (mg/kg)			DLM 7.31		
Metals	Antimony (Sb) (mg/kg)			52.9		
	Arsenic (As) (mg/kg)			520		
	Barium (Ba) (mg/kg)			255		
	Beryllium (Be) (mg/kg)			0.35		
	Cadmium (Cd) (mg/kg)			3.85		
	Chromium (Cr) (mg/kg)			185		
	Cobalt (Co) (mg/kg)			10.3		
	Copper (Cu) (mg/kg)			169		
	Lead (Pb) (mg/kg)			418		
	Mercury (Hg) (mg/kg)			0.073		
	Molybdenum (Mo) (mg/kg)			36.6		
	Nickel (Ni) (mg/kg)			100		
	Selenium (Se) (mg/kg)			0.33		
	Silver (Ag) (mg/kg)				76.7	58.1
	Thallium (TI) (mg/kg)			0.351		
	Tin (Sn) (mg/kg)			11.2		
	Uranium (U) (mg/kg)			0.452		
	Vanadium (V) (mg/kg)			61.1		
	Zinc (Zn) (mg/kg)			671		
Volatile Organic Compounds	Benzene (mg/kg)	<0.040	<0.040			
	Ethylbenzene (mg/kg)	<0.050	<0.050			
	Methyl t-butyl ether (MTBE) (mg/kg)	<0.20	<0.20			
	Styrene (mg/kg)	<0.050	<0.050			
	Toluene (mg/kg)	0.095	0.063			
	ortho-Xylene (mg/kg)	0.051	<0.050			
	meta- & para-Xylene (mg/kg)	0.122	0.090			
	Xylenes (mg/kg)	0.173	0.090			
	Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (SS) (%)	88.9	95.6			
	Surrogate: 1,4-Difluorobenzene (SS) (%)	91.5	91.4			
Hydrocarbons	EPH10-19 (mg/kg)	1610	370			
	EPH19-32 (mg/kg)	5090	920			
	LEPH (mg/kg)	1610	370			
	HEPH (mg/kg)	5090	920			
	Volatile Hydrocarbons (VH6-10) (mg/kg)	<100	<100			

L1493447 CONTD

4 of 7 12-AUG-14 14:46 (MT)

PAGE

Version: FINAL L1493447-1 L1493447-5 L1493447-3 L1493447-4 L1493447-7 Sample ID Description Soil Soil Soil Soil Soil 26-JUL-14 26-JUL-14 26-JUL-14 26-JUL-14 26-JUL-14 Sampled Date Sampled Time 12:00 12:00 12:00 12:00 12:00 SED-M-14-TP2 SED-M-14-TP2 SED-M-14-TP3 SED-M-14-TP2 SED-M-14-TP3 **Client ID** GS@ 3.6M GS@ 0.7M GS@ 5.0M GS@ 3.7M GS@ 5.0M(JAR) Grouping Analyte SOIL VPH (C6-C10) (mg/kg) Hydrocarbons <100 Surrogate: 3,4-Dichlorotoluene (SS) (%) 90.2 Polycyclic Acenaphthene (mg/kg) < 0.050 Aromatic Hydrocarbons Acenaphthylene (mg/kg) < 0.050 Anthracene (mg/kg) < 0.050 Benz(a)anthracene (mg/kg) < 0.050 Benzo(a)pyrene (mg/kg) < 0.050 Benzo(b)fluoranthene (mg/kg) < 0.050 Benzo(g,h,i)perylene (mg/kg) < 0.050 Benzo(k)fluoranthene (mg/kg) < 0.050 Chrysene (mg/kg) < 0.050 Dibenz(a,h)anthracene (mg/kg) < 0.050 Fluoranthene (mg/kg) < 0.050 Fluorene (mg/kg) < 0.050 Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene (mg/kg) < 0.050 2-Methylnaphthalene (mg/kg) < 0.050 Naphthalene (mg/kg) < 0.050 Phenanthrene (mg/kg) < 0.050 Pyrene (mg/kg) < 0.050 Surrogate: Acenaphthene d10 (%) 97.9 Surrogate: Chrysene d12 (%) 112.9 Surrogate: Naphthalene d8 (%) 79.5 Surrogate: Phenanthrene d10 (%) 103.6

L1493447 CONTD.... PAGE 5 of 7 12-AUG-14 14:46 (MT) Version: FINAL

	Sample ID Description Sampled Date Sampled Time Client ID	L1493447-8 Soil 26-JUL-14 12:00 SED-M-14-TP2 GS@ 3.0M(JAR)	L1493447-9 Soil 26-JUL-14 12:00 SED-M-14-TP2 GS@ 0.7M(JAR)	L1493447-10 Soil 26-JUL-14 12:00 SED-M-14-TP2 GS@ 3.0M	L1493447-11 SED-M-14-TP3 GS@ 5.0M AG	L1493447-12 SED-M-14-TP2 GS@ 3.0M AG
Grouping	Analyte					
SOIL						
Hydrocarbons	VPH (C6-C10) (mg/kg)	<100	<100			
	Surrogate: 3,4-Dichlorotoluene (SS) (%)	sмi Not Reportable	128.5			
Polycyclic Aromatic	Acenaphthene (mg/kg)	<0.050	<0.050			
Hydrocarbons	Acenaphthylene (mg/kg)	0.050	0.050			
	Anthracene (mg/kg)	<0.050	<0.050			
	Benz(a)anthracene (mg/kg)	<0.050	<0.050			
	Benzo(a)pyrene (mg/kg)	<0.050	<0.050			
	Benzo(b)fluoranthene (mg/kg)	<0.050	<0.050			
	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene (mg/kg)	0.053	<0.050			
	Benzo(k)fluoranthene (mg/kg)	<0.050	<0.050			
	Chrysene (mg/kg)	<0.050	<0.050			
	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene (mg/kg)	<0.050	<0.050			
	Fluoranthene (mg/kg)	<0.050	<0.050			
	Fluorene (mg/kg)	< 0.050	< 0.050			
	Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene (mg/kg)	<0.050	<0.050			
	2-Methylnaphthalene (mg/kg)	0.159	0.148			
	Naphthalene (mg/kg)	0.121	0.073			
	Phenanthrene (mg/kg)	DLM <0.060	0.053			
	Pyrene (mg/kg)	0.368	0.131			
	Surrogate: Acenaphthene d10 (%)	109.5	92.9			
	Surrogate: Chrysene d12 (%)	103.0	119.0			
	Surrogate: Naphthalene d8 (%)	87.0	79.5			
	Surrogate: Phenanthrene d10 (%)	104.1	103.4			

Reference Information

QC Samples with Qualifiers & Comments:

QC Type Description	Parameter	Qualifier	Applies to Sample Number(s)
Duplicate	Chromium (Cr)	DUP-H	L1493447-1, -10, -3, -4, -5

Qualifiers for Individual Parameters Listed:					
Qualifier	Description				
DLM	Detection Limit Adjusted due to sample matrix effects.				
DUP-H	Duplicate results outside ALS DQO, due to sample heterogeneity.				
SMI	Surrogate recovery could not be measured due to sample matrix interference.				

Test Method References:

	Method Reference""
CN-T-NAOH-CFA-VA Soil Total Cyanide in soil by CFA	ONMOE CN-E3015/ISO 14403:2002

This analysis is carried out using procedures adapted from the Ontario Ministry of Environment CN-E3015 and ISO Method 14403:2002 "Determination of Total Cyanide using Flow Analysis (FIA and CFA)". Total or strong acid dissociable (SAD) cyanide is determined by rotary extraction of the soil with 0.04M Sodium Hydroxide, followed by in-line UV digestion along with sample distillation and final determination by colourimetric analysis.

Analysis is in accordance with BC MOE Lab Manual method "Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Solids by GC/FID", v2.1, July 1999. Soil samples are extracted with a 1:1 mixture of hexane and acetone using a rotary extraction technique modified from EPA 3570 prior to gas chromatography with flame ionization detection (GC-FID). EPH results include Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAH) and are therefore not equivalent to Light and Heavy Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbons (LEPH/HEPH).

EPH in Solids by Tumbler and GCFID

HG-200.2-CVAF-VA Soil Mercury in Soil by CVAFS

This analysis is carried out using procedures from CSR Analytical Method: "Strong Acid Leachable Metals (SALM) in Soil", BC Ministry of Environment, 26 June 2009, and procedures adapted from EPA Method 200.2. The sample is manually homogenized, dried at 60 degrees Celsius, sieved through a 2 mm (10 mesh) sieve (this sieve step is omitted for international soil samples), and a representative subsample of the dry material is weighed. The sample is then digested at 95 degrees Celsius for 2 hours by block digester using concentrated nitric and hydrochloric acids. Instrumental analysis is by atomic fluorescence spectrophotometry or atomic absorption spectrophotometry (EPA Method 245.7).

Method Limitation: This method is not a total digestion technique. It is a very strong acid digestion that is intended to dissolve those metals that may be environmentally available. By design, elements bound in silicate structures are not normally dissolved by this procedure as they are not usually mobile in the environment.

LEPH/HEPH-CALC-VA Soil LEPHs and HEPHs

BC MOE LABORATORY MANUAL (2005)

BC MOE EPH GCFID

EPA 200.2/245.7

Light and Heavy Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Solids. These results are determined according to the British Columbia Ministry of Environment, Lands, and Parks Analytical Method for Contaminated Sites "Calculation of Light and Heavy Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Solids or Water". According to this method, LEPH and HEPH are calculated

by subtracting selected Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon results from Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbon results. To calculate LEPH, the individual results for Naphthalene and Phenanthrene are subtracted from EPH(C10-19). To calculate HEPH, the individual results for Benz(a)anthracene, Benzo(b)fluoranthene, Benzo(k)fluoranthene, Benzo(a)pyrene, Dibenz(a,h)anthracene, Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene, and Pyrene are subtracted from EPH(C19-32). Analysis of Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbons adheres to all prescribed elements of the BCMELP method "Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Solids by GC/FID" (Version 2.1, July 20, 1999).

MET-200.2-CCMS-VA Soil Metals in Soil by CRC ICPMS

This analysis is carried out using procedures from CSR Analytical Method: "Strong Acid Leachable Metals (SALM) in Soil", BC Ministry of Environment, 26 June 2009, and procedures adapted from EPA Method 200.2. The sample is manually homogenized, dried at 60 degrees Celsius, sieved through a 2 mm (10 mesh) sieve (this sieve step is omitted for international soil samples), and a representative subsample of the dry material is weighed. The sample is then digested at 95 degrees Celsius for 2 hours by block digester using concentrated nitric and hydrochloric acids. Instrumental analysis of the digested extract is by collision cell inductively coupled plasma - mass spectrometry (modifed from EPA Method 6020A).

Method Limitation: This method is not a total digestion technique. It is a very strong acid digestion that is intended to dissolve those metals that may be environmentally available. By design, elements bound in silicate structures are not normally dissolved by this procedure as they are not usually mobile in the environment.

MOISTURE-VA Soil Moisture content

This analysis is carried out gravimetrically by drying the sample at 105 C for a minimum of six hours.

PAH-TMB-H/A-MS-VA

Soil PAH - Rotary Extraction (Hexane/Acetone)

EPA 3570/8270

ASTM D2974-00 Method A

EPA 200.2/6020A

This analysis is carried out using procedures adapted from "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste" SW-846, Methods 3570 & 8270, published by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). The procedure uses a mechanical shaking technique to extract a subsample of the sediment/soil with a 1:1 mixture of hexane and acetone. The extract is then solvent exchanged to toluene. The final extract is analysed by capillary column gas chromatography with mass spectrometric detection (GC/MS). Surrogate recoveries may not be reported in cases where interferences from the sample matrix prevent accurate quantitation. Because the two isomers cannot be readily chromatographically separated, benzo(j)fluoranthene is reported as part of the benzo(b)fluoranthene parameter.

PH-1:2-VA

Soil pH i

Reference Information

This analysis is carried out in accordance with procedures described in the pH, Electrometric in Soil and Sediment method - Section B Physical/Inorganic and Misc. Constituents, BC Environmental Laboratory Manual 2007. The procedure involves mixing the dried (at <60°C) and sieved (No. 10 / 2mm) sample with deionized/distilled water at a 1:2 ratio of sediment to water. The pH of the solution is then measured using a standard pH probe.

VH-HSFID-VA Soil		VH in soil by Headspace GCFID	EPA8260B, 5021, 5035, BC MOE					
This analysis involves the e reagents, then heated in a s chromatography with flame Columbia Ministry of Enviro (Version 2.1 July 1999).	xtraction of a sealed vial to ionization de nment, Lands	subsample of the sediment/soil with methanol. Aliquot equilibrium. The headspace from the vial is analyzed f tection (GC/FID). The methanol extraction and VH ana s and Parks (BCMELP) Analytical Method for Contamir	s of the methanol extract are then added to water and for Volatile Hydrocarbons (VH) by capillary column gas lysis are carried out in accordance with the British nated Sites "Volatile Hydrocarbons in Solids by GC/FID"					
VH-SURR-FID-VA	Soil	VH Surrogates for Soils	BCMELP CSR ANALYTICAL METHOD 2					
VOC7-L-HSMS-VA	Soil	VOCs in soil by Headspace GCMS	EPA8260B, 5021, 5035, BC MOE					
The soil methanol extract is gas chromatograph. Targe	added to wa t compound o	ter and reagents, then heated in a sealed vial to equilib concentrations are measured using mass spectrometry	rium. The headspace from the vial is transferred into a detection.					
VOC7/VOC-SURR-MS-VA	Soil	VOC7 and/or VOC Surrogates for Soils	EPA METHODS 8260B & 524.2					
PH-CALC-VA Soil		VPH is VH minus select aromatics	BC MOE LABORATORY MANUAL (2005)					
These results are determine "Calculation of Volatile Petr specific Monocyclic Aromat of Volatile Hydrocarbons (V elements of BCMELP meth	ed according oleum Hydroo ic Hydrocarbo H) that elute od "Volatile H	to the British Columbia Ministry of Environment, Lands carbons in Solids or Water" (Version 2.1, July 20, 1999 ons (Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, Xylenes and Sty between n-hexane (nC6) and n-decane (nC10). Analys lydrocarbons in Solids by GC/FID" (Version 2.1, July 20	, and Parks Analytical Method for Contaminated Sites). According to this method, the concentrations of yrene) are subtracted from the collective concentration is of Volatile Hydrocarbons adheres to all prescribed 0, 1999).					
XYLENES-CALC-VA	Soil	Sum of Xylene Isomer Concentrations	EPA 8260B & 524.2					
Calculation of Total Xylenes	3							
Total Xylenes is the sum of The DL for Total Xylenes is	the concentration set to a value	ations of the ortho, meta, and para Xylene isomers. Re e no less than the square root of the sum of the square	esults below detection limit (DL) are treated as zero. s of the DLs of the individual Xylenes.					
* ALS test methods may inco	rporate modif	fications from specified reference methods to improve p	performance.					
The last two letters of the abo	ove test code	r(s) indicate the laboratory that performed analytical and	alysis for that test. Refer to the list below:					
Laboratory Definition Code	Laborat	ory Location						

Chain of Custody Numbers:

10-152784

VA

GLOSSARY OF REPORT TERMS

Surrogate - A compound that is similar in behaviour to target analyte(s), but that does not occur naturally in environmental samples. For applicable tests, surrogates are added to samples prior to analysis as a check on recovery. mg/kg - milligrams per kilogram based on dry weight of sample.

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL - VANCOUVER, BRITISH COLUMBIA, CANADA

mg/kg wwt - milligrams per kilogram based on dry weight of sample.

mg/kg lwt - milligrams per kilogram based on lipid-adjusted weight of sample.

mg/kg iwt - milligrams per kilogram based on lipid-adjusted weight of sample mg/L - milligrams per litre.

- Less than.

D.L. - The reported Detection Limit, also known as the Limit of Reporting (LOR).

N/A - Result not available. Refer to qualifier code and definition for explanation.

Test results reported relate only to the samples as received by the laboratory.

UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED, ALL SAMPLES WERE RECEIVED IN ACCEPTABLE CONDITION.

Analytical results in unsigned test reports with the DRAFT watermark are subject to change, pending final QC review.



			Workorder:	L149344	7	Report Date:	12-AUG-14	Pa	ge 1 of 6
Client:	AMEC Er # 600 - 44 Burnaby	nvironment & Infr 445 Lougheed H BC V5C 0E4	astructure wy						
Contact:	Hamid Yo	ousefbeigi							
Test		Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
CN-T-NAOH-CF	A-VA	Soil							
Batch	R2911013								
WG1924086-3 Cyanide, Tota	3 IRM al		ALS-TCN-IRM	1 94.5		%		80-120	06-AUG-14
WG1924086-2 Cvanide, Tota	2 LCS al			97.8		%		80-120	06-AUG-14
WG1924086-	1 MB							00 120	
Cyanide, Tota	al			<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	06-AUG-14
EPH-TUMB-FID-	VA	Soil							
Batch	R2904873								
WG1921799-3	3 IRM		ALS PHC2 RM	1					
EPH10-19				91.0		%		70-130	31-JUL-14
EPH19-32				96.2		%		70-130	31-JUL-14
WG1921799-	1 MB			~200		ma/ka		200	21 11 14
EPH19-32				<200		mg/kg		200	31-JUL-14
		0		~200				200	31-30E-14
HG-200.2-CVAF	-VA	5011							
Batch WG1922294-3	R2906617 3 IRM		ALS MET IRM	1					
Mercury (Hg))			108.7		%		70-130	01-AUG-14
WG1922294-4	4 LCS								
Mercury (Hg)				90.0		%		70-130	01-AUG-14
WG1922294- Mercury (Hg)	1 MB			<0.0050		mg/kg		0.005	01-AUG-14
MET-200.2-CCM	S-VA	Soil							
Batch	R2909493								
WG1924376-	3 IRM		ALS MET IRM	1					
Antimony (St	D)			101.3		%		70-130	05-AUG-14
Arsenic (As)				100.6		%		70-130	05-AUG-14
Barium (Ba)	`			97.2		%		70-130	05-AUG-14
Beryllium (Be	e)			102.2		%		70-130	05-AUG-14
Cadmium (C	a)			97.3		%		70-130	05-AUG-14
	Sr)			94.0		%		70-130	05-AUG-14
Cobalt (Co)				97.3		%		70-130	05-AUG-14
Copper (Cu)				100.9		%		70-130	05-AUG-14
Lead (Pb)				100.2		%		70-130	05-AUG-14
Molybdenum	(Mo)			84.0		%		70-130	05-AUG-14
Nickel (Ni)				98.6		%		70-130	05-AUG-14



		Workorder	: L149344	17	Report Date: 1	2-AUG-14	Page 2 of 6				
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed			
MET-200.2-CCMS-VA	Soil										
Batch R2909	493										
WG1924376-3 IR	м	ALS MET IR	M1								
Selenium (Se)			95.8		%		70-130	05-AUG-14			
Silver (Ag)			97.2		%		70-130	05-AUG-14			
Thallium (TI)			105.8		%		70-130	05-AUG-14			
Tin (Sn)			101.6		%		70-130	05-AUG-14			
Uranium (U)			97.4		%		70-130	05-AUG-14			
Vanadium (V)			97.4		%		70-130	05-AUG-14			
Zinc (Zn)			99.1		%		70-130	05-AUG-14			
WG1924376-4 LC	CS										
Antimony (Sb)			92.5		%		70-130	05-AUG-14			
Arsenic (As)			95.4		%		70-130	05-AUG-14			
Barium (Ba)			104.2		%		70-130	05-AUG-14			
Beryllium (Be)			100.1		%		70-130	05-AUG-14			
Cadmium (Cd)			101.4		%		70-130	05-AUG-14			
Chromium (Cr)			103.6		%		70-130	05-AUG-14			
Cobalt (Co)			101.1		%		70-130	05-AUG-14			
Copper (Cu)			102.6		%		70-130	05-AUG-14			
Lead (Pb)			98.4		%		70-130	05-AUG-14			
Molybdenum (Mo)			90.0		%		70-130	05-AUG-14			
Nickel (Ni)			101.6		%		70-130	05-AUG-14			
Selenium (Se)			94.9		%		70-130	05-AUG-14			
Silver (Ag)			96.8		%		70-130	05-AUG-14			
Thallium (TI)			99.9		%		70-130	05-AUG-14			
Tin (Sn)			95.5		%		70-130	05-AUG-14			
Uranium (U)			96.9		%		70-130	05-AUG-14			
Vanadium (V)			102.3		%		70-130	05-AUG-14			
Zinc (Zn)			98.5		%		70-130	05-AUG-14			
WG1924376-1 M	В										
Antimony (Sb)			<0.10		mg/kg		0.1	05-AUG-14			
Arsenic (As)			<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	05-AUG-14			
Barium (Ba)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	05-AUG-14			
Beryllium (Be)			<0.20		mg/kg		0.2	05-AUG-14			
Cadmium (Cd)			<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	05-AUG-14			
Chromium (Cr)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	05-AUG-14			
Cobalt (Co)			<0.10		mg/kg		0.1	05-AUG-14			
Copper (Cu)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	05-AUG-14			



		Workorder: L1493447			Report Date: 1	2-AUG-14	Page 3 of 6				
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed			
MET-200.2-CCMS-VA	Soil										
Batch R2909493											
WG1924376-1 MB											
Lead (Pb)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	05-AUG-14			
Molybdenum (Mo)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	05-AUG-14			
Nickel (Ni)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	05-AUG-14			
Selenium (Se)			<0.20		mg/kg		0.2	05-AUG-14			
Silver (Ag)			<0.10		mg/kg		0.1	05-AUG-14			
Thallium (TI)			<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	05-AUG-14			
Tin (Sn)			<2.0		mg/kg		2	05-AUG-14			
Uranium (U)			<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	05-AUG-14			
Vanadium (V)			<0.20		mg/kg		0.2	05-AUG-14			
Zinc (Zn)			<1.0		mg/kg		1	05-AUG-14			
Batch R2915698											
WG1928491-5 CRM Silver (Ag)		VA-NRC-ST	SD1 92.3		%		70-130	11-AUG-14			
WG1928491-6 CRM Silver (Ag)		VA-CANMET	F-TILL1 0.21		mg/kg		0.12-0.32	11-AUG-14			
WG1928491-4 LCS Silver (Ag)			93.6		%		70-130	11-AUG-14			
WG1928491-1 MB Silver (Ag)			<0.10		ma/ka		0.1	11-AUG-14			
	Soil				0.0						
Batch B2003/38	501										
WG1921805-2 LCS											
Moisture			95.9		%		90-110	30-JUL-14			
WG1921805-1 MB Moisture			<0.25		%		0.25	30-JUL-14			
Batch R2905339											
WG1922297-2 LCS											
Moisture			99.6		%		90-110	31-JUL-14			
WG1922297-1 MB Moisture			<0.25		%		0.25	31-JUL-14			
Batch R2905340											
WG1922451-2 LCS Moisture			98.3		%		90-110	31-JUL-14			
WG1922451-1 MB Moisture			<0.25		%		0.25	31-JUL-14			

PAH-TMB-H/A-MS-VA

Soil



Test PAH-TMB-H/A-MS-VA		Workorder	: L149344	7	Report Date: 1	2-AUG-14	Pa	age 4 of 6
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
PAH-TMB-H/A-MS-VA	Soil							
Batch R2905169								
WG1921799-4 IRM		ALS PAH1 R	RM					
Acenaphthene			65.3		%		60-130	06-AUG-14
Acenaphthylene			96.7		%		60-130	06-AUG-14
Anthracene			79.4		%		60-130	06-AUG-14
Benz(a)anthracene			91.6		%		60-130	06-AUG-14
Benzo(a)pyrene			78.9		%		60-130	06-AUG-14
Benzo(b)fluoranthene			89.7		%		60-130	06-AUG-14
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene			98.5		%		60-130	06-AUG-14
Benzo(k)fluoranthene			83.1		%		60-130	06-AUG-14
Chrysene			94.6		%		60-130	06-AUG-14
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene			102.7		%		60-130	06-AUG-14
Fluoranthene			95.6		%		60-130	06-AUG-14
Fluorene			60.5		%		60-130	06-AUG-14
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	•		91.5		%		60-130	06-AUG-14
2-Methylnaphthalene			90.9		%		60-130	06-AUG-14
Naphthalene			84.4		%		50-130	06-AUG-14
Phenanthrene			94.7		%		60-130	06-AUG-14
Pyrene			94.9		%		60-130	06-AUG-14
WG1921799-1 MB								
Acenaphthene			<0.0050		mg/kg		0.005	06-AUG-14
Acenaphthylene			<0.0050		mg/kg		0.005	06-AUG-14
Anthracene			<0.0040		mg/kg		0.004	06-AUG-14
Benz(a)anthracene			<0.010		mg/kg		0.01	06-AUG-14
Benzo(a)pyrene			<0.010		mg/kg		0.01	06-AUG-14
Benzo(b)fluoranthene			<0.010		mg/kg		0.01	06-AUG-14
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene			<0.010		mg/kg		0.01	06-AUG-14
Benzo(k)fluoranthene			<0.010		mg/kg		0.01	06-AUG-14
Chrysene			<0.010		mg/kg		0.01	06-AUG-14
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene			<0.0050		mg/kg		0.005	06-AUG-14
Fluoranthene			<0.010		mg/kg		0.01	06-AUG-14
Fluorene			<0.010		mg/kg		0.01	06-AUG-14
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	•		<0.010		mg/kg		0.01	06-AUG-14
2-Methylnaphthalene			<0.010		mg/kg		0.01	06-AUG-14
Naphthalene			<0.010		mg/kg		0.01	06-AUG-14
Phenanthrene			<0.010		mg/kg		0.01	06-AUG-14



	Workorder: L	1493447	Report Date: 12-	AUG-14	Page 5 of 6				
Test Matrix	Reference I	Result Q	ualifier Units	RPD Li	imit	Analyzed			
PAH-TMB-H/A-MS-VA Soil									
Batch R2905169									
WG1921799-1 MB									
Pyrene		<0.010	mg/kg	0	.01	06-AUG-14			
Surrogate: Naphthalene d8		91.2	%	5	0-130	06-AUG-14			
Surrogate: Acenaphthene d10		90.3	%	6	0-130	06-AUG-14			
Surrogate: Phenanthrene d10		88.7	%	6	0-130	06-AUG-14			
Surrogate: Chrysene d12		82.8	%	6	0-130	06-AUG-14			
VH-HSFID-VA Soil									
Batch R2908410									
WG1921820-2 LCS									
Volatile Hydrocarbons (VH6-10)		117.2	%	7	0-130	05-AUG-14			
WG1921820-1 MB		400							
Volatile Hydrocarbons (VH6-10)		<100	mg/kg	1	00	05-AUG-14			
VOC7-L-HSMS-VA Soil									
Batch R2906352									
WG1921820-2 LCS			0/	_					
Benzene		102.4	%	7	0-130	04-AUG-14			
		105.7	%	7	0-130	04-AUG-14			
Methyl t-butyl ether (MTBE)		101.2	%	7	0-130	04-AUG-14			
Styrene		107.0	%	7	0-130	04-AUG-14			
Toluene		101.2	%	7	0-130	04-AUG-14			
meta- & para-Xylene		100.7	%	7	0-130	04-AUG-14			
ortho-Xylene		103.3	%	7	0-130	04-AUG-14			
WG1921820-1 MB									
Benzene		<0.0050	mg/kg	0	.005	04-AUG-14			
Ethylbenzene		<0.015	mg/kg	0	.015	04-AUG-14			
Methyl t-butyl ether (MTBE)		<0.20	mg/kg	0	.2	04-AUG-14			
Styrene		<0.050	mg/kg	0	.05	04-AUG-14			
Toluene		<0.050	mg/kg	0	.05	04-AUG-14			
meta- & para-Xylene		<0.050	mg/kg	0	.05	04-AUG-14			
ortho-Xylene		<0.050	mg/kg	0	.05	04-AUG-14			

Workorder: L1493447

Report Date: 12-AUG-14

Legend:

Lim	it ALS Control Limit (Data Quality Objectives)
DU	P Duplicate
RP	D Relative Percent Difference
N/A	Not Available
LCS	S Laboratory Control Sample
SR	M Standard Reference Material
MS	Matrix Spike
MS	D Matrix Spike Duplicate
AD	E Average Desorption Efficiency
MB	Method Blank
IRN	1 Internal Reference Material
CR	M Certified Reference Material
CC	V Continuing Calibration Verification
CV	S Calibration Verification Standard
LCS	SD Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate

Sample Parameter Qualifier Definitions:

Qualifier	Description
DUP-H	Duplicate results outside ALS DQO, due to sample heterogeneity.
J	Duplicate results and limits are expressed in terms of absolute difference.
RPD-NA	Relative Percent Difference Not Available due to result(s) being less than detection limit.

Hold Time Exceedances:

All test results reported with this submission were conducted within ALS recommended hold times.

ALS recommended hold times may vary by province. They are assigned to meet known provincial and/or federal government requirements. In the absence of regulatory hold times, ALS establishes recommendations based on guidelines published by the US EPA, APHA Standard Methods, or Environment Canada (where available). For more information, please contact ALS.

The ALS Quality Control Report is provided to ALS clients upon request. ALS includes comprehensive QC checks with every analysis to ensure our high standards of quality are met. Each QC result has a known or expected target value, which is compared against predetermined data quality objectives to provide confidence in the accuracy of associated test results.

Please note that this report may contain QC results from anonymous Sample Duplicates and Matrix Spikes that do not originate from this Work Order.

Hydrocarbon Distribution Report



The EPH Hydrocarbon Distribution Report (HDR) is intended to assist you in characterizing hydrocarbon products that may be present in your sample. For further interpretation, a current library of reference products is available on www.alsglobal.com or upon request.

The scale at the bottom of the chromatogram indicates the approximate retention times of common petroleum products, and three n-alkane hydrocarbon marker compounds. Retention times may vary between samples by as much as 0.5 minutes.

Peak heights in this report are a function of the sample concentration, the sample amount extracted, the sample dilution factor, and the response scale at the left.

A "-L-" in the sample ID denotes a low level sample. A "-S-" denotes a silica gel cleaned sample.

Hydrocarbon Distribution Report



The EPH Hydrocarbon Distribution Report (HDR) is intended to assist you in characterizing hydrocarbon products that may be present in your sample. For further interpretation, a current library of reference products is available on www.alsglobal.com or upon request.

The scale at the bottom of the chromatogram indicates the approximate retention times of common petroleum products, and three n-alkane hydrocarbon marker compounds. Retention times may vary between samples by as much as 0.5 minutes.

Peak heights in this report are a function of the sample concentration, the sample amount extracted, the sample dilution factor, and the response scale at the left.

A "-L-" in the sample ID denotes a low level sample. A "-S-" denotes a silica gel cleaned sample.

Hydrocarbon Distribution Report



The EPH Hydrocarbon Distribution Report (HDR) is intended to assist you in characterizing hydrocarbon products that may be present in your sample. For further interpretation, a current library of reference products is available on www.alsglobal.com or upon request.

The scale at the bottom of the chromatogram indicates the approximate retention times of common petroleum products, and three n-alkane hydrocarbon marker compounds. Retention times may vary between samples by as much as 0.5 minutes.

Peak heights in this report are a function of the sample concentration, the sample amount extracted, the sample dilution factor, and the response scale at the left.

A "-L-" in the sample ID denotes a low level sample. A "-S-" denotes a silica gel cleaned sample.

:		ľ
^	 	

Chain of Custody / Analytic Canada Toll Free: 1 80

www.alsglobal.c

L1493447-COFC

· Page of

Report To	Hamid Ye	US-eMberg	<u> </u>	Report F	ormat / Distributio	ón		Serv	ice Reg	uest (R	uch cut	iact lo	wailabili	h. Ca.					
Company:	AMEL	· /		Standard	Standard: Other (specify)					Recular (Standard Tumaround Times, Pusiting Pusiting)									
Contact:				Select: F	Select: PDF , / Excel * Digital Fax Priority/24 Business Dave) 50% gurdharea Casteria U.S														
Address: C	1555 Lugle	eed Huy	· ·	Email 1:			1.42	Emergency (1-2 Business Days)-00% surcharge - Contact ALS to confirm TAT											
	BURNARY	<u>} < '</u>		Email 2:	····		•	Same Day of Weekend Emergency - Contact 41.0 to											· · · · ·
Phone: é c	4-295-6187	Fax:																	
Invoice To	Same as Report ? (circ	le) Yes or No (if	No, provide details)	Client / P	Project Information	n		-		(Indica	ate Fil	tered o	r Dros	quest	<u>.</u> E/D			
Copy of Invoice with Report? (circle) Yes or No				Job #:							$\overline{\Box}$			$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$			'a		7
Company:				PO/AFE				¥	r-	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	K-	K	<u> </u>	\leq	-+			-
Contact:				LSD:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			19										
Address:								-	2										S
Phone:		Fax:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Quote #:			-	1	R.		2	II					Í		iner
Lab Work Or	rder # (lab use only)			ALS Contact:		Sampler:		- 1/-	5/3	L.C.	1/rie	<th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>f Conta</th>							f Conta
Sample #	(Thi	Sample Iden s description will a	n tification opear on the report)		Date (dd-mmm-yy)	Time (hh:mm)	Sample Type		122	re	4832	BTE7							umber o
<u></u>	SED-M-14	- TP2	6583.6n	~	26 July 14	/ /	Soil	X	X	V	_				-+-	-+	-+		<u>ź</u>
	SED_M-14	- TP2	@ 7.3-	<i>٦</i>			SOIL	$\frac{1}{x}$	x	ĵ.		-				-+-		-+-	
	SED-M-M	-7P2	607-	•	· ·		Seil	T Y	X	Y							\rightarrow		
	520-M-14	- 703	@ 500				SOLL	X	X	V						-	<u> </u>		
8	530-M-14	<u>(-7P2</u>	@ 3.7	h	2		Soit	X	X	x				-					
Ê	520 - M- 14	- TP3	@ 1.5.	La	8 · · ·		HOLD			<u> </u>						+			
	520 - M-14	- TP3	<u>C 500</u>	- (JAR,	1		SOIL				X	*		-+-		-			_
	380 - M - 14	- TP2	@ 3,000	(JAP))		SOIL		_	-	7	7.			-		- † -		
	520-M-1	<u>4-7P2</u>	<u> 00.7</u> ~	(JA12)	1		Soic				\mathbf{x}	7	·						
												<u> </u>						-+-	
2 2 1															-+-	+-		+	+
													-						
<u>i</u>	Special	Instructions / Reg	gulation with water or	land use (CC)	ME- Freshwater Ad	quatic Life/BC C	SR-Commercial/A	B Tier	1-Nati	ıral/E	TC)71	Hazar	dou's D	Details			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
			Failure to complete	all portions o	of this form may d	elay analysis. I	Please fill in this fo	orm LE	GIBLY.							<u> </u>		······································	
	By th	e use of this forn	n the user acknowledg	es and agree	s with the Terms a	and Conditions	as specified on the	e back	page d	of the	white	∍ - rep	ort co	ov.					
lalagaad bur	SHIPMENT RELEAS	E (client use)		SHI	PMENT RECEPTION	ON (lab use only)			ŝ	IPME		ERIFIC	ATIO	N (lah	use o	niv)		
чененавер ру:		Date:	Time: Receive	ed by	Date:	Time:	Temperature:	Verifie	ed by:		T	Date:		Π	me:		Ot Ye	s / No '	ons:
REFI	ER TO BACK PAGE FOR A				6014	11.50	10.2 °C				_		·				if Y	/es_add	SIF
		LO LO OMIONO AM				WHITE - LAB	DRATORY COPY	YELLC	W - CL	IENT	COPY					GE	NF 18	.01 Fro	nt

10-152784

Environmental



AMEC Environment & Infrastructure ATTN: HAMID YOUSEFBEIGI # 600 - 4445 Lougheed Hwy Burnaby BC V5C 0E4 Date Received: 08-AUG-14 Report Date: 12-SEP-14 14:56 (MT) Version: FINAL REV. 2

Client Phone: --

Certificate of Analysis

Lab Work Order #: L1499580

Project P.O. #: Job Reference: C of C Numbers: Legal Site Desc: NOT SUBMITTED VM00605J 10-334267

Comments: 29-AUG-14 Please note that additional shake flask analyses have been added to ALS sample numbers 11, 16, 17, 22, 23 and 25 in this report.

Selam Worku Account Manager

[This report shall not be reproduced except in full without the written authority of the Laboratory.]

ADDRESS: 8081 Lougheed Hwy, Suite 100, Burnaby, BC V5A 1W9 Canada | Phone: +1 604 253 4188 | Fax: +1 604 253 6700 ALS CANADA LTD Part of the ALS Group A Campbell Brothers Limited Company



www.alsglobal.com

RIGHT SOLUTIONS RIGHT PARTNER
L1499580 CONTD.... PAGE 2 of 16 12-SEP-14 14:56 (MT) Version: FINAL REV. 2

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

	Sample ID Descriptior Sampled Date Sampled Time Client ID	L1499580-1 SOIL 08-AUG-14 33:45 BH-T-14-01 GS1M	L1499580-2 SOIL 08-AUG-14 13:45 BH-T-14-01 GS3M	L1499580-3 SOIL 08-AUG-14 13:45 BH-T-14-01 GS4M	L1499580-4 SOIL 08-AUG-14 13:45 BH-T-14-02 GS2M	L1499580-5 SOIL 08-AUG-14 13:45 BH-T-14-02 GS3M
Grouping	Analyte					
SOIL						
Physical Tests	Moisture (%)	-				
	pH (1:2 soil:water) (pH)	6.50	7.23	8.79	6.86	6.65
Leachable Anions & Nutrients	Acidity (as CaCO3) (ug/L)	_				
	Alkalinity, Total (as CaCO3) (ug/L)					
	Bromide (Br) (ug/L)					
	Chloride (Cl) (ug/L)					
	Conductivity (uS/cm)					
	Fluoride (F) (ug/L)					
	Nitrate (as N) (ug/L)					
	Nitrite (as N) (ug/L)					
	рН (рН)					
	Sulfate (SO4) (ug/L)					
Metals	Antimony (Sb) (mg/kg)	0.26	0.29	0.31	0.16	0.18
	Arsenic (As) (mg/kg)	4.42	4.11	4.41	4.37	4.69
	Barium (Ba) (mg/kg)	68.4	66.1	64.4	80.8	76.5
	Beryllium (Be) (mg/kg)	<0.20	<0.20	0.20	<0.20	0.23
	Cadmium (Cd) (mg/kg)	0.065	0.056	0.058	0.064	0.066
	Chromium (Cr) (mg/kg)	11.1	9.88	13.7	12.0	13.0
	Cobalt (Co) (mg/kg)	3.54	3.05	3.43	3.62	3.92
	Copper (Cu) (mg/kg)	7.25	6.27	6.68	7.80	8.14
	Lead (Pb) (mg/kg)	3.17	2.53	2.72	2.48	2.71
	Mercury (Hg) (mg/kg)	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050
	Molybdenum (Mo) (mg/kg)	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50
	Nickel (Ni) (mg/kg)	7.24	6.05	6.30	7.50	8.05
	Selenium (Se) (mg/kg)	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20
	Silver (Ag) (mg/kg)	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10
	Thallium (TI) (mg/kg)	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050
	Tin (Sn) (mg/kg)	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0
	Uranium (U) (mg/kg)	0.350	0.345	0.495	0.381	0.421
	Vanadium (V) (mg/kg)	26.2	25.3	30.1	26.0	28.6
	Zinc (Zn) (mg/kg)	22.2	20.1	20.7	23.6	23.8
Leachable Metals	Aluminum (Al)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Antimony (Sb)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Arsenic (As)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Barium (Ba)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Beryllium (Be)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Bismuth (Bi)-Leachable (ug/L)					

L1499580 CONTD.... PAGE 3 of 16 12-SEP-14 14:56 (MT) Version: FINAL REV. 2

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

	Sample ID Description Sampled Dat Sampled Tim Client ID	L1499580-6 SOIL 08-AUG-14 13:45 BH-T-14-06 GS1M	L1499580-7 SOIL 08-AUG-14 13:45 BH-T-14-06 GS2M	L1499580-8 SOIL 08-AUG-14 13:45 BH-T-14-06 GS4.6M	L1499580-9 SOIL 08-AUG-14 13:45 BH-T-14-07 GS2M	L1499580-10 SOIL 08-AUG-14 13:45 BH-T-14-07 GS4M
Grouping	Analyte					
SOIL						
Physical Tests	Moisture (%)	-				
	pH (1:2 soil:water) (pH)	5.93	6.28	6.60	6.63	8.65
Leachable Anions & Nutrients	Acidity (as CaCO3) (ug/L)	_				
	Alkalinity, Total (as CaCO3) (ug/L)					
	Bromide (Br) (ug/L)					
	Chloride (CI) (ug/L)					
	Conductivity (uS/cm)					
	Fluoride (F) (ug/L)					
	Nitrate (as N) (ug/L)					
	Nitrite (as N) (ug/L)					
	рН (рН)					
	Sulfate (SO4) (ug/L)					
Metals	Antimony (Sb) (mg/kg)	0.95	0.53	0.38	0.82	0.38
	Arsenic (As) (mg/kg)	27.7	9.08	7.28	9.40	5.91
I	Barium (Ba) (mg/kg)	114	58.6	52.6	45.1	44.0
	Beryllium (Be) (mg/kg)	0.26	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20
	Cadmium (Cd) (mg/kg)	0.448	0.171	0.144	0.116	0.072
	Chromium (Cr) (mg/kg)	21.1	10.7	9.79	9.37	7.74
	Cobalt (Co) (mg/kg)	4.11	3.13	3.05	2.92	2.64
	Copper (Cu) (mg/kg)	15.6	6.98	6.82	5.94	5.82
	Lead (Pb) (mg/kg)	23.9	4.47	4.43	3.58	2.66
	Mercury (Hg) (mg/kg)	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050
	Molybdenum (Mo) (mg/kg)	1.15	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50
	Nickel (Ni) (mg/kg)	13.8	7.65	6.99	5.99	5.11
	Selenium (Se) (mg/kg)	0.72	0.21	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20
	Silver (Ag) (mg/kg)	0.14	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10
	Thallium (TI) (mg/kg)	0.121	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050
	Tin (Sn) (mg/kg)	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0
	Uranium (U) (mg/kg)	0.666	0.420	0.333	0.305	0.327
	Vanadium (V) (mg/kg)	52.6	29.2	24.9	26.9	21.9
	Zinc (Zn) (mg/kg)	75.4	30.9	27.0	28.6	18.5
Leachable Metals	Aluminum (Al)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Antimony (Sb)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Arsenic (As)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Barium (Ba)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Beryllium (Be)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Bismuth (Bi)-Leachable (ug/L)					

L1499580 CONTD.... PAGE 4 of 16 12-SEP-14 14:56 (MT) Version: FINAL REV. 2

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

	Sample ID Description Sampled Date Sampled Time Client ID	L1499580-11 SOIL 08-AUG-14 13:45 BH-T-14-08 GS2M	L1499580-12 SOIL 08-AUG-14 13:45 BH-T-14-08 GS3M	L1499580-13 SOIL 08-AUG-14 13:45 BH-T-14-09 GS1M	L1499580-14 SOIL 08-AUG-14 13:45 BH-T-14-09 GS2M	L1499580-15 SOIL 08-AUG-14 13:45 BH-T-14-17 GS2M
Grouping	Analyte					
SOIL						
Physical Tests	Moisture (%)	2.42				
	pH (1:2 soil:water) (pH)	7.19	7.37	5.99	7.84	6.44
Leachable Anions & Nutrients	Acidity (as CaCO3) (ug/L)	1300				
	Alkalinity, Total (as CaCO3) (ug/L)	4200				
	Bromide (Br) (ug/L)	<50				
	Chloride (Cl) (ug/L)	<500				
	Conductivity (uS/cm)	<40.0				
	Fluoride (F) (ug/L)	215				
	Nitrate (as N) (ug/L)	1540				
	Nitrite (as N) (ug/L)	1.7				
	pH (pH)	7.06				
	Sulfate (SO4) (ug/L)	730				
Metals	Antimony (Sb) (mg/kg)	0.46	0.41	0.30	0.68	20.6
	Arsenic (As) (mg/kg)	6.01	6.41	4.50	17.5	145
	Barium (Ba) (mg/kg)	37.1	34.7	56.7	67.5	93.5
	Beryllium (Be) (mg/kg)	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	0.24
	Cadmium (Cd) (mg/kg)	0.068	0.078	0.053	0.169	1.59
	Chromium (Cr) (mg/kg)	7.97	8.13	9.09	12.0	11.3
	Cobalt (Co) (mg/kg)	2.63	2.90	2.55	3.43	3.20
	Copper (Cu) (mg/kg)	4.76	4.56	4.74	8.60	40.3
	Lead (Pb) (mg/kg)	2.73	3.29	2.89	5.26	88.4
	Mercury (Hg) (mg/kg)	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050
	Molybdenum (Mo) (mg/kg)	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50
	Nickel (Ni) (mg/kg)	4.70	4.58	5.41	8.06	6.90
	Selenium (Se) (mg/kg)	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20
	Silver (Ag) (mg/kg)	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	2.67
	Thallium (TI) (mg/kg)	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	0.051	0.103
	Tin (Sn) (mg/kg)	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0
	Uranium (U) (mg/kg)	0.292	0.323	0.337	0.427	0.552
	Vanadium (V) (mg/kg)	20.7	23.7	21.4	27.3	29.4
	Zinc (Zn) (mg/kg)	20.1	20.1	19.2	36.0	120
Leachable Metals	Aluminum (Al)-Leachable (ug/L)	9540				
	Antimony (Sb)-Leachable (ug/L)	1.82				
	Arsenic (As)-Leachable (ug/L)	22.2				
	Barium (Ba)-Leachable (ug/L)	97.7				
	Beryllium (Be)-Leachable (ug/L)	<0.50				
	Bismuth (Bi)-Leachable (ug/L)	<0.50				

L1499580 CONTD.... PAGE 5 of 16 12-SEP-14 14:56 (MT) Version: FINAL REV. 2

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

	Sample ID Description Sampled Date Sampled Time Client ID	L1499580-16 SOIL 08-AUG-14 13:45 BH-T-14-17 GS3M	L1499580-17 SOIL 08-AUG-14 13:45 BH-C-14-01 GS1M	L1499580-18 SOIL 08-AUG-14 13:45 BH-C-14-01 GS3M	L1499580-19 SOIL 08-AUG-14 13:45 BH-C-14-02 GS1M	L1499580-20 SOIL 08-AUG-14 13:45 BH-C-14-02 GS2M
Grouping	Analyte					
SOIL						
Physical Tests	Moisture (%)	34.3	8.88			
	pH (1:2 soil:water) (pH)	6.62	7.38	7.69	7.72	7.85
Leachable Anions & Nutrients	Acidity (as CaCO3) (ug/L)	3100	1300			
	Alkalinity, Total (as CaCO3) (ug/L)	32300	9200			
	Bromide (Br) (ug/L)	<50	<50			
	Chloride (Cl) (ug/L)	640	730			
	Conductivity (uS/cm)	547	<40.0			
	Fluoride (F) (ug/L)	106	260			
	Nitrate (as N) (ug/L)	480	107			
	Nitrite (as N) (ug/L)	344	1.2			
	рН (рН)	6.58	7.62			
	Sulfate (SO4) (ug/L)	238000	2630			
Metals	Antimony (Sb) (mg/kg)	30.6	13.2	15.5	0.28	0.31
	Arsenic (As) (mg/kg)	211	116	249	3.86	3.78
	Barium (Ba) (mg/kg)	76.7	146	165	429	457
	Beryllium (Be) (mg/kg)	<0.20	0.48	0.43	<0.20	<0.20
	Cadmium (Cd) (mg/kg)	2.13	0.587	0.637	0.079	0.077
	Chromium (Cr) (mg/kg)	10.6	29.0	30.5	12.8	12.8
	Cobalt (Co) (mg/kg)	3.31	7.79	8.51	21.2	19.6
	Copper (Cu) (mg/kg)	42.8	29.6	26.1	112	86.7
	Lead (Pb) (mg/kg)	129	39.1	28.4	2.13	1.97
	Mercury (Hg) (mg/kg)	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050
	Molybdenum (Mo) (mg/kg)	<0.50	0.81	1.05	<0.50	<0.50
	Nickel (Ni) (mg/kg)	6.48	13.9	13.6	6.20	5.47
	Selenium (Se) (mg/kg)	<0.20	<0.20	0.20	<0.20	<0.20
	Silver (Ag) (mg/kg)	3.79	<0.50	<0.40	<0.30	<0.20
	Thallium (TI) (mg/kg)	0.118	0.300	0.273	0.275	0.238
	Tin (Sn) (mg/kg)	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0
	Uranium (U) (mg/kg)	0.458	0.751	0.807	0.586	0.585
	Vanadium (V) (mg/kg)	29.3	52.6	49.4	193	180
	Zinc (Zn) (mg/kg)	164	111	103	87.2	85.9
Leachable Metals	Aluminum (Al)-Leachable (ug/L)	181	14500			
	Antimony (Sb)-Leachable (ug/L)	119	42.8			
	Arsenic (As)-Leachable (ug/L)	125	346			
	Barium (Ba)-Leachable (ug/L)	114	148			
	Beryllium (Be)-Leachable (ug/L)	<0.50	0.77			
	Bismuth (Bi)-Leachable (ug/L)	1.77	0.75			

L1499580 CONTD.... PAGE 6 of 16 12-SEP-14 14:56 (MT) Version: FINAL REV. 2

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

	Sample ID Description Sampled Date Sampled Time Client ID	L1499580-21 SOIL 08-AUG-14 13:45 BH-C-14-03 GS2M	L1499580-22 SOIL 08-AUG-14 13:45 BH-C-14-03 GS3M	L1499580-23 SOIL 08-AUG-14 13:45 BH-C-14-04 GS2M	L1499580-24 SOIL 08-AUG-14 13:45 BH-C-14-04 GS4M	L1499580-25 SOIL 08-AUG-14 13:45 BH-M-14-05 GS1M
Grouping	Analyte					
SOIL						
Physical Tests	Moisture (%)		6.85	8.55		6.59
	pH (1:2 soil:water) (pH)	7.99	7.92	7.92	7.83	8.38
Leachable Anions & Nutrients	Acidity (as CaCO3) (ug/L)		1300	<1000		<1000
	Alkalinity, Total (as CaCO3) (ug/L)		11500	10400		53300
	Bromide (Br) (ug/L)		<50	<50		<50
	Chloride (Cl) (ug/L)		<500	<500		<500
	Conductivity (uS/cm)		<40.0	<60.0		253
	Fluoride (F) (ug/L)		557	509		868
	Nitrate (as N) (ug/L)		36.6	18.0		23.7
	Nitrite (as N) (ug/L)		1.6	1.2		1.3
	рН (рН)		8.08	7.96		8.28
	Sulfate (SO4) (ug/L)		2080	11700		71400
Metals	Antimony (Sb) (mg/kg)	10.1	5.78	3.98	5.25	15.5
	Arsenic (As) (mg/kg)	205	133	67.6	73.7	28.6
	Barium (Ba) (mg/kg)	293	265	661	293	19.7
	Beryllium (Be) (mg/kg)	0.34	0.33	0.42	0.32	0.57
	Cadmium (Cd) (mg/kg)	0.849	0.648	0.260	0.390	2.56
	Chromium (Cr) (mg/kg)	31.7	41.5	37.4	28.2	7.20
	Cobalt (Co) (mg/kg)	13.1	13.0	16.6	15.2	7.68
	Copper (Cu) (mg/kg)	39.4	40.7	35.3	41.2	39.7
	Lead (Pb) (mg/kg)	23.4	18.1	12.0	19.7	27.9
	Mercury (Hg) (mg/kg)	0.055	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050
	Molybdenum (Mo) (mg/kg)	1.27	1.01	0.56	0.66	<0.50
	Nickel (Ni) (mg/kg)	16.1	14.7	11.5	11.3	2.91
	Selenium (Se) (mg/kg)	0.21	<0.20	<0.20	0.22	<0.20
	Silver (Ag) (mg/kg)	<0.50	0.33	0.17	0.22	0.42
	Thallium (TI) (mg/kg)	0.370	0.343	0.363	0.289	0.123
	Tin (Sn) (mg/kg)	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0
	Uranium (U) (mg/kg)	0.538	0.639	0.497	0.581	0.374
	Vanadium (V) (mg/kg)	69.8	81.5	124	118	26.1
	Zinc (Zn) (mg/kg)	138	127	106	103	212
Leachable Metals	Aluminum (Al)-Leachable (ug/L)		27300	7140		8.5
	Antimony (Sb)-Leachable (ug/L)		24.0	5.74		34.6
	Arsenic (As)-Leachable (ug/L)		530	69.2		<1.0
	Barium (Ba)-Leachable (ug/L)		452	106		1.8
	Beryllium (Be)-Leachable (ug/L)		<1.0 DLA	<0.50		<0.50
	Bismuth (Bi)-Leachable (ug/L)		<1.0 DLA	<0.50		<0.50

	Sample ID Description Sampled Date	L1499580-26 SOIL 08-AUG-14		
	Sampled Time Client ID	13:45 BH-M-14-05 GS2M		
Grouping	Analyte			
SOIL				
Physical Tests	Moisture (%)			
	pH (1:2 soil:water) (pH)	8.26		
Leachable Anions & Nutrients	Acidity (as CaCO3) (ug/L)			
	Alkalinity, Total (as CaCO3) (ug/L)			
	Bromide (Br) (ug/L)			
	Chloride (Cl) (ug/L)			
	Conductivity (uS/cm)			
	Fluoride (F) (ug/L)			
	Nitrate (as N) (ug/L)			
	Nitrite (as N) (ug/L)			
	рН (рН)			
	Sulfate (SO4) (ug/L)			
Metals	Antimony (Sb) (mg/kg)	16.5		
	Arsenic (As) (mg/kg)	47.1		
	Barium (Ba) (mg/kg)	173		
	Beryllium (Be) (mg/kg)	1.15		
	Cadmium (Cd) (mg/kg)	1.29		
	Chromium (Cr) (mg/kg)	21.4		
	Cobalt (Co) (mg/kg)	15.6		
	Copper (Cu) (mg/kg)	52.4		
	Lead (Pb) (mg/kg)	52.7		
	Mercury (Hg) (mg/kg)	0.051		
	Molybdenum (Mo) (mg/kg)	<0.50		
	Nickel (Ni) (mg/kg)	13.0		
	Selenium (Se) (mg/kg)	0.56		
	Silver (Ag) (mg/kg)	0.78		
	Thallium (TI) (mg/kg)	0.246		
	Tin (Sn) (mg/kg)	<2.0		
	Uranium (U) (mg/kg)	0.484		
	Vanadium (V) (mg/kg)	68.3		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2 inc (2n) (mg/kg)	177		
Leacnable Metals				
	Bismuth (Bi)-Leachable (ug/L)			
	DISTITUTI (DI)-Leachable (Ug/L)			

L1499580 CONTD.... PAGE 8 of 16 12-SEP-14 14:56 (MT) Version: FINAL REV. 2

	Sample ID Description Sampled Date Sampled Time Client ID	L1499580-1 SOIL 08-AUG-14 13:45 BH-T-14-01 GS1M	L1499580-2 SOIL 08-AUG-14 13:45 BH-T-14-01 GS3M	L1499580-3 SOIL 08-AUG-14 13:45 BH-T-14-01 GS4M	L1499580-4 SOIL 08-AUG-14 13:45 BH-T-14-02 GS2M	L1499580-5 SOIL 08-AUG-14 13:45 BH-T-14-02 GS3M
Grouping	Analyte					
SOIL						
Leachable Metals	Boron (B)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Cadmium (Cd)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Calcium (Ca)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Chromium (Cr)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Cobalt (Co)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Copper (Cu)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Iron (Fe)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Lead (Pb)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Lithium (Li)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Magnesium (Mg)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Manganese (Mn)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Mercury (Hg)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Molybdenum (Mo)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Nickel (Ni)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Phosphorus (P)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Potassium (K)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Selenium (Se)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Silicon (Si)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Silver (Ag)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Sodium (Na)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Strontium (Sr)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Thallium (TI)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Tin (Sn)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Titanium (Ti)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Uranium (U)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Vanadium (V)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Zinc (Zn)-Leachable (ug/L)					

L1499580 CONTD.... PAGE 9 of 16 12-SEP-14 14:56 (MT) Version: FINAL REV. 2

	Sample ID Description Sampled Date Sampled Time Client ID	L1499580-6 SOIL 08-AUG-14 13:45 BH-T-14-06 GS1M	L1499580-7 SOIL 08-AUG-14 13:45 BH-T-14-06 GS2M	L1499580-8 SOIL 08-AUG-14 13:45 BH-T-14-06 GS4.6M	L1499580-9 SOIL 08-AUG-14 13:45 BH-T-14-07 GS2M	L1499580-10 SOIL 08-AUG-14 13:45 BH-T-14-07 GS4M
Grouping	Analyte					
SOIL						
Leachable Metals	Boron (B)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Cadmium (Cd)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Calcium (Ca)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Chromium (Cr)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Cobalt (Co)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Copper (Cu)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Iron (Fe)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Lead (Pb)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Lithium (Li)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Magnesium (Mg)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Manganese (Mn)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Mercury (Hg)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Molybdenum (Mo)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Nickel (Ni)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Phosphorus (P)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Potassium (K)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Selenium (Se)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Silicon (Si)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Silver (Ag)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Sodium (Na)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Strontium (Sr)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Thallium (TI)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Tin (Sn)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Titanium (Ti)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Uranium (U)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Vanadium (V)-Leachable (ug/L)					
	Zinc (Zn)-Leachable (ug/L)					

L1499580 CONTD.... PAGE 10 of 16 12-SEP-14 14:56 (MT) Version: FINAL REV. 2

	Sample ID Description Sampled Date Sampled Time Client ID	L1499580-11 SOIL 08-AUG-14 13:45 BH-T-14-08 GS2M	L1499580-12 SOIL 08-AUG-14 13:45 BH-T-14-08 GS3M	L1499580-13 SOIL 08-AUG-14 13:45 BH-T-14-09 GS1M	L1499580-14 SOIL 08-AUG-14 13:45 BH-T-14-09 GS2M	L1499580-15 SOIL 08-AUG-14 13:45 BH-T-14-17 GS2M
Grouping	Analyte					
SOIL						
Leachable Metals	Boron (B)-Leachable (ug/L)	<10				
	Cadmium (Cd)-Leachable (ug/L)	0.209				
	Calcium (Ca)-Leachable (ug/L)	3310				
	Chromium (Cr)-Leachable (ug/L)	11.7				
	Cobalt (Co)-Leachable (ug/L)	6.10				
	Copper (Cu)-Leachable (ug/L)	13.4				
	Iron (Fe)-Leachable (ug/L)	11500				
	Lead (Pb)-Leachable (ug/L)	9.79				
	Lithium (Li)-Leachable (ug/L)	<5.0				
	Magnesium (Mg)-Leachable (ug/L)	1880				
	Manganese (Mn)-Leachable (ug/L)	399				
	Mercury (Hg)-Leachable (ug/L)	<0.050				
	Molybdenum (Mo)-Leachable (ug/L)	1.09				
	Nickel (Ni)-Leachable (ug/L)	11.1				
	Phosphorus (P)-Leachable (ug/L)	<300				
	Potassium (K)-Leachable (ug/L)	2360				
	Selenium (Se)-Leachable (ug/L)	<0.50				
	Silicon (Si)-Leachable (ug/L)	22900				
	Silver (Ag)-Leachable (ug/L)	0.195				
	Sodium (Na)-Leachable (ug/L)	2020				
	Strontium (Sr)-Leachable (ug/L)	26.4				
	Thallium (TI)-Leachable (ug/L)	<0.10				
	Tin (Sn)-Leachable (ug/L)	<0.50				
	Titanium (Ti)-Leachable (ug/L)	310				
	Uranium (U)-Leachable (ug/L)	0.282				
	Vanadium (V)-Leachable (ug/L)	27.2				
	Zinc (Zn)-Leachable (ug/L)	34				

L1499580 CONTD.... PAGE 11 of 16 12-SEP-14 14:56 (MT) Version: FINAL REV. 2

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

	Sample ID Description Sampled Date Sampled Time Client ID	L1499580-16 SOIL 08-AUG-14 13:45 BH-T-14-17 GS3M	L1499580-17 SOIL 08-AUG-14 13:45 BH-C-14-01 GS1M	L1499580-18 SOIL 08-AUG-14 13:45 BH-C-14-01 GS3M	L1499580-19 SOIL 08-AUG-14 13:45 BH-C-14-02 GS1M	L1499580-20 SOIL 08-AUG-14 13:45 BH-C-14-02 GS2M
Grouping	Analyte					
SOIL						
Leachable Metals	Boron (B)-Leachable (ug/L)	93	11			
	Cadmium (Cd)-Leachable (ug/L)	1.42	0.988			
	Calcium (Ca)-Leachable (ug/L)	73300	3850			
	Chromium (Cr)-Leachable (ug/L)	0.93	28.9			
	Cobalt (Co)-Leachable (ug/L)	2.48	8.96			
	Copper (Cu)-Leachable (ug/L)	17.0	55.7			
	Iron (Fe)-Leachable (ug/L)	3280	47500			
	Lead (Pb)-Leachable (ug/L)	53.6	84.0			
	Lithium (Li)-Leachable (ug/L)	<5.0	8.2			
	Magnesium (Mg)-Leachable (ug/L)	14900	1670			
	Manganese (Mn)-Leachable (ug/L)	1240	299			
	Mercury (Hg)-Leachable (ug/L)	<0.050	0.163			
	Molybdenum (Mo)-Leachable (ug/L)	2.05	5.57			
	Nickel (Ni)-Leachable (ug/L)	2.03	24.6			
	Phosphorus (P)-Leachable (ug/L)	<300	730			
	Potassium (K)-Leachable (ug/L)	4410	2150			
	Selenium (Se)-Leachable (ug/L)	<0.50	0.55			
	Silicon (Si)-Leachable (ug/L)	3670	39000			
	Silver (Ag)-Leachable (ug/L)	0.970	1.73			
	Sodium (Na)-Leachable (ug/L)	3620	2660			
	Strontium (Sr)-Leachable (ug/L)	365	23.6			
	Thallium (TI)-Leachable (ug/L)	0.27	0.13			
	Tin (Sn)-Leachable (ug/L)	<0.50	<0.50			
	Titanium (Ti)-Leachable (ug/L)	27	637			
	Uranium (U)-Leachable (ug/L)	0.068	1.34			
	Vanadium (V)-Leachable (ug/L)	9.8	94.6			
	Zinc (Zn)-Leachable (ug/L)	67	157			

L1499580 CONTD.... PAGE 12 of 16 12-SEP-14 14:56 (MT) Version: FINAL REV. 2

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

	Sample ID Description Sampled Date Sampled Time Client ID	L1499580-21 SOIL 08-AUG-14 13:45 BH-C-14-03 GS2M	L1499580-22 SOIL 08-AUG-14 13:45 BH-C-14-03 GS3M	L1499580-23 SOIL 08-AUG-14 13:45 BH-C-14-04 GS2M	L1499580-24 SOIL 08-AUG-14 13:45 BH-C-14-04 GS4M	L1499580-25 SOIL 08-AUG-14 13:45 BH-M-14-05 GS1M
Grouping	Analyte					
SOIL						
Leachable Metals	Boron (B)-Leachable (ug/L)		21	<10		<10
	Cadmium (Cd)-Leachable (ug/L)		1.70	0.207		0.180
	Calcium (Ca)-Leachable (ug/L)		4960	4700		29000
	Chromium (Cr)-Leachable (ug/L)		49.8	8.42		<0.50
	Cobalt (Co)-Leachable (ug/L)		25.0	3.61		<0.10
	Copper (Cu)-Leachable (ug/L)		79.5	15.3		<1.0
	Iron (Fe)-Leachable (ug/L)		63900	12600		<30
	Lead (Pb)-Leachable (ug/L)		54.0	14.6		<0.10
	Lithium (Li)-Leachable (ug/L)		17	7.2		<5.0
	Magnesium (Mg)-Leachable (ug/L)		4480	1840		8100
	Manganese (Mn)-Leachable (ug/L)		2470	309		6.35
	Mercury (Hg)-Leachable (ug/L)		0.379	<0.050		<0.050
	Molybdenum (Mo)-Leachable (ug/L)		24.2	6.05		3.15
	Nickel (Ni)-Leachable (ug/L)		38.0	5.43		<0.50
	Phosphorus (P)-Leachable (ug/L)		790	<300		<300
	Potassium (K)-Leachable (ug/L)		4190	3750		3950
	Selenium (Se)-Leachable (ug/L)		<1.0	<0.50		<0.50
	Silicon (Si)-Leachable (ug/L)		65100	20400		3490
	Silver (Ag)-Leachable (ug/L)		2.08	0.441		<0.050
	Sodium (Na)-Leachable (ug/L)		3460	3260		3130
	Strontium (Sr)-Leachable (ug/L)		45.0	24.0		54.0
	Thallium (TI)-Leachable (ug/L)		0.26	<0.10		0.13
	Tin (Sn)-Leachable (ug/L)		<1.0	<0.50		<0.50
	Titanium (Ti)-Leachable (ug/L)		1210	261		<10
	Uranium (U)-Leachable (ug/L)		1.25	0.303		0.127
	Vanadium (V)-Leachable (ug/L)		118	31.8		<1.0
	Zinc (Zn)-Leachable (ug/L)		210	29		<10

L1499580 CONTD.... PAGE 13 of 16 12-SEP-14 14:56 (MT) Version: FINAL REV. 2

	Sample ID Description Sampled Date Sampled Time Client ID	L1499580-26 SOIL 08-AUG-14 13:45 BH-M-14-05 GS2M		
Grouping	Analyte			
SOIL				
Leachable Metals	Boron (B)-Leachable (ug/L)			
	Cadmium (Cd)-Leachable (ug/L)			
	Calcium (Ca)-Leachable (ug/L)			
	Chromium (Cr)-Leachable (ug/L)			
	Cobalt (Co)-Leachable (ug/L)			
	Copper (Cu)-Leachable (ug/L)			
	Iron (Fe)-Leachable (ug/L)			
	Lead (Pb)-Leachable (ug/L)			
	Lithium (Li)-Leachable (ug/L)			
	Magnesium (Mg)-Leachable (ug/L)			
	Manganese (Mn)-Leachable (ug/L)			
	Mercury (Hg)-Leachable (ug/L)			
	Molybdenum (Mo)-Leachable (ug/L)			
	Nickel (Ni)-Leachable (ug/L)			
	Phosphorus (P)-Leachable (ug/L)			
	Potassium (K)-Leachable (ug/L)			
	Selenium (Se)-Leachable (ug/L)			
	Silicon (Si)-Leachable (ug/L)			
	Silver (Ag)-Leachable (ug/L)			
	Sodium (Na)-Leachable (ug/L)			
	Strontium (Sr)-Leachable (ug/L)			
	Thallium (TI)-Leachable (ug/L)			
	Tin (Sn)-Leachable (ug/L)			
	Titanium (Ti)-Leachable (ug/L)			
	Uranium (U)-Leachable (ug/L)			
	Vanadium (V)-Leachable (ug/L)			
	Zinc (Zn)-Leachable (ug/L)			

Reference Information

QC Samples with Qualifiers & Comments:

QC Type Description	Parameter	Qualifier	Applies to Sample Number(s)
Method Blank	Lead (Pb)-Leachable	В	L1499580-11, -16, -17, -22, -23, -25
Method Blank	Sodium (Na)-Leachable	В	L1499580-11, -16, -17, -22, -23, -25
Duplicate	Antimony (Sb)	DUP-H	L1499580-22, -23, -24, -25, -26
Duplicate	Arsenic (As)	DUP-H	L1499580-22, -23, -24, -25, -26
Duplicate	Antimony (Sb)	DUP-H	L1499580-1, -10, -11, -12, -13, -14, -15, -16, -2, -3, -4, -5, -6, -7, -8, -9
Method Blank	Nitrate (as N)	MB-LOR	L1499580-11, -16, -17, -22, -23, -25
Method Blank	Conductivity	MB-LOR	L1499580-11, -16, -17, -22, -23, -25
Matrix Spike	Iron (Fe)-Leachable	MS-B	L1499580-11, -16, -17, -22, -23, -25
Matrix Spike	Silicon (Si)-Leachable	MS-B	L1499580-11, -16, -17, -22, -23, -25
Certified Reference Material	Silver (Ag)	RM-H	L1499580-17, -18, -19, -20, -21

Qualifiers for Individual Parameters Listed:

Qualifier	Description
В	Method Blank exceeds ALS DQO. All associated sample results are at least 5 times greater than blank levels and are considered reliable.
DLA	Detection Limit adjusted for required dilution
DUP-H	Duplicate results outside ALS DQO, due to sample heterogeneity.
MB-LOR	Method Blank exceeds ALS DQO. Limits of Reporting have been adjusted for samples with positive hits below 5x blank level.
MS-B	Matrix Spike recovery could not be accurately calculated due to high analyte background in sample.
RM-H	Reference Material recovery was above ALS DQO. Non-detected sample results are considered reliable. Other results, if reported, have been qualified.

Test Method References:

ALS Test Code	Matrix	Test Description	Method Reference**		
ACY-SHKFLSK-PCT-VA	Soil	Acidity by PCT (SHAKEFLASK)	BC MINISTRY OF ENERGY AND MINES		
This analysis is based upo Acid Rock Drainage at Mine extracted at a 3:1 liquid to 0.45 micron membrane fill	n the extracti esites in Britis solids ratio f er and analys	on procedure outlined in "Guidelines and Recommend sh Columbia" BC Ministry of Energy and Mines, (Dr. W for 24 hours using deionized water. The extract is the sed using procedures adapted from APHA Method 2310	ed Methods for the Prediction of Metal Leaching and illiam A. Price, 1997). In summary, the sample is en allowed to settle and subsequently filtered through a 0 "Acidity".		
ALK-SHKFLSK-PCT-VA	Soil	Alkalinity by PCT (SHAKEFLASK)	BC MINISTRY OF ENERGY AND MINES		

ALK-SHKFLSK-PCT-VA Alkalinity by PCT (SHAKEFLASK) Soil

This analysis is based upon the extraction procedure outlined in "Guidelines and Recommended Methods for the Prediction of Metal Leaching and Acid Rock Drainage at Minesites in British Columbia" BC Ministry of Energy and Mines, (Dr. William A. Price, 1997). In summary, the sample is extracted at a 3:1 liquid to solids ratio for 24 hours using deionized water. The extract is then allowed to settle and subsequently filtered through a 0.45 micron membrane filter and analysed using procedures adapted from APHA Method 2320 "Alkalinity".

Anions by IC (SHAKEFLASK) ANIONS-SHKFLSK-IC-VA Soil

This analysis is based upon the extraction procedure outlined in "Guidelines and Recommended Methods for the Prediction of Metal Leaching and Acid Rock Drainage at Minesites in British Columbia" BC Ministry of Energy and Mines, (Dr. William A. Price, 1997). In summary, the sample is extracted at a 3:1 liquid to solids ratio for 24 hours using deionized water. The extract is then allowed to settle and subsequently filtered through a 0.45 micron membrane filter and analysis is carried out using procedures adapted from APHA Method 4110 B. "Ion Chromatography with Chemical Suppression of Eluent Conductivity" and EPA Method 300.0 "Determination of Inorganic Anions by Ion Chromatography". Anions routinely determined by this method include: bromide, chloride, fluoride, nitrate, nitrite and sulphate.

EC-SHKFLSK-PCT-VA Soil EC by PCT (SHAKEFLASK)

BC MINISTRY OF ENERGY AND MINES

EPA 200.2/245.7

BC MIN. OF ENERGY AND MINES/APHA 4110 B.

This analysis is based upon the extraction procedure outlined in "Guidelines and Recommended Methods for the Prediction of Metal Leaching and Acid Rock Drainage at Minesites in British Columbia" BC Ministry of Energy and Mines, (Dr. William A. Price, 1997). In summary, the sample is extracted at a 3:1 liquid to solids ratio for 24 hours using deionized water. The extract is then allowed to settle and subsequently filtered through a 0.45 micron membrane filter and analysed using procedures adapted from APHA Method 2510 "Conductivity".

HG-200.2-CVAF-VA Mercury in Soil by CVAFS Soil

This analysis is carried out using procedures from CSR Analytical Method: "Strong Acid Leachable Metals (SALM) in Soil", BC Ministry of Environment, 26 June 2009, and procedures adapted from EPA Method 200.2. The sample is manually homogenized, dried at 60 degrees Celsius, sieved through a 2 mm (10 mesh) sieve (this sieve step is omitted for international soil samples), and a representative subsample of the dry material is weighed. The sample is then digested at 95 degrees Celsius for 2 hours by block digester using concentrated nitric and hydrochloric acids. Instrumental analysis is by atomic fluorescence spectrophotometry or atomic absorption spectrophotometry (EPA Method 245.7).

Method Limitation: This method is not a total digestion technique. It is a very strong acid digestion that is intended to dissolve those metals that may be environmentally available. By design, elements bound in silicate structures are not normally dissolved by this procedure as they are not usually mobile in the environment.

HG-SHKFLSK-CVAFS-VA Soil

BC MINISTRY OF ENERGY AND MINES

Reference Information

L1499580 CONTD.... PAGE 15 of 16 12-SEP-14 14:56 (MT) Version: FINAL REV. 2

This analysis is based upon the extraction procedure outlined in "Guidelines and Recommended Methods for the Prediction of Metal Leaching and Acid Rock Drainage at Minesites in British Columbia" BC Ministry of Energy and Mines, (Dr. William A. Price, 1997). In summary, the sample is extracted at a 3:1 liquid to solids ratio for 24 hours using deionized water. The extract is then allowed to settle and subsequently filtered through a 0.45 micron membrane filter and analysed using cold vapour atomic fluorescence spectrophotometry or atomic absorption spectrophotometry (EPA Method 245.7).

MET-200.2-CCMS-VA Soil Metals in Soil by CRC ICPMS

This analysis is carried out using procedures from CSR Analytical Method: "Strong Acid Leachable Metals (SALM) in Soil", BC Ministry of Environment, 26 June 2009, and procedures adapted from EPA Method 200.2. The sample is manually homogenized, dried at 60 degrees Celsius, sieved through a 2 mm (10 mesh) sieve (this sieve step is omitted for international soil samples), and a representative subsample of the dry material is weighed. The sample is then digested at 95 degrees Celsius for 2 hours by block digester using concentrated nitric and hydrochloric acids. Instrumental analysis of the digested extract is by collision cell inductively coupled plasma - mass spectrometry (modifed from EPA Method 6020A).

Method Limitation: This method is not a total digestion technique. It is a very strong acid digestion that is intended to dissolve those metals that may be environmentally available. By design, elements bound in silicate structures are not normally dissolved by this procedure as they are not usually mobile in the environment.

MET-SHKFLSK-ICP-VA Soil Metals by ICPOES (SHAKEFLASK)

This analysis is based upon the extraction procedure outlined in "Guidelines and Recommended Methods for the Prediction of Metal Leaching and Acid Rock Drainage at Minesites in British Columbia" BC Ministry of Energy and Mines, (Dr. William A. Price, 1997). In summary, the sample is extracted at a 3:1 liquid to solids ratio for 24 hours using deionized water. The extract is then allowed to settle and subsequently filtered through a 0.45 micron membrane filter and analysed using inductively coupled plasma - optical emission spectrophotometry (EPA Method 6010B).

MET-SHKFLSK-MS-VA Soil Metals by ICPMS (SHAKEFLASK)

BC MINISTRY OF ENERGY AND MINES

BC MINISTRY OF ENERGY AND MINES

EPA 200.2/6020A

This analysis is based upon the extraction procedure outlined in "Guidelines and Recommended Methods for the Prediction of Metal Leaching and Acid Rock Drainage at Minesites in British Columbia" BC Ministry of Energy and Mines, (Dr. William A. Price, 1997). In summary, the sample is extracted at a 3:1 liquid to solids ratio for 24 hours using deionized water. The extract is then allowed to settle and subsequently filtered through a 0.45 micron membrane filter and analysed using inductively coupled plasma - mass spectrophotometry (EPA Method 6020A).

MOISTURE-VA Soil Moisture content

ASTM D2974-00 Method A

This analysis is carried out gravimetrically by drying the sample at 105 C for a minimum of six hours.

PH-1:2-VA Soil

pH in Soil (1:2 Soil:Water Extraction)

BC WLAP METHOD: PH, ELECTROMETRIC, SOIL

This analysis is carried out in accordance with procedures described in the pH, Electrometric in Soil and Sediment method - Section B Physical/Inorganic and Misc. Constituents, BC Environmental Laboratory Manual 2007. The procedure involves mixing the dried (at <60°C) and sieved (No. 10 / 2mm) sample with deionized/distilled water at a 1:2 ratio of sediment to water. The pH of the solution is then measured using a standard pH probe.

PH-SHKFLSK-MAN-VA Soil pH by Manual Meter (SHAKEFLASK)

BC MINISTRY OF ENERGY AND MINES

This analysis is based upon the extraction procedure outlined in "Guidelines and Recommended Methods for the Prediction of Metal Leaching and Acid Rock Drainage at Minesites in British Columbia" BC Ministry of Energy and Mines, (Dr. William A. Price, 1997). In summary, the sample is extracted at a 3:1 liquid to solids ratio for 24 hours using deionized water. The extract is then allowed to settle and subsequently analysed using procedures adapted from APHA Method 4500-H "pH Value". The pH is determined in the laboratory using a pH electrode.

** ALS test methods may incorporate modifications from specified reference methods to improve performance.

The last two letters of the above test code(s) indicate the laboratory that performed analytical analysis for that test. Refer to the list below:

Laboratory Definition Code	Laboratory Location
VA	ALS ENVIRONMENTAL - VANCOUVER, BRITISH COLUMBIA, CANADA

Chain of Custody Numbers:

10-334267

Reference Information

GLOSSARY OF REPORT TERMS

Surrogate - A compound that is similar in behaviour to target analyte(s), but that does not occur naturally in environmental samples. For applicable tests, surrogates are added to samples prior to analysis as a check on recovery. mg/kg - milligrams per kilogram based on dry weight of sample.

mg/kg wwt - milligrams per kilogram based on wet weight of sample.

mg/kg lwt - milligrams per kilogram based on lipid-adjusted weight of sample.

mg/L - milligrams per litre.

< - Less than.

D.L. - The reported Detection Limit, also known as the Limit of Reporting (LOR).

N/A - Result not available. Refer to qualifier code and definition for explanation.

Test results reported relate only to the samples as received by the laboratory.

UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED, ALL SAMPLES WERE RECEIVED IN ACCEPTABLE CONDITION.

Analytical results in unsigned test reports with the DRAFT watermark are subject to change, pending final QC review.



		Workorder:	L149958	0 Re	eport Date: 1	2-SEP-14	Pa	ge 1 of 14
Client: AM # 6 Bui	EC Environment & li 00 - 4445 Lougheed naby BC V5C 0E4 MID YOUSEEBEIGI	nfrastructure Hwy						
Test	Matrix	Reference	Rosult	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
		Kelerence	Result	Quanner	onits		Emit	Analyzeu
ACY-SHKFLSK-PCT-	VA Soil							
Batch R294 WG1943070-1 I Acidity (as CaCO3	2443 MB 3)		<1.0		mg/L		1	05-SEP-14
ALK-SHKFLSK-PCT-	VA Soil							
Batch R294 WG1943070-1 I Alkalinity, Total (a	2698 M B s CaCO3)		<1.0		mg/L		1	08-SEP-14
ANIONS-SHKFLSK-I	C-VA Soil							
WG1943070-1 I Bromide (Br)	0895 MB		<0.050		mg/L		0.05	06-SEP-14
Chloride (Cl)			<0.50		mg/L		0.5	06-SEP-14
Fluoride (F)			<0.020		mg/L		0.02	06-SEP-14
Nitrite (as N)			<0.0010		mg/L		0.001	06-SEP-14
Nitrate (as N)			1.56	MB-LOR	mg/L		0.005	06-SEP-14
Sulfate (SO4)			<0.50		mg/L		0.5	06-SEP-14
EC-SHKFLSK-PCT-V	A Soil							
Batch R294 WG1943070-1 I Conductivity	2698 MB		<40.0	MB-LOR	uS/cm		2	08-SEP-14
HG-200.2-CVAF-VA	Soil							
Batch R291 WG1929248-5 (Mercury (Hg)	8788 CRM	VA-NRC-STS	5D1 98.7		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
WG1929248-6 (Mercury (Hg)	CRM	VA-CANMET	-TILL1 97.0		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
WG1929248-3 I Mercury (Hg)	RM	ALS MET IRI	M1 106.4		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
WG1929248-4 I Mercury (Hg)	_CS		85.7		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
WG1929248-1 I Mercury (Hg)	ИВ		<0.0050		mg/kg		0.005	14-AUG-14



		Workorder: L1499580			eport Date:	12-SEP-14	Page 2 of 14	
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
HG-200.2-CVAF-VA	Soil							
Batch R291901	13							
WG1929063-5 CRM Mercury (Hg)	И	VA-NRC-STS	D1 97.6		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
WG1929063-6 CRM Mercury (Hg)	И	VA-CANMET	- TILL1 92.0		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
WG1929127-5 CRM Mercury (Hg)	И	VA-NRC-STS	D1 92.5		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
WG1929127-6 CRM Mercury (Hg)	И	VA-CANMET	- TILL1 94.3		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
WG1929063-2 DUF Mercury (Hg)	5	L1499580-9 <0.050	<0.050	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	40	14-AUG-14
WG1929063-3 IRM Mercury (Hg)		ALS MET IRM	/11 96.9		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
WG1929127-3 IRM Mercury (Hg)		ALS MET IRM	//1 96.6		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
WG1929063-4 LCS Mercury (Hg)	5		83.5		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
WG1929127-4 LCS Mercury (Hg)	5		85.5		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
WG1929063-1 MB Mercury (Hg)			<0.0050		mg/kg		0.005	14-AUG-14
WG1929127-1 MB Mercury (Hg)			<0.0050		mg/kg		0.005	14-AUG-14
HG-SHKFLSK-CVAFS-V	A Soil							
Batch R293993	38							
WG1943070-1 MB Mercury (Hg)-Leacha	ble		<0.00005	0	mg/L		0.00005	06-SEP-14
WG1946067-1 MB Mercury (Hg)-Leacha	ble		<0.00005	0	mg/L		0.00005	06-SEP-14
MET-200.2-CCMS-VA	Soil							
Batch R292041	17							
WG1929127-5 CRM Antimony (Sb)	И	VA-NRC-STS	D1 97.9		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Arsenic (As)			97.4		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Barium (Ba)			94.2		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Beryllium (Be)			106.0		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Cadmium (Cd)			94.2		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Chromium (Cr)			98.4		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Cobalt (Co)			101.1		%		70-130	14-AUG-14



		Workorder: L1499580			Report Date: 12-SEP-14		Page 3 of 14	
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
MET-200.2-CCMS-VA	Soil							
Batch R29204	17							
WG1929127-5 CR	M	VA-NRC-ST	SD1					
Copper (Cu)			98.7		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Lead (Pb)			96.1		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Molybdenum (Mo)			101.6		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Nickel (Ni)			99.9		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Selenium (Se)			97.1		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Silver (Ag)			97.9		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Thallium (TI)			97.9		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Tin (Sn)			120.3		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Vanadium (V)			98.3		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Zinc (Zn)			96.6		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
WG1929127-6 CR Antimony (Sb)	М	VA-CANME	F-TILL1 103.6		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Arsenic (As)			105.6		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Barium (Ba)			101.1		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Beryllium (Be)			0.49		mg/kg		0.34-0.74	14-AUG-14
Cadmium (Cd)			96.7		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Chromium (Cr)			108.4		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Cobalt (Co)			102.9		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Copper (Cu)			98.7		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Lead (Pb)			92.1		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Molybdenum (Mo)			0.70		mg/kg		0.24-1.24	14-AUG-14
Nickel (Ni)			104.6		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Selenium (Se)			0.33		mg/kg		0.12-0.52	14-AUG-14
Silver (Ag)			0.22		mg/kg		0.12-0.32	14-AUG-14
Thallium (TI)			0.135		mg/kg		0.075-0.175	14-AUG-14
Tin (Sn)			1.0		mg/kg		0-3	14-AUG-14
Uranium (U)			107.7		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Vanadium (V)			106.3		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Zinc (Zn)			98.9		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
WG1929248-5 CR	м	VA-NRC-ST	SD1					
Antimony (Sb)			101.2		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Arsenic (As)			99.3		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Barium (Ba)			96.8		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Beryllium (Be)			106.8		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Cadmium (Cd)			98.3		%		70-130	14-AUG-14



		Workorder: L1499580			Report Date: 12-SEP-14		Page 4 of 14	
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
MET-200.2-CCMS-VA	Soil							
Batch R29204	17							
WG1929248-5 CR	м	VA-NRC-ST	SD1					
Chromium (Cr)			100.0		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Cobalt (Co)			100.3		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Copper (Cu)			99.2		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Lead (Pb)			101.5		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Molybdenum (Mo)			103.0		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Nickel (Ni)			101.2		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Selenium (Se)			101.4		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Silver (Ag)			104.8		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Thallium (TI)			107.9		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Tin (Sn)			98.1		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Vanadium (V)			100.3		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Zinc (Zn)			98.1		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
WG1929248-6 CR	М	VA-CANMET	T-TILL1		0/			
Antimony (Sb)			103.8		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Arsenic (As)			104.0		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Barium (Ba)			101.6		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Beryllium (Be)			0.54		mg/kg		0.34-0.74	14-AUG-14
Cadmium (Cd)			99.7		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Chromium (Cr)			107.4		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Cobalt (Co)			103.9		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Copper (Cu)			99.1		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Lead (Pb)			92.6		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Molybdenum (Mo)			0.70		mg/kg		0.24-1.24	14-AUG-14
Nickel (Ni)			107.2		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Selenium (Se)			0.33		mg/kg		0.12-0.52	14-AUG-14
Silver (Ag)			0.33	RM-H	mg/kg		0.12-0.32	14-AUG-14
Thallium (TI)			0.132		mg/kg		0.075-0.175	14-AUG-14
Tin (Sn)			1.1		mg/kg		0-3	14-AUG-14
Uranium (U)			106.4		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Vanadium (V)			107.0		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Zinc (Zn)			100.6		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
WG1929127-3 IRM	Λ	ALS MET IR	M1					
Antimony (Sb)			103.6		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Arsenic (As)			97.4		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Barium (Ba)			96.7		%		70-130	14-AUG-14



		Workorder: L1499580		30	Report Date: 12-SEP-14			Page 5 of 14	
Test M	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed	
MET-200.2-CCMS-VA	Soil								
Batch R2920	417								
WG1929127-3 IR	М	ALS MET IR	M1						
Beryllium (Be)			109.3		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
Cadmium (Cd)			95.8		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
Chromium (Cr)			98.9		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
Cobalt (Co)			98.8		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
Copper (Cu)			98.8		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
Lead (Pb)			103.6		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
Molybdenum (Mo)			102.7		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
Nickel (Ni)			99.8		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
Selenium (Se)			91.6		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
Silver (Ag)			105.0		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
Thallium (Tl)			106.5		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
Tin (Sn)			99.0		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
Uranium (U)			103.5		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
Vanadium (V)			99.9		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
Zinc (Zn)			95.9		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
WG1929248-3 IR	М	ALS MET IR	M1						
Antimony (Sb)			96.6		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
Arsenic (As)			99.2		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
Barium (Ba)			98.6		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
Beryllium (Be)			111.5		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
Cadmium (Cd)			100.1		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
Chromium (Cr)			100.9		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
Cobalt (Co)			101.4		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
Copper (Cu)			100.5		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
Lead (Pb)			101.3		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
Molybdenum (Mo)			98.1		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
Nickel (Ni)			101.8		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
Selenium (Se)			113.6		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
Silver (Ag)			102.8		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
Thallium (TI)			122.0		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
Tin (Sn)			112.7		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
Uranium (U)			100.3		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
Vanadium (V)			100.0		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
Zinc (Zn)			98.1		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
W04000407 4 1 0									

WG1929127-4 LCS



		Workorder: L1499580			Report Date: 12-SEP-14		Page 6 of 14	
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
MET-200.2-CCMS-VA	Soil							
Batch R29204	17							
WG1929127-4 LCS	6							
Antimony (Sb)			103.1		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Arsenic (As)			102.7		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Barium (Ba)			103.3		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Beryllium (Be)			104.4		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Cadmium (Cd)			99.3		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Chromium (Cr)			103.2		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Cobalt (Co)			101.8		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Copper (Cu)			98.0		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Lead (Pb)			101.8		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Molybdenum (Mo)			105.4		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Nickel (Ni)			102.7		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Selenium (Se)			103.3		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Silver (Ag)			99.1		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Thallium (TI)			104.2		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Tin (Sn)			101.3		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Uranium (U)			100.9		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Vanadium (V)			99.9		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Zinc (Zn)			97.9		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
WG1929248-4 LCS	6							
Antimony (Sb)			101.1		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Arsenic (As)			101.6		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Barium (Ba)			101.6		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Beryllium (Be)			102.9		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Cadmium (Cd)			99.3		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Chromium (Cr)			98.2		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Cobalt (Co)			99.5		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Copper (Cu)			95.4		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Lead (Pb)			99.5		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Molybdenum (Mo)			102.7		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Nickel (Ni)			98.5		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Selenium (Se)			100.9		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Silver (Ag)			97.2		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Thallium (TI)			100.8		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Tin (Sn)			100.1		%		70-130	14-AUG-14



		Workorder: L1499580			Report Date: 12-SEP-14		Page 7 of 14	
Test Matrix	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
MET-200.2-CCMS-VA	Soil							
Batch R2920	417							
WG1929248-4 LC	S							
Uranium (U)			101.0		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Vanadium (V)			97.7		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Zinc (Zn)			95.2		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
WG1929127-1 MI Antimony (Sb)	B		<0.10		mg/kg		0.1	14-AUG-14
Arsenic (As)			<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	14-AUG-14
Barium (Ba)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	14-AUG-14
Beryllium (Be)			<0.20		mg/kg		0.2	14-AUG-14
Cadmium (Cd)			<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	14-AUG-14
Chromium (Cr)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	14-AUG-14
Cobalt (Co)			<0.10		mg/kg		0.1	14-AUG-14
Copper (Cu)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	14-AUG-14
Lead (Pb)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	14-AUG-14
Molybdenum (Mo)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	14-AUG-14
Nickel (Ni)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	14-AUG-14
Selenium (Se)			<0.20		mg/kg		0.2	14-AUG-14
Silver (Ag)			<0.10		mg/kg		0.1	14-AUG-14
Thallium (TI)			<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	14-AUG-14
Tin (Sn)			<2.0		mg/kg		2	14-AUG-14
Uranium (U)			<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	14-AUG-14
Vanadium (V)			<0.20		mg/kg		0.2	14-AUG-14
Zinc (Zn)			<1.0		mg/kg		1	14-AUG-14
WG1929248-1 MI	В							
Antimony (Sb)			<0.10		mg/kg		0.1	14-AUG-14
Arsenic (As)			<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	14-AUG-14
Barium (Ba)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	14-AUG-14
Beryllium (Be)			<0.20		mg/kg		0.2	14-AUG-14
Cadmium (Cd)			<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	14-AUG-14
Chromium (Cr)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	14-AUG-14
Cobalt (Co)			<0.10		mg/kg		0.1	14-AUG-14
Copper (Cu)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	14-AUG-14
Lead (Pb)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	14-AUG-14
Molybdenum (Mo)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	14-AUG-14
Nickel (Ni)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	14-AUG-14
Selenium (Se)			<0.20		mg/kg		0.2	14-AUG-14



		Workorder: L1499580			Report Date: 1	2-SEP-14	Page 8 of 14		
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed	
MET-200.2-CCMS-VA	Soil								
Batch R2920417									
WG1929248-1 MB									
Silver (Ag)			<0.10		mg/kg		0.1	14-AUG-14	
Thallium (TI)			<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	14-AUG-14	
Tin (Sn)			<2.0		mg/kg		2	14-AUG-14	
Uranium (U)			<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	14-AUG-14	
Vanadium (V)			<0.20		mg/kg		0.2	14-AUG-14	
Zinc (Zn)			<1.0		mg/kg		1	14-AUG-14	
Batch R2920641									
WG1929063-5 CRM		VA-NRC-ST	SD1		24				
Antimony (Sb)			106.5		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
Arsenic (As)			98.1		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
Barium (Ba)			99.4		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
Beryllium (Be)			110.6		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
Cadmium (Cd)			102.6		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
			103.1		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
Cobalt (Co)			100.0		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
Copper (Cu)			97.2		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
			111.9		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
Molybdenum (Mo)			101.8		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
			99.4		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
Selenium (Se)			107.5		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
Silver (Ag)			106.8		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
Thallium (TI)			110.8		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
Tin (Sn)			104.0		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
Vanadium (V)			105.7		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
Zinc (Zn)			104.8		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
WG1929063-6 CRM Antimony (Sb)		VA-CANMET	109.6		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
Arsenic (As)			105.8		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
Barium (Ba)			104.3		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
Bervllium (Be)			0.56		ma/ka		0 34-0 74	14-AUG-14	
Cadmium (Cd)			98.5		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
Chromium (Cr)			109.4		%		70-130	14-4116-14	
Cobalt (Co)			102.6		%		70-130	14-4116-14	
Copper (Cu)			97.9		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	
Lead (Pb)			98.6		%		70-130	14-AUG-14	



		Workorder	: L149958	80 Re	eport Date: 1	2-SEP-14	Page	e 9 of 14
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
MET-200.2-CCMS-VA	Soil							
Batch R292064	1							
WG1929063-6 CRM	Λ	VA-CANMET	-TILL1					
Molybdenum (Mo)			0.75		mg/kg		0.24-1.24	14-AUG-14
Nickel (Ni)			101.0		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Selenium (Se)			0.33		mg/kg		0.12-0.52	14-AUG-14
Silver (Ag)			0.23		mg/kg		0.12-0.32	14-AUG-14
Thallium (TI)			0.142		mg/kg		0.075-0.175	14-AUG-14
Tin (Sn)			1.1		mg/kg		0-3	14-AUG-14
Uranium (U)			118.8		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Vanadium (V)			112.7		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Zinc (Zn)			108.6		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
WG1929063-2 DUP Antimony (Sb))	L1499580-9	0.60		ma/ka	30	30	14-AUG-14
Arsenic (As)		9.40	11.1	Don In	ma/ka	17	30	14-AUG-14
Barium (Ba)		45.1	42.6		ma/ka	57	40	14-AUG-14
Bervllium (Be)		<0.20	<0.20	RPD-NA	ma/ka	N/A	30	14-AUG-14
Cadmium (Cd)		0.116	0.127		ma/ka	8.8	30	14-AUG-14
Chromium (Cr)		9.37	9.74		ma/ka	3.9	30	14-AUG-14
Cobalt (Co)		2.92	3.09		ma/ka	5.6	30	14-AUG-14
Copper (Cu)		5.94	6.45		mg/kg	8.2	30	14-AUG-14
Lead (Pb)		3.58	4.45		mg/kg	22	40	14-AUG-14
Molybdenum (Mo)		<0.50	<0.50	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	40	14-AUG-14
Nickel (Ni)		5.99	6.06		mg/kg	1.2	30	14-AUG-14
Selenium (Se)		<0.20	<0.20	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	30	14-AUG-14
Silver (Ag)		<0.10	<0.10	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	40	14-AUG-14
Thallium (TI)		<0.050	0.052	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	30	14-AUG-14
Tin (Sn)		<2.0	<2.0	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	40	14-AUG-14
Uranium (U)		0.305	0.341		mg/kg	11	30	14-AUG-14
Vanadium (V)		26.9	28.7		mg/kg	6.4	30	14-AUG-14
Zinc (Zn)		28.6	24.4		mg/kg	16	30	14-AUG-14
WG1929063-4 LCS	;							
Antimony (Sb)			107.9		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Arsenic (As)			101.7		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Barium (Ba)			103.2		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Beryllium (Be)			102.2		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Cadmium (Cd)			100.7		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Chromium (Cr)			99.4		%		70-130	14-AUG-14



		Workorder	: L149958	80	Report Date: 1	2-SEP-14	Pa	age 10 of 14
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
MET-200.2-CCMS-VA	Soil							
Batch R2920641								
WG1929063-4 LCS								
			98.4		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Copper (Cu)			96.5		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Lead (Pb)			105.3		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Molybdenum (Mo)			102.0		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Nickel (Ni)			96.6		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Selenium (Se)			104.1		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Silver (Ag)			98.7		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Thallium (TI)			104.7		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Tin (Sn)			103.6		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Uranium (U)			108.3		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Vanadium (V)			101.1		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Zinc (Zn)			101.2		%		70-130	14-AUG-14
Batch R2922522	!							
WG1929063-1 MB								
Antimony (Sb)			<0.10		mg/kg		0.1	17-AUG-14
Arsenic (As)			<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	17-AUG-14
Barium (Ba)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	17-AUG-14
Beryllium (Be)			<0.20		mg/kg		0.2	17-AUG-14
Cadmium (Cd)			<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	17-AUG-14
Chromium (Cr)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	17-AUG-14
Cobalt (Co)			<0.10		mg/kg		0.1	17-AUG-14
Copper (Cu)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	17-AUG-14
Lead (Pb)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	17-AUG-14
Molybdenum (Mo)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	17-AUG-14
Nickel (Ni)			<0.50		mg/kg		0.5	17-AUG-14
Selenium (Se)			<0.20		mg/kg		0.2	17-AUG-14
Silver (Ag)			<0.10		mg/kg		0.1	17-AUG-14
Thallium (TI)			<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	17-AUG-14
Tin (Sn)			<2.0		mg/kg		2	17-AUG-14
Uranium (U)			<0.050		mg/kg		0.05	17-AUG-14
Vanadium (V)			<0.20		mg/kg		0.2	17-AUG-14
Zinc (Zn)			<1.0		mg/kg		1	17-AUG-14
MET-SHKFLSK-ICP-VA	Soil							

MET-SHKFLSK-ICP-VA



		Workorder:	L1499580	C	Report Date: 1	2-SEP-14	Pa	ge 11 of 14
Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
MET-SHKFLSK-ICP-VA	Soil							
Batch R294067	'1							
WG1943070-1 MB								
Iron (Fe)-Leachable			<0.030		mg/L		0.03	05-SEP-14
Phosphorus (P)-Leach	nable		<0.30		mg/L		0.3	05-SEP-14
Silicon (Si)-Leachable			<0.050		mg/L		0.05	05-SEP-14
Titanium (Ti)-Leachab	le		<0.010		mg/L		0.01	05-SEP-14
WG1943070-3 MS Iron (Fe)-Leachable		L1499580-16	N/A	MS-B	%		-	05-SEP-14
Phosphorus (P)-Leach	nable		104.6		%		70-130	05-SEP-14
Silicon (Si)-Leachable			N/A	MS-B	%		-	05-SEP-14
Titanium (Ti)-Leachab	le		97.2		%		70-130	05-SEP-14
MET-SHKFLSK-MS-VA	Soil							
Batch R294241	4							
WG1943070-1 MB								
Aluminum (Al)-Leacha	able		<0.0050		mg/L		0.005	08-SEP-14
Antimony (Sb)-Leacha	able		<0.00010		mg/L		0.0001	08-SEP-14
Arsenic (As)-Leachabl	le		<0.0010		mg/L		0.001	08-SEP-14
Barium (Ba)-Leachabl	е		<0.0010		mg/L		0.001	08-SEP-14
Beryllium (Be)-Leacha	able		<0.00050		mg/L		0.0005	08-SEP-14
Bismuth (Bi)-Leachabl	le		<0.00050		mg/L		0.0005	08-SEP-14
Boron (B)-Leachable			<0.010		mg/L		0.01	08-SEP-14
Cadmium (Cd)-Leacha	able		<0.000050	C	mg/L		0.00005	08-SEP-14
Calcium (Ca)-Leachat	ble		<0.10		mg/L		0.1	08-SEP-14
Chromium (Cr)-Leach	able		<0.00050		mg/L		0.0005	08-SEP-14
Cobalt (Co)-Leachable	9		<0.00010		mg/L		0.0001	08-SEP-14
Copper (Cu)-Leachab	le		<0.0010		mg/L		0.001	08-SEP-14
Lead (Pb)-Leachable			0.00018	В	mg/L		0.0001	08-SEP-14
Lithium (Li)-Leachable	;		<0.0050		mg/L		0.005	08-SEP-14
Magnesium (Mg)-Lead	chable		<0.050		mg/L		0.05	08-SEP-14
Manganese (Mn)-Lead	chable		<0.00050		mg/L		0.0005	08-SEP-14
Molybdenum (Mo)-Lea	achable		<0.00010		mg/L		0.0001	08-SEP-14
Nickel (Ni)-Leachable			<0.00050		mg/L		0.0005	08-SEP-14
Potassium (K)-Leacha	able		<0.050		mg/L		0.05	08-SEP-14
Selenium (Se)-Leacha	able		<0.00050		mg/L		0.0005	08-SEP-14
Silver (Ag)-Leachable			<0.000050	D	mg/L		0.00005	08-SEP-14
Sodium (Na)-Leachab	le		0.067	В	mg/L		0.05	08-SEP-14



	Workorder:	L1499580	Report Date: 12	SEP-14	Pa	ge 12 of 14
Test Mat	rix Reference	Result Qua	lifier Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
MET-SHKFLSK-MS-VA Soi	I					
Batch R2942414 WG1943070-1 MB						
Strontium (Sr)-Leachable		<0.00050	mg/L		0.0005	08-SEP-14
Thallium (TI)-Leachable		<0.00010	mg/L		0.0001	08-SEP-14
Tin (Sn)-Leachable		<0.00050	mg/L		0.0005	08-SEP-14
Uranium (U)-Leachable		<0.000010	mg/L		0.00001	08-SEP-14
Vanadium (V)-Leachable		<0.0010	mg/L		0.001	08-SEP-14
Zinc (Zn)-Leachable		<0.010	mg/L		0.01	08-SEP-14
MOISTURE-VA Soi	I					
Batch R2933558 WG1941409-2 LCS						
Moisture		100.1	%		90-110	29-AUG-14
WG1941409-1 MB Moisture		<0.25	%		0.25	29-AUG-14
PH-1:2-VA Soi	I					
Batch R2919058 WG1929063-2 DUP pH (1:2 soil:water)	L1499580-9 6.63	6.59	J pH	0.04	0.3	14-AUG-14

Workorder: L1499580

Report Date: 12-SEP-14

Legend:

Limit	ALS Control Limit (Data Quality Objectives)
DUP	Duplicate
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
N/A	Not Available
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample
SRM	Standard Reference Material
MS	Matrix Spike
MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate
ADE	Average Desorption Efficiency
MB	Method Blank
IRM	Internal Reference Material
CRM	Certified Reference Material
CCV	Continuing Calibration Verification
CVS	Calibration Verification Standard
LCSD	Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate

Sample Parameter Qualifier Definitions:

Qualifier	Description
В	Method Blank exceeds ALS DQO. All associated sample results are at least 5 times greater than blank levels and are considered reliable.
DUP-H	Duplicate results outside ALS DQO, due to sample heterogeneity.
J	Duplicate results and limits are expressed in terms of absolute difference.
MB-LOR	Method Blank exceeds ALS DQO. Limits of Reporting have been adjusted for samples with positive hits below 5x blank level.
MS-B	Matrix Spike recovery could not be accurately calculated due to high analyte background in sample.
RM-H	Reference Material recovery was above ALS DQO. Non-detected sample results are considered reliable. Other results, if reported, have been qualified.
RPD-NA	Relative Percent Difference Not Available due to result(s) being less than detection limit.

Workorder: L1499580

Report Date: 12-SEP-14

Page 14 of 14

Hold Time Exceedances:

	Sample						
ALS Product Description	ID	Sampling Date	Date Processed	Rec. HT	Actual HT	Units	Qualifier
Physical Tests							
Moisture content							
	11	08-AUG-14 13:45	29-AUG-14 04:53	14	21	days	EHT
	16	08-AUG-14 13:45	29-AUG-14 04:53	14	21	days	EHT
	17	08-AUG-14 13:45	29-AUG-14 04:53	14	21	days	EHT
	22	08-AUG-14 13:45	29-AUG-14 04:53	14	21	days	EHT
	23	08-AUG-14 13:45	29-AUG-14 04:53	14	21	days	EHT
	25	08-AUG-14 13:45	29-AUG-14 04:53	14	21	days	EHT

Legend & Qualifier Definitions:

EHTR-FM:	Exceeded ALS recommended hold time prior to sample receipt. Field Measurement recommended.
EHTR:	Exceeded ALS recommended hold time prior to sample receipt.
EHTL:	Exceeded ALS recommended hold time prior to analysis. Sample was received less than 24 hours prior to expiry.
EHT:	Exceeded ALS recommended hold time prior to analysis.
Rec. HT:	ALS recommended hold time (see units).

Notes*:

Where actual sampling date is not provided to ALS, the date (& time) of receipt is used for calculation purposes. Where actual sampling time is not provided to ALS, the earlier of 12 noon on the sampling date or the time (& date) of receipt is used for calculation purposes. Samples for L1499580 were received on 08-AUG-14 16:05.

ALS recommended hold times may vary by province. They are assigned to meet known provincial and/or federal government requirements. In the absence of regulatory hold times, ALS establishes recommendations based on guidelines published by the US EPA, APHA Standard Methods, or Environment Canada (where available). For more information, please contact ALS.

The ALS Quality Control Report is provided to ALS clients upon request. ALS includes comprehensive QC checks with every analysis to ensure our high standards of quality are met. Each QC result has a known or expected target value, which is compared against predetermined data quality objectives to provide confidence in the accuracy of associated test results.

Please note that this report may contain QC results from anonymous Sample Duplicates and Matrix Spikes that do not originate from this Work Order.



ALS Canada Ltd.

2103 Dollarton Hwy North Vancouver BC V7H 0A7 Phone: 604 984 0221 Fax: 604 984 0218 www.alsglobal.com

To: ALS ENVIRONMENTAL 100 - 8081 LOUGHEED HWY. **BURNABY BC V5A 1W9**

Page: 1 Total # Pages: 2 (A - D) Plus Appendix Pages Finalized Date: 20- AUC- 2014 Account: APN

CERTIFICATE VA14123203

Project: L1499580

P.O. No.: L1499580

This report is for 26 Sediment samples submitted to our lab in Vancouver, BC, Canada on 12- AUG- 2014.

The following have access to data associated with this certificate: SELAM WORKU

ALSE VANCOUVER WESTRIEVE

	SAMPLE PREPARATION	
ALS CODE	DESCRIPTION	
WEI- 21	Received Sample Weight	
LOG- 22	Sample login - Rcd w/o BarCode	
PUL-31	Pulverize split to 85% < 75 um	
	ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES	
ALS CODE	DESCRIPTION	
ME- MS41	51 anal. aqua regia ICPMS	

To: ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ATTN: SELAM WORKU 100 - 8081 LOUGHEED HWY. BURNABY BC V5A 1W9

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this certificate number. Results apply to samples as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.



***** See Appendix Page for comments regarding this certificate *****

Colin Ramshaw, Vancouver Laboratory Manager

ALS Canada Ltd.

2103 Dollarton Hwy North Vancouver BC V7H 0A7 Phone: 604 984 0221 Fax: 604 984 0218 www.alsglobal.com

To: ALS ENVIRONMENTAL 100 - 8081 LOUGHEED HWY. BURNABY BC V5A 1W9

Page: 2 - A Total # Pages: 2 (A - D) Plus Appendix Pages Finalized Date: 20- AUG- 2014 Account: APN

Project: L1499580

Sample Description	Method Analyte Units LOR	WEI-21 Recvd Wt. kg 0.02	ME-MS41 Ag ppm 0.01	ME- MS41 Al % 0.01	ME-MS41 As ppm 0.1	ME- MS41 Au ppm 0.2	ME-MS41 B ppm 10	ME-MS41 Ba ppm 30	ME-MS41 Be ppm 0.05	ME- MS41 Bi ppm 0.01	ME-MS41 Ca % 0.01	ME-MS41 Cd ppms 0.01	ME-MS41 Ce ppm 0.02	ME- MS41 Co ppm 0.3	ME- MS41 Cr ppm 1	ME-MS41 Cs ppm 0.05
L1499580-1 L1499580-2 L1499580-3 L1499580-4 L1499580-5		0.18 0.20 0.24 0.20 0.18	0.03 0.03 0.03 0.04 0.03	0.81 0.63 0.63 0.81 0.81	12.6 4.4 4.7 4.6 4.2	<0.2 <0.2 <0.2 <0.2 <0.2 <0.2	<10 <10 <10 <10 <10	90 90 100 100 90	0.19 0.17 0.16 0.22 0.19	0,05 0,07 0,06 0,07 0,06	0.31 0.34 0.56 0.40 0.37	0.08 0.08 0.08 0.08 0.08 0.08	19.75 19.95 21.2 19.05 17.00	3.9 3.3 3.8 4.0 3.8	14 11 14 13 15	0.56 0.51 0.53 0.57 0.73
L1499580-6 L1499580-7 L1499580-8 L1499580-9 L1499580-10		0.18 0.20 0.20 0.18 0.18	0.29 0.07 0.07 0.07 0.07 0.05	0.97 0.72 0.69 0.61 0.55	72.6 12.7 9.4 15.6 9.1	<0.2 <0.2 <0.2 <0.2 <0.2 <0.2	<10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10	140 120 110 100 110	0.30 0.19 0.18 0.17 0.15	0.15 0.08 0.09 0.07 0.07	0.31 0.30 0.34 0.30 0.41	0.58 0.26 0.19 0.16 0.11	23.0 19.20 17.20 17.90 18.20	5.0 3.7 3.6 3.4 3.1	21 15 14 12 10	1.23 0.83 0.69 0.65 0.59
L1499580-11 L1499580-12 L1499580-13 L1499580-14 L1499580-14		0.20 0.20 0.22 0.24 0.22	0.04 0.05 0.04 0.12 2.94	0.53 0.52 0.63 0.67 0.80	8.2 25.0 5.5 35.6 172.0	<0.2 <0.2 <0.2 <0.2 <0.2 <0.2	<10 <10 <10 <10 <10	100 90 90 110 120	0.17 0.16 0.15 0.23 0.24	0.06 0.09 0.05 0.14 2.96	0.27 0.28 0.25 0.36 0.54	0.10 0.16 0.08 0.28 1.93	18.50 18.25 \$5.55 21.9 21.8	3.3 3.8 3.0 4.5 3.6	10 10 13 13 34	0.58 0.59 0.67 1.24 0.86
L1499580-16 L1499580-17 L1499580-18 L1499580-18 L1499580-19 L1499580-20		0.22 0.20 0.22 0.20 0.20 0.20	3.41 0.50 0.40 0.16 0.11	0.71 1.11 1.01 3.42 3.09	198.0 97.9 209 5.0 5.6	0.2 <0.2 <0.2 <0.2 <0.2 <0.2	<10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10	100 160 190 490 480	0.23 0.40 0.35 0.20 0.19	3.35 0.31 0.24 0.16 0.09	0.51 0.41 0.39 0.73 0.70	2.25 D.55 0.62 0.09 0.08	20.8 25.7 27.3 24.4 24.0	3.7 7.3 8.0 21.2 19.8	12 23 30 16 13	0.75 2.24 1.92 4.73 4.52
L1499580-21 L1499580-22 L1499580-23 L1499580-23 L1499580-24		0.20 0,20 0.20 0.22 0.22	0.44 0.31 0.17 0.20 0.34	1.59 1.57 2.73 1.63 0.93	194.5 151.0 68.3 63.2 20.6	<0.2 <0.2 <0.2 <0.2 <0.2 <0.2	<10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10	340 270 590 280 30	0.37 0.28 0.36 0.33 0.51	0.16 0.11 0.07 0.09 0.26	0.62 0.67 0.66 0.61 1.24	0.90 0.62 0.29 0.41 2.16	28.3 22.3 24.0 28.2 32.2	13.6 11.7 14.9 13.4 6,6	33 32 22 27 5	3.84 3.19 10.05 4.57 6.88
L1499580-26		0.20	1.37	1.99	117.0	⊲0.2	<10	190	0.99	0.89	1.70	1.67	34.2	16.1	30	36.2





ALS Canada (td.

2103 Dollarton Hwy North Vancouver BC V7H 0A7 Phone: 604 984 0221 Fax: 604 984 0218 www.alsglobal.com

To: ALS ENVIRONMENTAL 100 - 8081 LOUGHEED HWY. BURNABY BC VSA 1W9

Page: 2 - B Total # Pages: 2 (A - D) Plus Appendix Pages Finalized Date: 20- AUG- 2014 Account: APN

Project: L1499580

Sample Description	Method Analyte Units LOR	ME- MS41 Cu ppm 0,2	ME- MS41 Fe % 0.01	ME-MS41 Ga ppm 0.05	ME-MS41 Ge ppm 0.05	ME- MS41 Hf ppm 0.02	ME-MS41 Hg ppm 0.01	ME- MS41 In ppms 0.005	ME- MS41 K % 0.01	ME-MS43 Ea ppm 0.2	ME- MS41 Li ppm 0.1	ME- MS41 Mg % 0.01	ME- MS41 Min ppm 5	ME- MS41 Mo ppm 0.05	ME- MS43 Na % 0.01	ME- MS41 Nð ppm 0.05
L1499580-1 L1499580-2 L1499580-3 L1499580-4		9.6 7.3 7.7 9.6 8.3	1.30 1.21 1.28 1.23 1.31	2.66 2.25 2.26 2.67 2.60	<0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05	0.02 0.06 0.10 0.04 0.02	<0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01	0.012 0.011 0.012 0.011 0.011 0.009	0.10 0.09 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.10	10.1 10.4 11.1 9.9 9.2	5.0 4.1 4.2 5.6 5.3	0.25 0.23 0.26 0.29 0.28	187 172 168 195 185	0.36 0.30 0.31 0.25 0.27	0.05 0.04 0.05 0.05 0.06	0.80 0.43 0.45 0.58 1.05
L1499580-5 L1499580-6 L1499580-7 L1499580-8 L1499580-9		19.6 9.7 8.8 8.9 7.2	1.96 1.37 1.38 1.38 1.38	3.32 2.51 2.23 2.13 1.91	<0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05	0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.07	0.01 0.01 0.01 0.05 0.05	0.024 0.014 0.011 0.010 0.010 0.008	0.16 0.11 0.13 0.09 0.09	13.1 10.7 9.7 9.9 10.2	6.8 4.9 4.5 4.0 3.8	0.36 0.27 0.25 0.21 0.21	255 209 204 240 194	1.91 0.61 0.50 0.49 0.42	0.04 0.04 0.05 0.05 0.05	0.92 0.97 0.94 0.78 0.40
L1499580-10 L1499580-11 L1499580-12 L1499580-13 L1499580-15		6.3 6.7 6.3 11.0 43.7	1.18 1.35 1.10 1.57 1.57	1.85 1.89 2.08 2.26 2.66	<0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05	0.06 0.08 0.02 0.02 <0.02 <0.02	0.01 0.01 <0.01 0.01 0.01 0.05	0.010 0.009 0.010 0.016 0.048	0.09 0.08 0.08 0.13 0.08	10.2 9.9 8.7 12.6 12.7	3.6 3.6 4.5 4.7 5.0	0.18 0.19 0.20 0.25 0.25	182 208 129 260 281	0.38 0.42 0.36 0.76 0.52	0.04 0.04 0.04 0.03 0.05	0.50 0.46 0.92 0.85 0.82
L1499580-15 L1499580-16 L1499580-17 L1499580-18 L1499580-19 L1499580-20		48.9 27.1 24.6 113.0 62.9	1.56 2.30 2.87 5.46 4.96	2.46 3.86 3.39 11.05 \$0.20	<0.05 0.05 <0.05 0.12 0.12	<0.02 0.15 0.20 0.03 0.03	0.05 0.04 0.03 <0.01 <0.01	0.050 0.034 0.033 0.051 0.048	0.07 0.13 0.16 1.01 0.91	12.3 14.0 14.4 13.8 11.6	4.4 6.9 6.5 31.8 30.3	0.23 0.34 0.35 2.67 2.39	292 321 758 517 558	0.64 0.70 0.95 0.19 0.26	0.05 0.03 0.03 0.08 0.08	0.69 0.57 0.41 0.12 0.10
L1499580-21 L1499580-22 L1499580-23 L1499580-23		43.6 35.8 31.3 39.4 35.0	3.77 3.46 4.48 3.84 2.75	5.52 5.32 7.87 6.36 2.59	0.08 0.08 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.05	0.26 0.22 0.07 0.11 0.05	0.06 0.04 0.02 0.02 0.02	0.034 0.032 0.035 0.032 0.032	0.42 0.44 1.05 0.45 0.10	16.1 12.3 12.4 14.1 16.5	12.6 10.7 20.5 15.7 3.5	0.74 0.76 1.52 0.94 0.25	1380 1020 781 676 488	1.33 0.89 0.49 0.54 0.29	0.04 0.05 0.03 0.05 0.05 0.05	0.24 0.26 0.18 0.33 0.07
11499580-26		75.4	4.39	5.42	0.07	0.08	0.05	0.086	0.32	17.8	8.3	0.76	780	0.34	0.01	0.08

ALS Canada Ltd.



2103 Dollarton Hwy North Vancouver BC V7H 0A7 Phone: 604 984 0221 Fax: 604 984 0218 www.alsglobal.com

To: ALS ENVIRONMENTAL 100 - 8081 LOUGHEED HWY. BURNABY BC V5A 1W9

Page: 2 - C Total # Pages: 2 (A - D) Plus Appendix Pages Finalized Date: 20-AUG-2014 Account: APN

Project: L1499580

Sample Description	Method Analyte Units LOR	ME- MS41 Ni ppm 0.2	ME- MS41 P ppm 10	ME-₩S≰1 ₽b ppm 0.2	ME- MS43 Rb ppm 0.1	ME- 14541 Re ppni 0.001	ME- MS41 S % 0.01	ME- MS41 Sb iPpm 0.05	ME- MS4% Sc ppm 0.1	ME- MS41 Se ppm 0.2	ME-MS41 Sn ppm 0.2	ME- MS41 Sr ppm 0.2	ME- MS41 Ta ppm 0.01	ME- MS41 Te ppm 0.01	ME- MS41 Th ppm 0.2	ME- MS41 Ti % 0.005
11499580-1		8.5	370	3.3	6.9	<0.001	<0.01	0.55	2.4	0.3	0.3	28.3	<0.01	0.01	2.6	0.058
11499580-2		6.9	370	3.1	5.6	<0.001	<0.01	0.26	2.2	0.3	0.3	28.4	<0.01	0.01	2.8	0.052
11499580.3		7.2	400	3.6	5.8	<0,001	<0.01	0.33	2.3	0.2	0.3	34.3	<0.01	Ð.Ö1	3.1	0.059
11499580-4		8.7	430	3.0	7.2	<0.001	<0.01	0.19	2.5	0.3	0.3	32.2	<0.01	0.01	2.7	0.062
L1 499580-5		8.0	420	2.8	6.4	<0.001	<0.01	0.17	2.3	0.3	0.3	28.3	<0.01	0.01	1.9	0.064
L1 499580-6		17.6	440	106.0	10.4	0.001	0.04	1.42	3.0	1.D	0.4	28.9	<0.01	0.04	3.1	0.047
L1499580-7		9.6	360	7.4	6.7	<0.001	0.01	0.52	2.3	0.4	0.3	25.0	<0.01	0.02	2.0 2.4	0,047 0,040
L1499580-8		8.4	390	5.9	5.9	<0_001	<0.01	0.44	2.1	0.4	0.3	26.3	<0.01	0,02	2.5	0.048 0.047
L1499580-9		7.2	350	. 6.1	5.1	<0.001	<0.01	0.85	2.2	0.3	D.3	23.4	<0.01	0.01	∠.(20	0.047
L1499580-10		6.4	320	4.3	4.9	<0.001	<0.01	0.59	1.9	0.3	U.3	26.2	<0.01	0.02	Z.9	0.044
11499580-11		6.0	300	4.6	5.1	<0.001	<0.01	0.94	1.8	0.2	0.3	23.5	<0.01	0.01	2.8	0.038
11499580-12		7.4	310	6.4	4.8	<0.001	<0.01	0.66	1.9	0.3	Q.3	22.2	<0.01	0.02	2.8	0.044
11499580-13		6.6	350	3.5	5.6	<0.001	<0.01	0.32	1.7	0.2	0.3	20.1	<0.01	0.01	1.9	0.041
11499580-14		10.7	350	10.3	7.3	<0.001	<0.01	1.30	2.2	0.4	0.3	23.1	<0.01	D.02	3.1	0.045
L1499580-15		7.9	470	111.5	6.2	<0.001	0,26	23.6	2.1	0.5	0.4	38.2	<0.01	0.07	0.5	0.047
L1499580-16		7.6	440	123.5	5.7	<0.001	0.28	27.3	2.0	0.4	0.4	38.1	<0.01	0.07	0.5	0.043
L1499580-17		12.0	640	42.4	10.4	<0.001	<0.01	8,63	6.3	0.5	0.4	21.0	<0.01	0.07	3.9	0.000
L1499580-18		13.6	800	28.8	10.2	<0.001	<0.01	8.41	5.7	0.4	0.4	21.5	<0.01	10.02	4.D	0.000
L1499580-19		6.4	1420	3.2	3B.1	<0.001	0.03	0.35	17.2	0.7	0.7	34.0	<0.01	0.03	∠. 4 2.3	0.150
L1 499580- 20		5.B	1490	3.0	34.3	<0.001	0.02	0.31	15.5	U.5	U.6	8.08	<0.01	0,02	4.4	0.100
L1499580-21		17.5	1240	28.6	19.4	<0.001	<0.01	6.19	9.4	0.6	0.6	48.2	<0,01 20.04	0,04 0.94	4.5	0.157
L1499580-22		13.5	1320	20.0	16.6	<0.001	<0.01	4.60	6.B	0.5	0.0	41.0	~0.01	0.04	3.2	0.192
L1499580-23		9.1	1130	14.1	42.7	< 0.001	<0.01	2.95	11.0	0.5	0.U 0.0	0Z./ 36 3	~0.01	0.03 ภ 04	41	0.127
L1499580-24		12.3	1140	18.0	20.3	<0.001	0.03	3.16	6.5 6.5	0.7	0.0 0.3	30.5	<0.01	0.04	4.8	<0.005
L1499580-25		2.7	410	20.8	7.6	<0.001	0.12	5.94	5.9 .	0.0	U.Z	JZ, 9	-0.01	0.01	1.0	0.000
£1499580-26		13.9	990	1\$5.5	25.5	0.001	0.41	3D.7	14.1	1.3	0.7	112.5	<0.01	0.04	4.9	0.037
1		1														



ALS Canada Ltd.

2103 Dollarton Hwy North Vancouver BC V7H 0A7 Phone: 604 984 0221 fax: 604 984 0218 www.alsglobal.com

To: ALS ENVIRONMENTAL 100 - 8081 LOUGHEED HWY. BURNABY BC V5A 1W9

Page: 2 - D Total # Pages: 2 (A - D) Plus Appendix Pages Finalized Date: 20- AUG- 2014 Account: APN

Project: 11499580

Sample Description	Method Analyte Units LOR	ME- MS43 Tl ppเๆ 0.02	ME- MS41 ป ppศ 0.05	ME- MS41 V pprr: 1	ME- MS43 W ppm 0.05	ME- MS41 Y ppm 0.05	ME- MS41 Zr: ppm: 2	ME- MS41 Zr ppm 0.5	
L1499580-1 L1499580-2 L1499580-3 L1499580-4 L1499580-5		0.06 0.05 0.05 0.07 0.06	0.43 0.43 0.46 0.44 0.38	29 27 31 28 29	0.18 0.14 2.06 0.15 0.22	4.59 4.81 5.35 5.48 5.03	23 19 19 23 22	1.0 2.2 2.8 1.7 0.6	
L1499580-6 L1499580-7 L1499580-8 L1499580-9 L1499580-10		0.14 0.08 0.06 0.06 0.05	0,83 0,53 0,46 0,45 0,43	57 36 33 32 26	0.35 0.28 0.17 0.18 0.14	5.45 4.39 4.47 4.29 4.29	88 39 31 32 23	0.6 0.9 0.9 1.0 2.3	
L1499580-11 L1499580-12 L1499580-13 L1499580-14 41499580-15		0.05 0.06 0.06 0.10 0.14	0.42 0.39 0.43 0.55 0.65	24 28 24 32 34	0.15 0.12 0.87 0.38 0.17	3.77 4.00 3.49 4.79 6.28	23 28 20 60 133	1.9 2.3 0.6 0.9 <0.5	
L1499580-16 L1499580-17 L1499580-18 L1499580-19 L1499580-20		0.14 0.31 0.28 0.28 0.24	0.60 0.75 0.88 0.71 0.65	32 51 51 203 \$78	0.16 0.27 0.57 0.29 0.42	6.14 9.42 8.70 9.55 9.30	147 99 99 90 83	<0.5 5,6 6,5 0,7 0,7	
L1499580-21 L1499580-22 L1499580-23 L1499580-23 L149580-25		0.41 0.32 0.35 0.25 0.13	0.75 0.66 0.54 0.74 0.37	81 79 124 109 32	0.47 0.44 0.26 0.38 0.28	12.20 10.55 10.25 10.45 22.7	145 120 101 90 193	9.4 6.9 2.7 4.1 1.2	
11499580-26		0.27	0.56	82	0.20	19.90	210	1.6	



ALS Casada Ltd. 2103 Dollarton Hwy North Vancouver BC V7H 0A7 Phone: 604 984 0221 Fax: 604 984 0238 www.alsglobal.com

To: ALS ENVIRONMENTAL 100 - 8081 LOUGHEED HWY. BURNABY BC V5A 1W9

Page: Appendix 1 Total # Appendix Pages: 1 Finalized Date: 20- AUG- 2014 Account: APN

Project: L1499580

	CERTIFICATE CO	MMENTS	
Applies to Method:	ANA Gold determinations by this method are semi- quantitative do ME- MS41	LYTICAL COMMENTS ue to the small sample weight used (0.5g).	
	LABC	RATORY ADDRESSES	
Applies to Method:	Processed at ALS Vancouver located at 2103 Dollarton Hwy, I LOG- 22 ME- MS41	North Vancouver, BC, Canada. PUL- 31	WE!- 21



ALS Canada Ltd.

2103 Dollarton Hwy North Vancouver BC V7H 0A7 Phone: 604 984 0221 Fax: 604 984 0218 www.alsglobal.com

To: ALS ENVIRONMENTAL 100 - 8081 LOUGHEED HWY. BURNABY BC V5A 1W9

Page: 1 Total # Pages: 2 (A - D) Plus Appendix Pages Finalized Date: 20- AUG- 2014 Account: APN

QC CERTIFICATE VA14123203

Project: L1499580

P.O. No.: L1499580

This report is for 26 Sediment samples submitted to our lab in Vancouver, BC, Canada on 12-AUG-2014.

The following have access to data associated with this certificate: ALSE VANCOUVER WEBTRIEVE SELAM WORKU

	SAMPLE PREPARATION	
ALS CODE	DESCRIPTION	
WEI-21	Received Sample Weight	
LOG-22	Sample login - Rcd w/o BarCode	
PUL-31	Pulverize split to 85% < 75 um	
	ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES	
ALS CODE	DESCRIPTION	
ME- MS41	51 anal. aqua regia ICPMS	

To: ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ATTN: SELAM WORKU 100 - 8081 LOUGHEED HWY. BURNABY BC VSA 1W9

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this certificate number. Results apply to samples as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

Signature:

***** See Appendix Page for comments regarding this certificate *****

Colin Ramshaw, Vancouver Laboratory Manager
ALS Canada Etd.

ALS)

Minerals

We want the

2103 Dollarton Hwy North Vancouver BC V7H 0A7 Phone: 604 984 0221 Fax: 604 984 0218 www.alsglobal.com

To: ALS ENVIRONMENTAL 100 - 8081 LOUGHEED HWY. BURNABY BC V5A 1W9

Page: 2 - A Total # Pages: 2 (A - D) Plus Appendix Pages Finalized Date: 20- AUG- 2014 Account: APN

Project: L1499580

Sample Description	Method Analyte Units LOR	ME-MS41 Ag ppm 0.01	ME-MS41 Al % 0.01	ME- MS41 As ppm 0.1	ME- MS41 Au ppms 0.2	ME-MS41 8 pptn 10	ME-MS41 Ba ppm 10	ME- MS41 Be ppm 0.05	ME- MS41 Bi ppm 0.01	ME- MS4T Ca % 0.01	ME- MS4t Cd ppm 0.01	ME- MS41 Ce ppm 0.02	МЕ- ЖS41 Со фрт 0.1	¥ME- MS41 Cr pp≠m 1	ME- MS41 Cs ppm 0.05	ME- MS41 Cu ppm 0.2
					-		STAN	DARDS								
GBM908-10 Target Range - Lower GBM908-5 Target Range - Lower Upper MRGeo08 Target Range - Lower Upper	r Bound r Bound r Bound r Bound r Bound r Bound	3.00 2.69 3.31 58.0 52.4 64.0 4.40 4.00 4.92	1.02 0.85 1.06 1.16 1.02 1.25 2.64 2.44 3.00	54.5 49.4 60.6 6.0 5.8 7.4 30.7 28.9 35.5	0.6 50.2 0.9 0.2 50.2 0.6 <0.2 50.2 50.2 0.6 50.2 0.6 50.2 0.6 50.2 50.2 0.6 50.2 50	<10 <10 30 <10 <10 30 <10 <10 <10 <20	110 70 140 200 160 230 460 370 530	0.34 0.17 0.40 0.38 0.30 0.54 0.86 0.66 0.94	1.37 1.09 1.35 0.90 0.79 0.98 0.65 0.65 0.62 0.78	0.72 0.62 0.79 0.73 0.63 0.79 1.08 1.00 1.24	1.72 1.52 1.86 0.14 0.12 0.37 2.19 2.01 2.47 8.01	89.2 79.3 97.0 193.0 170.5 208 74.1 66.7 81.5 61.1	14.3 12.9 15.9 10.3 9.4 11.7 18.4 17.5 21.6 14.6	22 20 27 18 15 20 90 61 102 38	0.80 0.66 0.94 1.20 0.98 1.31 10.55 9.85 12.15 1.01	3800 3380 3880 497 465 535 629 587 675 108.0
OREAS 90 Target Range - Lowe Uppe	r Bound r Bound	0.04 0.03 0.07	2.23 2.09 2.57	4.4 4.1 5.2	<0.2 <0.2 0,4	<10 <10 20	50 30 80	0.32 0.48 0.74	0.82	0,33 0,43	<0.01 0.03	54 5 66.7	13.7 16.9	35 45	0.86 1.16	102.0 118.0
BLANK BLANK Target Range - Lowe Uppe	r Bound r Bound	<0.01 <0.01 <0.01 0.02	<0.01 <0.01 <0.01 0.02	0.1 0.1 40.1 0.2	<0.2 <0.2 <0.2 <0.2 0.4	<10 <10 <10 20	<10 <10 <10 <10 20	<0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 0.10	<0.01 <0.01 <0.01 0.02	<0.01 <0.01 ≈0.01 0.02	<0.01 <0.01 <0.01 0.02	<0.02 <0.02 <0.02 0.04	<0.1 <0.1 <0.1 0.2	<1 <1 <1 2	<0.05 <0.05 <0.05 0.05 0.40	<0.2 <0.2 <0.2 0.2 0.4
							DUPL	ICATES								
ORIGINAL DUP Target Range – Lowe Uppe	er Bound Ir Bound	0.11 0.12 0.10 0.13	1.60 1.55 1.49 1.66	4.6 4.7 4.3 5.0	<0.2 <0.2 <0.2 0.4	<10 <10 <10 20	120 110 100 130	0.24 0.19 0.15 0.28	0.06 0.06 0.05 0.07	1.21 1.19 1.13 1.27	0.17 0.15 0.14 0.18	12.30 11.70 11.40 12.60	9.6 9.2 8.8 10.0	37 36 34 39	0.40 0.40 0.33 0.47	52.8 51.3 50.0 54.1
L1499580-14 DUP Target Range - Lowe Uppe	er Bound er Bound	0.12 0.12 0.10 0.10 0.14	0.67 0.67 0.63 0.71	35.6 37.2 34.5 38.3	<0.2 <0.2 <0.2 0.4	<10 <10 <10 20	110 120 100 130	0.23 0.24 0.17 0.30	0.14 0.15 0.13 0.16	0.36 0.37 0.34 0.39	0.28 0.29 0.26 0.31	21.9 21.6 20.6 22.9	4.5 4.8 4.3 5.0	13 13 11 15	5.24 1.22 1.12 1.34	11.0 11.6 10.7 11.9

ALS Canada Ltd.

2103 Dollarton Hwy North Varcouver BC V7H 0A7 Phone: 604 984 0221 Fax: 604 984 0218 www.alsglobal.com

To: ALS ENVIRONMENTAL 100 - 8081 LOUGHEED HWY. BURNABY BC V5A 1W9

Page: 2 - B Total # Pages: 2 (A - D) Plus Appendix Pages Finalized Date: 20- AUG- 2014 Account: APN

Project: L1499580

QC CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS VA14123203

Sample Description	Method Analyte Units LOR	ME-WS41 Fe % 0.01	ME- MS41 Ga ррт 0.05	ME- MS41 Ge ⊉pm 0.05	₩E-MS4३ £lf ppm 0.02	₩Е- MS41 Нд рря1 0.01	ME-MS41 ≋n ppm 0.005	ME- MS41 K % 0.03	ME- M541 La ppm 0.2	ME-MS41 Li ppm 0.1	ME- MS41 Mg % 0.01	ME-MS43 Mn ppm 5	ME- MS41 Mo ppm 0.05	ME- MS43 Na % 0.01	МЕ-MS41 № рряп 0.05	ME- MS41 Ni ppm 0.2
							STAN	DARDS								
GBM908-10 Target Range Lowe Uppe GBM908-5 Target Range Lowe Uppe MRGeo08 Target Range Lowe Uppe OREAS 90	r Bound r Bound r Bound r Bound r Bound r Bound	2.67 2.35 2.89 2.36 2.13 2.62 3.53 3.22 3.96 3.61	4.45 4.18 5.22 5.80 5.31 6.60 8.94 8.69 10.95 5.99	0.10 0.09 0.31 0.15 0.08 0.30 0.14 0.10 0.32 0.07	0.63 0.62 0.80 0.33 0.29 0.41 0.75 0.67 0.87 0.67	0.01 <0.01 0.04 0.02 <0.01 0.05 0.06 0.04 0.10 <0.01	0.030 0.012 0.034 0.021 <0.005 0.026 0.168 0.142 0.184 0.033	0.43 0.37 0.46 0.83 0.73 0.91 1.23 1.12 1.40 0.34	47.3 432 53.2 110.5 91.9 112.5 36.5 33.2 41.0 33.6	6.4 5.6 7.1 10.0 9.4 11.7 36.3 80.2 37.2 16.5	0.56 0.47 0.59 0.78 0.66 0.86 1.19 1.03 1.29 1.36	309 259 327 365 315 396 425 378 473 593	62.7 57.9 70.9 52.3 49.5 60.6 13.40 13.10 16.10 0.32 0.32	0.14 0.09 0.15 0.03 0.02 0.06 0.33 0.30 0.30 0.39 0.01	0.33 0.38 0.63 0.88 0.69 1.20 1.05 0.79 1.09 0.38 0.38	2360 2030 2480 418 381 466 637 622 760 63.6 76 5
Target Range Lowe Uppe	r Bound r Bound	3.39 4.17	5.78 7.17	<0.05 0.19	0.61 0.79	<0.01 0.02	0.038 0.038	0.31 0.40	21.9 34.5	22.0	1.50	641	0.52	0.04	0.51	93.9
BLANK BLANK Target Range - Lowe Uppe	r Bound r Bound	<0.01 <0.01 <0.01 0.02	<0.05 <0.05 <0.05 0.10	<0.05 <0.05 <0.05 0.10	<0.02 <0.02 <0.02 0.02 0.04	<0.01 <0.01 <0.01 0.02	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005 <0.005 0.010	<0.01 <0.01 <0.01 0.02	<0.2 <0.2 <0.2 0.4	⊲0.1 0.1 ⊲0.1 0.2	<0.01 <0.01 <0.01 0.02	<5 <5 <5 10	<0.05 <0.05 <0.05 0.10	<0.01 <0.01 <0.01 0.02	0.05 0.05 <0.05 0.10	<0.2 0.3 <0.2 0.4
							DUPL	ICATES								
ORIGINAL DUP Target Range – Lowe Uppe	r Bound r Bound	2.99 2.97 2.82 3.14	5.22 5.16 4.68 5.60	0.07 0.08 <0.05 0.10	0.30 0.32 0.27 0.35	0.02 0.03 <0.01 0.04	0.024 0.024 0.018 0.030	0.10 0.10 0.09 0.12	5.5 5.2 4.9 5.8	10.4 8.3 8.8 9.9	0.79 0.77 0.73 0.8 3	520 502 480 542	5.76 5.51 5.30 5.97	0.09 0.09 0.08 0.10	0.23 0.24 0.17 0.30	33.9 32.3 31.2 35.0
L1499580-14 DUP Target Range - Lowe Uppe	r Bound Ir Bound	1,57 1,61 1,50 1,68	2.26 2.33 2.13 2.46	<0.05 <0.05 <0.05 0.10	0.02 0.02 40.02 0.04	0.01 0.01 <0.01 0.02	0.016 0.016 0.010 0.022	0.13 0.13 0.11 0.15	12.6 12.1 11.5 13.2	4.7 4.4 4.2 4.9	0.25 0.26 0.23 0.28	280 289 265 304	0.76 0.74 0.66 0.84	0.03 0.03 0.02 0.04	0.86 0,88 0,78 0,96	10.7 15.3 10.3 11.8



1

9997 A. 1997

and the second second

ALS Canada Ltd.

(ALS)

Minerals

1.2

A Star and All a

2103 Dollarton Hwy North Vancouver BC V7H 0A7 Phone: 604 984 0221 Fax: 604 984 0218 www.alsglobal.com

To: ALS ENVIRONMENTAL 100 - 8081 LOUGHEED HWY. BURNABY BC V5A 1W9

Page: 2 - C Total # Pages: 2 (A - D) Plus Appendix Pages Finalized Date: 20- AUG- 2014 Account: APN

Project: L1499580

Sample Description	Method Analyte Units LOR	₩E-MS41 P ppm 10	ME-MS41 ⊉b ppm 0.2	ME- MS41 Rb ppm: 0.1	ME- MS41 Re ppm 0.001	ME- MS41 S % 0.01	₩E- MS41 Sb ppm 0.05	ME- MS41 Sc ppm 0.1	ME- MS41 Se ppm 0.2	ME- MS41 Sn ppm 0.2	ME-MS41 Sr ppm 0.2	ME- MS41 Ta ppm 0.03	ME- MS41 Te ppm 0.01	ME- MS41 Th ppm 0.2	ME- MS43 Ti % 0.005	ME- MS41 Tl ppm 0.02
-							STAN	DARDS								
GBM908-10 Target Range - Lower GBM908-5 Target Range - Lower Upper MRGeo08 Target Range - Lower	Bound Bound Bound Bound Bound	890 760 960 1290 1140 1410 1030 900	2100 1860 2270 369 345 422 1070 959	29.5 26.4 32.4 54.6 50.8 62.3 139.5 132.0	<0.001 <0.001 0.003 0.001 <0.001 0.003 0.007 0.007	0.39 0.33 0.43 0.16 0.14 0.20 0.30 0.27	1.12 1.06 1.65 0.13 <0.05 0.25 2.86 2.80	2.1 1.8 2.4 1.6 1.3 1.9 7.3 8.8	1.0 0.6 1.3 1.1 0.5 1.4 1.5 0.9	1.7 1.2 2.2 1.7 1.1 2.0 3.3 2.8	35.7 30.8 38.0 56.2 47.3 56.2 78.4 78.4 73.2	<0.01 <0.03 0.03 0.01 <0.01 0.03 0.01 <0.01	0.04 0.02 0.07 0.04 0.02 0.07 0.03 <0.01	16.7 15.2 19.0 37.4 34.4 42.4 20.9 19.5	0.322 0.276 0.348 0.176 0.146 0.189 0.362 0.350	0.23 0.15 0.27 0.42 0.31 0.47 0.81 0.86
Upper OREAS 90 Target Range – Lower Upper	Bound Bound Bound	1130 640 570 720	1175 5.3 4,8 6,3	162.0 19.9 18.0 22.3	0.011 <0.001 <0.001 0.002	0.36 0.06 0.05 0.09	3.90 0.38 0.31 0.60 BL/	86 23 21 27 ANKS	1.9 0.9 0.4 1.3	4.0 1.3 0.8 1.8	89.9 11.2 10.5 13.3	0.04 0.01 <0.01 0.04	0,04 0.02 <0.01 0.05	24.3 15.9 14.3 17.9	0.439 0.090 0.070 0.098	0.94 0.12 0.06 0.18
BLANK BLANK Target Range – Lower Upper	Bound Bound	<10 <10 <10 20	<0.2 <0.2 <0.2 0.4	<0.1 <0.1 <0.1 0.2	<0.001 <0.001 <0.001 0.002	<0.01 <0.01 <0.01 0.02	<0.05 <0.05 <0.05 0.10	<0.1 <0.1 <0.1 0.2	<0.2 <0.2 <0.2 0:4	<0.2 <0.2 <0.2 0.4	<0.2 <0.2 ≪0.2 0.4	<0.01 <0.01 <0.01 0.02	0.01 <0.01 <0.01 0.02	<0.2 <0.2 <0.2 0.4	<0.005 <0.005 <0.005 0.010	<0.02 <0.02 <0.02 0.04
ORIGINAL DUP Target Range+ Lowe Upper	r Bound Bound	610 590 560 640	4.2 4.1 37 46	4.3 4.3 4.0 4.6	0.001 0.002 <0.001 0.002	0.06 0.06 0.05 0.07	0.54 0.53 0.44 0.63	5.2 5.1 4.8 5.5	0.6 0.6 0.4 0.8	1.1 1.1 0.8 1.4	50.7 49.3 47,3 52,7	<0.01 <0.01 <0.01 0.02	0.03 0.03 0.02 0.04	1.2 1.3 09 1.4	0.153 0.153 0.140 0.166	0.06 0.06 0.04 0.08
L1499580-14 DUP Target Range Lowe Upper	r Bound Bound	380 390 960 410	10.3 11.0 9.9 11.4	7.3 7.7 7.0 8.0	<0.001 <0.001 <0.001 0.002	<0.01 <0.01 <0.01 0.02	1.30 1.28 1.14 1.44	2.2 2.4 2.1 2.5	0.4 0.4 <0.2 0.6	D.3 0.3 <0.2 0.4	23.1 24.5 22.4 25.2	<0.01 <0.01 <0.01 0.02	0.02 0.02 <0.01 0.03	3.1 3.3 2.8 3.6	0.045 0.047 0.039 0.053	0.10 0.10 0.07 0.33
													.=.			



and a subject

:

ALS Canada Ltd.

2103 Dollarton Hwy North Vancouver BC V7H 0A7 Phone: 604 984 0221 Fax: 604 984 0218 www.alsglobal.com

To: ALS ENVIRONMENTAL 100 - 8081 LOUGHEED HWY. BURNABY BC V5A 1W9

Page: 2 - D Total # Pages: 2 (A - D) Plus Appendix Pages Finalized Date: 20- AUG- 2014 Account: APN

Project: L1499580

Sample Description	Method Analyte Units LOR	ME- MS41 U ppm 0.05	ME- MS41 V ppm 1	M:E- MS41 ₩ ppm 0.05	ME- MS41 Y ppm 0.05	ME- MS41 Zn ppm 2	ME- MS41 Zr ppm 0.S	
							STAND	DARDS
GBM908-10 Target Range + Lowes Upper GBM908-5 Target Range + Lowes Upper MRGeo08 Target Range + Lowes Upper OREAS 90	r Bound r Bound r Bound r Bound r Bound r Bound	1.34 1.5 1.51 3.00 2.64 3.34 5.67 4.09 6.21 2.10	50 41 53 27 22 29 103 90 112 20	1.96 1.57 2.24 2.28 1.75 2.48 2.53 2.44 3.42 0.42 0.42	19.00 17.55 21.6 29.3 25.4 31.1 18.50 17.85 21.9 17.25	1020 939 1155 221 214 266 768 708 870 53 51	25.1 24.0 33.6 8.7 68 10.5 20.1 18.1 25.7 24.3 20.6	
Target Range - Lowe Upper	r Bound r Bound	2.33	25	0,56	18,90	66	29.1 BLA	NKS
BLANK BLANK Target Range - Lowe Uppe	r Bound r Bound	<0.05 <0.05 <0.05 0.10	্ব ব্য হা 2	<0.05 <0.05 <0.05 0.10	<0.05 <0.05 <0.05 0.10	<2 <2 <2 4	<0.5 <0.5 <0.5 1:0	
							DUPLI	CATES
ORIGINAL DUP Target Range - Lowe Uppe	er Bound er Bound	0.34 0.33 0.27 0.40	67 65 62 70	0.62 0.44 0.44 0.44	8.12 8.04 7,63 8,53	44 43 39 48	8.5 8.5 7.4 9.6	
L1499580-14 DUP Target Range - Lowe Uppe	er Bound er Bound	0.55 0.60 0.50 0.65	32 32 29 35	0.38 0.39 0.31 0.46	4.79 5.08 4.64 5.23	60 61 55 66	0.9 0.9 \$0.5 1.0	



in S ALS Canada Etd. 2103 Dollarton Hwy North Vancouver BC V7H 0A7 Phone: 604 984 0221 Fax: 604 984 0218 www.alsglobal.com

To: ALS ENVIRONMENTAL 100 - 8081 LOUGHEED HWY. BURNABY BC V5A 1W9

Page: Appendix 1 Total # Appendix Pages: 1 Finalized Date: 20- AUG- 2014 Account: APN

Project: L1499580

	CERTIFICATE COMMENTS	<u> </u>
Applies to Method:	ANALYTICAL COMMENTS Gold determinations by this method are semi- quantitative due to the small sample weight used (0.5g). ME- MS41	
Applies to Method:	LABORATORY ADDRESSES Processed at ALS Vancouver located at 2103 Dollarton Hwy, North Vancouver, BC, Canada. WEI- 21 LOG- 22 ME- MS41 PUL- 31 WEI- 21	
		-

10- 334267

Chain of Custody / Analytical Request Form Canada Toll Free: 1 800 668 9878 www.alsglobal.com

ALS E	nvironmenta	31			www.a	alsglobal.com									Р	age	of	
Report To	AMID YOUSEPBE	=161		Report Fo	ormat / Distributio	n		Servic	Requ	est:(Rus	sh subje	ect to ave	ailability	- Contact	ALS to o	onfirm TA	JT)	
Company: A	MEL	·		Standard:	Other (s	pecify):		17	Regula	(Standa	rd Turn	around Ti	îmes - Bu	usiness D	ays)			
Contact:				Select: PI		Digital	Fax		Priority	2-4 Busi	ness Da	ays)-50%	surcharg	ge - Conta	ct ALS to	confirm Tr	AT	
Address: 44	45 LOUGHEED	HWY SUITE	600	Email 1:					Emerge	incy (1-2	Busine	ss Days)	-100% S	urcharge	Contact /	ALS to cor	ofirm TAT	
BUR	2NABY BC VE	JC OE4		Email 2:					Same D	ay or W	eekand	Ernergen	tcy - Con	tact ALS I	o confirm	TAT		
Phone: 604-2	95-6187 Fa	x:										A	nalysi	s Requ	est			
Invoice To S	Same as Report ? (circle) Y	'es or No (if No, provide de	etails)	Client / Pr	roject Information					(ndica	ite Filte	red or	Preser	/ed, F/	P)		
C	Copy of Invoice with Report	? (circle) Yes or No		Job #:	VMOOGOS	5 3		\square	\square	ø	X				12	\mathbb{Z}		2
Company: 🗂	ame as above			PO/AFE:		·····	·		X	\$	8						1	
Contact:				LSD:				$\mathbf{\nabla}$	U	<u>,</u>	5		1				1	
Address:			<u> </u>					ļ>	\mathcal{S}	₹;54	1				·	· ·		lers
Phone:			•	Quote #:				12	Et	ay s	2							ltair
Lab Work Or	L1499580	-COFC		ALS Contact:		Sampler: 👸	Avger	1	l N	L-Agu	154-							r of Cor
Sample #	(This de	scription will appear on the	réport)		Date (dd-mmm-yy)	Time (hh:mm)	Sample Type	Hd	Tota	META	SFE							Numbe
	BH-T-14-01	GS1x,653	m Gs#	~	08-Aug-14	13:45	SOL	X	X	X	_							
	B#-T- 14-02	3m		¥, ··· ··	· -	4	X	Х	X	$\overline{\mathbb{N}}$								
	BH-T-14-06	GS1, 652	,65 4.6.	m		<u>د</u> ۲	*	X	X	X	$\overline{\mathbf{J}}$							
	BH-T-14-07	651 m , 651	4 5 -		• مبر د		~	×	X	X	0							
	BH-T-14-08	GS 2m, GS	3m				9	X	X	X	$\mathbf{\chi}$							
	BH-T-14-09	GSIN, GS	52m	•	e - 1		۲.	X	X	X	1						·	
	BH-T- 14-17	GS 2m, 6.	53 m		+ + +	- ·	a	X	Х	X	_							
	BH-C-14-01	651 m , 6	53 m			~ ~	Ň	X	X	X	7							
	BH-C-14-02	GSIM, G	52m	•			Ÿ	X	X	X	$\overline{\Delta}$							
	BH-C-14-03	GS2~, G	53 m		~ ~ ~ ~	5 -1	9	X	X	K	Q					1-1		
	BH-C-14-0	4 GS Zm, G	is 4m			r	9	X	X	X	\downarrow					T		
	13H-M-14-0	5 GSIM, G	s2m			~ ~	2	X	4									
	Special ins	structions / Regulation wit	h water or land	l use (CC	ME- Freshwater A	quatic Life/BC (SR-Commercial/A	AB Tie	r 1-Na	lural/E	TC) /	Hazar	dous I	Details				
HOLD AFOI	DAFOR "SHKFLSK-ICP+MS-HG-VA" teite ON SAMPLES			WE.	GET THE	RESULT	S, WE WILL	- S	ELI	507	- ı	Noich	แ ร	Äм	JLES	70	, BB	72 <i>57</i> 2
20,00-0			o complete all	nortions	of this form may	lolav analveis	Please fill in this f	orm I	EGIRI	v							<u> </u>	
	By the	use of this form the user a	cknowledges :	te all portions of this form may delay analysis. Please fill in this for Iges and agrees with the Terms and Conditions as specified on the					the back page of the white - report copy.									
	SHIPMENT RELEASE		SHIPMENT RECEPTION (lab use only)				SHIPMENT VERIFICATI					CATIO	√ (lab u	se only)			
Released by:	ed by: Hamid Ny-8/14 14:00			teceived by: Date: Time: Temperature: Aug. 8/14 14:05 25.5 of				Veri	fied by			Date:		TI	ne:		Observa Yes / No If Yes au	itions:) ? dd SIF
REFI	ER TO BACK PAGE FOR ALS	LOCATIONS AND SAMPLING	INFORMATION	MATION WHITE - LABORATORY COP'					Y YELLOW - CLIENT COPY GENF 18.01 Fr							ront		

Mount Nansen Remediation Project 2014 Site Investigation Locations Sediment Sample Quality Assurance and Quality Control

			SED-DC-14-	SED-DC-14-		SED-DC-14-	SED-DC-14-		SED-DC-14-	SED-DC-14-		SED.DC.14-	SED.DC.14-		SED.DC.14-	SED.DC.14-		SED.DC.14-	SED.DC.14-	
_			30	30 DUP	RPD	40	40 DUP	RPD	50	50 DUP	RPD	60	60 DUP	RPD	70	70 DUP	RPD	80	80 DUP	RPD
Parameter	Unit	Detection Limits	22-JUL-14	22-JUL-14		22-JUL-14	22-JUL-14		22-JUL-14	22-JUL-14		24-JUL-14	24-JUL-14		24-JUL-14	24-JUL-14		24-JUL-14	24-JUL-14	
			L1491427-	L1491427-		L1491427-	L1491427-		L1491427-	L1491427-					L1493277-	L1493277-		L1493277-	L1493277-	
			11	12		22	23		33	34		L1493277-5	L1493277-6		16	17		27	28	
Moisture	%	0.25	18.7	21.3	13.0%	17.3	17.5	1.1%	16.1	16.6	3.1%	38.5	40.5	5.1%	39.8	34.5	14.3%	23.3	31.6	30.2%
pH (1:2 soil:water)		0.1	7.90	7.93	0.4%	7.82	7.88	0.8%	7.99	8.04	0.6%	7.58	7.52	0.8%	7.82	7.91	1.1%	7.40	7.41	0.1%
Cyanide, Total	mg/kg	0.05-1.0	<0.050	<0.050		0.057	<0.050		<0.050	0.068		<1.0	<1.0		<1.0	<0.50		<1.0	<1.0	
Antimony (Sb)	mg/kg	0.1	2.34	1.95	18.2%	0.60	0.50	18.2%	0.82	0.87	5.9%	1.89	2.38	23.0%	62.3	66.5	6.5%	3.21	3.01	6.4%
Arsenic (As)	mg/kg	0.05	108	109	0.9%	23.0	18.6	21.2%	36.3	31.9	12.9%	39.6	46.4	15.8%	1340	1110	18.8%	65.8	72.3	9.4%
Barium (Ba)	mg/kg	0.5	38.8	38.4	1.0%	33.7	31.9	5.5%	37.0	41.0	10.3%	69.1	88.1	24.2%	173.0	121.0	35.4%	49.3	53.4	8.0%
Beryllium (Be)	mg/kg	0.2	<0.20	<0.20		<0.20	<0.20		<0.20	<0.20		<0.20	<0.20		0.24	<0.20		<0.20	<0.20	
Cadmium (Cd)	mg/kg	0.05	0.176	0.185	5.0%	0.084	0.151	57.0%	0.157	0.110	35.2%	0.498	0.549	9.7%	11.0	9.88	10.7%	0.152	0.164	7.6%
Chromium (Cr)	mg/kg	0.5	4.55	4.59	0.9%	5.90	6.31	6.7%	9.58	7.36	26.2%	10.9	13.2	19.1%	13.7	8.55	46.3%	6.28	7.05	11.6%
Cobalt (Co)	mg/kg	0.1	2.03	1.92	5.6%	2.17	1.99	8.7%	2.83	2.53	11.2%	3.59	4.02	11.3%	5.61	5.87	4.5%	4.05	3.87	4.5%
Copper (Cu)	mg/kg	0.5	4.45	3.63	20.3%	3.91	3.29	17.2%	5.60	4.60	19.6%	8.15	11.2	31.5%	40.0	29.80	29.2%	7.51	07.0	6.7%
Lead (Pb)	mg/kg	0.5	11.3	11.7	3.5%	3.57	3.51	1.7%	5.10	4.23	18.6%	8.39	8.39	0.0%	246	232	5.9%	9.98	9.44	5.6%
Mercury (Hg)	mg/kg	0.05	<0.050	< 0.050		< 0.050	< 0.050		<0.050	<0.050		< 0.050	< 0.050		0.074	0.054	31.3%	<0.050	<0.050	
Molybdenum (Mo)	mg/kg	0.5	<0.50	<0.50		<0.50	<0.50		<0.50	<0.50		<0.50	<0.50		<0.50	0.51		<0.50	<0.50	
Nickel (Ni)	mg/kg	0.5	3.66	3.29	10.6%	4.14	3.66	12.3%	4.76	4.40	7.9%	6.97	8.28	17.2%	8.16	6.05	29.7%	3.73	4.00	7.0%
Selenium (Se)	mg/kg	0.2	<0.20	<0.20		<0.20	<0.20		<0.20	<0.20		0.23	0.28	19.6%	0.60	0.36	50.0%	<0.20	<0.20	
Silver (Ag)	mg/kg	0.1	0.11	0.18	48.3%	<0.10	<0.10		<0.10	<0.10		0.13	0.23	55.6%	4.26	4.54	6.4%	0.12	0.13	8.0%
Thallium (TI)	mg/kg	0.05	<0.050	<0.050		< 0.050	<0.050		<0.050	<0.050		0.085	0.113	28.3%	0.291	0.249	15.6%	0.152	0.133	13.3%
Tin (Sn)	mg/kg	2	<2.0	<2.0		<2.0	<2.0		<2.0	<2.0		<2.0	<2.0		<2.0	<2.0		<2.0	<2.0	
Uranium (U)	mg/kg	0.05	0.214	0.196	8.8%	0.221	0.235	6.1%	0.287	0.247	15.0%	0.524	0.705	29.5%	1.040	0.554	61.0%	0.339	0.338	0.3%
Vanadium (V)	mg/kg	0.2	14.20	13.60	4.3%	15.2	15.6	2.6%	34.3	24.3	34.1%	25.5	30.0	16.2%	34.1	27.1	22.9%	29	29.1	0.3%
Zinc (Zn)	mg/kg	1	37.00	34.70	6.4%	23.5	26.4	11.6%	31.2	30.1	3.6%	86.2	102	16.8%	1110	913	19.5%	43.1	42.7	0.9%

Note:

For concentrations greater than or equal to five times the MDL, the RPD should be less than or equal to 40%.

For concentrations less than five times the MDL, the difference between two laboratory duplicate values should not be more than four times the detection limit.



Analysis

Keep original sample SED.DC.14-70 as it is more conservative than the duplicate.

Mount Nansen Remediation Project 2014 Site Investigation Report and Site Characterization Update March 3, 2015



Appendix 4F – Geochemical Results



SUMMIT ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS INC. ATTN: Nicole Jacques # 301 - 4109 4th Avenue Whitehorse YT Y1A 1H6 Date Received:25-JUL-14Report Date:07-AUG-14 14:44 (MT)Version:FINAL

Client Phone: 867-456-2711

Certificate of Analysis

Lab Work Order #:

Project P.O. #: Job Reference: C of C Numbers: Legal Site Desc: L1493185 NOT SUBMITTED SEC400-AGR300-VA

1

Dean Watt Account Manager

[This report shall not be reproduced except in full without the written authority of the Laboratory.]

ADDRESS: 8081 Lougheed Hwy, Suite 100, Burnaby, BC V5A 1W9 Canada | Phone: +1 604 253 4188 | Fax: +1 604 253 6700 ALS CANADA LTD Part of the ALS Group A Campbell Brothers Limited Company



www.alsglobal.com

RIGHT SOLUTIONS RIGHT PARTNER

L1493185 CONTD.... PAGE 2 of 6 07-AUG-14 14:44 (MT) Version: FINAL

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

	Sample ID Description Sampled Date Sampled Time Client ID	L1493185-1 Leachate 24-JUL-14 16:30 FIELD BIN - WASTE ROCK	L1493185-2 Leachate 24-JUL-14 16:30 FIELD BIN - ORE	L1493185-3 Leachate 24-JUL-14 16:30 FIELD BIN - TAILINGS + ORGANIC	L1493185-4 Leachate 24-JUL-14 16:30 FIELD BIN - TAILINGS SAND	
Grouping	Analyte					
WATER						
Physical Tests	Conductivity (uS/cm)	2300	2700	2560	1690	
	Hardness (as CaCO3) (mg/L)	1740	2120	1790	1130	
	pH (pH)	7.58	6.20	7.26	8.46	
Anions and Nutrients	Acidity (as CaCO3) (mg/L)	4.7	11.9	19.8	<1.0	
	Alkalinity, Total (as CaCO3) (mg/L)	22.5	21.0	93.2	26.5	
	Chloride (CI) (mg/L)	<10	<10 DLA	<10 DLA	<5.0	
	Fluoride (F) (mg/L)	<0.40	<0.40	<0.40	0.36	
	Nitrate (as N) (mg/L)	<0.10	<0.10	0.10	<0.050	
	Nitrite (as N) (mg/L)	<0.020	<0.020	<0.020	<0.010	
	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (mg/L)	0.276	0.230	0.493	0.156	
	Sulfate (SO4) (mg/L)	1610	1970	1770	1090	
Total Metals	Aluminum (Al)-Total (mg/L)	0.274	0.046	0.064	<0.010	
	Antimony (Sb)-Total (mg/L)	0.00364	0.0262	0.0124	0.0415	
	Arsenic (As)-Total (mg/L)	0.0224	0.0713	0.0110	0.0020	
	Barium (Ba)-Total (mg/L)	0.022	<0.020	0.026	0.030	
	Beryllium (Be)-Total (mg/L)	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	
	Boron (B)-Total (mg/L)	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	
	Cadmium (Cd)-Total (mg/L)	0.00529	0.0212	0.0105	0.00097	
	Calcium (Ca)-Total (mg/L)	438	362	540	368	
	Chromium (Cr)-Total (mg/L)	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010	
	Cobalt (Co)-Total (mg/L)	<0.00050	<0.00050	0.00432	0.00557	
	Copper (Cu)-Total (mg/L)	0.0092	0.0087	0.0059	0.0013	
	Iron (Fe)-Total (mg/L)	1.04	0.153	0.193	<0.030	
	Lead (Pb)-Total (mg/L)	0.0152	0.0090	0.0048	<0.0010	
	Lithium (Li)-Total (mg/L)	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	
	Magnesium (Mg)-Total (mg/L)	145	287	94.9	46.3	
	Manganese (Mn)-Total (mg/L)	0.098	0.297	2.06	0.032	
	Mercury (Hg)-Total (mg/L)	<0.00020	<0.00020	<0.00020	<0.00020	
	Molybdenum (Mo)-Total (mg/L)	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010	
	Nickel (Ni)-Total (mg/L)	<0.0050	<0.0050	0.0099	<0.0050	
	Selenium (Se)-Total (mg/L)	ol.0020	<0.0020	<0.0020	<0.0020	
	Silver (Ag)-Total (mg/L)	0.000405	0.000335	0.000101	<0.000050	
	Sodium (Na)-Total (mg/L)	<2.0	<2.0	4.7	<2.0	
	Thallium (TI)-Total (mg/L)	<0.00020	<0.00020	0.00022	<0.00020	
	Titanium (Ti)-Total (mg/L)	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	
	Uranium (U)-Total (mg/L)	0.00027	<0.00020	0.00024	0.00031	
	Vanadium (V)-Total (mg/L)	<0.030	<0.030	<0.030	<0.030	

* Please refer to the Reference Information section for an explanation of any qualifiers detected.

L1493185 CONTD.... PAGE 3 of 6 07-AUG-14 14:44 (MT) Version: FINAL

ALS ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL REPORT

	Sample ID Description Sampled Date Sampled Time Client ID	L1493185-1 Leachate 24-JUL-14 16:30 FIELD BIN - WASTE ROCK	L1493185-2 Leachate 24-JUL-14 16:30 FIELD BIN - ORE	L1493185-3 Leachate 24-JUL-14 16:30 FIELD BIN - TAILINGS + ORGANIC	L1493185-4 Leachate 24-JUL-14 16:30 FIELD BIN - TAILINGS SAND	
Grouping	Analyte					
WATER						
Total Metals	Zinc (Zn)-Total (mg/L)	0.186	1.95	0.733	0.0170	
Dissolved Metals	Dissolved Mercury Filtration Location	FIELD	FIELD	FIELD	FIELD	
	Dissolved Metals Filtration Location	FIELD	FIELD	FIELD	FIELD	
	Aluminum (Al)-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	
	Antimony (Sb)-Dissolved (mg/L)	0.00121	0.0251	0.0120	0.0418	
	Arsenic (As)-Dissolved (mg/L)	0.0019	0.0607	0.0034	0.0017	
	Barium (Ba)-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.020	<0.020	0.024	0.029	
	Beryllium (Be)-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	
	Boron (B)-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	
	Cadmium (Cd)-Dissolved (mg/L)	0.00494	0.0209	0.0113	0.00084	
	Calcium (Ca)-Dissolved (mg/L)	457	368	564	374	
	Chromium (Cr)-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.0010	ola <0.0010	DLA <0.0010	<0.0010	
	Cobalt (Co)-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.00050	<0.00050	0.00466	0.00502	
	Copper (Cu)-Dissolved (mg/L)	0.0018	0.0062	0.0049	0.0011	
	Iron (Fe)-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.030	<0.030	0.113	<0.030	
	Lead (Pb)-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010	
	Lithium (Li)-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	
	Magnesium (Mg)-Dissolved (mg/L)	145	290	92.6	48.0	
	Manganese (Mn)-Dissolved (mg/L)	0.050	0.295	2.63	0.016	
	Mercury (Hg)-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.00020	<0.00020	<0.00020	<0.00020	
	Molybdenum (Mo)-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010	
	Nickel (Ni)-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.0050	<0.0050	0.0099	<0.0050	
	Selenium (Se)-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.0020	<0.0020	<0.0020	<0.0020	
	Silver (Ag)-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.000050	<0.000050	<0.000050	<0.000050	
	Sodium (Na)-Dissolved (mg/L)	<2.0	<2.0	4.5	<2.0	
	Thallium (TI)-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.00020	<0.00020	0.00023	<0.00020	
	Titanium (Ti)-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	
	Uranium (U)-Dissolved (mg/L)	0.00022	<0.00020	0.00025	0.00032	
	Vanadium (V)-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.030	<0.030	<0.030	<0.030	
	Zinc (Zn)-Dissolved (mg/L)	0.146	1.91	0.842	0.0137	

* Please refer to the Reference Information section for an explanation of any qualifiers detected.

Reference Information

QC Samples with Qualifiers & Comments:

QC Samples with Qual	mers & comme	ents:		
QC Type Description		Parameter	Qualifier	Applies to Sample Number(s)
Duplicate		Chloride (Cl)	DLA	L1493185-1, -2, -3, -4
Duplicate		Fluoride (F)	DLA	L1493185-1, -2, -3, -4
Duplicate		Nitrite (as N)	DLA	L1493185-1, -2, -3, -4
Duplicate		Nitrate (as N)	DLA	L1493185-1, -2, -3, -4
Matrix Spike		Calcium (Ca)-Dissolved	MS-B	L1493185-1, -2, -3, -4
Matrix Spike		Manganese (Mn)-Dissolved	MS-B	L1493185-1, -2, -3, -4
Qualifiers for Individu	ual Parameters	Listed:		
Qualifier Desc	ription			
DLA Detec	tion Limit adjust	ed for required dilution		
DTC Disso	lved concentration	on exceeds total. Results were confirm	ned by re-analysi	S.
MS-B Matrix	Spike recovery	could not be accurately calculated due	e to high analyte	background in sample.
Lest Method Referen	C05.			
ALS Test Code	Matrix	Test Description		Method Reference**
	Water	Acidity by Automatic Titration		APHA 2310 "Acidity"
This analysis is carried	I out using proce	dures adapted from APHA Method 23	10 "Acidity". Acid	lity is determined by potentiometric titration to a specified
ACY-PCT-VA	Water	Acidity by Automatic Titration		APHA 2310 Acidity
This analysis is carried endpoint.	l out using proce	dures adapted from APHA Method 23	10 "Acidity". Acid	lity is determined by potentiometric titration to a specified
ALK-COL-VA	Water	Alkalinity by Colourimetric (Automat	ed)	EPA 310.2
This analysis is carried colourimetric method.	I out using proce	dures adapted from EPA Method 310.	2 "Alkalinity". Tot	tal Alkalinity is determined using the methyl orange
ANIONS-CL-IC-WR	Water	Chloride by Ion Chromatography		EPA 300.1
This analysis is carried 1.0, April 1999 and fro Dionex 2003.	l out using proce m "Determination	dures adapted from EPA Method 300. n of Inorganic Anions in Environmental	1, "Determinatior Waters Using a	n of Inorganic Anions by Ion Chromatography", Revision Hydroxide-Selective Column", Application Note 154 v.19,
ANIONS-F-IC-WR	Water	Fluoride by Ion Chromatography		EPA 300.1
This analysis is carried 1.0, April 1999 and fro Dionex 2003.	l out using proce m "Determination	dures adapted from EPA Method 300. n of Inorganic Anions in Environmental	1, "Determinatior Waters Using a	n of Inorganic Anions by Ion Chromatography", Revision Hydroxide-Selective Column", Application Note 154 v.19,
ANIONS-NO2-IC-WR	Water	Nitrite Nitrogen by Ion Chromatogra	phy	EPA 300.1
This analysis is carried 1.0, April 1999 and fro Dionex 2003. Nitrate i	l out using proce m "Determination s detected by U\	dures adapted from EPA Method 300. n of Inorganic Anions in Environmental / absorbance.	1, "Determinatior Waters Using a	n of Inorganic Anions by Ion Chromatography", Revision Hydroxide-Selective Column", Application Note 154 v.19,
ANIONS-NO3-IC-WR	Water	Nitrate Nitrogen by Ion Chromatogra	aphy	EPA 300.1
This analysis is carried 1.0, April 1999 and fro Dionex 2003. Nitrate i	l out using proce m "Determination s detected by U\	dures adapted from EPA Method 300. n of Inorganic Anions in Environmental / absorbance.	1, "Determinatior Waters Using a	n of Inorganic Anions by Ion Chromatography", Revision Hydroxide-Selective Column", Application Note 154 v.19,
ANIONS-SO4-IC-WR	Water	Sulphate by Ion Chromatography		EPA 300.1
This analysis is carried 1.0, April 1999 and fro Dionex 2003.	l out using proce m "Determination	dures adapted from EPA Method 300. n of Inorganic Anions in Environmental	1, "Determinatior Waters Using a	n of Inorganic Anions by Ion Chromatography", Revision Hydroxide-Selective Column", Application Note 154 v.19,
EC-PCT-VA	Water	Conductivity (Automated)		APHA 2510 Auto. Conduc.
This analysis is carried electrode.	l out using proce	dures adapted from APHA Method 25	10 "Conductivity"	. Conductivity is determined using a conductivity
HARDNESS-CALC-VA	Water	Hardness		APHA 2340B
Hardness (also known Dissolved Calcium and	as Total Hardne Magnesium co	ess) is calculated from the sum of Calcincentrations are preferentially used for	um and Magnes the hardness ca	ium concentrations, expressed in CaCO3 equivalents. Iculation.
HG-DIS-CVAFS-VA	Water	Dissolved Mercury in Water by CVA	FS	EPA SW-846 3005A & EPA 245.7
This analysis is carried American Public Healt States Environmental involves a cold-oxidatio analysis is by cold vap	l out using proce h Association, an Protection Agenco on of the acidifier our atomic fluore	dures adapted from "Standard Method nd with procedures adapted from "Test cy (EPA). The procedures may involve d sample using bromine monochloride escence spectrophotometry or atomic a	Is for the Examin Methods for Eva preliminary sam prior to reduction absorption spectr	ation of Water and Wastewater" published by the aluating Solid Waste" SW-846 published by the United ple treatment by filtration (EPA Method 3005A) and n of the sample with stannous chloride. Instrumental rophotometry (EPA Method 245.7).

Reference Information

EPA SW-846 3005A/6010B

EPA SW-846 3005A/6020A

EPA SW-846 3005A/6020A

APHA 4500-H "pH Value"

APHA 4500-H pH Value

APHA 4500-NORG D.

This analysis is carried out using procedures adapted from "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater" published by the American Public Health Association, and with procedures adapted from "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste" SW-846 published by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). The procedure involves a cold-oxidation of the acidified sample using bromine monochloride prior to reduction of the sample with stannous chloride. Instrumental analysis is by cold vapour atomic fluorescence spectrophotometry or atomic absorption spectrophotometry (EPA Method 245.7).

MET-DIS-ICP-VA Water Dissolved Metals in Water by ICPOES

This analysis is carried out using procedures adapted from "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater" published by the American Public Health Association, and with procedures adapted from "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste" SW-846 published by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). The procedure involves filtration (EPA Method 3005A) and analysis by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrophotometry (EPA Method 6010B).

MET-DIS-LOW-MS-VA Water Dissolved Metals in Water by ICPMS(Low)

This analysis is carried out using procedures adapted from "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater" published by the American Public Health Association, and with procedures adapted from "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste" SW-846 published by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). The procedures involves preliminary sample treatment by filtration (EPA Method 3005A). Instrumental analysis is by inductively coupled plasma - mass spectrometry (EPA Method 6020A).

MET-TOT-ICP-VA Water Total Metals in Water by ICPOES EPA SW-846 3005A/6010B

This analysis is carried out using procedures adapted from "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater" published by the American Public Health Association, and with procedures adapted from "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste" SW-846 published by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). The procedures may involve preliminary sample treatment by acid digestion, using either hotblock or microwave oven (EPA Method 3005A). Instrumental analysis is by inductively coupled plasma - optical emission spectrophotometry (EPA Method 6010B).

MET-TOT-LOW-MS-VA Water Total Metals in Water by ICPMS(Low)

This analysis is carried out using procedures adapted from "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater" published by the American Public Health Association, and with procedures adapted from "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste" SW-846 published by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). The procedures may involve preliminary sample treatment by acid digestion, using either hotblock or microwave oven, or filtration (EPA Method 3005A). Instrumental analysis is by inductively coupled plasma - mass spectrometry (EPA Method 6020A).

PH-PCT-VA Water pH by Meter (Automated)

This analysis is carried out using procedures adapted from APHA Method 4500-H "pH Value". The pH is determined in the laboratory using a pH electrode

It is recommended that this analysis be conducted in the field.

PH-PCT-VA Water pH by Meter (Automated)

This analysis is carried out using procedures adapted from APHA Method 4500-H "pH Value". The pH is determined in the laboratory using a pH electrode

It is recommended that this analysis be conducted in the field.

TKN-F-VA

Water TKN in Water by Fluorescence

This analysis is carried out using procedures adapted from APHA Method 4500-Norg D. "Block Digestion and Flow Injection Analysis". Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen is determined using block digestion followed by Flow-injection analysis with fluorescence detection.

** ALS test methods may incorporate modifications from specified reference methods to improve performance.

The last two letters of the above test code(s) indicate the laboratory that performed analytical analysis for that test. Refer to the list below:

Laboratory Definition Code	Laboratory Location
WR	ALS ENVIRONMENTAL - WHITEHORSE, YUKON, CANADA
VA	ALS ENVIRONMENTAL - VANCOUVER, BRITISH COLUMBIA, CANADA

Chain of Custody Numbers:

1

Reference Information

GLOSSARY OF REPORT TERMS

Surrogate - A compound that is similar in behaviour to target analyte(s), but that does not occur naturally in environmental samples. For applicable tests, surrogates are added to samples prior to analysis as a check on recovery. *mg/kg* - *milligrams per kilogram based on dry weight of sample.*

mg/kg wwt - milligrams per kilogram based on wet weight of sample.

mg/kg lwt - milligrams per kilogram based on lipid-adjusted weight of sample.

mg/L - milligrams per litre.

< - Less than.

D.L. - The reported Detection Limit, also known as the Limit of Reporting (LOR).

N/A - Result not available. Refer to qualifier code and definition for explanation.

Test results reported relate only to the samples as received by the laboratory.

UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED, ALL SAMPLES WERE RECEIVED IN ACCEPTABLE CONDITION.

Analytical results in unsigned test reports with the DRAFT watermark are subject to change, pending final QC review.

ALSEnvironmental

Chain of Custody / Anaiytical Requ Canada Toll Free: 1 800 668 9 <u>www.aisglobal.com</u>



Page <u>1</u> of

1

Report TO			Report	Format / Distribu	ution		Sei	vice	Roge	ostad	(Duals (
Company:	Summit Environmental Consulta	ants Inc.	Stan	dard Other				Regula	ar (Star	Idard Ti	(Rush I	or rouu	ne anal	SIS SUD	ject to ava	allability)
Contact:	Nicole Jacques		PDF	Excel	Digital	Eax	Ť	Priorih	/ (2-4 F				- Busines	S Days)		
Address:	301 - 4109 4th Avenue		Email 1	;			ام	Emera	angy (1	-3 Ruo	Days) -	00% 0	criarge -	Contact	ALS to Con	atirm TAT
	Whitehorse, YT, Y1A iH6		Email 2	•			-M	Same I		Veekan	d Emora	00% 50	rcnarge	- Contact	ALS to Co	nfirm TAT
Phone:	867 - 456 - 2711 Fa	x: 604 - 291 - 6	5163 Email 3				- <u> </u> -	Sume I		weeken		alveie	Dintact AL	S to Con	rirm TAT	
Invoice To	Same as Report ? Yes	No No	Client /	Project Informat	ion			9360	Indiaa	to hole		alysis	Reque	st		
Hardcopy of	Invoice with Report? Yes	V No	Job #:				C/D					rea, Pi	reserve	a or ba	<u>xtn (F, P,</u>	, F/P)
Company:	AMEC		PO / AF	E:			1770		P	-5						
Contact:	Judy Andrina		LSD:		·····		- 3	10		<u>у</u> , А						
Address:	4445 Lougheed Highway, Suite	600, Burnaby, B	C. V5C 0E4		·····		12	1 2	_	git						
Phone:	604-294-3811 Fax	x: 604-294-466	4 Ouote #	Summit Enviror	mental - Gener	al Drico List	- +	ž	B	A.						
Lab V	/ork Order #						- ă		Ĕ	L.						
(lab	use only)		Contact	:	Sampler:	NJ a nd SS	Diss	Tota	netic	Alkal						
Sample	Sample	dentification		Date	Time		မြန်	<u>୍</u> କ ଅ	N N	ပ္ပံ						Į
#	(This description	will appear on th	ne report)	(dd-mmm-yy)	(hh:mm)	Sample Type	Met	let.	ofa	Т,						
	Field Bin - Waste rock			24-5-1-14	4:20	Landle	Y	Y	┢	v					<u> </u>	┥─┤╴╴╴
	Field Bin - Ore			1	1 Jon	J-cachate	$\frac{1}{x}$	$\frac{1}{x}$		^ X						╉╌┰╋╸
	Field-Bin Waste-reek + organic			ir -	<u>├──</u>			$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$	\mathbf{X}	Ĵ			+			┢╌╎
	Field Bin - Tailings + organic				<u> </u>				X	X						┢╌┟╴
	Field Bin - Tailings sand	······································					<u> </u>	 _	\mathbf{X}							
					······································	V	X	<u> </u>	X	<u> </u>						
																├──│ ──
												+	+ +			┞━├
												_	╉┈┥			
	······································		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·													
						<u></u>										
												+	╋┓╉			<u>├──</u> ┼──
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Special Instructions / Regu	ulations with w	ater or land use (CCN	E-Freshwater A	uatic Life/BC C	SR - Commerci	al/AB	Tier	1 - Na	tural	etc)/	lazard			<u></u>	<u> </u>
											010/11	acara		stans	<u> </u>	
	By the use o	Failure to co	omplete all portions o	f this form may d	lelay analysis.	Please fill in thi	s form	LEG	IBLY					<u> </u>	i	- <u> </u>
	Dy uie use o Also provided on another Exce	T UNIS FORM the	user acknowledges a	ind agrees with t	he Terms and C	onditions as pr	ovide	d on a	a sep	arate	Excel t	ab.				
har sin taan a	SHIPMENT RELEASE (client lies		Lo location addresses	s, phone number	s and sample c	ontainer / prese	rvatio	n / ho	olding	j time	table f	or cor	nmon a	analys	es.	
Released by:	Date (dd-mmm.hu)	Time (bb-mm)	Received hur		IN (lab use only)				SHI	PMEN	IT VER	FICAT	TON (Ia	ab use	only)	
	a are (as following y)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Neceiveu by:		Time:	Temperature:	Verifi	ed by	:)ate:		Time:		Obser	rvations:

GENF 18.01 Front

VA14123203 - Finalized

CLIENT : "APN - ALS Environmental"

of SAMPLES : 26

DATE RECEIVED : 2014-08-12 DATE FINALIZED : 2014-08-20

PROJECT : "L1499580"

CERTIFICATE COMMENTS : "ME-MS41:Gold determinations by this method are semi-quantitative due to the small sample weight used (0.5g)." PO NUMBER : "L1499580"

	ME-MS41												
SAMPLE	Ag	Al	As	Au	В	Ва	Be	Bi	Ca	Cd	Ce	Co	Cr
DESCRIPTION	ppm	%	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	%	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm
L1499580-1	0.03	0.81	12.6	<0.2	<10	90	0.19	0.05	0.31	0.08	19.75	3.9	14
L1499580-2	0.03	0.63	4.4	<0.2	<10	90	0.17	0.07	0.34	0.08	19.95	3.3	11
L1499580-3	0.03	0.63	4.7	<0.2	<10	100	0.18	0.06	0.56	0.08	21.2	3.8	14
L1499580-4	0.04	0.81	4.6	<0.2	<10	100	0.22	0.07	0.4	0.08	19.05	4	13
L1499580-5	0.03	0.86	4.2	<0.2	<10	90	0.19	0.06	0.37	0.06	17	3.8	15
L1499580-6	0.29	0.97	72.6	<0.2	<10	140	0.3	0.15	0.31	0.58	23	5	21
L1499580-7	0.07	0.72	12.7	<0.2	<10	120	0.19	0.08	0.3	0.26	19.2	3.7	15
L1499580-8	0.07	0.69	9.4	<0.2	<10	110	0.18	0.09	0.34	0.19	17.2	3.6	14
L1499580-9	0.07	0.61	15.6	<0.2	<10	100	0.17	0.07	0.3	0.16	17.9	3.4	12
L1499580-10	0.05	0.55	9.1	<0.2	<10	110	0.15	0.07	0.41	0.11	18.2	3.1	10
L1499580-11	0.04	0.53	8.2	<0.2	<10	100	0.17	0.06	0.27	0.1	18.5	3.3	10
L1499580-12	0.05	0.52	25	<0.2	<10	90	0.16	0.09	0.28	0.16	18.25	3.8	10
L1499580-13	0.04	0.63	5.5	<0.2	<10	90	0.15	0.06	0.25	0.08	15.55	3	13
L1499580-14	0.12	0.67	35.6	<0.2	<10	110	0.23	0.14	0.36	0.28	21.9	4.5	13
L1499580-15	2.94	0.8	172	<0.2	<10	120	0.24	2.96	0.54	1.93	21.8	3.6	14
L1499580-16	3.41	0.71	198	0.2	<10	100	0.23	3.35	0.51	2.25	20.8	3.7	12
L1499580-17	0.5	1.11	97.9	<0.2	<10	160	0.4	0.31	0.41	0.55	25.7	7.3	23
L1499580-18	0.4	1.01	209	<0.2	<10	190	0.35	0.24	0.39	0.62	27.3	8	30
L1499580-19	0.16	3.42	5	<0.2	<10	490	0.2	0.16	0.73	0.09	24.4	21.2	16
L1499580-20	0.11	3.09	5.6	<0.2	<10	480	0.19	0.09	0.7	0.08	24	19.8	13
L1499580-21	0.44	1.59	194.5	<0.2	<10	340	0.37	0.16	0.62	0.9	28.3	13.6	33
L1499580-22	0.31	1.57	151	<0.2	<10	270	0.28	0.11	0.67	0.62	22.3	11.7	32
L1499580-23	0.17	2.73	68.3	<0.2	<10	590	0.36	0.07	0.66	0.29	24	14.9	22
L1499580-24	0.2	1.63	63.2	<0.2	<10	280	0.33	0.09	0.61	0.41	28.2	13.4	27
L1499580-25	0.34	0.93	20.6	<0.2	<10	30	0.51	0.26	1.24	2.16	32.2	6.6	5
L1499580-26	1.37	1.99	117	<0.2	<10	190	0.99	0.89	1.7	1.67	34.2	16.1	30

	ME-MS41												
SAMPLE	Cs	Cu	Fe	Ga	Ge	Hf	Hg	In	K	La	Li	Mg	Mn
DESCRIPTION	ppm	ppm	%	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	%	ppm	ppm	%	ppm
L1499580-1	0.56	9.6	1.3	2.66	<0.05	0.02	<0.01	0.012	0.1	10.1	5	0.25	187
L1499580-2	0.51	7.3	1.21	2.25	<0.05	0.06	0.01	0.011	0.09	10.4	4.1	0.23	172
L1499580-3	0.53	7.7	1.28	2.26	<0.05	0.1	0.01	0.012	0.1	11.1	4.2	0.26	168
L1499580-4	0.57	9.6	1.23	2.67	<0.05	0.04	0.01	0.011	0.1	9.9	5.6	0.29	195
L1499580-5	0.73	8.3	1.31	2.6	<0.05	0.02	0.01	0.009	0.1	9.2	5.3	0.28	185
L1499580-6	1.23	19.6	1.96	3.32	<0.05	0.02	0.01	0.024	0.16	13.1	6.8	0.36	255
L1499580-7	0.83	9.7	1.37	2.51	<0.05	0.02	0.01	0.014	0.11	10.7	4.9	0.27	209
L1499580-8	0.69	8.8	1.38	2.23	<0.05	0.02	0.01	0.011	0.11	9.7	4.5	0.25	204
L1499580-9	0.65	8.9	1.38	2.13	<0.05	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.09	9.9	4	0.21	240
L1499580-10	0.59	7.2	1.26	1.91	<0.05	0.07	0.01	0.008	0.09	10.2	3.8	0.21	194
L1499580-11	0.58	6.3	1.18	1.86	<0.05	0.06	0.01	0.01	0.09	10.2	3.6	0.18	182
L1499580-12	0.59	6.7	1.35	1.89	<0.05	0.08	0.01	0.009	0.08	9.9	3.6	0.19	208
L1499580-13	0.67	6.3	1.1	2.08	<0.05	0.02	<0.01	0.01	0.08	8.7	4.5	0.2	129
L1499580-14	1.24	11	1.57	2.26	<0.05	0.02	0.01	0.016	0.13	12.6	4.7	0.25	280
L1499580-15	0.86	43.7	1.57	2.66	<0.05	<0.02	0.05	0.048	0.08	12.7	5	0.25	281
L1499580-16	0.75	48.9	1.56	2.46	<0.05	<0.02	0.05	0.05	0.07	12.3	4.4	0.23	292
L1499580-17	2.24	27.1	2.3	3.86	0.05	0.15	0.04	0.034	0.13	14	6.9	0.34	321
L1499580-18	1.92	24.6	2.87	3.39	<0.05	0.2	0.03	0.033	0.16	14.4	6.5	0.35	758
L1499580-19	4.73	113	5.46	11.05	0.12	0.03	<0.01	0.051	1.01	11.8	31.8	2.67	517
L1499580-20	4.52	82.9	4.96	10.2	0.12	0.03	<0.01	0.048	0.91	11.8	30.3	2.39	558
L1499580-21	3.84	43.6	3.77	5.52	0.08	0.26	0.06	0.034	0.42	16.1	12.6	0.74	1380
L1499580-22	3.19	35.8	3.46	5.32	0.08	0.22	0.04	0.032	0.44	12.3	10.7	0.76	1020
L1499580-23	10.05	31.3	4.48	7.87	0.09	0.07	0.02	0.035	1.05	12.4	20.5	1.52	781
L1499580-24	4.57	39.4	3.84	6.36	0.09	0.11	0.02	0.032	0.45	14.1	15.7	0.94	676
L1499580-25	6.88	35	2.75	2.59	0.05	0.05	0.02	0.032	0.1	16.5	3.5	0.25	488
L1499580-26	36.2	75.4	4.39	5.42	0.07	0.08	0.05	0.086	0.32	17.6	8.3	0.76	780

	ME-MS41												
SAMPLE	Мо	Na	Nb	Ni	Р	Pb	Rb	Re	S	Sb	Sc	Se	Sn
DESCRIPTION	ppm	%	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	%	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm
L1499580-1	0.36	0.05	0.8	8.5	370	3.3	6.9	<0.001	<0.01	0.55	2.4	0.3	0.3
L1499580-2	0.3	0.04	0.43	6.9	370	3.1	5.6	<0.001	<0.01	0.26	2.2	0.3	0.3
L1499580-3	0.31	0.05	0.45	7.2	400	3.6	5.8	<0.001	<0.01	0.33	2.3	0.2	0.3
L1499580-4	0.25	0.05	0.58	8.7	430	3	7.2	<0.001	<0.01	0.19	2.5	0.3	0.3
L1499580-5	0.27	0.06	1.05	8	420	2.8	6.4	<0.001	<0.01	0.17	2.3	0.3	0.3
L1499580-6	1.91	0.04	0.92	17.6	440	106	10.4	0.001	0.04	1.42	3	1	0.4
L1499580-7	0.61	0.04	0.97	9.6	380	7.4	6.7	<0.001	0.01	0.52	2.3	0.4	0.3
L1499580-8	0.5	0.05	0.94	8.4	390	5.9	5.9	<0.001	<0.01	0.44	2.1	0.4	0.3
L1499580-9	0.49	0.05	0.78	7.2	350	6.1	5.1	<0.001	<0.01	0.85	2.2	0.3	0.3
L1499580-10	0.42	0.05	0.4	6.4	320	4.3	4.9	<0.001	<0.01	0.59	1.9	0.3	0.3
L1499580-11	0.38	0.04	0.5	6	300	4.6	5.1	<0.001	<0.01	0.94	1.8	0.2	0.3
L1499580-12	0.42	0.04	0.46	7.4	310	6.4	4.8	<0.001	<0.01	0.66	1.9	0.3	0.3
L1499580-13	0.36	0.04	0.92	6.6	350	3.5	5.6	<0.001	<0.01	0.32	1.7	0.2	0.3
L1499580-14	0.76	0.03	0.86	10.7	380	10.3	7.3	<0.001	<0.01	1.3	2.2	0.4	0.3
L1499580-15	0.52	0.05	0.82	7.9	470	111.5	6.2	<0.001	0.26	23.6	2.1	0.5	0.4
L1499580-16	0.54	0.05	0.69	7.6	440	123.5	5.7	<0.001	0.28	27.3	2	0.4	0.4
L1499580-17	0.7	0.03	0.57	12	640	42.4	10.4	<0.001	<0.01	8.63	6.3	0.5	0.4
L1499580-18	0.95	0.03	0.41	13.6	800	28.8	10.2	<0.001	<0.01	8.41	5.7	0.4	0.4
L1499580-19	0.19	0.08	0.12	6.4	1420	3.2	38.1	<0.001	0.03	0.35	17.2	0.7	0.7
L1499580-20	0.26	0.08	0.1	5.8	1490	3	34.3	<0.001	0.02	0.31	15.5	0.5	0.6
L1499580-21	1.33	0.04	0.24	17.5	1240	28.6	19.4	<0.001	<0.01	6.19	9.4	0.6	0.8
L1499580-22	0.89	0.05	0.26	13.5	1320	20	18.6	<0.001	<0.01	4.6	8.5	0.5	0.6
L1499580-23	0.49	0.03	0.18	9.1	1130	14.1	42.7	<0.001	<0.01	2.95	11	0.5	0.6
L1499580-24	0.54	0.05	0.33	12.3	1140	18	20.3	<0.001	0.03	3.16	8.9	0.7	0.6
L1499580-25	0.29	0.01	0.07	2.7	410	20.8	7.6	<0.001	0.12	6.94	5.9	0.8	0.2
L1499580-26	0.34	0.01	0.08	13.9	990	115.5	25.5	0.001	0.41	30.7	14.1	1.3	0.7

	ME-MS41											
SAMPLE	Sr	Та	Те	Th	Ti	TI	U	V	W	Υ	Zn	Zr
DESCRIPTION	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	%	ppm						
L1499580-1	28.3	<0.01	0.01	2.6	0.058	0.06	0.43	29	0.18	4.59	23	1
L1499580-2	28.4	<0.01	0.01	2.8	0.052	0.05	0.43	27	0.14	4.81	19	2.2
L1499580-3	34.3	<0.01	0.01	3.1	0.059	0.05	0.46	31	2.06	5.15	19	2.8
L1499580-4	32.2	<0.01	0.01	2.7	0.062	0.07	0.44	28	0.15	5.48	23	1.7
L1499580-5	28.3	<0.01	0.01	1.9	0.064	0.06	0.38	29	0.22	5.03	22	0.6
L1499580-6	28.9	<0.01	0.04	3.1	0.047	0.14	0.83	57	0.35	5.45	88	0.6
L1499580-7	25	<0.01	0.02	2.8	0.047	0.08	0.53	36	0.28	4.39	39	0.9
L1499580-8	26.3	<0.01	0.02	2.4	0.049	0.06	0.46	33	0.17	4.47	31	0.9
L1499580-9	23.4	<0.01	0.01	2.7	0.047	0.06	0.45	32	0.18	4.29	32	1
L1499580-10	26.2	<0.01	0.02	2.9	0.044	0.05	0.43	26	0.14	4.29	23	2.3
L1499580-11	23.5	<0.01	0.01	2.8	0.038	0.05	0.42	24	0.15	3.77	23	1.9
L1499580-12	22.2	<0.01	0.02	2.8	0.044	0.06	0.39	28	0.12	4	28	2.3
L1499580-13	20.1	<0.01	0.01	1.9	0.041	0.06	0.43	24	0.87	3.49	20	0.6
L1499580-14	23.1	<0.01	0.02	3.1	0.045	0.1	0.55	32	0.38	4.79	60	0.9
L1499580-15	38.2	<0.01	0.07	0.5	0.047	0.14	0.65	34	0.17	6.28	133	<0.5
L1499580-16	38.1	<0.01	0.07	0.5	0.043	0.14	0.6	32	0.16	6.14	147	<0.5
L1499580-17	21	<0.01	0.07	3.9	0.067	0.31	0.75	51	0.27	9.42	99	5.6
L1499580-18	21.5	<0.01	0.07	4.8	0.059	0.28	0.88	51	0.57	8.7	99	6.5
L1499580-19	34	<0.01	0.03	2.4	0.196	0.28	0.71	203	0.29	9.55	90	0.7
L1499580-20	30.8	<0.01	0.02	2.2	0.169	0.24	0.65	178	0.42	9.3	83	0.7
L1499580-21	48.2	<0.01	0.04	4.5	0.137	0.41	0.75	81	0.47	12.2	145	9.4
L1499580-22	47.3	<0.01	0.04	3.1	0.152	0.32	0.66	79	0.44	10.55	120	6.9
L1499580-23	82.7	<0.01	0.03	3.2	0.192	0.35	0.54	124	0.26	10.25	101	2.7
L1499580-24	36.3	<0.01	0.04	4.1	0.127	0.25	0.74	109	0.38	10.45	90	4.1
L1499580-25	32.9	<0.01	0.01	4.8	<0.005	0.13	0.37	32	0.28	22.7	193	1.2
L1499580-26	112.5	<0.01	0.04	4.9	0.037	0.27	0.56	82	0.2	19.9	210	1.6

Mount Nansen Remediation Project 2014 Site Investigation Report and Site Characterization Update March 3, 2015



Appendix 4G – Water Treatment Results

CERTIFICAT D'ANALYSE

N° BON DE TRAVAIL:14M870562N° DE PROJET:AMEC ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTUREÀ L'ATTENTION DE:Jessica HuzaDATE DE RÉCEPTION:31 juil. 2014DATE D'ÉCHANTILLONI 30 juil. 2014O5 août 2014

L'INFORMATION DE L'ENSEMBLE:

Nom de feuille de travail Matrice Critères Normes

Nom de l'ensemble

X01	Eaude surface	Analyses Inorganiques - Eau de Surface
X02	Eaude surface	Métaux Extractibles Totaux (basse limite)

Analyses Inorganiques - Eau de Surface

Identification de l'échantillon				ОР	SP	ТР	TP-PW-02	TP-PW-03	TP-PW-04
Date d'échantillonnage				07-30-2014	07-30-2014	07-30-2014	07-30-2014	07-30-2014	07-30-2014
Paramètre	Unités	C/N	LDR	5640107	5640143	5640144	5640148	5640153	5640156
Azote ammoniacal	mg/L - N		0.05	<0.05	4.98	<0.05	11.8	1.74	6.49
Cyanures totaux	mg/L - CN		0.005	0.018	0.613	0.018	0.759	0.095	0.092

Commentaires:

LDR - Limite de détection rapportée; C / N - Critères Normes

Métaux Extractibles Totaux (basse limite)

							TP-PW-02-	TP-PW-03-	TP-PW-04-
Identification de l'échantillon				OP-F	SP-F	TP-F	F	F	F
Date d'échantillonnage				07-30-2014	07-30-2014	07-30-2014	07-30-2014	07-30-2014	07-30-2014
Paramètre	Unités	C/N	LDR	5640073	5640096	5640102	5640103	5640105	5640106
Aluminium	µg/L		10	<10	<10	12	<10	<10	<10
Antimoine	µg/L		1	4	<1	38	2	428	346
Arsenic	µg/L		1	9	3	55	8340	591	2810
Baryum	µg/L		5	17	67	8	6	34	6
Béryllium	µg/L		1	<1	3	<1	1	<1	<1
Bismuth	ug/L		1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Cadmium	µg/L		0.5	2.3	<0.5	0.7	<0.5	1.2	<0.5
Calcium	µg/L		100	244000	244000	190000	441000	411000	413000
Chrome	µg/L		1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Cobalt	ug/L		0.5	<0.5	8.6	<0.5	10.8	3.9	<0.5
Cuivre	µg/L		1	2	3	12	2	3	2
Fer	µg/L		70	<70	<70	<70	19200	109	<70
Lithium	µg/L		1	6	<1	6	16	<1	3
Magnésium	µg/L		100	61300	48300	34400	86800	58600	96700
Manganèse	µg/L		1	23	6210	47	28200	46000	4640
Nickel	µg/L		1	4	6	3	8	7	6
Plomb	µg/L		1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Potassium	µg/L		100	2820	5710	10400	54100	14300	32100
Sélénium	µg/L		1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Silicium	mg/L		2	3	7	<2	7	17	11
Sodium	µg/L		1000	10500	39000	16400	65000	23600	41000
Soufre	mg/L		0.1	317	338	252	724	594	698
Strontium	µg/L		10	913	901	538	970	1520	1360
Thallium	µg/L		1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Zinc	µg/L		3	247	25	37	346	22	51

Commentaires:

LDR - Limite de détection rapportée; C / N - Critères Normes

Paramètre	Lot	N° éch.	Dup #1	Dup #2	% d'écart	Blanc de méthode	Matériau de référence
Métaux Extractibles Totaux (bas	se limite)						
Aluminium	5628427	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 10	81%
Antimoine	5628427	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	113%
Arsenic	5628427	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	103%
Baryum	5628427	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 5	96%
Béryllium	5628427	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	87%
Bismuth	5628427	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	NA
Cadmium	5628427	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.5	103%
Calcium	5628427	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 100	104%
Chrome	5628427	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	92%
Cobalt	5628427	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.5	106%
Cuivre	5628427	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	94%
Fer	5628427	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 70	107%
Lithium	5628427	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	NA
Magnésium	5628427	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 100	88%
Manganèse	5628427	NA	NA	NA	NA	< 1	112%
Nickel	5628427	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	93%
Plomb	5628427	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	103%
Potassium	5628427	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 100	93%
Sélénium	5628427	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	106%
Silicium	1	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 2	95%
Sodium	5628427	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1000	95%
Soufre	1	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.1	100%
Strontium	5628427	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 10	106%
Thallium	5628427	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	106%
Zinc	5628427	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 3	107%
Analyses Inorganiques - Eau de	Surface						
Azote ammoniacal	1	5640107	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.0%	< 0.05	109%
Cyanures totaux	1	5640107	0.018	0.018	0.0%	< 0.005	100%

		BLANC			ÉCH.		
Inf.	Sup.	FORTIFIÉ	Inf.	Sup.	FORTIFIÉ	Inf.	Sup.
80%	120%	96%	80%	120%	93%	80%	120%
80%	120%	95%	80%	120%	117%	80%	120%
80%	120%	102%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	101%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	88%	80%	120%	105%	80%	120%
80%	120%	97%	80%	120%	103%	80%	120%
80%	120%	103%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	96%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	91%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	95%	80%	120%	119%	80%	120%
80%	120%	98%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	95%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	91%	80%	120%	91%	80%	120%
80%	120%	98%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	98%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	104%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	99%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	90%	80%	120%	103%	80%	120%
80%	120%	104%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	98%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	98%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	102%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	96%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	102%	80%	120%	110%	80%	120%
80%	120%	105%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	95%	80%	120%	104%	80%	120%
80%	120%	108%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%

CERTIFICAT D'ANALYSE

N° BON DE TRAVAIL:14M872762N° DE PROJET:VM006051.05.502NOM DU CLIENT:AMEC ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTUREÀ L'ATTENTION DE:Jessica HuzaDATE DE RÉCEPTION:07 août 2014DATE D'ÉCHANTILLONI 06 août 2014DATE DU RAPPORT:12 août 2014

L'INFORMATION DE L'ENSEMBLE:

X01

Nom de feuille de travail Matrice Critères Normes

Eau surface

Nom de l'ensemble Analyses Inorganiques Analyses Inorganiques

Identification de l'échantillon				SP (2)	SP test 3
Date d'échantillonnage				08-06-2014	08-06-2014
Paramètre	Unités	C/N	LDR	5661709	5661710
Cyanures totaux	mg/L - CN	١	0.005	0.855	0.875

Commentaires: LDR - Limite de détection rapportée; C / N - Critères Normes

Paramètre	Lot	N° éch.	Dup #1	Dup #2	% d'écart	Blanc de méthode	Matériau de référence	Inf.	Sup.	BLANC FORTIFIÉ
Analyses Inorganiques Cyanures totaux	1	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.005	92%	80%	120%	111%

Inf.	Sup.	ÉCH. FORTIFIÉ	Inf.	Sup.
80%	120%	109%	80%	120%

CERTIFICAT D'ANALYSE

N° BON DE TRAVAIL: 14M873242 N° DE PROJET: VM00605J-502-02 NOM DU CLIENT: AMEC ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE À L'ATTENTION DE: Jessica Huza DATE DE RÉCEPTION: 07 août 2014 DATE D'ÉCHANTILLONI 07 août 2014 DATE DU RAPPORT: 12 août 2014

L'INFORMATION DE L'ENSEMBLE:

Nom de feuille de travail Matrice Critères Normes

Nom de l'ensemble Analyses Inorganiques

X01

Eau de surface

Analyses Inorganiques

				SP-TEST-		SP-TEST-
Identification de l'échantillon				4	SP-TEST-5	10.1
Date d'échantillonnage				08-07-2014	08-07-2014	08-07-2014
Paramètre	Unités	C/N	LDR	5665735	5665736	5665737
Cyanures totaux	mg/L - CN		0.005	0.723	1.42	1.34

Commentaires: LDR - Limite de détection rapportée; C / N - Critères Normes

Paramètre	Lot	N° éch.	Dup #1	Dup #2	% d'écart	Blanc de méthode	Matériau de référence	Inf.	Sup.	BLANC FORTIFIÉ
Analyses Inorganiques Cyanures totaux	1	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.005	103%	80%	120%	107%
Analyses Inorganiques Cyanures totaux	1	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.005	85%	80%	120%	112%

Inf.	Sup.	ÉCH. FORTIFIÉ	Inf.	Sup.
80%	120%	119%	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%

CERTIFICAT D'ANALYSE

N° BON DE TRAVAIL: 14M873242 N° DE PROJET: VM00605J-502-02 NOM DU CLIENT: AMEC ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE À L'ATTENTION DE: Jessica Huza DATE DE RÉCEPTION: 07 août 2014 DATE D'ÉCHANTILLONI 07 août 2014 DATE DU RAPPORT: 12 août 2014

L'INFORMATION DE L'ENSEMBLE:

Nom de feuille de travail Matrice Critères Normes

Nom de l'ensemble Analyses Inorganiques

X01

Eau de surface

Analyses Inorganiques

						SP-TEST-
Identification de l'échantillon				SP-TEST-4	SP-TEST-5	10.1
Date d'échantillonnage				08-07-2014	08-07-2014	08-07-2014
Paramètre	Unités	C/N	LDR	5665735	5665736	5665737
Cyanures totaux	mg/L - CN	l	0.005	0.723	1.42	1.34

Commentaires:

LDR - Limite de détection rapportée; C / N - Critères Normes

Paramètre	Lot	N° éch.	Dup #1	Dup #2	% d'écart	Blanc de méthode	Matériau de référence	Inf.	Sup.	BLANC FORTIFIÉ
Analyses Inorganiques Cyanures totaux	1	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.005	103%	80%	120%	107%
Analyses Inorganiques Cyanures totaux	1	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.005	85%	80%	120%	112%

Inf.	Sup.	ÉCH. FORTIFIÉ	Inf.	Sup.
80%	120%	119%	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%

CERTIFICAT D'ANALYSE

N° BON DE TRAVAIL: 14M873524 N° DE PROJET: VM006051.502.02 NOM DU CLIENT: AMEC ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE À L'ATTENTION DE: Jessica Huza DATE DE RÉCEPTION: 08 août 2014 DATE D'ÉCHANTILLONI 08 août 2014 DATE DU RAPPORT: 11 août 2014

L'INFORMATION DE L'ENSEMBLE:

Nom de feuille de travail Matrice Critères Normes

Nom de l'ensemble Analyses Inorganiques

X01

Eau de surface

Analyses Inorganiques

Identification de l'échantillon Date d'échantillonnage Paramètre	Unités C / N	LDR	SP- TEST 6 08-08-2014 5668177							
Cyanures totaux	mg/L - CN	0.005	0.427							
Commentaires:	LDR - Limite de dét	ection rappo	ortée; C / N - Critères Normes							
Paramètre	Lot	N° éch.	Dup #1	Dup #2	% d'écart	Blanc de méthode	Matériau de référence	Inf.	Sup.	BLANC FORTIFIÉ
--	-----	---------	--------	--------	-----------	---------------------	--------------------------	------	------	-------------------
Analyses Inorganiques Cyanures totaux	1	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.005	103%	80%	120%	107%

Inf.	Sup.	ÉCH. FORTIFIÉ	Inf.	Sup.
80%	120%	119%	80%	120%

N° BON DE TRAVAIL:14M873586N° DE PROJET:VM00605J-502.02NOM DU CLIENT:AMEC ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTUREÀ L'ATTENTION DE:Jessica HuzaDATE DE RÉCEPTION:07 août 2014DATE D'ÉCHANTILLON!07 août 2014DATE DU RAPPORT:19 août 2014

L'INFORMATION DE L'ENSEMBLE:

Nom de feuille de travail Matrice Critères Normes I X01 Eau de surface

X02 Eau de surface

Nom de l'ensemble Métaux Extractibles Totaux Métaux Extractibles Totaux (basse limite)

									36-
					SP-TEST4-		SP-TEST5-	SP-	TEST10:1-
Identification de l'échantillon				SP-TEST4	F	SP-TEST5	F	TEST10:1	F
Date d'échantillonnage				08-07-2014	08-07-2014	08-07-2014	08-07-2014	08-07-2014	08-07-2014
Paramètre	Unités	C/N	LDR	5669032	5669040	5669041	5669046	5669048	5669061
Soufre	mg/L		0.1	296	319	333	*	322	305

Commentaires: 5669046

LDR - Limite de détection rapportée; C / N - Critères Normes * Échantillon insuffisant reçu. SP-

Métaux Extractibles Totaux (basse limite)

								SP-	
Identification de l'échantillon Date d'échantillonnage				SP-TEST4 08-07-2014	SP-TEST4-F 08-07-2014	SP-TEST5 08-07-2014	SP-TEST5-F 08-07-2014	TEST10:1 08-07-2014	SP-TEST10:1-F 08-07-2014
Paramètre	Unités	C/N	LDR	5669032	5669040	5669041	5669046	5669048	5669061
Aluminium	µg/L		10	22	22	<10	26	<10	22
Antimoine	µg/L		1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Arsenic	µg/L		1	4	3	1	3	<1	3
Baryum	µg/L		5	18	18	18	19	16	16
Béryllium	µg/L		1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Bismuth	µg/L		1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Cadmium	µg/L		0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Calcium	µg/L		100	219000	223000	149000	213000	133000	201000
Chrome	µg/L		1	2	2	3	2	3	2
Cobalt	µg/L		0.5	5.9	6.0	5.0	5.9	5.1	5.6
Cuivre	µg/L		1	4	4	2	6	2	3
Fer	µg/L		70	163	<70	<70	<70	<70	<70
Lithium	µg/L		1	2	2	1	1	2	1
Magnésium	µg/L		100	64600	65000	6620	64400	7010	59700
Manganèse	µg/L		1	329	274	26	192	22	166
Nickel	µg/L		1	6	6	3	5	4	5
Plomb	µg/L		1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Potassium	µg/L		100	6420	6620	7090	6380	7090	6250
Sélénium	µg/L		1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Sodium	µg/L		200	41100	40800	2890000	40700	3140000	37900
Strontium	µg/L		10	630	625	593	623	575	585
Titane	µg/L		3	<3	<3	<3	<3	<3	<3
Zinc	µg/L		13	<13	<13	<13	47	<13	<13
Silicium	mg/L		2	7	7	4	7	3	7

Commentaires:

						Blanc de	Matériau de			BLANC
Paramètre	Lot	N° éch.	Dup #1	Dup #2	% d'écart	méthode	référence	Inf.	Sup.	FORTIFIÉ
Métaux Extractibles Totaux (bass	e limite)									
Aluminium	5669036	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 10	95%	80%	120%	101%
Antimoine	5669036	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	110%	80%	120%	92%
Arsenic	5669036	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	102%	80%	120%	109%
Baryum	5669036	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 5	107%	80%	120%	101%
Béryllium	5669036	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	115%	80%	120%	104%
Bismuth	5669036	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	NA	80%	120%	91%
Cadmium	5669036	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.5	101%	80%	120%	102%
Calcium	5669036	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 100	98%	80%	120%	100%
Chrome	5669036	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	108%	80%	120%	101%
Cobalt	5669036	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.5	108%	80%	120%	99%
Cuivre	5669036	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	105%	80%	120%	101%
Fer	5669036	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 70	101%	80%	120%	101%
Lithium	5669036	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	NA	80%	120%	108%
Magnésium	5669036	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 100	107%	80%	120%	105%
Manganèse	5669036	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	102%	80%	120%	103%
Nickel	5669036	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	108%	80%	120%	105%
Plomb	5669036	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	105%	80%	120%	99%
Potassium	5669036	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 100	100%	80%	120%	101%
Sélénium	5669036	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	111%	80%	120%	105%
Sodium	5669036	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 200	100%	80%	120%	99%
Strontium	5669036	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 10	98%	80%	120%	94%
Titane	5669036	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 3	NA	80%	120%	109%
Zinc	1	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 13	109%	80%	120%	101%
Silicium	1	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 2	NA	80%	120%	103%
Métaux Extractibles Totaux										
Soufre	1	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.1	NA	80%	120%	93%

Inf.	Sup.	ÉCH. FORTIFIÉ	Inf.	Sup.
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	99%	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%

N° BON DE TRAVAIL:14M873636N° DE PROJET:VM00605J.05.502NOM DU CLIENT:AMEC ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTUREÀ L'ATTENTION DE:Jessica HuzaDATE DE RÉCEPTION:07 août 2014DATE D'ÉCHANTILLONI 05 août 2014DATE DU RAPPORT:18 août 2014

L'INFORMATION DE L'ENSEMBLE:

Nom de feuille de travail Matrice Critères Normes I X01 Eau de surface

X02 Eau de surface

Nom de l'ensemble Métaux Extractibles Totaux Métaux Extractibles Totaux (basse limite)

				SP-TEST3-		TP-TEST2-		OP-TEST1-	
Identification de l'échantillon				F	SP-TEST3	F	TP-TEST2	F	OP-TEST1
Date d'échantillonnage				08-06-2014	08-06-2014	08-06-2014	08-06-2014	08-05-2014	08-05-2014
Paramètre	Unités	C/N	LDR	5669311	5669327	5669333	5669334	5669336	5669337
Soufre	mg/L		0.1	325	325	250	243	318	304

Commentaires: LDR - Limite de détection rapportée; C / N - Critères Normes

Métaux Extractibles Totaux (basse limite)

				SP-TEST3-		TP-TEST2-		OP-TEST1-	
Identification de l'échantillon				F	SP-TEST3	F	TP-TEST2	F	OP-TEST1
Date d'échantillonnage				08-06-2014	08-06-2014	08-06-2014	08-06-2014	08-05-2014	08-05-2014
Paramètre	Unités	C/N	LDR	5669311	5669327	5669333	5669334	5669336	5669337
Aluminium	µg/L		10	24	23	71	98	110	110
Antimoine	µg/L		1	<1	<1	39	38	3	3
Arsenic	µg/L		1	4	6	52	80	4	5
Baryum	µg/L		5	18	18	8	9	7	7
Béryllium	µg/L		1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Bismuth	ug/L		1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Cadmium	µg/L		0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Calcium	µg/L		100	217000	217000	210000	213000	223000	231000
Chrome	µg/L		1	2	2	<1	<1	1	1
Cobalt	ug/L		0.5	6.4	6.2	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Cuivre	µg/L		1	5	5	13	18	3	3
Fer	µg/L		70	<70	139	<70	181	<70	<70
Lithium	µg/L		1	1	1	9	10	10	10
Magnésium	µg/L		100	63900	63400	46700	47600	77200	77800
Manganèse	µg/L		1	386	412	22	71	3	3
Nickel	µg/L		1	7	7	7	7	5	5
Plomb	µg/L		1	<1	<1	<1	4	<1	<1
Potassium	µg/L		100	6420	6210	12500	12400	3090	3030
Sélénium	µg/L		1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Sodium	µg/L		200	40200	39700	17100	16600	9090	8840
Strontium	µg/L		10	635	647	529	517	731	732
Titane	µg/L		3	<3	<3	<3	<3	<3	<3
Zinc	µg/L		3	25	14	23	44	12	18
Silicium	mg/L		2	7	7	<2	<2	3	3

Commentaires:

						Blanc de	Matériau de			BLANC
Paramètre	Lot	N° éch.	Dup #1	Dup #2	% d'écart	méthode	référence	Inf.	Sup.	FORTIFIÉ
Métaux Extractibles Totaux (bass	e limite)									
Aluminium	5669036	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 10	95%	80%	120%	101%
Antimoine	5669036	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	110%	80%	120%	92%
Arsenic	5669036	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	102%	80%	120%	109%
Baryum	5669036	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 5	107%	80%	120%	101%
Béryllium	5669036	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	115%	80%	120%	104%
Bismuth	5669036	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	NA	80%	120%	91%
Cadmium	5669036	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.5	101%	80%	120%	102%
Calcium	5669036	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 100	98%	80%	120%	100%
Chrome	5669036	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	108%	80%	120%	101%
Cobalt	5669036	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.5	108%	80%	120%	99%
Cuivre	5669036	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	105%	80%	120%	101%
Fer	5669036	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 70	101%	80%	120%	101%
Lithium	5669036	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	NA	80%	120%	108%
Magnésium	5669036	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 100	107%	80%	120%	105%
Manganèse	5669036	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	102%	80%	120%	103%
Nickel	5669036	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	108%	80%	120%	105%
Plomb	5669036	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	105%	80%	120%	99%
Potassium	5669036	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 100	100%	80%	120%	101%
Sélénium	5669036	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	111%	80%	120%	105%
Sodium	5669036	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 200	100%	80%	120%	99%
Strontium	5669036	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 10	98%	80%	120%	94%
Titane	5669036	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 3	NA	80%	120%	109%
Zinc	5669036	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 3	109%	80%	120%	101%
Silicium	1	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 2	NA	80%	120%	103%
Métaux Extractibles Totaux										
Soufre	1	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.1	NA	80%	120%	94%

Inf.	Sup.	ÉCH. FORTIFIÉ	Inf.	Sup.
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%

N° BON DE TRAVAIL:14M875048N° DE PROJET:AMEC ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTUREÀ L'ATTENTION DE:Jessica HuzaDATE DE RÉCEPTION:12 août 2014DATE D'ÉCHANTILLONI 12 août 201415 août 2014

L'INFORMATION DE L'ENSEMBLE:

Nom de feuille de travail Matrice Critères Normes

X01 Eau usée

Analys

Nom de l'ensemble Analyses Inorganiques

Analyses Inorganiques

Identification de l'échantillon Date d'échantillonnage Paramètre Cyanures totaux	Unités mg/L - CN	C/N	LDR 0.005	TP- PWBLEND 08-12-2014 5681946 0.387	TP- PWBLEND- test 7 08-12-2014 5681963 0.380
Commentaires:	LDR - Limi	te de détec	tion rapport	ée; C / N -	Critères Normes

Paramètre	Lot	N° éch.	Dup #1	Dup #2	% d'écart	Blanc de méthode	Matériau de référence	Inf.	Sup.	BLANC FORTIFIÉ
Analyses Inorganiques Cyanures totaux	1	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.005	97%	80%	120%	109%

Inf.	Sup.	ÉCH. FORTIFIÉ	Inf.	Sup.
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%

N° BON DE TRAVAIL:14M875285N° DE PROJET:AMEC ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTUREÀ L'ATTENTION DE:Jessica HuzaDATE DE RÉCEPTION:08 août 2014DATE D'ÉCHANTILLONI 08 août 201408 août 2014DATE DU RAPPORT:18 août 2014

L'INFORMATION DE L'ENSEMBLE:

Nom de feuille de travail Matrice Critères Normes X01 Eau de surface

X02 Eau de surface

Nom de l'ensemble Métaux Extractibles Totaux Métaux Extractibles Totaux (basse limite)

				SP-	SP-TEST6-	
Identification de l'échantillon	TEST6	F				
Date d'échantillonnage				08-08-20 ⁻	1408-08-2014	
Paramètre	Unités	C/N	LDR	5683831	5683846	
Soufre	mg/L		0.1	300	309	
Commentaires:	LDR - Lir	nite de dét	ection rapp	ortée; C / N	V - Critères Normes	3

Métaux Extractibles Totaux (basse limite)

Identification de l'échantillon				SP-TEST6	SP-TEST6-F
Date d'échantillonnage				08-08-2014	08-08-2014
Paramètre	Unités	C / N	LDR	5683831	5683846
Aluminium	µg/L		10	21	19
Antimoine	µg/L		1	<1	<1
Arsenic	µg/L		1	3	2
Baryum	µg/L		5	18	17
Béryllium	µg/L		1	<1	<1
Bismuth	µg/L		1	<1	<1
Cadmium	µg/L		0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Calcium	µg/L		100	208000	210000
Chrome	µg/L		1	2	2
Cobalt	µg/L		0.5	4.4	4.3
Cuivre	µg/L		1	560	254
Fer	µg/L		70	<70	<70
Lithium	µg/L		1	1	1
Magnésium	µg/L		100	61700	64500
Manganèse	µg/L		1	152	123
Nickel	µg/L		1	4	4
Plomb	µg/L		1	<1	<1
Potassium	µg/L		100	6300	6360
Sélénium	µg/L		1	<1	<1
Sodium	µg/L		200	41100	42100
Strontium	µg/L		10	596	592
Titane	µg/L		3	<3	<3
Zinc	µg/L		3	4	7
Silicium	mg/L		2	7	7

Commentaires:

						Blanc de	Matériau de			BLANC
Paramètre	Lot	N° éch.	Dup #1	Dup #2	% d'écart	méthode	référence	Inf.	Sup.	FORTIFIÉ
Métaux Extractibles Totaux (bass	e limite)									
Aluminium	5682616	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 10	103%	80%	120%	110%
Antimoine	5682616	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	118%	80%	120%	92%
Arsenic	5682616	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	108%	80%	120%	105%
Baryum	5682616	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 5	109%	80%	120%	94%
Béryllium	5682616	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	108%	80%	120%	107%
Bismuth	5682616	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	NA	80%	120%	95%
Cadmium	5682616	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.5	102%	80%	120%	103%
Calcium	5682616	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 100	99%	80%	120%	94%
Chrome	5682616	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	110%	80%	120%	92%
Cobalt	5682616	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.5	107%	80%	120%	97%
Cuivre	5682616	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	100%	80%	120%	104%
Fer	5682616	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 70	97%	80%	120%	86%
Lithium	5682616	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	NA	80%	120%	112%
Magnésium	5682616	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 100	100%	80%	120%	108%
Manganèse	5682616	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	102%	80%	120%	96%
Nickel	5682616	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	104%	80%	120%	99%
Plomb	5682616	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	102%	80%	120%	99%
Potassium	5682616	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 100	97%	80%	120%	95%
Sélénium	5682616	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	105%	80%	120%	100%
Sodium	5682616	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 200	103%	80%	120%	107%
Strontium	5682616	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 10	101%	80%	120%	94%
Titane	5682616	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 3	NA	80%	120%	96%
Zinc	5682616	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 3	104%	80%	120%	108%
Silicium	1	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 2	NA	80%	120%	105%
Métaux Extractibles Totaux										
Soufre	1	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.1	NA	80%	120%	89%

l f	0	ÉCH.	la f	C
Int.	Sup.	FORTIFIE	Int.	Sup.
80%	120%	118%	80%	120%
80%	120%	114%	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	107%	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	105%	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	97%	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	113%	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%

N° BON DE TRAVAIL:14M876191N° DE PROJET:VM00605JNOM DU CLIENT:AMEC ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTUREÀ L'ATTENTION DE:Jessica HuzaDATE DE RÉCEPTION:12 août 2014DATE D'ÉCHANTILLONI 30 juil. 201430 juil. 2014DATE DU RAPPORT:21 août 2014

L'INFORMATION DE L'ENSEMBLE:

X01

Nom de feuille de travail Matrice Critères Normes

Eau usée

Nom de l'ensemble Métaux Extractibles Totaux

PWBLEND- PWBLEND-	
Identification de l'échantillon TEST7 F-TEST7 OP SP TP TP-PW-02 TP-PW-03 TP-P	PW-04
Date d'échantillonnage 08-12-2014 08-12-2014 07-30-	30-2014
Parametre Unites C / N LDR 5692982 5692987 5692988 5692989 5692990 5692991 5692993 5692	2994
Aluminium mg/L 0.04 <0.04 <0.04 <0.04 <0.04 0.06 <0.04 <0.04 <0.04)4
Antimoine mg/L 0.02 0.18 0.17 <0.02 <0.02 0.04 <0.02 0.53 0.41	1
Argent mg/L 0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.020 <0.02)20
Arsenic mg/L 0.05 1.10 1.00 <0.05 0.06 0.10 17.3 0.84 3.63	3
Baryum mg/L 0.5 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5	5
Béryllium mg/L 0.5 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5	5
Bismuth mg/L 0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01)1
Bore mg/L 5 <5 <5 <5 <5 <5 <5 <5 <5	
Cadmium mg/L 0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01)1
Calcium mg/L 0.5 479 482 246 268 187 460 468 472	
Chrome mg/L 0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01)1
Cobalt mg/L 0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01)1
Cuivre mg/L 0.1 0.2 0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1	i i
Étain mg/L 0.5 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5	5
Fer mg/L 0.1 0.1 <0.1 7.5 0.2 34.1 0.2 <0.1	i i
Lithium mg/L 0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1	l i
Magnésium mg/L 0.5 121 122 76.6 67.1 46.2 108 84.2 139	
Manganèse mg/L 0.01 6.03 5.83 0.02 6.35 0.08 27.7 52.7 4.22	2
Molybdène mg/L 0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01)1
Nickel mg/L 0.01 0.01 0.02 <0.01 0.01 <0.01 0.02 0.02 0.02	2
Plomb mg/L 0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05)5
Potassium mg/L 0.5 45.8 47.9 2.9 6.0 12.0 61.3 16.0 35.0)
Sélénium mg/L 0.15 <0.15 <0.15 <0.15 <0.15 <0.15 <0.15 <0.15 <0.15 <0.15 <0.15	15
Silicium mg/L 2 7 6 3 7 <2 7 17 11	
Sodium mg/L 1.0 61.6 62.0 10.7 39.4 17.3 69.1 23.3 44.4	ł
Soufre mg/L 0.1 604 684 309 319 245 700 588 664	
Strontium mg/L 0.01 1.03 0.99 0.79 0.77 0.49 0.85 1.43 1.23	3
Thallium mg/L 1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1 <1	
Titane mg/L 0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1	1
Uranium mg/L 0.3 <0.3 <0.3 <0.3 <0.3 <0.3 <0.3 <0.3	3
Vanadium mg/L 0.5 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5	5
Zinc mg/L 0.02 <0.02 <0.02 0.03 0.06 0.42 0.02 0.07	7

Commentaires:

						Blanc de	Matériau de			BLANC
Paramètre	Lot	N° éch.	Dup #1	Dup #2	% d'écart	méthode	référence	Inf.	Sup.	FORTIFIÉ
Métaux Extractibles Totaux										
Aluminium	5683861	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.04	96%	80%	120%	108%
Antimoine	5683861	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.02	110%	80%	120%	96%
Argent	5683861	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.020	NA	80%	120%	101%
Arsenic	5683861	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.05	104%	80%	120%	106%
Baryum	5683861	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.5	101%	80%	120%	99%
Béryllium	5683861	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.5	107%	80%	120%	100%
Bismuth	5683861	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.01	NA	80%	120%	100%
Bore	5683861	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 5	100%	80%	120%	116%
Cadmium	5683861	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.01	103%	80%	120%	101%
Calcium	5683861	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.5	93%	80%	120%	100%
Chrome	5683861	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.01	100%	80%	120%	103%
Cobalt	5683861	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.01	97%	80%	120%	102%
Cuivre	5683861	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.1	105%	80%	120%	102%
Étain	5683861	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.5	NA	80%	120%	100%
Fer	5683861	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.1	97%	80%	120%	99%
Lithium	5683861	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.1	NA	80%	120%	103%
Magnésium	5683861	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.5	106%	80%	120%	101%
Manganèse	5683861	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.01	94%	80%	120%	100%
Molybdène	5683861	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.01	99%	80%	120%	100%
Nickel	5683861	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.01	105%	80%	120%	99%
Plomb	5683861	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.05	103%	80%	120%	104%
Potassium	5683861	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.5	95%	80%	120%	97%
Sélénium	5683861	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.15	100%	80%	120%	105%
Silicium	1	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 2	NA	80%	120%	103%
Sodium	5683861	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1.0	105%	80%	120%	105%
Soufre	1	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.1	NA	80%	120%	95%
Strontium	5683861	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.01	91%	80%	120%	95%
Thallium	5683861	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	100%	80%	120%	103%
Titane	5683861	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.1	NA	80%	120%	100%
Uranium	5683861	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.3	97%	80%	120%	99%
Vanadium	5683861	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.5	102%	80%	120%	107%
Zinc	5683861	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.02	109%	80%	120%	100%

l f	Court	ÉCH.	la f	Sup.	
Int.	Sup.	FURTIFIE	Inf.	Sup.	
80%	120%	ΝΔ	80%	120%	
80%	120%	92%	80%	120%	
80%	120%	99%	80%	120%	
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%	
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%	
80%	120%	115%	80%	120%	
80%	120%	87%	80%	120%	
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%	
80%	120%	116%	80%	120%	
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%	
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%	
80%	120%	94%	80%	120%	
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%	
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%	
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%	
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%	
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%	
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%	
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%	
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%	
80%	120%	91%	80%	120%	
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%	
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%	
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%	
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%	
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%	
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%	
80%	120%	95%	80%	120%	
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%	
80%	120%	97%	80%	120%	
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%	
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%	

N° BON DE TRAVAIL:14M876203N° DE PROJET:VM00605J.502.02NOM DU CLIENT:AMEC ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTUREÀ L'ATTENTION DE:Jessica HuzaDATE DE RÉCEPTION:14 août 2014DATE D'ÉCHANTILLONI 13 août 2014DATE DU RAPPORT:19 août 2014

L'INFORMATION DE L'ENSEMBLE:

Nom de feuille de travail Matrice Critères Normes

X01 Eau usé X02 Eau usé

Eau usée Eau usée Nom de l'ensemble Analyses Inorganiques Métaux Extractibles Totaux Analyses Inorganiques

				TP-	TP-	TP-
				PWBLEND-	PWBLEND-	PWBLEND-
Identification de l'échantillon				TEST10	TEST9	TEST8
Date d'échantillonnage				08-13-2014	08-13-2014	08-13-2014
Paramètre	Unités	C/N	LDR	5693045	5693051	5693053
Cyanures totaux	mg/L - CN		0.005	0.415	0.399	1.27

Commentaires: LDR - Limite de détection rapportée; C / N - Critères Normes

				TP-	TP-	TP-	TP-	TP-	TP-
				PWBLEND-	PWBLEND-	PWBLEND-	PWBLEND-	PWBLEND-	PWBLEND-
Identification de l'échantillon				TEST10	F-TEST10	TEST9	F-TEST9	TEST8	F-TEST8
Date d'échantillonnage				08-13-2014	08-13-2014	08-13-2014	08-13-2014	08-13-2014	08-13-2014
Paramètre	Unités	C/N	LDR	5693045	5693047	5693051	5693052	5693053	5693061
Aluminium	mg/L		0.04	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04
Antimoine	mg/L		0.02	0.05	0.04	<0.02	<0.02	0.04	0.06
Argent	mg/L		0.020	<0.020	<0.020	<0.020	<0.020	<0.020	<0.020
Arsenic	mg/L		0.05	0.11	0.08	0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.13
Baryum	mg/L		0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Béryllium	mg/L		0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Bismuth	mg/L		0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Bore	mg/L		5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
Cadmium	mg/L		0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Calcium	mg/L		0.5	532	534	566	573	448	513
Chrome	mg/L		0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Cobalt	mg/L		0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Cuivre	mg/L		0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.1
Étain	mg/L		0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Fer	mg/L		0.1	0.2	<0.1	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Lithium	mg/L		0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Magnésium	mg/L		0.5	110	111	110	113	62.3	110
Manganèse	mg/L		0.01	1.65	1.58	1.62	1.51	0.17	3.76
Molybdène	mg/L		0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.02	<0.01
Nickel	mg/L		0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02
Plomb	mg/L		0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
Potassium	mg/L		0.5	49.7	48.1	49.5	47.8	46.0	48.0
Sélénium	mg/L		0.15	<0.15	<0.15	<0.15	<0.15	<0.15	<0.15
Silicium	mg/L		2	4	4	2	2	<2	4
Sodium	mg/L		1.0	56.8	56.9	59.5	59.6	2940	56.0
Soufre	mg/L		0.1	734	766	781	754	739	744
Strontium	mg/L		0.01	1.11	1.08	1.10	1.09	0.93	1.07
Thallium	mg/L		1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Titane	mg/L		0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Uranium	mg/L		0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3
Vanadium	mg/L		0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Zinc	mg/L		0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02

Commentaires:

						Blanc de	Matériau de			BLANC
Paramètre	Lot	N° éch.	Dup #1	Dup #2	% d'écart	méthode	référence	Inf.	Sup.	FORTIFIÉ
Métaux Extractibles Totaux										
Aluminium	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.04	93%	80%	120%	99%
Antimoine	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.02	113%	80%	120%	97%
Argent	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.020	NA	80%	120%	102%
Arsenic	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.05	104%	80%	120%	107%
Baryum	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.5	102%	80%	120%	101%
Béryllium	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.5	105%	80%	120%	97%
Bismuth	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.01	NA	80%	120%	93%
Bore	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 5	96%	80%	120%	103%
Cadmium	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.01	105%	80%	120%	100%
Calcium	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.5	98%	80%	120%	97%
Chrome	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.01	104%	80%	120%	97%
Cobalt	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.01	106%	80%	120%	101%
Cuivre	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.1	99%	80%	120%	103%
Étain	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.5	NA	80%	120%	100%
Fer	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.1	105%	80%	120%	103%
Lithium	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.1	NA	80%	120%	101%
Magnésium	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.5	97%	80%	120%	101%
Manganèse	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.01	102%	80%	120%	100%
Molybdène	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.01	98%	80%	120%	98%
Nickel	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.01	101%	80%	120%	103%
Plomb	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.05	101%	80%	120%	95%
Potassium	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.5	100%	80%	120%	97%
Sélénium	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.15	108%	80%	120%	113%
Silicium	1	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 2	NA	80%	120%	101%
Sodium	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1.0	101%	80%	120%	98%
Soufre	1	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.1	NA	80%	120%	94%
Strontium	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.01	91%	80%	120%	96%
Thallium	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	103%	80%	120%	98%
Titane	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.1	NA	80%	120%	102%
Uranium	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.3	96%	80%	120%	96%
Vanadium	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.5	103%	80%	120%	99%
Zinc	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.02	105%	80%	120%	103%
Analyses Inorganiques										
Cyanures totaux	1	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.005	86%	80%	120%	113%

Inf.	Sup.	ÉCH. FORTIFIÉ	Inf.	Sup.
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	115%	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	111%	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	116%	80%	120%

N° BON DE TRAVAIL:14M876203N° DE PROJET:VM00605J.502.02NOM DU CLIENT:AMEC ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTUREÀ L'ATTENTION DE:Jessica HuzaDATE DE RÉCEPTION:14 août 2014DATE D'ÉCHANTILLONI 13 août 2014DATE DU RAPPORT:19 août 2014

L'INFORMATION DE L'ENSEMBLE:

Nom de feuille de travail Matrice Critères Normes

X01 Eau usé X02 Eau usé

Eau usée Eau usée Nom de l'ensemble Analyses Inorganiques Métaux Extractibles Totaux Analyses Inorganiques

			TP-PWBLEND-	TP-PWBLEND-	TP-PWBLEND-
Identification de l'échantillon			TEST10	TEST9	TEST8
Date d'échantillonnage			08-13-2014	08-13-2014	08-13-2014
Paramètre	Unités C/N	LDR	5693045	5693051	5693053
Cyanures totaux	mg/L - CN	0.005	0.415	0.399	1.27

Commentaires:

				TP-	TP-	TP-	TP-	TP-	TP-
				PWBLEND-	PWBLEND-	PWBLEND-	PWBLEND-	PWBLEND-	PWBLEND-
Identification de l'échantillon				TEST10	F-TEST10	TEST9	F-TEST9	TEST8	F-TEST8
Date d'échantillonnage				08-13-2014	08-13-2014	08-13-2014	08-13-2014	08-13-2014	08-13-2014
Paramètre	Unités	C/N	LDR	5693045	5693047	5693051	5693052	5693053	5693061
Aluminium	mg/L		0.04	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04
Antimoine	mg/L		0.02	0.05	0.04	<0.02	<0.02	0.04	0.06
Argent	mg/L		0.020	<0.020	<0.020	<0.020	<0.020	<0.020	<0.020
Arsenic	mg/L		0.05	0.11	0.08	0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.13
Baryum	mg/L		0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Béryllium	mg/L		0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Bismuth	mg/L		0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Bore	mg/L		5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
Cadmium	mg/L		0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Calcium	mg/L		0.5	532	534	566	573	448	513
Chrome	mg/L		0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Cobalt	mg/L		0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Cuivre	mg/L		0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.1
Étain	mg/L		0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Fer	mg/L		0.1	0.2	<0.1	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Lithium	mg/L		0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Magnésium	mg/L		0.5	110	111	110	113	62.3	110
Manganèse	mg/L		0.01	1.65	1.58	1.62	1.51	0.17	3.76
Molybdène	mg/L		0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.02	<0.01
Nickel	mg/L		0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02
Plomb	mg/L		0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
Potassium	mg/L		0.5	49.7	48.1	49.5	47.8	46.0	48.0
Sélénium	mg/L		0.15	<0.15	<0.15	<0.15	<0.15	<0.15	<0.15
Silicium	mg/L		2	4	4	2	2	<2	4
Sodium	mg/L		1.0	56.8	56.9	59.5	59.6	2940	56.0
Soufre	mg/L		0.1	734	766	781	754	739	744
Strontium	mg/L		0.01	1.11	1.08	1.10	1.09	0.93	1.07
Thallium	mg/L		1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Titane	mg/L		0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Uranium	mg/L		0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3
Vanadium	mg/L		0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Zinc	mg/L		0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02

Commentaires:

						Blanc de	Matériau de			BLANC
Paramètre	Lot	N° éch.	Dup #1	Dup #2	% d'écart	méthode	référence	Inf.	Sup.	FORTIFIÉ
Métaux Extractibles Totaux										
Aluminium	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.04	93%	80%	120%	99%
Antimoine	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.02	113%	80%	120%	97%
Argent	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.020	NA	80%	120%	102%
Arsenic	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.05	104%	80%	120%	107%
Baryum	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.5	102%	80%	120%	101%
Béryllium	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.5	105%	80%	120%	97%
Bismuth	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.01	NA	80%	120%	93%
Bore	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 5	96%	80%	120%	103%
Cadmium	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.01	105%	80%	120%	100%
Calcium	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.5	98%	80%	120%	97%
Chrome	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.01	104%	80%	120%	97%
Cobalt	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.01	106%	80%	120%	101%
Cuivre	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.1	99%	80%	120%	103%
Étain	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.5	NA	80%	120%	100%
Fer	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.1	105%	80%	120%	103%
Lithium	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.1	NA	80%	120%	101%
Magnésium	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.5	97%	80%	120%	101%
Manganèse	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.01	102%	80%	120%	100%
Molybdène	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.01	98%	80%	120%	98%
Nickel	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.01	101%	80%	120%	103%
Plomb	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.05	101%	80%	120%	95%
Potassium	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.5	100%	80%	120%	97%
Sélénium	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.15	108%	80%	120%	113%
Silicium	1	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 2	NA	80%	120%	101%
Sodium	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1.0	101%	80%	120%	98%
Soufre	1	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.1	NA	80%	120%	94%
Strontium	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.01	91%	80%	120%	96%
Thallium	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	103%	80%	120%	98%
Titane	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.1	NA	80%	120%	102%
Uranium	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.3	96%	80%	120%	96%
Vanadium	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.5	103%	80%	120%	99%
Zinc	5694879	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.02	105%	80%	120%	103%
Analyses Inorganiques										
Cyanures totaux	1	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.005	86%	80%	120%	113%

Inf.	Sup.	ÉCH. FORTIFIÉ	Inf.	Sup.
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	115%	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	111%	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	116%	80%	120%

N° BON DE TRAVAIL:14M878079N° DE PROJET:VM00605J.502.02NOM DU CLIENT:AMEC ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTUREÀ L'ATTENTION DE:Jessica HuzaDATE DE RÉCEPTION:15 août 2014DATE D'ÉCHANTILLONI 15 août 2014DATE DU RAPPORT:25 août 2014

L'INFORMATION DE L'ENSEMBLE:

Nom de feuille de tr	avail Matrice	Critères Normes	Nom de l'ensemble
X01	Eau usée		Analyses Inorganiques
X02	Eau usée		Métaux Extractibles Totaux (basse limite)

Analyses Inorganiques

Identification de l'échantillon Date d'échantillonnage Paramètre Cyanures totaux	Unités mg/L - CN	C/N	LDR 0.005	TP- PWBLEND- TEST11 08-15-2014 5711267 0.392
Commentaires:	LDR - Limi	te de déteo	ction rapport	ée; C / N - Critères Normes

Métaux Extractibles Totaux (basse limite)

				TP- PWBLEND-	TP- PWBLEND-
Identification de l'échantillon				TEST11	F-TEST11
Date d'echantilionnage	Unitáo	C / N	חחו	08-15-2014	08-15-2014
Aluminium	Unites			5/1120/ <10	5711200
Antimaina	µg/∟ ug/l		10	<10	<10
Anumoine	µg/L		1	13	12
Arsenic	µg/L			40	21
Baryum	µg/L		5	5	5
Beryllium	µg/L		1	<1	<1
Bismuth	µg/L		1	<1	<1
Cadmium	µg/L		0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Calcium	µg/L		100	577000	582000
Chrome	µg/L		1	<1	<1
Cobalt	µg/L		0.5	1.0	0.9
Cuivre	µg/L		1	3	3
Fer	µg/L		70	131	<70
Lithium	µg/L		1	14	15
Magnésium	µg/L		100	107000	111000
Manganèse	µg/L		1	821	762
Nickel	µg/L		1	11	11
Plomb	µg/L		1	<1	<1
Potassium	µg/L		100	46300	44500
Sélénium	µg/L		1	<1	<1
Sodium	µg/L		200	55500	56200
Strontium	µg/L		10	1160	1120
Titane	µg/L		3	<3	<3
Zinc	µg/L		3	4	6
Silicium	mg/L		2	<2	<2
Soufre	mg/L		0.1	800	789
	0				

Commentaires:

						Blanc de	Matériau de			BLANC
Paramètre	Lot	N° éch.	Dup #1	Dup #2	% d'écart	méthode	référence	Inf.	Sup.	FORTIFIÉ
Métaux Extractibles Totaux (ba	sse limite)									
Aluminium	5708788	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 10	100%	80%	120%	94%
Antimoine	5708788	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	109%	80%	120%	93%
Arsenic	5708788	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	104%	80%	120%	103%
Baryum	5708788	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 5	97%	80%	120%	92%
Béryllium	5708788	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	108%	80%	120%	100%
Bismuth	5708788	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	NA	80%	120%	95%
Cadmium	5708788	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.5	99%	80%	120%	97%
Calcium	5708788	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 100	96%	80%	120%	99%
Chrome	5708788	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	102%	80%	120%	102%
Cobalt	5708788	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.5	104%	80%	120%	108%
Cuivre	5708788	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	102%	80%	120%	98%
Fer	5708788	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 70	101%	80%	120%	106%
Lithium	5708788	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	NA	80%	120%	108%
Magnésium	5708788	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 100	99%	80%	120%	106%
Manganèse	5708788	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	100%	80%	120%	101%
Nickel	5708788	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	102%	80%	120%	100%
Plomb	5708788	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	101%	80%	120%	98%
Potassium	5708788	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 100	97%	80%	120%	100%
Sélénium	5708788	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	98%	80%	120%	100%
Sodium	5708788	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 200	99%	80%	120%	100%
Strontium	5708788	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 10	96%	80%	120%	101%
Titane	5708788	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 3	NA	80%	120%	101%
Zinc	5708788	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 3	102%	80%	120%	93%
Silicium	1	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 2	NA	80%	120%	100%
Soufre	1	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.1	NA	80%	120%	95%
Analyses Inorganiques										
Cyanures totaux	1	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.005	94%	80%	120%	110%

Inf.	Sup.	ÉCH. FORTIFIÉ	Inf.	Sup.
	·			•
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	118%	80%	120%

N° BON DE TRAVAIL:14M884028N° DE PROJET:VM006051-502-02NOM DU CLIENT:AMEC ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTUREÀ L'ATTENTION DE:Jessica HuzaDATE DE RÉCEPTION:03 sept. 2014DATE DU RAPPORT:VM003 sept. 2014

L'INFORMATION DE L'ENSEMBLE:

Nom de feuille de travail Matrice Critères Normes

Nom de l'ensemble

X01Eau uséeX02Eau uséeQC PTC (ES cons.)X03Eau usée

Analyses Inorganiques Métaux Dissous Métaux Extractibles Totaux Analyses Inorganiques

Identification de l'échantillon Date d'échantillonnage Paramètre	Unitás	C / N	ם ח	TEST12- BEFORE 09-03-2014 5767208	TEST12- AFTER 09-03-2014 5767227
Falamette	Unites	C/N	LDK	5/0/200	5/0/22/
Cyanures totaux	mg/L - CN		0.005	0.139	0.142
Azote ammoniacal	mg/L - N		0.05		4.22
Commentaires:	LDR - Lim	ite de dét	ection rappo	rtée; C / N -	Critères Normes

Métaux Dissous

				TEST12-	TEST12-
Identification de l'échantillon				BEFORE-F	AFTER-F
Date d'échantillonnage				09-03-2014	09-03-2014
Paramètre	Unités	C/N	LDR	5767226	5767228
Aluminium dissous	µg/L		10	<10	23
Antimoine dissous	µg/L	6	1	107	51
Arsenic dissous	µg/L	25	1	314	6
Baryum dissous	µg/L	1000	1	7	6
Bismuth dissous	µg/L		1	<1	<1
Béryllium dissous	µg/L		0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Cadmium dissous	µg/L	5	0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Calcium dissous	µg/L		100	298000	344000
Chrome dissous	µg/L	50	1	<1	<1
Cobalt dissous	µg/L		0.5	2.4	0.6
Cuivre dissous	µg/L	1000	1	7	4
Fer dissous	µg/L		70	<70	<70
Lithium dissous	µg/L		1	11	11
Magnésium dissous	µg/L		100	76500	77900
Manganèse dissous	µg/L	50	1	8550	2570
Nickel dissous	µg/L	20	1	8	9
Plomb dissous	µg/L	10	1	<1	<1
Potassium dissous	µg/L		100	30000	32100
Sélénium dissous	µg/L	10	1	<1	<1
Sodium dissous	µg/L	200 000	200	37000	37700
Soufre dissous	mg/L		0.1	698	722
Strontium dissous	µg/L		10	825	804
Thallium dissous	µg/L		1	<1	<1
Zinc dissous	µg/L	5000	3	81	4
Silicium dissous	mg/L		2	4	2

Commentaires:

				TEST12-	TEST12-
Identification de l'échantillon				BEFORE	AFTER
Date d'échantillonnage				09-03-2014	09-03-2014
Paramètre	Unités	C/N	LDR	5767208	5767227
Aluminium	mg/L		0.04	<0.04	<0.04
Antimoine	mg/L		0.02	0.12	0.06
Arsenic	mg/L		0.05	4.09	<0.05
Baryum	mg/L		0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Béryllium	mg/L		0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Bismuth	mg/L		0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Cadmium	mg/L		0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Calcium	mg/L		0.5	334	348
Chrome	mg/L		0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Cobalt	mg/L		0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Cuivre	mg/L		0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Fer	mg/L		0.1	6.6	<0.1
Lithium	mg/L		0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Magnésium	mg/L		0.5	79.2	78.6
Manganèse	mg/L		0.01	9.17	2.64
Nickel	mg/L		0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Plomb	mg/L		0.05	<0.05	<0.05
Potassium	mg/L		0.5	29.4	30.5
Sélénium	mg/L		0.15	<0.15	<0.15
Silicium	mg/L		2	5	3
Sodium	mg/L		1.0	37.0	37.5
Strontium	mg/L		0.01	0.81	0.80
Thallium	mg/L		1	<1	<1
Zinc	mg/L		0.02	0.13	<0.02
Soufre	mg/L		0.1	610	738

Commentaires:

	_					Blanc de	Matériau de		-	BLANC
Paramètre	Lot	N° éch.	Dup #1	Dup #2	% d'écart	méthode	référence	Inf.	Sup.	FORTIFIE
Métaux Extractibles Totaux										
Aluminium	5764025	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.04	89%	80%	120%	109%
Antimoine	5764025	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.02	112%	80%	120%	95%
Arsenic	5764025	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.05	102%	80%	120%	104%
Barvum	5764025	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.5	104%	80%	120%	94%
Béryllium	5764025	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.5	120%	80%	120%	115%
Bismuth	5764025	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.01	NA	80%	120%	97%
Cadmium	5764025	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.01	105%	80%	120%	99%
Calcium	5764025	ΝΔ	ΝΔ	ΝΔ	0.0%	< 0.5	99%	80%	120%	99%
Chrome	5764025	ΝΔ	ΝΔ	ΝΑ	0.0%	< 0.01	101%	80%	120%	Q1%
Cobalt	5764025				0.0%	< 0.01	101%	80%	120%	9170
Cuivro	5764025				0.0%	< 0.01	00%	80%	120%	9370
Culvie	5764025				0.0%	< 0.1	9970	80%	120%	9376
	5764025				0.0%	< 0.1	0970	00% 80%	120%	9470
	5764025				0.0%	< 0.1	INA 4000/	80%	120%	103%
Magnesium	5764025	NA	NA NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.5	108%	80%	120%	95%
Manganese	5764025	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.01	92%	80%	120%	107%
Nickel	5764025	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.01	100%	80%	120%	91%
Plomb	5764025	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.05	104%	80%	120%	101%
Potassium	5764025	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.5	98%	80%	120%	95%
Sélénium	5764025	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.15	111%	80%	120%	102%
Silicium	1	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 2	NA	80%	120%	102%
Sodium	5764025	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1.0	108%	80%	120%	94%
Strontium	5764025	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.01	88%	80%	120%	100%
Thallium	5764025	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	103%	80%	120%	99%
Zinc	5764025	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.02	109%	80%	120%	106%
Soufre	1	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.1	NA	80%	120%	90%
Analyses Inerganiques										
Cycourses totoux	1	ΝΙΔ	ΝΙΔ	ΝΙΔ	0.0%	< 0.005	1100/	000/	1209/	1100/
Cyanures totaux	I	INA	INA	INA	0.0%	< 0.005	119%	80%	120%	11070
Analyzaa Inorganiguaa										
Analyses inorganiques	4	NIA	NIA	NIA	0.00/	. 0.05	4070/	000/	4000/	070/
Azote ammoniacai	1	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.05	107%	80%	120%	97%
Métaux Dissous										
Aluminium dissous	5770675	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 10	100%	80%	120%	95%
Antimoine dissous	5770675	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	101%	80%	120%	91%
Arsenic dissous	5770675	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	108%	80%	120%	101%
Barvum dissous	5770675	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	87%	80%	120%	93%
Bismuth dissous	5770675	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	NA	80%	120%	88%
Béryllium dissous	5770675	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.5	117%	80%	120%	NA
Cadmium dissous	5770675	NA	NA	ΝΔ	0.0%	< 0.5	101%	80%	120%	96%
Calcium dissous	5770675	NA	ΝΔ	NΔ	0.0%	< 100	100%	80%	120%	98%
Chrome dissous	5770675	ΝΔ	ΝΔ	ΝΔ	0.0%	< 1	104%	80%	120%	100%
Cobalt dissous	5770675			NA	0.0%	< 0.5	1110/	80%	120%	102%
	5770675				0.0%	< 0.5	08%	80%	120%	06%
	5770675				0.0%	< 70	9070	80%	120%	90%
	5770675				0.0%	< 70	101%	80%	120%	103%
	5770675				0.0%	< 1	108%	80%	120%	109%
Magnesium dissous	5770675	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 100	108%	80%	120%	108%
Manganese dissous	5770675	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	106%	80%	120%	100%
Nickel dissous	5770675	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	99%	80%	120%	96%
Plomb dissous	5770675	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	98%	80%	120%	94%
Potassium dissous	5770675	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 100	109%	80%	120%	109%
Sélénium dissous	5770675	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	112%	80%	120%	101%
Sodium dissous	5770675	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 200	104%	80%	120%	107%
Soufre dissous	1	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.1	NA	80%	120%	84%
Strontium dissous	5770675	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 10	103%	80%	120%	101%
Thallium dissous	5770675	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	100%	80%	120%	95%
Zinc dissous	5770675	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 3	105%	80%	120%	98%
Silicium dissous	1	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 2	NA	80%	120%	108%

Inf.	Sup.	ÉCH. FORTIFIÉ	Inf.	Sup.
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	117%	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	94%	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	99%	80%	120%
80%	120%	95%	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	95%	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	80%	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	104%	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	ΝΔ	80%	120%
0078	12076		0078	12070
80%	120%	103%	80%	120%
80%	120%	106%	80%	120%
80%	120%	103%	80%	120%
80%	120%	106%	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	110%	80%	120%
80%	120%	104%	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	ΝΔ	80%	120%
80%	120%	111%	80%	120%
80%	120%	96%	80%	120%
80%	120%	88%	80%	12070
80%	12070	NΔ	80%	120/0
800/0 800/	120%		00% 800/	120%
00%	120%		00%	120%
0U%	120%		0U%	120%
00%	120%		00%	120%
0U%	120%	INA 40.40/	80% 000/	120%
0U%	120%	104%	80%	120%
00%	120%		00%	120%
00 /0	120%	INA	0070	120%
CERTIFICAT D'ANALYSE

N° BON DE TRAVAIL:14M885701N° DE PROJET:VM006065J-502-02NOM DU CLIENT:AMEC ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTUREÀ L'ATTENTION DE:Jessica HuzaDATE DE RÉCEPTION:04 sept. 2014DATE DU RAPPORT:VM00 avr. 2014

L'INFORMATION DE L'ENSEMBLE:

Nom de feuille de travail Matrice Critères Normes

Nom de l'ensemble

X01Eau uséeX02Eau uséeQC PTC (ES cons.)X03Eau usée

Analyses Inorganiques Métaux Dissous Métaux Extractibles Totaux (basse limite) Analyses Inorganiques

Identification de l'échantillon Date d'échantillonnage Paramètre	Unités C / I	N LDR	TEST 13- BEFORE 04-09-2014 5781227	TEST 13- AFTER 04-09-2014 5781413
Cyanures totaux	mg/L - CN	0.005	0.011	0.011
Azote ammoniacal	mg/L - N	0.02		2.20
Commentaires:	LDR - Limite de	détection rappor	tée; C / N -	Critères Normes

Métaux Dissous

				TEST 13-	TEST 13-
Identification de l'échantillon				BEFORE-F	AFTER-F
Date d'échantillonnage				04-09-2014	04-09-2014
Paramètre	Unités	C/N	LDR	5781405	5781422
Aluminium dissous	µg/L		10	<10	<10
Antimoine dissous	µg/L	6	1	2	2
Arsenic dissous	µg/L	25	1	6	1
Baryum dissous	µg/L	1000	1	40	15
Bismuth dissous	µg/L		1	<1	<1
Béryllium dissous	µg/L		0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Cadmium dissous	µg/L	5	0.5	1.1	<0.5
Calcium dissous	µg/L		100	286000	236000
Chrome dissous	µg/L	50	1	<1	2
Cobalt dissous	µg/L		0.5	3.5	2.7
Cuivre dissous	µg/L	1000	1	3	3
Fer dissous	µg/L		70	<70	<70
Lithium dissous	µg/L		1	5	5
Magnésium dissous	µg/L		100	76000	70108
Manganèse dissous	µg/L	50	1	3890	341
Nickel dissous	µg/L	20	1	6	5
Plomb dissous	µg/L	10	1	<1	<1
Potassium dissous	µg/L		100	5100	6320
Sélénium dissous	µg/L	10	1	<1	<1
Sodium dissous	µg/L	200 000	200	26000	25500
Soufre dissous	µg/L			411000	434000
Strontium dissous	µg/L		10	885	726
Thallium dissous	µg/L		1	<1	<1
Zinc dissous	µg/L	5000	3	113	5
Silicium dissous	µg/L		2	4460	2170

Commentaires:

LDR - Limite de détection rapportée; C / N - Critères Normes

Métaux Extractibles Totaux (basse limite)

				TEST 13-	TEST 13-
Identification de l'échantillon				BEFORE	AFTER
Date d'échantillonnage				04-09-2014	04-09-2014
Paramètre	Unités	C / N	LDR	5781227	5781413
Aluminium	µg/L		10	<10	<10
Antimoine	µg/L		1	2	2
Arsenic	µg/L		1	22	1
Baryum	µg/L		5	43	15
Béryllium	µg/L		1	<1	<1
Bismuth	µg/L		1	<1	<1
Cadmium	µg/L		0.5	1.3	<0.5
Calcium	µg/L		100	275000	229000
Chrome	µg/L		1	<1	2
Cobalt	µg/L		0.5	3.7	2.8
Cuivre	µg/L		1	4	3
Fer	µg/L		70	2400	151
Lithium	µg/L		1	5	6
Magnésium	µg/L		100	70100	67700
Manganèse	µg/L		1	3680	347
Nickel	µg/L		1	6	6
Plomb	µg/L		1	<1	<1
Potassium	µg/L		100	4840	5940
Sélénium	µg/L		1	<1	<1
Sodium	µg/L		200	24400	25100
Strontium	µg/L		10	891	693
Titane	µg/L		3	<3	<3
Zinc	µg/L		3	135	4
Silicium	mg/L		2	5	2
Soufre	mg/L		0.1	483	494

Commentaires:

LDR - Limite de détection rapportée; C / N - Critères Normes

Paramètre	Lot	N° éch.	Dup #1	Dup #2	% d'écart	Blanc de méthode	Matériau de référence	Inf.	Sup.	BLANC FORTIFIÉ	Inf.	Sup.	ÉCH. FORTIFIÉ	Inf.	Sup.
Analyses Inorganiques			-												
Cyanures totaux	1	5781227	0.011	0.011	0.0%	< 0.005	91%	80%	120%	110%	80%	120%	116%	80%	120%
Analyses Inorganiques															
Azote ammoniacal	1	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.02	97%	80%	120%	94%	80%	120%	91%	80%	120%
Métaux Extractibles Totaux (ba	asse limite)														
Aluminium	5783535	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 10	95%	80%	120%	96%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Antimoine	5783535	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	113%	80%	120%	93%	80%	120%	115%	80%	120%
Arsenic	5783535	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	106%	80%	120%	105%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Baryum	5783535	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 5	104%	80%	120%	97%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Béryllium	5783535	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	117%	80%	120%	100%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Bismuth	5783535			NA	0.0%	< 1	NA 107%	80%	120%	93%	80%	120%	91%	80%	120%
Cadmium	5783535				0.0%	< 0.5	107%	80%	120%	98%	80% 80%	120%		80%	120%
Chrome	5783535	ΝΔ	ΝΑ	ΝΑ	0.0%	< 100	99% 109%	80%	120%	90%	80%	120%	105%	80%	120%
Cobalt	5783535	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.5	111%	80%	120%	96%	80%	120%	106%	80%	120%
Cuivre	5783535	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	107%	80%	120%	97%	80%	120%	97%	80%	120%
Fer	5783535	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 70	111%	80%	120%	101%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Lithium	5783535	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	NA	80%	120%	94%	80%	120%	120%	80%	120%
Magnésium	5783535	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 100	105%	80%	120%	101%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Manganèse	5783535	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	108%	80%	120%	99%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Nickel	5783535	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	108%	80%	120%	94%	80%	120%	100%	80%	120%
Plomb	5783535	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	105%	80%	120%	96%	80%	120%	90%	80%	120%
Potassium	5783535	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 100	99%	80%	120%	97%	80%	120%	NA 1100/	80%	120%
Selenium	5783535				0.0%	< 1	105%	80%	120%	115%	80%	120%	119%	80%	120%
Stroptium	5783535			NA NA	0.0%	< 200	101%	00% 80%	120%	95%	00% 80%	120%	NA NA	80%	120%
Titane	5783535	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 3	NA	80%	120%	99%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Zinc	5783535	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 3	109%	80%	120%	106%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Silicium	1	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 2	NA	80%	120%	96%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Soufre	1	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.1	NA	80%	120%	82%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Metaux Extractibles Totaux (ba			NIA	ΝΙΔ	NIA	- 100	ΝΙΑ	000/	1209/	06%	000/	1209/	ΝΙΔ	909/	1209/
Magnésium	2			ΝΑ	ΝΑ	< 100		80%	120%	90% 101%	80%	120%	ΝΑ	80%	120%
Magnesium Manganèse	2		NA	NA	NA	< 1	NA	80%	120%	107%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Sodium	2		NA	NA	NA	< 200	NA	80%	120%	95%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Métaux Discour															
Aluminium dissous	5777904	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 10	101%	80%	120%	84%	80%	120%	91%	80%	120%
Antimoine dissous	5777904	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	96%	80%	120%	94%	80%	120%	99%	80%	120%
Arsenic dissous	5777904	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	107%	80%	120%	101%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Baryum dissous	5777904	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	84%	80%	120%	93%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Bismuth dissous	5777904	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	NA	80%	120%	92%	80%	120%	82%	80%	120%
Béryllium dissous	5777904	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.5	107%	80%	120%	99%	80%	120%	105%	80%	120%
Cadmium dissous	5777904	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.5	100%	80%	120%	96%	80%	120%	106%	80%	120%
Calcium dissous	5777904	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 100	102%	80%	120%	101%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Chrome dissous	5777904	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	104%	80%	120%	97%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Cobalt dissous	5777904			NA	0.0%	< 0.5	108%	80%	120%	102%	80%	120%	101%	80%	120%
Eer dissous	5777904			NA NA	0.0%	< 70	104%	00% 80%	120%	91%	00% 80%	120%	09% NA	80%	120%
Lithium dissous	5777904	ΝΔ	ΝΑ	ΝΔ	0.0%	< 1	99%	80%	120%	90%	80%	120%	ΝΑ	80%	120%
Magnésium dissous	5777904	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 100	100%	80%	120%	104%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Manganèse dissous	5777904	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	105%	80%	120%	109%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Nickel dissous	5777904	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	104%	80%	120%	89%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Plomb dissous	5777904	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	98%	80%	120%	92%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Potassium dissous	5777904	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 100	103%	80%	120%	116%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Sélénium dissous	5777904	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	109%	80%	120%	108%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Sodium dissous	5777904	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 200	97%	80%	120%	107%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Soutre dissous	1	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	<	NÁ	80%	120%	90%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Strontium dissous	5///904	NA	NA		0.0%	< 10	99%	80%	120%	105%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Thailium dissous	5777004	NA NA		NA NA	0.0%	< 1	101%	00% 80%	I∠U% 120%	94% 92%	00% 80%	120%	90% NA	00% 80%	120%
Silicium dissous	1	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 2	NA	80%	120%	92 /0 91%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
	•	1 1/ 1	1 1/ 1	1 1/ 1	0.070	~ 4	1 1/ 1	0070		01/0	0070		1 1/ 1	0070	12070

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

AGAT WORK ORDER:14V869471PROJECT:Mt. Nansen SI2014CLIENT NAME:AMEC ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTUREATTENTION TO:Jessica HuzzaDATE RECEIVED:Jul 29, 2014DATE SAMPLED:Jul 23, 2014DATE REPORTED:Sep 08, 2014

PACKAGE INFORMATION:

Work Sheet Name

Sample Ty Guideline / Standard Package Name

X01	Water		British Columbia CSR- Schedule 6 Total Metals
X02	Water	QC PTC (ES cons.)	Dissolved Metals
X03	Water		Inorganic Analysis - Mtl
X04	Water		Total Extractable Metals

British Columbia CSR- Schedule 6 Total Metals

Sample Description				Open Pit 07/25/2014
Parameter	Unit	G/S	RDL	5629959
Aluminum Total	µg/L		5	20
Antimony Total	µg/L		0.5	3.2
Arsenic Total	µg/L		0.1	10.3
Barium Total	µg/L		0.5	18.6
Beryllium Total	µg/L		0.05	<0.05
Boron Total	µg/L		5	<5
Cadmium Total	µg/L		0.01	2.17
Calcium Total	µg/L		500	267000
Chromium Total	µg/L		0.5	<0.5
Cobalt Total	µg/L		0.05	<0.05
Copper Total	µg/L		0.5	3.0
Iron Total	µg/L		10	30
Lead Total	µg/L		0.05	0.51
Lithium Total	µg/L		0.5	8.1
Magnesium Total	µg/L		50	77500
Manganese Total	µg/L		1	22
Mercury Total	µg/L		0.01	<0.01
Molybdenum Total	µg/L		0.1	0.2
Nickel Total	µg/L		0.5	<0.5
Selenium Total	µg/L		0.5	<0.5
Silver Total	µg/L		0.02	<0.02
Sodium Total	µg/L		100	11400
Sulphur Total	µg/L		5000	278000
Thallium Total	µg/L		0.02	0.15
Titanium Total	µg/L		1	1
Uranium Total	µg/L		0.01	3.63
Vanadium Total	µg/L		1	<1
Zinc Total	µg/L		5	239
Total Hardness (calc)	ug CaCO3	/L	100	986000
Comments:	RDL - Rep	orted Detec	tion Limit;	G / S - Guideline / Standard

Dissolved Metals

Sample Description				Open Pit	Seepage Pond	Tailings Pond	MW09-02	MW09-03	MW09-04	MW09-07
Date Sampled	11	0/0		07/25/2014	07/24/2014	07/23/2014	07/24/2014	07/23/2014	07/23/2014	07/25/2014
	Unit	G/S		5629959	5629961	5629962	5629963	5629964	5629965	5629966
Aluminium Dissolved	µg/L	0	10	<10	<10	14	<10	<10	<10	51
Antimony Dissolved	µg/L	6	1	3	<1	38	4	541	419	10
Silver Dissolved	µg/L	100	0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	< 0.2	0.6
Arsenic Dissolved	µg/L	25	1	10	56	56	22900	807	3570	488
Barium Dissolved	µg/L	1000	1	18	76	8	8	39	1	21
Boron Dissolved	µg/L		40	<40	62	79	42	92	288	63
Beryllium Dissolved	µg/L	_	0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Cadmium Dissolved	µg/L	5	0.5	2.3	0.5	0.5	<0.5	1.4	<0.5	<0.5
Calcium Dissolved	µg/L		100	277000	285000	192000	457000	464000	479000	277000
Chromium Dissolved	µg/L	50	1	<1	2	<1	<1	<1	<1	2
Cobalt Dissolved	µg/L		0.5	<0.5	7.3	<0.5	9.4	3.3	<0.5	29.6
Copper Dissolved	µg/L	1000	1	3	4	15	4	4	3	14
Iron Dissolved	µg/L		70	<70	7050	<70	49400	242	<70	7310
Lithium Dissolved	µg/L		1	7	1	8	28	<1	4	4
Magnesium Dissolved	µg/L		100	74900	61100	43000	91600	72800	126000	50600
Manganese Dissolved	µg/L	50	1	22	6810	57	35900	55400	4150	26500
Molybdenum Dissolved	µg/L	70	1	<1	<1	1	5	3	8	<1
Nickel Dissolved	µg/L	20	1	3	6	3	8	8	6	28
Lead Dissolved	µg/L	10	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Selenium Dissolved	µg/L	10	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Sodium Dissolved	µg/L	200 000	200	9480	37700	15700	85000	22800	43900	49300
Thallium Dissolved	µg/L		1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Titanium Dissolved	µg/L		3	<3	<3	<3	<3	5	3	4
Uranium Dissolved	µg/L		0.5	3.5	2.1	0.9	<0.5	1.4	<0.5	0.9
Vanadium Dissolved	µg/L		1	<1	2	<1	<1	<1	<1	2
Zinc Dissolved	µg/L	5000	3	332	9	28	384	10	35	611
Comments: 5629959	RDL - Rej Analyses For this sa	ported Dete were perfor ample, the r	ction Limit; med at AGA esults for ce	G / S - Gui T Laboratorio ertain dissolve	deline / Stan es, Montreal. ed metals (Ca	dard a) are higher	than those o	f total metals	. The differe	nce between these results is within
5629961	Analyses For this sa acceptabi	were perfor ample, the r lity.	med at AGA esults for ce	T Laboratorio ertain dissolve	es, Montreal. ed metals (Ca	a, Cr, Mn) are	e higher than	those of tota	al metals. The	e difference between these results
5629962	Analyses For this sa	were perfor ample, the r	med at AGA esults for ce	AT Laboratorio ertain dissolve	es, Montreal. ed metals (Ca	a) are higher	than those o	f total metals	. The differe	nce between these results is within
5629963	Analyses For this sa acceptabi For this sa	were perfor ample, the r lity. ample, the r	med at AGA esults for ce esults for th	AT Laboratorio ertain dissolve e dissolved n	es, Montreal. ed metals (As netal (Cu) is I	s, Ca, Fe) are	e higher than nat of the tota	those of tota al metal. The	al metals. The analyses we	e difference between these results ere done on 2 different bottles subn
5629964	Analyses For this sa acceptabi	were perfor ample, the r lity.	med at AGA esults for ce	T Laboratorio	es, Montreal. ed metals (St	o, As, Ba, Cd	l, Ca, Mn) are	e higher than	those of tota	al metals. The difference between t
5629965	Analyses For this sa acceptabi	were perfor ample, the r lity.	med at AGA esults for ce	AT Laboratorio ertain dissolve	es, Montreal. ed metals (As	s, Ba, B, Ca,	Mn) are high	er than those	e of total met	als. The difference between these
5629966	Analyses For this sa acceptabi For this sa	were perfor ample, the r lity. ample, the r	med at AGA esults for ce esults for th	AT Laboratorio ertain dissolve e dissolved n	es, Montreal. ed metals (Ca netal (Cr) is h	a, Mn, Mg) ai iigher than th	re higher that hat of the tota	n those of tot Il metal. The	al metals. Th analyses we	ne difference between these results re done on 2 different bottles subm

the variability of the method acceptability.

is within the variability of the method

the variability of the method acceptability.

is within the variability of the method

mitted by the client.

these results is within the variability of the method

e results is within the variability of the method

ts is within the variability of the method

mitted by the client.

Inorganic Analysis - Mtl

						Seepage	Seepage	Tailings	Tailings								
Sample Description				Open Pit	Open Pit	Pond	Pond	Pond	Pond	MW09-02	MW09-02	MW09-03	MW09-03	MW09-04	MW09-04	MW09-07	MW09-07
Date Sampled				07/25/2014	07/25/2014	07/24/2014	07/24/2014	07/23/2014	07/23/2014	07/24/2014	07/24/2014	07/23/2014	07/23/2014	07/23/2014	07/23/2014	07/25/2014	07/25/2014
Parameter	Unit	G/S	RDL	5629959	5629959	5629961	5629961	5629962	5629962	5629963	5629963	5629964	5629964	5629965	5629965	5629966	5629966
Ammonia-N	mg/L - N		0.05	<0.05	<0.05	4.84	4.84	<0.05	<0.05	13.4	13.4	1.70	1.70	6.27	6.27	1.60	1.60
Total Cyanide	mg/L - CN		0.005	<0.005	<0.005	1.96	1.96	0.006	0.006	1.08	1.08	0.119	0.119	0.087	0.087	0.017	0.017

Comments:

5629959-5629966

RDL - Reported Detection Limit; G / S - Guideline / Standard Analyses were performed at AGAT Laboratories, Montreal.

Total Extractable Metals

					Seepage	Tailings				
Sample Description				Open Pit	Pond	Pond	MW09-02	MW09-03	MW09-04	MW09-07
Date Sampled				07/25/2014	07/24/2014	07/23/2014	07/24/2014	07/23/2014	07/23/2014	07/25/2014
Parameter	Unit	G/S	RDL	5629959	5629961	5629962	5629963	5629964	5629965	5629966
Aluminium	µg/L		10	<250	31	57	<10	<10	<10	110
Antimony	µg/L		1	<25	<1	38	4	521	421	19
Silver	µg/L		0.2	<5.0	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	2.9
Arsenic	µg/L		1	<25	72	95	21700	805	3530	633
Barium	µg/L		125	<125	78	9	8	37	6	22
Beryllium	µg/L		1	<25	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Boron	µg/L		1000	<1000	73	90	46	99	260	71
Cadmium	µg/L		0.5	<12.5	0.6	0.9	<0.5	1.2	<0.5	2.6
Calcium	µg/L		100	263000	274000	189000	443000	451000	463000	262000
Chromium	µg/L		1	<25	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	1
Cobalt	µg/L		0.5	<12.5	7.6	<0.5	9.7	3.7	<0.5	31.7
Copper	µg/L		1	<25	6	22	3	4	3	48
Iron	µg/L		1750	<1750	9420	255	47500	255	<70	7730
Lithium	µg/L		1	<25	1	9	32	<1	5	4
Magnesium	µg/L		100	78300	66100	46800	96900	74500	132000	50100
Manganese	µg/L		1	38	6730	92	36100	53300	4110	26100
Molybdenum	µg/L		1	<25	1	2	5	3	9	<1
Nickel	µg/L		1	<25	7	3	8	8	6	28
Lead	µg/L		1	<25	<1	6	1	<1	3	5
Selenium	µg/L		1	<25	1	<1	1	<1	<1	1
Sodium	µg/L		200	11000	42200	17300	92300	24100	45600	50500
Thallium	µg/L		1	<25	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Titanium	μg/L		3	<75	5	<3	<3	5	4	7
Uranium	µg/L		0.5	<12.5	2.1	0.9	<0.5	1.4	<0.5	0.9
Vanadium	μg/L		1	<25	2	<1	<1	<1	<1	2
Zinc	μg/L		10	502	16	60	401	17	64	962
Soufre	mg/L		0.1	12	299	230	753	260	684	281

Comments:

RDL - Reported Detection Limit; G / S - Guideline / Standard Analyses were performed at AGAT Laboratories, Montreal.

5629959-5629966

						Method	Reference			Method					
Parameter	Batch	Sample Id	Dup #1	Dup #2	RPD	Blank	Material	Lower	Upper	Blank Spike	Lower	Upper	Matrix Spike	Lower	Upper
		•	•	•						•			•		••
British Columbia CSR- Schedule 6	Total Metals														
Aluminum Total	5629959	5629959	20	19	NA	< 5	100%	85%	115%	103%	85%	115%			
Antimony Total	5629959	5629959	3.2	3.3	0.6%	< 0.5	111%	85%	115%	98%	90%	110%			
Arsenic Total	5629959	5629959	10.3	10.1	2.0%	< 0.1	95%	85%	115%	98%	90%	110%			
Barium Total	5629959	5629959	18.6	18.6	0.1%	< 0.5	106%	85%	115%	101%	90%	110%			
Beryllium Total	5629959	5629959	<0.05	<0.05	0.0%	< 0.05	104%	85%	115%	101%	90%	110%			
Boron Total	5629959	5629959	<5	<5	0.0%	< 5	102%	85%	115%	108%	80%	120%			
Cadmium Total	5629959	5629959	2.17	2.20	1.5%	< 0.01	101%	85%	115%	99%	90%	110%			
Calcium Total	5629959	5629959	267000	267000	0.2%	< 50	104%	85%	115%	101%	90%	110%			
Chromium Total	5629959	5629959	<0.5	<0.5	0.0%	< 0.5	105%	85%	115%	100%	90%	110%			
Cobalt Total	5629959	5629959	<0.05	<0.05	0.0%	< 0.05	110%	85%	115%	98%	90%	110%			
Copper Total	5629959	5629959	3.0	2.7	10.6%	< 0.5	107%	85%	115%	101%	90%	110%			
Iron Total	5629959	5629959	30	29	0.0%	< 10	105%	85%	115%	105%	90%	110%			
Lead Total	5629959	5629959	0.51	0.41	20.0%	< 0.05	101%	85%	115%	96%	90%	110%			
Lithium Total	5629959	5629959	8.1	7.9	1.6%	< 0.5				101%	90%	110%			
Magnesium Total	5629959	5629959	73300	73000	0.4%	< 50	107%	85%	115%	102%	90%	110%			
Manganese Total	5629959	5629959	<10	<10	0.0%	< 1	109%	85%	115%	104%	90%	110%			
Mercury Total	5629959		< 0.01	< 0.01	0.0%	< 0.01	97%	85%	115%	97%	90%	110%			
Molybdenum Total	5629959	5629959	0.2	0.3	NA	< 0.1	104%	85%	115%	101%	90%	110%			
Nickel Total	5629959	5629959	<0.5	<0.5	0.0%	< 0.5	109%	85%	115%	101%	90%	110%			
Selenium Total	5629959	5629959	<0.5	<0.5	0.0%	< 0.5	96%	85%	115%	99%	85%	115%			
Silver Total	5629959	5629959	<0.02	<0.02	0.0%	< 0.02				99%	90%	110%			
Sodium Total	5629959	5629959	11400	11400	0.4%	< 100	106%	85%	115%	109%	90%	110%			
Sulphur Total	5629959	5629959	278000	287000	3.0%	< 500				99%	90%	110%			
Titanium Total	5629959	5629959	1	2	NA	< 1				105%	90%	110%			
Uranium Total	5629959	5629959	3.63	3.70	2.0%	< 0.01	101%	85%	115%	98%	90%	110%			
Vanadium Total	5629959	5629959	<1	<1	0.0%	< 1	103%	85%	115%	99%	90%	110%			
Zinc Total	5629959	5629959	239	233	2.3%	< 5	100%	85%	115%	100%	80%	120%			
Comments:	RPDs are ca	Iculated using ra	aw analytical da	ata and not the	rounded duplica	ate values repo	orted.								
Inorganic Analysis - Mtl															
Total Cyanide	1	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.005	100%	80%	120%	108%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Inorganic Analysis - Mtl															
Ammonia-N	1	5629959	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.0%	< 0.05	103%	80%	120%	103%	80%	120%	99%	80%	120%
Dissolved Metals															
Aluminium Dissolved	5629959	5629959	<10	<10	0.0%	< 10	99%	80%	120%	105%	80%	120%	100%	80%	120%
Antimony Dissolved	5629959	5629959	3	3	0.0%	< 1	100%	80%	120%	100%	80%	120%	108%	80%	120%
Silver Dissolved	5629959	5629959	<0.2	<0.2	0.0%	< 0.2	NA	80%	120%	100%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Arsenic Dissolved	5629959	5629959	10	10	0.0%	< 1	107%	80%	120%	100%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Barium Dissolved	5629959	5629959	18	18	0.0%	< 1	92%	80%	120%	99%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Boron Dissolved	5629959	5629959	<40	<40	0.0%	< 40	105%	80%	120%	100%	80%	120%	112%	80%	120%
Beryllium Dissolved	5629959	5629959	<0.5	<0.5	0.0%	< 0.5	99%	80%	120%	94%	80%	120%	110%	80%	120%
Cadmium Dissolved	5629959	5629959	2.3	2.3	0.0%	< 0.5	105%	80%	120%	101%	80%	120%	120%	80%	120%
Calcium Dissolved	5629959	5629959	277000	277000	0.0%	< 100	101%	80%	120%	99%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Chromium Dissolved	5629959	5629959	<1	<1	0.0%	< 1	98%	80%	120%	96%	80%	120%	104%	80%	120%
Cobalt Dissolved	5629959	5629959	<0.5	<0.5	0.0%	< 0.5	102%	80%	120%	97%	80%	120%	94%	80%	120%
Copper Dissolved	5629959	5629959	3	3	0.0%	< 1	103%	80%	120%	103%	80%	120%	106%	80%	120%
Iron Dissolved	5629959	5629959	<70	<70	0.0%	< 70	102%	80%	120%	100%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Lithium Dissolved	5629959	5629959	7	8	13.3%	< 1	94%	80%	120%	95%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Magnesium Dissolved	5629959	5629959	74900	75500	0.8%	< 100	100%	80%	120%	99%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Manganese Dissolved	5629959	5629959	22	22	0.0%	< 1	98%	80%	120%	96%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Molybdenum Dissolved	5629959	5629959	<1	<1	0.0%	< 1	97%	80%	120%	92%	80%	120%	116%	80%	120%
Nickel Dissolved	5629959	5629959	3	3	0.0%	< 1	105%	80%	120%	98%	80%	120%	106%	80%	120%
Lead Dissolved	5629959	5629959	<1	<1	0.0%	< 1	101%	80%	120%	102%	80%	120%	86%	80%	120%
Selenium Dissolved	5629959	5629959	<1	<1	0.0%	< 1	101%	80%	120%	90%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Sodium Dissolved	5629959	5629959	9480	9460	0.2%	< 200	99%	80%	120%	98%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Thallium Dissolved	5629959	5629959	<1	<1	0.0%	< 1	100%	80%	120%	100%	80%	120%	104%	80%	120%
Titanium Dissolved	5629959	5629959	<3	<3	0.0%	< 3	NA	80%	120%	95%	80%	120%	115%	80%	120%
Uranium Dissolved	5629959	5629959	3.5	3.5	0.0%	< 0.5	101%	80%	120%	104%	80%	120%	108%	80%	120%
Vanadium Dissolved	5629959	5629959	<1	<1	0.0%	< 1	100%	80%	120%	97%	80%	120%	111%	80%	120%
Zinc Dissolved	5629959	5629959	332	294	12.1%	< 3	107%	80%	120%	105%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%

Total Extractable Metals															
Aluminium	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 10	109%	80%	120%	109%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Antimony	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	111%	80%	120%	100%	80%	120%	114%	80%	120%
Silver	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.2	NA	80%	120%	102%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Arsenic	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	107%	80%	120%	99%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Barium	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 5	110%	80%	120%	100%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Beryllium	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	106%	80%	120%	105%	80%	120%	83%	80%	120%
Boron	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 40	110%	80%	120%	113%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Cadmium	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.5	107%	80%	120%	106%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Calcium	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 100	99%	80%	120%	102%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Chromium	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	101%	80%	120%	99%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Cobalt	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.5	105%	80%	120%	104%	80%	120%	113%	80%	120%
Copper	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	108%	80%	120%	101%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Iron	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 70	92%	80%	120%	94%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Lithium	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	NA	80%	120%	116%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Magnesium	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 100	110%	80%	120%	113%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Manganese	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	99%	80%	120%	97%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Molybdenum	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	106%	80%	120%	98%	80%	120%	96%	80%	120%
Nickel	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	117%	80%	120%	107%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Lead	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	105%	80%	120%	103%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Selenium	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	108%	80%	120%	89%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Sodium	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 200	108%	80%	120%	113%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Thallium	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	107%	80%	120%	102%	80%	120%	108%	80%	120%
Titanium	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 3	NA	80%	120%	95%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Uranium	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.5	98%	80%	120%	103%	80%	120%	109%	80%	120%
Vanadium	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	99%	80%	120%	99%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Zinc	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 3	115%	80%	120%	112%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Soufre	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.1	NA	80%	120%	81%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

AGAT WORK ORDER:14V869471PROJECT:Mt. Nansen SI2014CLIENT NAME:AMEC ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTUREATTENTION TO:Jessica HuzzaDATE RECEIVED:Jul 29, 2014DATE SAMPLED:Jul 23, 2014DATE REPORTED:Intervention 100 million

PACKAGE INFORMATION:

Sample Ty Guideline / Standard Package Name Work Sheet Name British Columbia CSR- Schedule 6 Total Metals X01 Water X02 Water QC PTC (ES cons.) **Dissolved Metals** X03 Inorganic Analysis - Mtl Water **Total Extractable Metals** X04 Water

British Columbia CSR- Schedule 6 Total Metals

Sample Description				Open Pit 07/25/2014
Parameter	Unit	G/S	RDL	5629959
Aluminum Total	µg/L		5	20
Antimony Total	µg/L		0.5	3.2
Arsenic Total	µg/L		0.1	10.3
Barium Total	µg/L		0.5	18.6
Beryllium Total	µg/L		0.05	<0.05
Boron Total	µg/L		5	<5
Cadmium Total	µg/L		0.01	2.17
Calcium Total	µg/L		500	267000
Chromium Total	µg/L		0.5	<0.5
Cobalt Total	µg/L		0.05	<0.05
Copper Total	µg/L		0.5	3.0
Iron Total	µg/L		10	30
Lead Total	µg/L		0.05	0.51
Lithium Total	µg/L		0.5	8.1
Magnesium Total	µg/L		50	77500
Manganese Total	µg/L		1	22
Mercury Total	µg/L		0.01	<0.01
Molybdenum Total	µg/L		0.1	0.2
Nickel Total	µg/L		0.5	<0.5
Selenium Total	µg/L		0.5	<0.5
Silver Total	µg/L		0.02	<0.02
Sodium Total	µg/L		100	11400
Sulphur Total	µg/L		5000	278000
Thallium Total	µg/L		0.02	0.15
Titanium Total	µg/L		1	1
Uranium Total	µg/L		0.01	3.63
Vanadium Total	µg/L		1	<1
Zinc Total	µg/L		5	239
Total Hardness (calc)	ug CaCO3	/L	100	986000
Comments:	RDL - Rep	orted Detec	tion Limit;	G / S - Guideline / Standard

Dissolved Metals

					Seepage	Tailings				
Sample Description				Open Pit	Pond	Pond	MW09-02	MW09-03	MW09-04	MW09-07
Date Sampled				07/25/2014	07/24/2014	07/23/2014	07/24/2014	07/23/2014	07/23/2014	07/25/2014
Parameter	Unit	G/S	RDL	5629959	5629961	5629962	5629963	5629964	5629965	5629966
Aluminium Dissolved	μg/L		10	<10	<10	14	<10	<10	<10	51
Antimony Dissolved	μg/L	6	1	3	<1	38	4	541	419	10
Silver Dissolved	μg/L	100	0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	0.6
Arsenic Dissolved	μg/L	25	1	10	56	56	22900	807	3570	488
Barium Dissolved	μg/L	1000	1	18	76	8	8	39	7	21
Boron Dissolved	μg/L		40	<40	62	79	42	92	288	63
Beryllium Dissolved	μg/L		0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Cadmium Dissolved	μg/L	5	0.5	2.3	0.5	0.5	<0.5	1.4	<0.5	<0.5
Calcium Dissolved	μg/L		100	277000	285000	192000	457000	464000	479000	277000
Chromium Dissolved	μg/L	50	1	<1	2	<1	<1	<1	<1	2
Cobalt Dissolved	μg/L		0.5	<0.5	7.3	<0.5	9.4	3.3	<0.5	29.6
Copper Dissolved	μg/L	1000	1	3	4	15	4	4	3	14
Iron Dissolved	μg/L		70	<70	7050	<70	49400	242	<70	7310
Lithium Dissolved	μg/L		1	7	1	8	28	<1	4	4
Magnesium Dissolved	μg/L		100	74900	61100	43000	91600	72800	126000	50600
Manganese Dissolved	μg/L	50	1	22	6810	57	35900	54500	4150	26500
Molybdenum Dissolved	μg/L	70	1	<1	<1	1	5	3	8	<1
Nickel Dissolved	μg/L	20	1	3	6	3	8	8	6	28
Lead Dissolved	µg/L	10	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Selenium Dissolved	µg/L	10	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Sodium Dissolved	μg/L	200 000	200	9480	37700	15700	85000	22800	43900	49300
Thallium Dissolved	µg/L		1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Titanium Dissolved	µg/L		3	<3	<3	<3	<3	5	3	4
Uranium Dissolved	µg/L		0.5	3.5	2.1	0.9	<0.5	1.4	<0.5	0.9
Vanadium Dissolved	µg/L		1	<1	2	<1	<1	<1	<1	2
Zinc Dissolved	µg/L	5000	3	332	9	28	384	10	35	611

Comments:

RDL - Reported Detection Limit; G / S - Guideline / Standard

Inorganic Analysis - Mtl

						Seepage	Seepage	Tailings	Tailings								
Sample Description				Open Pit	Open Pit	Pond	Pond	Pond	Pond	MW09-02	MW09-02	MW09-03	MW09-03	MW09-04	MW09-04	MW09-07	MW09-07
Date Sampled				07/25/2014	07/25/2014	07/24/2014	07/24/2014	07/23/2014	07/23/2014	07/24/2014	07/24/2014	07/23/2014	07/23/2014	07/23/2014	07/23/2014	07/25/2014	07/25/2014
Parameter	Unit	G/S	RDL	5629959	5629959	5629961	5629961	5629962	5629962	5629963	5629963	5629964	5629964	5629965	5629965	5629966	5629966
Ammonia-N	mg/L - N		0.05	<0.05	<0.05	4.84	4.84	<0.05	<0.05	13.4	13.4	1.70	1.70	6.27	6.27	1.60	1.60
Total Cyanide	mg/L - CN		0.005	<0.005	<0.005	1.96	1.96	0.006	0.006	1.08	1.08	0.119	0.119	0.087	0.087	0.017	0.017

Comments:

RDL - Reported Detection Limit; G / S - Guideline / Standard

Total Extractable Metals

					Seepage	Tailings				
Sample Description				Open Pit	Pond	Pond	MW09-02	MW09-03	MW09-04	MW09-07
Date Sampled				07/25/2014	07/24/2014	07/23/2014	07/24/2014	07/23/2014	07/23/2014	07/25/2014
Parameter	Unit	G/S	RDL	5629959	5629961	5629962	5629963	5629964	5629965	5629966
Aluminium	µg/L		10	<250	31	57	<10	<10	<10	110
Antimony	µg/L		1	<25	<1	38	4	521	421	19
Silver	µg/L		0.2	<5.0	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	2.9
Arsenic	µg/L		1	<25	72	95	21700	805	3530	633
Barium	µg/L		125	<125	78	9	8	37	6	22
Beryllium	µg/L		1	<25	<1	<1	<1	1	1	<1
Bore	µg/L		1000	<1000	60	76	<40	84	260	57
Cadmium	µg/L		0.5	<12.5	0.6	0.9	<0.5	1.2	<0.5	2.6
Calcium	µg/L		100	263000	274000	189000	443000	451000	463000	262000
Chromium	µg/L		1	<25	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	1
Cobalt	µg/L		0.5	<12.5	7.6	<0.5	9.7	3.7	<0.5	31.7
Copper	µg/L		1	<25	6	22	3	4	3	48
Iron	µg/L		1750	<1750	9420	255	47500	255	<70	7730
Lithium	µg/L		1	<25	1	8	30	<1	4	4
Magnesium	µg/L		100	78300	66100	46800	96900	74500	132000	50100
Manganese	µg/L		1	38	6730	92	36100	53300	4110	26100
Molybdenum	µg/L		1	<25	1	2	5	3	9	<1
Nickel	µg/L		1	<25	7	3	8	8	6	28
Lead	µg/L		1	<25	<1	6	1	<1	3	5
Selenium	µg/L		1	<25	1	<1	1	<1	<1	1
Sodium	µg/L		200	11000	42200	17300	92300	24100	45600	50500
Thallium	µg/L		1	<25	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Titanium	µg/L		3	<75	5	<3	<3	5	4	7
Uranium	µg/L		0.5	<12.5	2.1	0.9	<0.5	1.4	<0.5	0.9
Vanadium	µg/L		1	<25	2	<1	<1	<1	<1	2
Zinc	µg/L		10	502	16	60	401	17	64	962

Comments:

RDL - Reported Detection Limit; G / S - Guideline / Standard

5629962

For this sample, the results for certain dissolved metals (As, B, Ca) are higher than those of total extractable metals. The difference between these results is within the variability of the method acceptability.

						Method	Reference			Method					
Parameter	Batch	Sample Id	Dup #1	Dup #2	RPD	Blank	Material	Lower	Upper	Blank Spike	Lower	Upper	Matrix Spike	Lower	Upper
		•	•	•						•			•		
British Columbia CSR- Schedule 6	Total Metals														
Aluminum Total	5629959	5629959	20	19	NA	< 5	100%	85%	115%	103%	85%	115%			
Antimony Total	5629959	5629959	3.2	3.3	0.6%	< 0.5	111%	85%	115%	98%	90%	110%			
Arsenic Total	5629959	5629959	10.3	10.1	2.0%	< 0.1	95%	85%	115%	98%	90%	110%			
Barium Total	5629959	5629959	18.6	18.6	0.1%	< 0.5	106%	85%	115%	101%	90%	110%			
Beryllium Total	5629959	5629959	<0.05	<0.05	0.0%	< 0.05	104%	85%	115%	101%	90%	110%			
Boron Total	5629959	5629959	<5	<5	0.0%	< 5	102%	85%	115%	108%	80%	120%			
Cadmium Total	5629959	5629959	2.17	2.20	1.5%	< 0.01	101%	85%	115%	99%	90%	110%			
Calcium Total	5629959	5629959	267000	267000	0.2%	< 50	104%	85%	115%	101%	90%	110%			
Chromium Total	5629959	5629959	< 0.5	<0.5	0.0%	< 0.5	105%	85%	115%	100%	90%	110%			
Cobalt Total	5629959	5629959	<0.05	<0.05	0.0%	< 0.05	110%	85%	115%	98%	90%	110%			
Copper Total	5629959	5629959	3.0	27	10.6%	< 0.5	107%	85%	115%	101%	90%	110%			
Iron Total	5629959	5629959	30	29	0.0%	< 10	105%	85%	115%	105%	90%	110%			
l ead Total	5620050	5620050	0.51	0.41	20.0%	< 0.05	101%	85%	115%	96%	90%	110%			
Lithium Total	5620050	5620050	8.1	7.0	20.070	< 0.05	10170	00 /0	11370	9078 101%	90%	110%			
Magnosium Total	5620050	5620050	72200	73000	0.4%	< 50	107%	950/	1150/	10176	90 %	110%			
Magnesium Total	5029959	5029959	13300	13000	0.4 %	< 50	107 /0	0570	11570	102 /0	90 %	11070			
Manganese Total	5629959	2029929	<10	<10	0.0%	< 1	109%	00%	110%	104%	90%	110%			
Mercury Total	5629959	5000050	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.0%	< 0.01	97%	85%	115%	97%	90%	110%			
Molybdenum Total	5629959	5629959	0.2	0.3	NA	< 0.1	104%	85%	115%	101%	90%	110%			
Nickel I otal	5629959	5629959	<0.5	<0.5	0.0%	< 0.5	109%	85%	115%	101%	90%	110%			
Selenium Total	5629959	5629959	<0.5	<0.5	0.0%	< 0.5	96%	85%	115%	99%	85%	115%			
Silver Total	5629959	5629959	<0.02	<0.02	0.0%	< 0.02				99%	90%	110%			
Sodium Total	5629959	5629959	11400	11400	0.4%	< 100	106%	85%	115%	109%	90%	110%			
Sulphur Total	5629959	5629959	278000	287000	3.0%	< 500				99%	90%	110%			
Titanium Total	5629959	5629959	1	2	NA	< 1				105%	90%	110%			
Uranium Total	5629959	5629959	3.63	3.70	2.0%	< 0.01	101%	85%	115%	98%	90%	110%			
Vanadium Total	5629959	5629959	<1	<1	0.0%	< 1	103%	85%	115%	99%	90%	110%			
Zinc Total	5629959	5629959	239	233	2.3%	< 5	100%	85%	115%	100%	80%	120%			
Comments:	RPDs are cal	culated using ra	aw analytical da	ata and not the	rounded duplica	te values repo	orted.								
		Ũ													
Inorganic Analysis - Mtl															
Total Cvanide	1	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.005	100%	80%	120%	108%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Inorganic Analysis - Mtl															
Ammonia-N	1	5629959	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.0%	< 0.05	103%	80%	120%	103%	80%	120%	99%	80%	120%
		0020000			01070				,.		00,0	,.		00,0	0,0
Dissolved Metals															
Aluminium Dissolved	5629959	5629959	~10	~10	0.0%	~ 10	99%	80%	120%	105%	80%	120%	100%	80%	120%
Antimony Dissolved	5620050	5620050	3	3	0.0%	< 1	100%	80%	120%	100%	80%	120%	108%	80%	120%
Silver Dissolved	5620050	5620050	-0 2	-0 2	0.0%	< 0.2	NA	80%	120%	100%	80%	120%		80%	120%
Argania Dissolved	5620050	5029959	<0.2 10	<0.2 10	0.0%	< 0.2	1070/	00 /0	120%	100%	00 /0 9 0 0/	120%		00 /0 900/	120 /0
Arsenic Dissolved	5629959	5629959	10	10	1.170	< 1	107 %	00%	120%	100%	00%	120%		00%	120%
Banum Dissolved	5629959	5629959	18	18	0.9%	< 1	92%	80%	120%	99%	80%	120%	NA 1100/	80%	120%
Boron Dissolved	5629959	5629959	<40	<40	0.0%	< 40	105%	80%	120%	100%	80%	120%	112%	80%	120%
Beryllium Dissolved	5629959	5629959	<0.5	<0.5	0.0%	< 0.5	99%	80%	120%	94%	80%	120%	110%	80%	120%
Cadmium Dissolved	5629959	5629959	2.3	2.3	0.0%	< 0.5	105%	80%	120%	101%	80%	120%	120%	80%	120%
Calcium Dissolved	5629959	5629959	277000	277000	0.0%	< 100	101%	80%	120%	99%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Chromium Dissolved	5629959	5629959	<1	<1	0.0%	< 1	98%	80%	120%	96%	80%	120%	104%	80%	120%
Cobalt Dissolved	5629959	5629959	<0.5	<0.5	0.0%	< 0.5	102%	80%	120%	97%	80%	120%	94%	80%	120%
Copper Dissolved	5629959	5629959	3	3	0.0%	< 1	103%	80%	120%	103%	80%	120%	106%	80%	120%
Iron Dissolved	5629959	5629959	<70	<70	0.0%	< 70	102%	80%	120%	100%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Lithium Dissolved	5629959	5629959	7	8	5.9%	< 1	94%	80%	120%	95%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Magnesium Dissolved	5629959	5629959	74900	75500	0.8%	< 100	100%	80%	120%	99%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Manganese Dissolved	5629959	5629959	22	22	0.0%	< 1	98%	80%	120%	96%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Molybdenum Dissolved	5629959	5629959	<1	<1	0.0%	< 1	97%	80%	120%	92%	80%	120%	116%	80%	120%
Nickel Dissolved	5629959	5629959	3	3	0.0%	< 1	105%	80%	120%	98%	80%	120%	106%	80%	120%
Lead Dissolved	5629959	5629959	<1	<1	0.0%	< 1	101%	80%	120%	102%	80%	120%	86%	80%	120%
Selenium Dissolved	5629959	5629959	<1	<1	0.0%	< 1	101%	80%	120%	90%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Sodium Dissolved	5629959	5629959	9480	9460	0.3%	< 200	99%	80%	120%	98%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Thallium Dissolved	5629959	5629959	<1	<1	0.0%	< 1	100%	80%	120%	100%	80%	120%	104%	80%	120%
Titanium Dissolved	5629959	5629959	<3	<3	0.0%	< 3	NA	80%	120%	95%	80%	120%	115%	80%	120%
Uranium Dissolved	5629959	5629959	3.5	35	1.6%	< 0.5	101%	80%	120%	104%	80%	120%	108%	80%	120%
Vanadium Dissolved	5629959	5629959	<1	<1	0.0%	< 1	100%	80%	120%	97%	80%	120%	111%	80%	120%
Zinc Dissolved	5620050	5620050	332	294	12 0%	~ 3	107%	80%	120%	105%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
	0023303	0023303	002	207	12.0/0		101/0	0070		10070	0070		1 1/1	0070	12070

Total Extractable Metals															
Aluminium	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 10	109%	80%	120%	109%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Antimony	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	111%	80%	120%	100%	80%	120%	114%	80%	120%
Silver	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.2	NA	80%	120%	102%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Arsenic	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	107%	80%	120%	99%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Barium	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 5	110%	80%	120%	100%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Beryllium	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	106%	80%	120%	105%	80%	120%	83%	80%	120%
Bore	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 40	110%	80%	120%	113%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Cadmium	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.5	107%	80%	120%	106%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Calcium	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 100	99%	80%	120%	102%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Chromium	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	101%	80%	120%	99%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Cobalt	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.5	105%	80%	120%	104%	80%	120%	113%	80%	120%
Copper	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	108%	80%	120%	101%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Iron	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 70	92%	80%	120%	94%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Lithium	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	NA	80%	120%	116%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Magnesium	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 100	110%	80%	120%	113%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Manganese	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	99%	80%	120%	97%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Molybdenum	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	106%	80%	120%	98%	80%	120%	96%	80%	120%
Nickel	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	117%	80%	120%	107%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Lead	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	105%	80%	120%	103%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Selenium	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	108%	80%	120%	89%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Sodium	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 200	108%	80%	120%	113%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Thallium	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	107%	80%	120%	102%	80%	120%	108%	80%	120%
Titanium	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 3	NA	80%	120%	95%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Uranium	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.5	98%	80%	120%	103%	80%	120%	109%	80%	120%
Vanadium	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	99%	80%	120%	99%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
Zinc	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 3	115%	80%	120%	112%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

AGAT WORK ORDER:14V869471PROJECT:Mt. Nansen SI2014CLIENT NAME:AMEC ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTUREATTENTION TO:Jessica HuzzaDATE RECEIVED:Jul 29, 2014DATE SAMPLED:Jul 23, 2014DATE REPORTED:Intersection (Content of the state)

PACKAGE INFORMATION:

Work Sheet Name	Sample ⁻	Ty Guideline / Standard	Package Name
X01	Water		British Columbia CSR- Schedule 6 Total Metals
X02	Water	QC PTC (ES cons.)	Dissolved Metals
X03	Water		Inorganic Analysis - Mtl
X04	Water		Total Extractable Metals

British Columbia CSR- Schedule 6 Total Metals

Sample Description				Open Pit 07/25/2014
Parameter	Unit	G/S	RDL	5629959
Aluminum Total	µg/L		5	20
Antimony Total	µg/L		0.5	3.2
Arsenic Total	µg/L		0.1	10.3
Barium Total	µg/L		0.5	18.6
Beryllium Total	µg/L		0.05	<0.05
Boron Total	µg/L		5	<5
Cadmium Total	µg/L		0.01	2.17
Calcium Total	µg/L		500	267000
Chromium Total	µg/L		0.5	<0.5
Cobalt Total	µg/L		0.05	<0.05
Copper Total	µg/L		0.5	3.0
Iron Total	µg/L		10	30
Lead Total	µg/L		0.05	0.51
Lithium Total	µg/L		0.5	8.1
Magnesium Total	µg/L		50	77500
Manganese Total	µg/L		1	22
Mercury Total	µg/L		0.01	<0.01
Molybdenum Total	µg/L		0.1	0.2
Nickel Total	µg/L		0.5	<0.5
Selenium Total	µg/L		0.5	<0.5
Silver Total	µg/L		0.02	<0.02
Sodium Total	µg/L		100	11400
Sulphur Total	µg/L		5000	278000
Thallium Total	µg/L		0.02	0.15
Titanium Total	µg/L		1	1
Uranium Total	µg/L		0.01	3.63
Vanadium Total	µg/L		1	<1
Zinc Total	µg/L		5	239
Total Hardness (calc)	ug CaCO3	/L	100	986000
Comments:	RDL - Rep	orted Detec	tion Limit;	G / S - Guideline / Standard

Dissolved Metals

					Seepage	Tailings				
Sample Description				Open Pit	Pond	Pond	MW09-02	MW09-03	MW09-04	MW09-07
Date Sampled				07/25/2014	107/24/2014	407/23/2014	07/24/2014	07/23/2014	07/23/2014	107/25/2014
Parameter	Unit	G/S	RDL	5629959	5629961	5629962	5629963	5629964	5629965	5629966
Aluminium Dissolved	µg/L		10	<10	<10	14	<10	<10	<10	51
Antimony Dissolved	µg/L	6	1	3	<1	38	4	541	419	10
Silver Dissolved	µg/L	100	0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	0.6
Arsenic Dissolved	µg/L	25	1	10	56	56	22900	807	3570	488
Barium Dissolved	µg/L	1000	1	18	76	8	8	39	7	21
Boron Dissolved	µg/L		40	<40	62	79	42	92	288	63
Beryllium Dissolved	µg/L		0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Cadmium Dissolved	µg/L	5	0.5	2.3	0.5	0.5	<0.5	1.4	<0.5	<0.5
Calcium Dissolved	µg/L		100	277000	285000	192000	457000	464000	479000	277000
Chromium Dissolved	µg/L	50	1	<1	2	<1	<1	<1	<1	2
Cobalt Dissolved	µg/L		0.5	<0.5	7.3	<0.5	9.4	3.3	<0.5	29.6
Copper Dissolved	µg/L	1000	1	3	4	15	4	4	3	14
Iron Dissolved	µg/L		70	<70	7050	<70	49400	242	<70	7310
Lithium Dissolved	µg/L		1	7	1	8	28	<1	4	4
Magnesium Dissolved	µg/L		100	74900	61100	43000	91600	72800	126000	50600
Manganese Dissolved	µg/L	50	1	22	6810	57	35900	54500	4150	26500
Molybdenum Dissolved	µg/L	70	1	<1	<1	1	5	3	8	<1
Nickel Dissolved	µg/L	20	1	3	6	3	8	8	6	28
Lead Dissolved	µg/L	10	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Selenium Dissolved	µg/L	10	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Sodium Dissolved	µg/L	200 000	200	9480	37700	15700	85000	22800	43900	49300
Thallium Dissolved	µg/L		1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Titanium Dissolved	µg/L		3	<3	<3	<3	<3	5	3	4
Uranium Dissolved	µg/L		0.5	3.5	2.1	0.9	<0.5	1.4	<0.5	0.9
Vanadium Dissolved	µg/L		1	<1	2	<1	<1	<1	<1	2
Zinc Dissolved	µg/L	5000	3	332	9	28	384	10	35	611

Comments:

RDL - Reported Detection Limit; G / S - Guideline / Standard

Inorganic Analysis - Mtl

						Seepage	Seepage	Tailings	Tailings	
Sample Description				Open Pit	Open Pit	Pond	Pond	Pond	Pond	MW09-02
Date Sampled				07/25/201	4 07/25/201	4 07/24/201	4 07/24/201	4 07/23/201	4 07/23/201	407/24/2014
Parameter	Unit	G/S	RDL	5629959	5629959	5629961	5629961	5629962	5629962	5629963
Ammonia-N	mg/L - N		0.05	<0.05	<0.05	4.84	4.84	<0.05	<0.05	13.4
Total Cyanide	mg/L - C	N	0.005	<0.005	<0.005	1.96	1.96	0.006	0.006	1.08
Comments:	RDL - R	eported De	tection Limit;	G / S - G	uideline / St	andard				

MW09-02	MW09-03	MW09-03	MW09-04	MW09-04	MW09-07	MW09-07
07/24/2014	07/23/2014	07/23/2014	07/23/2014	07/23/2014	07/25/2014	07/25/2014
5629963	5629964	5629964	5629965	5629965	5629966	5629966
13.4	1.70	1.70	6.27	6.27	1.60	1.60
1.08	0.119	0.119	0.087	0.087	0.017	0.017

Total Extractable Metals

					Seepage	Tailings				
Sample Description				Open Pit	Pond	Pond	MW09-02	MW09-03	MW09-04	MW09-07
Date Sampled				07/25/2014	407/24/2014	4 07/23/2014	107/24/2014	407/23/2014	07/23/2014	07/25/2014
Parameter	Unit	G/S	RDL	5629959	5629961	5629962	5629963	5629964	5629965	5629966
Aluminium	µg/L		10	<250	31	57	<10	<10	<10	110
Antimony	µg/L		1	<25	<1	38	4	521	421	19
Silver	µg/L		0.2	<5.0	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	2.9
Arsenic	µg/L		1	<25	72	95	21700	805	3530	633
Barium	µg/L		125	<125	78	9	8	37	6	22
Beryllium	µg/L		1	<25	<1	<1	<1	1	1	<1
Bore	µg/L		1000	<1000	60	76	<40	84	260	57
Cadmium	µg/L		0.5	<12.5	0.6	0.9	<0.5	1.2	<0.5	2.6
Calcium	µg/L		100	263000	274000	189000	443000	451000	463000	262000
Chromium	µg/L		1	<25	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	1
Cobalt	µg/L		0.5	<12.5	7.6	<0.5	9.7	3.7	<0.5	31.7
Copper	µg/L		1	<25	6	22	3	4	3	48
Iron	µg/L		1750	<1750	9420	255	47500	255	<70	7730
Lithium	µg/L		1	<25	1	8	30	<1	4	4
Magnesium	µg/L		100	78300	66100	46800	96900	74500	132000	50100
Manganese	µg/L		1	38	6730	92	36100	53300	4110	26100
Molybdenum	µg/L		1	<25	1	2	5	3	9	<1
Nickel	µg/L		1	<25	7	3	8	8	6	28
Lead	µg/L		1	<25	<1	6	1	<1	3	5
Selenium	µg/L		1	<25	1	<1	1	<1	<1	1
Sodium	µg/L		200	11000	42200	17300	92300	24100	45600	50500
Thallium	µg/L		1	<25	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Titanium	µg/L		3	<75	5	<3	<3	5	4	7
Uranium	µg/L		0.5	<12.5	2.1	0.9	<0.5	1.4	<0.5	0.9
Vanadium	µg/L		1	<25	2	<1	<1	<1	<1	2
Zinc	µg/L		10	502	16	60	401	17	64	962

Comments:

5629962

RDL - Reported Detection Limit; G / S - Guideline / Standard

For this sample, the results for certain dissolved metals (As, B, Ca) are higher than those of total extractable metals. The difference between these results is within the variability of the method acceptability.

						Method	Reference
Parameter	Batch	Sample Id	Dup #1	Dup #2	RPD	Blank	Material
British Columbia CSR- Schedule 6	Total Metals						
Aluminum Total	5629959	5629959	20	19	NA	< 5	100%
Antimony Total	5629959	5629959	3.2	3.3	0.6%	< 0.5	111%
Arsenic Total	5629959	5629959	10.3	10.1	2.0%	< 0.1	95%
Barium Total	5629959	5629959	18.6	18.6	0.1%	< 0.5	106%
Beryllium Total	5629959	5629959	<0.05	<0.05	0.0%	< 0.05	104%
Boron Total	5629959	5629959	<5	<5	0.0%	< 5	102%
Cadmium Total	5629959	5629959	2.17	2.20	1.5%	< 0.01	101%
Calcium Total	5629959	5629959	267000	267000	0.2%	< 50	104%
Chromium Total	5629959	5629959	<0.5	<0.5	0.0%	< 0.5	105%
Cobalt Total	5629959	5629959	<0.05	<0.05	0.0%	< 0.05	110%
Copper Total	5629959	5629959	3.0	2.7	10.6%	< 0.5	107%
Iron Total	5629959	5629959	30	29	0.0%	< 10	105%
Lead Total	5629959	5629959	0.51	0.41	20.0%	< 0.05	101%
Lithium Total	5629959	5629959	8.1	7.9	1.6%	< 0.5	
Magnesium Total	5629959	5629959	73300	73000	0.4%	< 50	107%
Manganese Total	5629959	5629959	<10	<10	0.0%	< 1	109%
Mercury Total	5629959		< 0.01	< 0.01	0.0%	< 0.01	97%
Molybdenum Total	5629959	5629959	0.2	0.3	NA	< 0.1	104%
Nickel Total	5629959	5629959	<0.5	<0.5	0.0%	< 0.5	109%
Selenium Total	5629959	5629959	<0.5	<0.5	0.0%	< 0.5	96%
Silver Total	5629959	5629959	<0.02	<0.02	0.0%	< 0.02	
Sodium Total	5629959	5629959	11400	11400	0.4%	< 100	106%
Sulphur Total	5629959	5629959	278000	287000	3.0%	< 500	
Titanium Total	5629959	5629959	1	2	NA	< 1	
Uranium Total	5629959	5629959	3.63	3.70	2.0%	< 0.01	101%
Vanadium Total	5629959	5629959	<1	<1	0.0%	< 1	103%
Zinc Total	5629959	5629959	239	233	2.3%	< 5	100%
Comments:	RPDs are cal	culated using ra	aw analytical da	ta and not the r	ounded duplica	ite values repoi	rted.
Inorganic Analysis - Mtl							
Total Cyanide	1	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.005	100%

Inorganic Analysis - Mtl							
Ammonia-N	1	5629959	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.0%	< 0.05	103%
Dissolved Metals							
Aluminium Dissolved	5629959	5629959	<10	<10	0.0%	< 10	99%
Antimony Dissolved	5629959	5629959	3	3	0.0%	< 1	100%
Silver Dissolved	5629959	5629959	<0.2	<0.2	0.0%	< 0.2	NA
Arsenic Dissolved	5629959	5629959	10	10	1.1%	< 1	107%
Barium Dissolved	5629959	5629959	18	18	0.9%	< 1	92%
Boron Dissolved	5629959	5629959	<40	<40	0.0%	< 40	105%
Beryllium Dissolved	5629959	5629959	<0.5	<0.5	0.0%	< 0.5	99%
Cadmium Dissolved	5629959	5629959	2.3	2.3	0.0%	< 0.5	105%
Calcium Dissolved	5629959	5629959	277000	277000	0.0%	< 100	101%
Chromium Dissolved	5629959	5629959	<1	<1	0.0%	< 1	98%
Cobalt Dissolved	5629959	5629959	<0.5	<0.5	0.0%	< 0.5	102%
Copper Dissolved	5629959	5629959	3	3	0.0%	< 1	103%
Iron Dissolved	5629959	5629959	<70	<70	0.0%	< 70	102%
Lithium Dissolved	5629959	5629959	7	8	5.9%	< 1	94%
Magnesium Dissolved	5629959	5629959	74900	75500	0.8%	< 100	100%
Manganese Dissolved	5629959	5629959	22	22	0.0%	< 1	98%
Molybdenum Dissolved	5629959	5629959	<1	<1	0.0%	< 1	97%
Nickel Dissolved	5629959	5629959	3	3	0.0%	< 1	105%
Lead Dissolved	5629959	5629959	<1	<1	0.0%	< 1	101%
Selenium Dissolved	5629959	5629959	<1	<1	0.0%	< 1	101%
Sodium Dissolved	5629959	5629959	9480	9460	0.3%	< 200	99%
Thallium Dissolved	5629959	5629959	<1	<1	0.0%	< 1	100%
Titanium Dissolved	5629959	5629959	<3	<3	0.0%	< 3	NA
Uranium Dissolved	5629959	5629959	3.5	3.5	1.6%	< 0.5	101%
Vanadium Dissolved	5629959	5629959	<1	<1	0.0%	< 1	100%
Zinc Dissolved	5629959	5629959	332	294	12.0%	< 3	107%
Total Extractable Metals							
Aluminium	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 10	109%
Antimony	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	111%
Silver	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.2	NA
Arsenic	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	107%
Barium	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 5	110%

Beryllium	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	106%
Bore	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 40	110%
Cadmium	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.5	107%
Calcium	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 100	99%
Chromium	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	101%
Cobalt	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.5	105%
Copper	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	108%
Iron	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 70	92%
Lithium	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	NA
Magnesium	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 100	110%
Manganese	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	99%
Molybdenum	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	106%
Nickel	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	117%
Lead	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	105%
Selenium	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	108%
Sodium	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 200	108%
Thallium	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	107%
Titanium	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 3	NA
Uranium	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 0.5	98%
Vanadium	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 1	99%
Zinc	5640147	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	< 3	115%

		Method					
Lower	Upper	Blank Spike	Lower	Upper	Matrix Spike	Lower	Upper
85%	115%	103%	85%	115%			
85%	115%	98%	90%	110%			
85%	115%	98%	90%	110%			
85%	115%	101%	90%	110%			
85%	115%	101%	90%	110%			
85%	115%	108%	80%	120%			
85%	115%	99%	90%	110%			
85%	115%	101%	90%	110%			
85%	115%	100%	90%	110%			
85%	115%	98%	90%	110%			
85%	115%	101%	90%	110%			
85%	115%	105%	90%	110%			
85%	115%	96%	90%	110%			
		101%	90%	110%			
85%	115%	102%	90%	110%			
85%	115%	104%	90%	110%			
85%	115%	97%	90%	110%			
85%	115%	101%	90%	110%			
85%	115%	101%	90%	110%			
85%	115%	99%	85%	115%			
		99%	90%	110%			
85%	115%	109%	90%	110%			
		99%	90%	110%			
		105%	90%	110%			
85%	115%	98%	90%	110%			
85%	115%	99%	90%	110%			
85%	115%	100%	80%	120%			
0070				,.			
80%	120%	108%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%

80%	120%	103%	80%	120%	99%	80%	120%
80%	120%	105%	80%	120%	100%	80%	120%
80%	120%	100%	80%	120%	108%	80%	120%
80%	120%	100%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	100%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	99%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	100%	80%	120%	112%	80%	120%
80%	120%	94%	80%	120%	110%	80%	120%
80%	120%	101%	80%	120%	120%	80%	120%
80%	120%	99%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	96%	80%	120%	104%	80%	120%
80%	120%	97%	80%	120%	94%	80%	120%
80%	120%	103%	80%	120%	106%	80%	120%
80%	120%	100%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	95%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	99%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	96%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	92%	80%	120%	116%	80%	120%
80%	120%	98%	80%	120%	106%	80%	120%
80%	120%	102%	80%	120%	86%	80%	120%
80%	120%	90%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	98%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	100%	80%	120%	104%	80%	120%
80%	120%	95%	80%	120%	115%	80%	120%
80%	120%	104%	80%	120%	108%	80%	120%
80%	120%	97%	80%	120%	111%	80%	120%
80%	120%	105%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	109%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	100%	80%	120%	114%	80%	120%
80%	120%	102%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	99%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	100%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%

80%	120%	105%	80%	120%	83%	80%	120%
80%	120%	113%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	106%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	102%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	99%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	104%	80%	120%	113%	80%	120%
80%	120%	101%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	94%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	116%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	113%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	97%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	98%	80%	120%	96%	80%	120%
80%	120%	107%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	103%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	89%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	113%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	102%	80%	120%	108%	80%	120%
80%	120%	95%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	103%	80%	120%	109%	80%	120%
80%	120%	99%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%
80%	120%	112%	80%	120%	NA	80%	120%

Mount Nansen Remediation Project 2014 Site Investigation Report and Site Characterization Update March 3, 2015



Appendix 4H – Comments Log



COMMENT LOG

Document Title:	Mount Nansen Remediation Project - Phase 1 Site Investigation Report and Site Characterization Update				
Document Dates:	28 and 29 October 2014, 03 November 2014, 03 December 2014 AMEC File No. VM00605J				
	Follow-up on log draft from AAM on 3 February 2015				
Comments By:	J.K. Perron, I.D. Poloni (AAM); Kim Winnicky (Bill Slater Environmental Consulting, for LSCFN); Jason Berkers (AANDC)				
Responses By:	AMEC – Brian Geddes, Christine Peters				
Response Dates:	16 January 2015; additional response edits completed 03 March 2015				

Note 1 – Page numbers per commented document.

Comment ID ¹	Comment	Response
jkperron, cover 03/12/2014 2:30:36 PM	Please ensure that all files (excel, dwg, etc.) are provided for the final deliverables as well as 4 copies of the deliverables, MSA calls for 5 however 4 will be sufficient.	Acknowledged.
idpoloni, table of contents 28/10/2014 2:40:15 PM	Include sections for Groundwater and Hydrology/Water Quality (performed by EDI and ELR).	Additional sections and content have been added.
idpoloni, table of contents 03/12/2014 11:40:52 AM	Please insert Conclusion / Recommendations Sections	An Executive Summary has been added to the document that describes the content and conduct of the investigation and summarizes key findings and conclusions. Specific recommendations have not been included because the output of the SI effort is an update of the site characterization. The findings of this update will be interpreted and used during the upcoming Phase 2 design effort, and any additional investigative requirements would be identified and scoped during that Phase 2 design effort.
idpoloni, page 9 03/12/2014 11:41:47 AM	Please add this reference to the list and make correct reference.	Reference corrected (was incorrectly labelled in this reference).

AMEC Environment & Infrastructure A division of AMEC Americas Limited 140 Quarry Park Boulevard S.E. Calgary, Alberta, CANADA T2C 3G3 Tel +1 (403) 248-4331 Fax +1 (403) 248-2188 www.amec.com



Comment ID ¹	Comment	Response
idpoloni, page 10 29/10/2014 8:11:25 AM	What about the test pit north of diversion?	Added reference to an additional test pit (TP-T-14-01) north of the diversion.
idpoloni, page 10 29/10/2014 8:11:13 AM	What about the test pit north of diversion?	Added reference to an additional test pit (TP-T-14-01) north of the diversion.
idpoloni, page 10 03/12/2014 1:52:17 PM	In addition, EDI (freshet and monthly program) and ELR (freshet and fall) programs, as recommended by AMEC and data logger download of all instrumentation. Please insert.	Reference to the EDI and ELR programs has been added to the text.
idpoloni, page 12 29/10/2014 8:13:11 AM	Please revise.	Figure boodings clarified and revised
idpoloni, page 12 29/10/2014 8:13:25 AM	Please revise.	rigure neadings claimed and revised.
idpoloni, page 14 03/11/2014 11:44:00 AM	There were actually 4.	Reference to the fourth test pit (TP-T-14-01) has been added to the text and in Figure 4.1-2.
jkperron, page 14 03/12/2014	3 at the mill and 1 north of the diversion.	Reference to the fourth test pit (TP-T-14-01) has been added to the text and in Figure 4.1-2.
idpoloni, page 15 03/12/2014 1:56:03 PM	Please verify location of BH-C-14-02 and BH-C-14-04, they seem to be mismatch. 3 Feb Follow-up This has not been corrected on the figure, further on this log your response is that it was not mismatched. When looking at our note and photos the 2 boreholes seem to be mismatched. Can this be confirm with Hamid and modify accordingly.	2 Mar Response As per phone and email conversations with AAM, as well as checking AAM daily logs and AMEC field notes, the BH locations and labels on the figure are correct.
idpoloni, page 15 03/12/2014 1:57:03 PM	Please insert on the map the locations of the loggers downloaded and groundwater sampled by ELR, AE, and/or AAM.	Groundwater and water sample locations were already on Figures 4.1-1 and 4.1-2. Title has been revised. Dataloggers were added to the figures.
idpoloni, page 15 03/12/2014 1:58:27 PM	Please verify this borehole (BH-T-14-04) and its location, we thought it was drilled north of the diversion. 3 Feb Follow-up and is it in the right location. Can you please confirm with Dan or Hamid?	The BH location has been reviewed and verified. 2 Mar Response It was drilled on undisturbed ground inside the tailings facility and south of the road. The goal was to drill in undisturbed ground as close to the tailings as possible. The GPS location was obtained at the time of drilling. Note that BH-T-14-05 was planned for inside the tailings facility and south of the road, but that the actual drilled location was north of the road and the facility.



Comment ID ¹	Comment	Response
idpoloni, page 16 03/12/2014 2:00:06 PM	Please verify if this BH was completed; it is not documented.	The BH location has been reviewed and verified.
idpoloni, page 19 29/10/2014 8:38:11 AM	Prior to sending samples from Nansen, I recorded all pails. I have noted in my book that we did in fact fetch a 20 L from this well.	A 20 L sample was collected from this well and the text has been revised accordingly.
idpoloni, page 19 29/10/2014 8:40:42 AM	We were able to get 1.5 L. From this, we filled bottles for testing (as recommended by AMEC). This, as well as Table 4.4.2-1 says otherwise.	References to the limited sampling and testing completed for this well have been revised in the text.
idpoloni, page 20 29/10/2014 8:41:25 AM	Were able to collect a 20 L pail.	This has been corrected in the text and Table 4.4.2-1.
idpoloni, page 20 29/10/2014 8:42:12 AM	Collected 1.5 L from well. Filled bottles from this 1.5 L pail for testing.	References to the limited sampling and testing completed for this well have been revised in the text.
idpoloni, page 20 03/11/2014 11:46:41 AM	What is the reasoning behind this? Why did this not produce enough of a sample?	Text revised to provide explanation.
idpoloni, page 22 03/12/2014 2:03:44 PM	What about remediation of trenches/disturbed areas within the tailings area (e.g., southeast of the tailing and northwest of the tailing)? JP and Hamid did walk these to location and they were discussed as areas that may require attention, they should be identified on this map.	References to these additional disturbances that are not former exploration trenches have been added to the figure.
idpoloni, page 24 03/11/2014 11:47:40 AM	Why or how is this linked with erosion? Elaborate please.	The linkages to Human Health and Safety have been added to the text.
idpoloni, page 26 03/12/2014 2:05:14 PM	The site reconnaissance was also conducted to find appropriate location for water treatment plant. Please make comment concerning this.	Water treatment plant siting was not part of the original 2014 SI Program scope (see Section 3 and SI Program Execution Plan). However, preliminary considerations of potential plant sites were added to the general site reconnaissance effort and reference to this has been added to the text (e.g. this was the primary rationale for locating TP-T-14-01).
idpoloni, page 28 03/11/2014 11:48:37 AM	Why was there insufficient material?	Text modified to provide explanation.
idpoloni, page 29 11/12/2014 8:57:40 AM	Why was this? Commented earlier on this.	Text modified to provide explanation.



Comment ID ¹	Comment	Response
idpoloni, page 30 03/12/2014 2:21:29 PM	Verify BH-T-14-04 location. 3 Feb Follow-up and	The location of BH-T-14-04 has been reviewed and verified. 2 Mar Response It was drilled inside the tailings facility limits and was located by GPS at the time of drilling.
idpoloni, page 31 03/12/2014 2:07:37 PM	What about power/pipeline along this road? It should be highlighted since disturbance will be created.	Text revised to note that the remedial scope will also include decommissioning and removal of some comparatively minor ancillary infrastructure (e.g., power lines along road alignments) that may fall outside the limits indicated on Figure 5.1.1-1.
idpoloni, page 31 03/12/2014 2:08:02 PM	What about along Dome Creek all the way to Victoria due to sediment results? Should it be identified?	Added to Figure 5.1.1-1.
jkperron, page 32 03/12/2014 2:09:21 PM	In BH-T-13 - see last year.	The reference here is to BH-T-14-13 which was completed during the 2014 SI program (i.e., location 13 for the 2014 program).
idpoloni, page 34 03/12/2014 2:22:17 PM	Should use standard sheet size for pdf document for ease of printing.	Done.
idpoloni, page 37 03/11/2014 11:50:46 AM	What about MW09-07?	Text modified to provide explanation.
idpoloni, page 37 03/11/2014 11:51:07 AM	Is this the right standard to use as a proper threshold?	Yes it is because they are "end-of-pipe" discharge criteria. It is understood that MMER do not apply to Mount Nansen site because it is closed, but they have been used at other closed mine sites in Canada as reference discharge criteria. Explanation and reference have been provided in the text.
idpoloni, page 38 29/10/2014 9:16:18 AM	Compared to what standard? Please specify.	Compared to MMER criteria, as outlined in the text. MMER column added to Table 5.1.1-1.
idpoloni, page 39 29/10/2014 12:59:33 PM	Why is it compared to MMER?	Text modified to provide explanation.
idpoloni, page 43 03/12/2014 2:24:43 PM	ELR groundwater sampling should be inserted, with results discussed and interpreted. All data with respect to the project proposal and design requirement requested by AMEC for this field season should be discussed even if not collected by AMEC. Please include summary and summary table. Please note that as per our discussion the ELR report is being reissued	Added table of groundwater parameters exceeding guidelines to Section 6 along the same lines as the surface water table. 2 Mar Response Yes, the report "Mount Nansen June 2014 Groundwater Monitoring and Sampling" report by Hemmera and dated November 2014 was used to prepare this section.


Comment ID ¹	Comment	Response
	since there was some mistake, we will provide the revised report shortly as well as the fall program. 3 Feb Follow-up Can you please confirm that the revised report was utilised to populate this section. I.e originally AAM had provided the groundwater report and it had erroneous results, AAM reissued the report to AMEC at a later date.	The content within 6.1.3 was not removed from the report. Rather, methodology descriptions were moved to Section 4 and results were moved to Section 5.
	Why was the content within 6.1.3 significantly reduced in the revised version?	
idpoloni, page 44 03/11/2014 11:52:44 AM	All data should be graphed to July. Verify with previous reports (Lorax and last year's AMEC). If you are lacking the Lorax report, this could be provided.	The data for GLL07-01 and MW09-15 cannot be graphed to July because data is only available to Feb/March. The loggers were reset in late 2014 and the next download
idpoloni, page 44 29/10/2014 1:10:14 PM	I thought the data from this logger was incorrect? Can you confirm this or is the data good up to March 07? (This was the frozen well).	should provide additional data. GLL07-01 is frozen and has been ever since it was installed. MW09-15 seems to go through a cycle relating
idpoloni, page 44 29/10/2014 9:34:14 AM	Why? And can it be fixed?	to surrounding ground freeze-thaw conditions. No, vibrating wires in grout or sand pack cannot be fixed
idpoloni, page 44 29/10/2014 9:34:22 AM	Why? And can it be fixed?	instrumentation. Another option is to drill an adjacent
29/10/2014 9:34:26 AM	Why? And can it be fixed?	The thermistor for CH-P-13-05 stopped working after March, The data was clearly invalid between March and
29/10/2014 9:31:34 AM	Why not to July?	July. The invalid data was not graphed in order to avoid
03/12/2014 2:26:09 PM	why don't we have data to July? And shouldn't we have earlier data for the MWs wells? 3 Feb Follow-up With respect to 09-03 and -04 they were not installed in 2013 and they have been downloaded previously by Lorax/Northwest. Let us know if you do not have the data, we will send it to you.	2 Mar Response For the tailings wells, the previous data from the dataloggers for MW09-03 and MW09-04 were obtained from AAM and are now added to Figure 5.4.3-7. The interpretation of this figure has been edited in the text. It was apparent after receiving the 2011-2012 data that the datalogger for MW09-04 was not working properly in 2013-2014. Dataloggers for MW09-21 and MW09-23 appear to have run out of memory. They were reset in late 2014 and the next download should provide additional data.



Comment ID ¹	Comment	Response
idpoloni, page 45 03/11/2014 11:53:40 AM	Not according to the previous table. Seems as though there are multiple malfunctioning loggers.	Taxt has been revised
idpoloni, page 45 03/12/2014 2:26:36 PM	Can this paragraph be clarified? Not understanding this paragraph. Specifically the conclusion.	Text has been revised.
idpoloni, page 46 03/11/2014 11:54:24 AM	Please ensure that Excel files are provided.	The Excel files will be provided with the TAR deliverables.
idpoloni, page 46 03/12/2014 2:32:25 PM	Is this logger functioning properly, I thought Paul mentioned that it was not and that it was frozen?	This is true if it is frozen; however, data for this well (GLL07-01) and MW09-15 are displayed for completeness.
idpoloni, page 46 29/10/2014 10:17:42 AM	The temperature of the pit pond never goes below 0 degrees. At what depth is temperature taken?	The datalogger is installed at elevation 1179.3935 masl. The depth below water will fluctuate with water levels. However, on average, it is approximately at 11 metres below water surface.
idpoloni, page 46 29/10/2014 10:18:48 AM	What does the flat line represent?	The flat line represents the periods in time when the water level dipped below the elevation of the datalogger. This can be fixed by lowering the elevation of the datalogger. Text has been revised.
idpoloni, page 47 29/10/2014 10:19:08 AM	Why does it stop here?	The frequency wire for the 50 m vibrating wire appears to have malfunctioned as starting in November 2013, it started recording only zero values or no values. The temperature channel is working. This VW is grouted in and cannot be replaced or fixed.
idpoloni, page 47 03/12/2014 2:43:06 PM	Colours for 10 m and 50 m depths very similar.	Figure colours have been changed.
idpoloni, page 48 03/12/2014 2:43:24 PM	Colours for 10 m and 50 m depth similar and hard to differentiate.	Figure colours have been changed.
idpoloni, page 49 03/12/2014 2:43:58 PM	Do you need temperature to get the piezometer readings and why was the temperature bead not available? 3 Feb Follow-up I still don't understand the note about the assumed piezo due to non-valid thermistor, can you please explain?	 No, temperature and frequency are recorded on separate channels and are independent of each other. The thermistor is on this VW is not working and is, therefore, not providing temperature readings. 2 Mar Response Note has been edited on figure. The VW piezometers have both temperature and frequency channels. The frequency can be converted to piezometric elevation but the calculation requires the temperature data. In this



Comment ID ¹	Comment	Response
		case, the temperature channel was not working and so temperature was assumed by inserting a typical value starting in December 2013. For reference, Solinst level loggers record water level directly. They also collect temperature data, but it is more for reference and data interpretation.
idpoloni, page 50 29/10/2014 10:21:29 AM	Reason for this? Has issue been resolved?	The thermistor is malfunctioning, which could be temporary. This will be assessed when the next download values are reviewed. Thermistors often malfunction, especially when exposed to extreme temperatures.
idpoloni, page 51 03/12/2014 2:44:36 PM	Do we only have data till this date? Why and how to rectify it?	An assessment of thermistor function can be made following interpretation of the AAM data logger downloads completed in November/December.
idpoloni, page 54 03/12/2014 2:45:20 PM	Isn't there supposed to be continuous logging? Why is there a gap here? In addition, there should be older data on these wells, see Lorax report. 3 Feb Follow-up Not the case - see comment above on page 4.	The Lorax report contains data for the Pit Lake, GLL07-03 and MW09-15 only, not the wells on this figure. 2 Mar Response Earlier data from dataloggers in MW09-03 and MW09-04 have been obtained from AAM and added to Figure 5.4.3-7. Dataloggers for MW09-21 and MW09-23 appear to have reached memory capacity, as they may be older units with a capacity of roughly 8,000 readings. They were reset in late 2014 and the next download will determine if that was the reason for lack of data.
idpoloni, page 55 29/10/2014 10:27:35 AM	How is 1,191.6 and 1,170.4 m obtained? Verify if this is depth of instrument.	This is the lowest screened interval, which may not necessarily be the PVC screen (i.e., it could be the sand pack below the screen which is the deepest hydraulic connection to screen/well).
idpoloni, page 55 03/11/2014 11:56:44 AM	Can you please explain this sentence? How did you come to these conclusions?	Data from 10 m and 50 m were mistakenly confused in the text. Text has been corrected.
idpoloni, page 55 29/10/2014 10:29:15 AM	Why is there no temperature?	There is no temperature because the thermistor is not working (see page 50 comment, in comment ID).



Comment ID ¹	Comment	Response
idpoloni, page 55 29/10/2014 10:30:09 AM	Can it be fixed? Why is there no piezometer elevation?	The thermistor is malfunctioning (see page 50 in comment ID column). The piezometric elevation data was not usable. No, it cannot be fixed, it can only be replaced. Replacement would overdrilling and reinstalling the well to then place new instrumentation.
idpoloni, page 55 03/12/2014 2:46:40 PM	Why no piezometer readings and why is graph not up to July when the download was completed?	The frequency/piezometer wire has been malfunctioning since installation. The thermistor started malfunctioning after March 2014. Many of the vibrating wires could be damaged due to freeze thaw conditions. The graph is not updated because all data since March 2014 is unreliable.
idpoloni, page 55 29/10/2014 10:31:31 AM	30 m and 40 m have similar trends too?	No, 40 m is similar to 50 m and 60 m, and 30 m is similar to 20 m; however, all display pronounced spikes during freshet, except 60 m.
idpoloni, page 56 29/10/2014 10:31:31 AM	Can you please rephrase or explain this sentence? This does not make sense to us.	The initial spike/pulse is caused by a hydraulic connection between the pond water and the subsurface. Once water depth in the pond reaches a critical depth/ pressure, it begins to drain into the subsurface rapidly. The second pulse is caused by precipitation and seepage from snow melt. This conclusion will require validation based on considerations of future data. Text has been revised.
idpoloni, page 56 29/10/2014 1:18:28 PM	No discussion on temperature? As well, when does this occur? When should we do this download?	Temperature is irrelevant; it is very consistent in groundwater as can be seen in the figure for CH-P-13-06. Text has been revised to clarify.
idpoloni, page 56 29/10/2014 1:20:25 PM	Why? Are they full?	Yes, they were erased and restarted by AAM in December. The next download will show whether the memory was full or if there is something else wrong with them. Text has been revised to provide explanation.
idpoloni, page 56 03/12/2014 2:48:18 PM	Poor section. EDI only collect and do not interpret. Please insert info and provide some interpretation for the full set of data. EDI freshet sampling events and monthly event being collected for the design should be discussed as well as the groundwater event.	Text expanded and modified.
idpoloni, page 56 29/10/2014 1:26:45 PM	Were there any deficiencies? Results should be discussed and interpreted.	



Comment ID ¹	Comment	Response
idpoloni, page 57 03/11/2014 11:58:12 AM	Insert in document please.	Done.
idpoloni, page 57 03/11/2014 11:59:13 AM	Please specify if there was no sample for the test pit and provide any reasoning for this.	Text modified to provide explanation.
idpoloni, page 57 03/12/2014 2:49:22 PM	Please make reference to the TP that was created in the tailings pond area. Please make reference to the figure for location.	Reference to this additional test pit has been added to Section 5.10.1 of the text.
idpoloni, page 61 29/10/2014 1:38:42 PM	Results should be discussed and exceedance highlighted if there is any.	No regulations or criteria applicable to the field bins and, therefore, no exceedances to report. Added some text to describe which bins had highest and lowest concentrations.
idpoloni, page 65 03/12/2014 2:50:58 PM	Please state or reference them.	Reclamation attributes or criteria have been added to the text.
idpoloni, page 65 03/12/2014 2:51:22 PM	Should be part of the current report?	Background sampling data have been included in this SI report, but the development of that data into representations of background conditions was always part of the design scope.
idpoloni, page 72 03/12/2014 2:52:36 PM	As well as a potential location for water treatment plant, please discuss this component.	Water treatment plant siting was never a part of the 2014 SI Program scope (see Section 3 and SI Program Execution Plan).
idpoloni, page 73 11/12/2014 9:50:41 AM	Could not find Please verify this.	Should have referenced Section 6.5. Text corrected.
idpoloni, page 75 03/12/2014 2:53:40 PM	It was not removed, since it is underground. DES only removed above ground pipelines. Update this sentence.	Text revised.
idpoloni, page 78 29/10/2014 10:44:51 AM	Discuss results/trends.	Additional comment on data trends has been added to the text.
idpoloni, page 78 03/12/2014 2:54:16 PM	Where is data for these? If not available, identify, please verify the data first.	Missing data have been added to the table.
idpoloni, page 81 29/10/2014 1:43:33 PM	What is the quantity and should it be mobile or fixed treatment?	These issues will be addressed during the design phase.
idpoloni, page 81 03/11/2014 12:03:02 PM	Could you please elaborate on this paragraph?	Text has been clarified.
idpoloni, page 87 29/10/2014 10:53:07 AM	Explanation for this.	Text revised.



Comment ID ¹	Comment	Response
idpoloni, page 90 03/12/2014 2:56:57 PM	What is the extent of contamination at dome creek as a result? What is the remediation requirement (if any) as a result? What do we do? Please elaborate on related issues.	Examination of these issues was always included in the design development scope and budget.
idpoloni, page 91 03/12/2014 2:57:36 PM	Fix this, the axis title is over the x axis value. 3 Feb Follow-up The figure was not modified.	2 Mar Response Figure was pasted incorrectly and is now pasted properly to correct.
idpoloni, page 93 29/10/2014 10:57:17 AM	Show location on map.	The locations of these photos have now been highlighted at their point of reference in the text and on Figure 4.5.1-1.
idpoloni, page 94 29/10/2014 10:57:40 AM	Show location on map.	The locations of these photos have now been highlighted at their point of reference in the text and on Figure 4.5.1-1.
idpoloni, page 94 03/12/2014 2:58:55 PM	Please verify this figure. It seems to be making reference to the wrong figure.	Figure reference corrected.
jkperron, page 96 03/12/2014	The breaching statement is inaccurate, please remove.	Breaching reference removed.
idpoloni, page 99 03/12/2014 3:00:48 PM	Verify this date. 2014.	2013 date is correct.
Appendix 3A		
idpoloni, page 3 03/12/2014 3:01:26 PM	Thought seepage pond was also sampled at depth? It was supposed to be part of the program, why was it not completed?	It was concluded that the water flowing from the seepage pond discharge pipe would be representative of seepage water going to a water treatment plant.
Appendix 3B		
idpoloni, page 11 03/12/2014 3:01:55 PM	MW-GLL07-01 not 03.	Reference corrected.
Appendix 3C	-	-
idpoloni, page 7 29/10/2014 11:03:59 AM	Delete 'with'.	Deleted.
idpoloni, page 12 29/10/2014 11:03:59 AM	2014?	Corrected.
idpoloni, page 17 29/10/2014 11:05:42 AM	TF?	Revised to Tailings Storage Facility.
idpoloni, page 17 29/10/2014 11:04:49 AM	TF?	Revised to Tailings Storage Facility.



Comment ID ¹	Comment	Response
Appendix 4B		
idpoloni, page 1 29/10/2014 11:06:36 AM	Verify BH-C-14-02 and 04 locations. 3 Feb Follow-up not the case see comment above on page 2	3 Feb Follow-up Verified and correct. We simply relocated BH-C-14-02 location north of BH-C-14-04 due to field conditions and access issues. It was originally planned for south of BH- C-14-04. BH-C-14-04 remained where it was. The confusion with the daily report and the BH locations have been resolved (see Page 2 above).
idpoloni, page 4 29/10/2014 11:08:53 AM	Difficult to see blue marker.	Revised.
idpoloni, page 4 03/12/2014 3:04:38 PM	Verify this BH and its location. Thought it was drilled north of the diversion and not within the tailing pond. Where is BH-T-14-05?	Verified and BH location is correct (was never moved from its initial layout). BH-T-14-05 is on the next page (page 5).
idpoloni, page 11 03/12/2014 3:05:27 PM	Please remove this title. It is not an overall plan?	Figure title has been revised.
Appendix 4D		
idpoloni, page 5 03/12/2014 3:06:47 PM	Clarify title; is it correct?	Title corrected.
Section 1.1 - last sentence J. Berkers (AANDC)	The project has not moved into Phase 2 design as outlined at the time of this draft. It is agreed that the project advanced some si scope associated with the AMEC phase II design; however, the phase 1 design as it stands has not been accepted to date. This will need to be adjusted in the remainder of the text.	Text has been modified to reflect current Phase 2 status.
Section 1.2 – Scope of Remediation K. Winnicky (LSCFN)	The last bullet of this section states that a remediated landscape will be created which complements the natural topography and vegetation of the area. It will be difficult to create such a landscape with the proposed use of sand cover without amendments.	It is true that applying reclamation concepts that do not incorporate organic amendments will limit the range of available reclamation outcomes. This approach was adopted during the Phase 1 design as a cost control measure, acknowledging that additional assessment and consultation regarding the balance between reclamation outcomes and costs would be required during subsequent design development and cost review activities.



Comment ID ¹	Comment	Response
Section 1.2 - first sentence J. Berkers (AANDC)	Leads to reader to infer that these bullets are from the LORAX 2011 Option 4, but we believe these are the bullets from AMEC's Phase 1 design of their further definition of Option 4. For example the third bullet indicates that option 4 relocates mineralized waste rock to the open pit, but in LORAX 2011 section 5.7.1 indicates the waste rock will be used on top of the tailings and graded to direct runoff away from the pit and 5.7.4.2 assumes the waste rock is non-acid generating. Please reword as required.	Text has been modified to reflect the correct design reference.
Table 3.2-1 - Information Needs Planned to be Addressed in 2014 Site Investigation Program K. Winnicky (LSCFN)	The 2014 site investigation program included the advancement of shallow boreholes to a maximum depth of 5 m to identify areas of ice-rich permafrost at the boundary of disturbed/undisturbed areas. Was 5 m sufficient to delineate the vertical extent of permafrost?	AMEC believes that the 5 m target depth established in the SI program design satisfied the program's data compilation objectives (see Report Sections 5.1 and 6.6).
Table 3.2-1 K. Winnicky (LSCFN)	The site investigation included the collection of soil samples along the length of Dome Creek to determine the extent of past migration of tailings along the creek and to delineate the extent of remediation required downstream and upstream of the Tailings Storage Facility (TSF). Sample sites included the area of blackened vegetation downstream of the TSF. Were vegetation samples collected from these areas as well to assess metal concentrations in plants along the creek?	The intent of the SI program scope was to provide the data needed to support an estimate of the contaminated sediment volumes that will require management during the remedial effort. The collection and analysis of vegetation samples that might support other objectives (e.g., ecological risk assessments) was not part of the SI program scope.
Section 3.5 - Evolution of Investigative Program K. Winnicky (LSCFN)	AMEC states that the required volumes of tailings porewater samples could only be taken from two of the designated five monitoring wells. A partial porewater sample was recovered from a third well with a limited yield, and the remaining two designated monitoring wells did not yield sufficient volumes of porewater. AMEC does not discuss potential reasons for the lack of water observed in three of the five monitoring wells. Given that these wells provide data required to assess the geochemistry of tailings porewater, and potential acidification of the tailings, some discussion of these	To clarify, full bulk water samples were collected from three of five monitoring wells. It is not unusual for wells completed in tailings to be low- producing or dry because of the fine-grained nature of the tailings. Another possible reason is the low tailings pond water level in 2014. Text revised to explain. The tailings porewater sampling requirement was driven by the treatability testing requirements for the Phase 2 water treatment plant design. It was not intended to supplement the general tailings characterization effort; the current body of tailings characterization data was



Comment ID ¹	Comment	Response
	reasons, and the potential impacts to ongoing site monitoring and refinement of closure options is	adequate for the requirements of the Phase 2 design and there is no need for MW09-01 and MW09-07 to be
	warranted. Comment as to whether these wells should	rehabilitated or replaced.
	be rehabilitated or replaced is also recommended.	
Section 4.3 - Leachate Bins	AMEC collected leachate samples from the unsaturated waste rock bin, unsaturated ore bin, unsaturated sand tailings bin and from water columns from the saturated tailings + organic bin. AMEC reports that leachate from the saturated waste rock bin was not available. It is unclear if this means that there was no leachate in the bin, or if there was some other reason that leachate was not available for sampling. Again, some description of the reason why leachate was not collected and potential remedies for the issue is warranted.	Text revised.
Section 4.7.3.1 - Kinetic Testing of Waste Rock Samples	AMEC reports that trickle leach columns were set up for non-PAG waste rock and that trickle leach column testing will be run for 20 weeks, by which time the concentrations in the column leachates are expected to stabilize. It is unclear if the column test time will be extended beyond 20 weeks should the concentrations not stabilize within that time. It is also not clear if samples of PAG waste rock were also submitted for kinetic testing, and it is unclear if humidity cell tests are being performed on any samples.	Text revised. Non-PAG waste rock will be used in the TSF area (among other areas of the site) as surface construction material. AMEC needed to have kinetic tests done on this material to determine a source term for drainage quality. Trickle leach columns can be set up using site precipitation values, as opposed to humidity cells, which use fixed water volumes. PAG waste rock samples were not submitted for testing as the material will be mixed with tailings in the pit and tailings would be considered the dominant material for the source term. If trickle leach columns are not stabilized after 20 weeks, AMEC will discuss continuing tests with AAM. Text revised to reflect explanations above.
Section 4.7.3.2 - Metal Testing of Background Soil Samples	AMEC selected 26 soil samples from 12 boreholes located adjacent to the Tailings Storage Facility, the Camp Area, and the Mill Complex area for pH and metals analysis. AMEC reports that following the analysis of these soils, six samples were selected for further metal leachable metal testing. It is unclear what criteria were used to select the samples submitted for further analysis.	Text revised.



Comment ID ¹	Comment	Response
Section 5.1.2 - Results for the Tailing Storage Facility	Permafrost was encountered in 14 of the 21 boreholes advanced in the vicinity of the Tailings Storage Facility. AMEC indicates that the southern side of the disturbed/ undisturbed boundary area had permafrost at a shallower depth, ranging from 0.8 m to 5 m depth, and the northern side for the facility had permafrost at a greater depth. It is unclear if the depths reported are the total vertical extent of permafrost, or the depth at which permafrost was initially encountered (i.e. no vertical delineation of permafrost completed).	The depth range indicated refers to the depth at which permafrost was initially encountered, not the vertical delineation of permafrost.
Section 5.10.3 - Waste Rock Area K. Winnicky (LSCFN)	AMEC reports that field observations suggest that potentially acid generating (PAG) rock could be mixed more extensively with non-acid generating rock than is currently estimated. It is unclear if this comment is based on visual observations only (i.e.) is it possible to distinguish PAG from non-PAG waste rock from visible observation only? Some discussion on this point is warranted as the ease of identify, separating and managing two waste rock streams will have significant impacts on the refinement of the closure options.	This conclusion was based on preliminary PAG/NAG distinctions that were made on the basis of visual field observations, with the suspect PAG materials exhibiting weathering, red/yellow colouration and visible sulphides.
General Comment	Has mineralogical testing been completed on waste rock or soil samples in previous studies? If not, it should be completed to assist in understanding site geochemistry.	Yes, mineralogical testing (x-ray diffraction) was previously completed on seven waste rock samples and one ore sample. AMEC does not feel that further mineralogical testing will benefit the remedial design at this time.
General Comment K. Winnicky (LSCFN)	The report presents a summary of the 2014 investigation program and the associated results. Some interpretation of these results (i.e.) hypothesis as to why certain trends are being observed, and the implications of the results as we move into closure option refinement and permitting of closure activities would be useful. For example, Section 6.11 Geochemical Considerations states that arsenic and iron concentrations in the leachate bin were higher than concentrations in leachate collected during the 2013 site investigation program. Arsenic concentrations in this leachate were one order of magnitude higher in 2014 than in 2013. No	Revisions made to text. Variability is expected due to site weather conditions. Added some comparison of loadings from 2013 to 2014 and some comments on interpretation.



Comment ID ¹	Comment	Response
	interpretation of the significant change between 2013 and 2104 is presented. If such interpretation was not part of the 2014 SI/Site Characterization scope of work, I would highly recommend that it be added to future site characterization updates. In my opinion, a detailed interpretation of analytical results, and how these results may influence refinement of closure options would be very useful as the project moves forward and would ensure that the project team has a comprehensive understanding of the geochemical characteristics of the site.	

Mount Nansen Remediation Project 2014 Site Investigation Report and Site Characterization Update March 3, 2015



Appendix 4I – Native Files CD