

## Mechanical Mercury Extraction Process® Test Results at Combie Reservoir

Carrie Monohan, Ph.D.  
Project Scientist  
The Nevada Irrigation District  
1036 West Main St.  
Grass Valley, CA 95945

Charles N. Alpers, Ph.D.  
U.S. Geological Survey, California Water Science Center  
Placer Hall  
6000 J Street  
Sacramento, CA 95819-6129

Mark Marvin-DiPasquale, Ph.D.  
U.S. Geological Survey  
345 Middlefield Rd.  
Menlo Park, CA 94025

Ted Reimchen, P. Geol.  
Pegasus Earth Sensing Corp.  
12-577 Butterworth Way NW  
Edmonton, AB T6R 2Y2

### **Abstract:**

More than 4,500,000 kilograms (10,000,000 pounds) of mercury (Hg) were used during hydraulic and hard rock mining during the California Gold Rush days, and it is estimated that 10-30% of that liquid Hg was lost to the environment (Churchill 2000, Alpers et al. 2005). Mercury is entrained in river gravels containing hydraulic mining debris and is incorporated into the aquatic food chain, causing numerous environmental and public health problems for California's communities today (CalEPA 2008, Wood et al. 2010). One of the problems is that Hg-contaminated sediment accumulates in water-supply reservoirs such as Combie Reservoir (James 2005, Alpers et al. 2006, James et al. 2009). Irrigation districts have been dredging these accumulated materials for more than 30 years (Crough 2010). The Combie Reservoir Sediment and Mercury Removal Project would dredge Hg-contaminated sediment from Combie Reservoir while implementing an innovative recovery process to remove the free elemental Hg (Hg(0)) from these materials. The project is estimated to take 3 to 5 years to complete and would remove and treat an estimated 100,000 cubic meters (135,000 cubic yards) of sediment from the upstream end of Combie Reservoir. The dredged material will be processed with the Pegasus Mercury Extraction Process® which passes the material through a specialized

centrifuge, thereby removing Hg(0) as well as other heavy minerals including gold (Au) and Au-Hg amalgam. To measure the effectiveness of this process, multiple equipment tests were conducted in September and October 2009. Total Hg (THg), methylmercury (MeHg) and reactive Hg(II) (operationally defined by reduction of divalent inorganic Hg(II) to Hg(0) with tin(II)) were measured in heads (input material) and tails (output material) from replicate closed system tests. Mass balances were calculated for water, sediment and the above forms of Hg to estimate Hg removal effectiveness in different grain-size fractions. The free Hg(0) in the sand size fraction (> 0.063 mm) that was removed by the Pegasus Mercury Extraction Process® represents approximately 93% of the calculated THg in the head material. Design modifications are being pursued to improve Hg recovery from the fine-grained fraction (< 0.063 mm). [Any use of trade, product, or firm names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.]

Alpers, C.N., Hunerlach, M.P., Marvin-DiPasquale, M.C., Antweiler, R.C., Lasorsa, B.K., DeWild, J.F., and Snyder, N.P., 2006, Geochemical data for mercury, methylmercury, and other constituents in sediments from Englebright Lake, California, 2002: U.S. Geological Survey Data Series 151, 95 p. (<http://pubs.usgs.gov/ds/2006/151/>).

Alpers, C.N., Hunerlach, M.P., May, J.T., and Hothem, R.L., 2005, Mercury contamination from historical gold mining in California: U.S. Geological Survey Fact Sheet 2005–3014, version 1.1, 6 p. (<http://pubs.usgs.gov/fs/2005/3014>).

Churchill, R.K., 2000, Contributions of mercury to California's environment from mercury and gold mining activities—Insights from the historical record, *in* Extended abstracts for the U.S. EPA-sponsored meeting, Assessing and Managing Mercury from Historic and Current Mining Activities, November 28–30, 2000, San Francisco, Calif., p. 33–36 and S35– S48.

CalEPA OEHHA, 2008, Development of Fish Contaminant Goals and Advisory Tissue Levels for Common Contaminants in California Sport Fish: Chlordane, DDTs, Dieldrin, Methylmercury, PCBs, Selenium, and Tosaphene, June 2008

Crough, T., 2010, Assistant General Manager, Nevada Irrigation District. Personal Communications regarding dredging practices at Combie Reservoir.

James, L.A., 2005, Sediment from hydraulic mining detained by Englebright and small dams in the Yuba Basin: *Geomorphology*, v. 71, no. 1–2, p. 202–226.

James L.A., Singer, M.B. S. Ghoshal, S and Megison, M., 2009, Historical channel changes in the lower Yuba and Feather Rivers, California: Long-term effects of contrasting river management strategies. *Geological Society of America Special Paper 451*, p. 57-81.

Wood, M.L., Foe, C., Cooke, J., and Louie, S.J., 2010, Sacramento – San Joaquin Delta Estuary TMDL for Methylmercury. Staff Report. Draft Report for Public Review. Regional Water Quality Control Board – Central Valley Region. February 2010, 233 p.  
[http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/rwqcb5/water\\_issues/tmdl/central\\_valley\\_projects/delta\\_hg/april\\_2010\\_hg\\_tmdl\\_hearing/index.shtml](http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/rwqcb5/water_issues/tmdl/central_valley_projects/delta_hg/april_2010_hg_tmdl_hearing/index.shtml)

## **Presentation Outline:**

### Background

- Mining History
- Environmental Setting

### Introduction

- Goals of Combie Reservoir Sediment and Mercury Removal Project

### Field Methods

- Material collection
- Setup of extraction tests
- Sampling the head material
- Processing the material
- Sampling the tail material
- Weighing the material at the end of the test

### Laboratory methods

### Results

- Analysis of the Operational efficiency of the Pegasus Mercury Removal Process
- Sediment and water mass balance
- Total mercury mass balance
- Methylmercury and reactive mercury(II) mass balance

### Discussion

### Conclusions

