**Response to Client Comments from Draft Report Version (as Received November 1, 2016)**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Comment No.** | **Page** | **Comment** | **Response** |
| 1 | 5 | While I understand that field notes are preliminary observations taken at the time of sampling and are not necessarily a conclusion on site status – field notes do indicate that this well may be plugged with bentonite. Please confirm.  This well was listed as frozen during the June sampling event – is it possible that the well may have been plugged at that point too? | This comment appears to be referring to Well MW09-17. We have reviewed the past information from this well, and it appears that it was dry and had bentonite residue on the bottom, leading to the confusion. During the spring 2016 event a frozen bentonite plug was encountered at 1.31 m below ground, hence the frozen designation (was not classified as blocked as the obstruction was only present due to frozen conditions). During the August 2016 event the DTB measurements were consistent with other previous events (spring blockage was not encountered), suggesting it was dry with bentonite residue.  What appears to be happening is that bentonite is leaking in slightly below ground level, likely through a breakage in the well casing. |
| 2 | 18 | Dry or plugged (i.e., with bentonite)? Field notes for this well indicate plugged. | The well was confirmed to be dry, but I have added some text to provide more information about the well being dry with bentonite residue. |
| 3 | 19 | While Table 3-3 does indicate that exceedances of ammonia were reported for GSI-HA-03A and GSI-HA-04A, Table A (analytical results) contradicts Table 3-3. Please double check results and confirm whether there were any exceedances of ammonia in the Mill Complex Area. | We have confirmed that table A is correct, and the issue with Table 3-3 has been corrected. |
| 4 | 19 | Deleted reference to DES for clarity – AAM restricts access to areas on site, while the C&M operator enforces it. | Acknowledged |
| 5 | 20 | I think this should be recorded in the cell above this one? (i.e., exceedance of ammonia at GSI-DC-05B, not GSI-DC-06B) | This is correct and has been corrected. |
| 6 | 20 | Table A indicates that the concentration of ammonia at GSI-HA-03A is 0.392 mg/L (and not an exceedance). Please confirm and adjust if necessary. | Table A has the correct value, and Table 3-3 has been corrected. |
| 7 | 20 | Table A indicates that the concentration of ammonia at GSI-HA-04A is 0.184 mg/L (and not an exceedance). Please confirm and adjust if necessary. | Table A has the correct value, and Table 3-3 has been corrected. |
| 8 | 22 | Table 3-2 indicates that gas measurements were obtained from these destroyed wells – is that correct?  Additionally, Table 3-2 lists MP-09-02 as frozen (not destroyed). | These records have been corrected – there should not have been gas measurements indicated for the destroyed wells, nor should MP-09-02 have been noted as being destroyed. |