|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Comment Log** | | | |
| **Document Title:** | Mount Nansen Remediation Project - Summary of 2013 Site Investigation Program (draft memo) | | |
| **Document Date:** | Draft - November 15, 2013, Final Rev 0, March 11, 2014 | **AMEC File No.** | VM00605E |
| **Comments By:** | MNRP Project Partners - AAM, AANDC, LSCFN | |  |
| **Responses By:** | AMEC Design Team – Paul Morton, Les Hardy, Judy Andrina, Nicole Jacques, George DeRidder, Renata Wood, Charles Masala, Brian Geddes | |  |
| **Response Date:** | March 11, 2014, April 2, 2014 |  |  |

| **Page, Name, Time** | **Comment (on Draft)** | | **Status / Response** | **AAM Comment (on March 11, 2014 Final)** | **AMEC Response (April 2, 2014)** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **MAIN BODY TEXT COMMENTS** | | | |  |  |
| **Page i: Comment [J1] Josee.Perron 14/01/2014 1:54:00 PM** | Can you please ensure to define acronym prior to use? | | Done |  |  |
| **Page 1: Comment [J2] Josee.Perron 14/01/2014 1:54:00 PM** | Should the Victoria Creek Wellhouse be mentioned? | | added |  |  |
| **Page 2: Comment [J3] Josee.Perron 14/01/2014 1:54:00 PM** | Should waste streams be there? | | Yes, an assessment of the waste streams from the demolition process was part of the investigation program. |  |  |
| **Page 3: Comment [CJD4] Chris Dixon 13/01/2014 10:18:00 AM** | What about future design needs, 60%, 100% design? Any comments? | | The adit should be visually examined to see the condition of the bulkhead. The text has not been changed because it correctly reflects the thinking when the investigation program was carried out and does indicate that there may be additional investigation required. |  |  |
| **Page 3: Comment [J5] Josee.Perron 14/01/2014 1:54:00 PM** | I thought it was completed during the freshet site visits? Was there no groundwater sampling event during the month of May? | | Only very limited groundwater sampling (two samples) was completed during the freshet visit. |  |  |
| **Page 4: Comment [J6] Josee.Perron 14/01/2014 1:54:00 PM** | Should be consistent with the use of either numbers or writing the numbers. | | Done. | This has not been addressed, no change noted. Please be consistent, perhaps stick to numerals. | Have updated list to use numerals (we had tried to be consistent with the writer’s guide where everything ten and less is spelled out (eleven and greater is numerals). We have now tried to use numbers in all lists but in the written part of the text have still tried to be consistent with the writers guide for the project (e.g. numbers less than ten are spelled, greater than that use numbers except at the start of a sentence where it should always be spelled, etc.) |
| **Page 4: Comment [J7] Josee.Perron 14/01/2014 1:54:00 PM** | Should verify the number, 14 mini, 5 singles and 8 new monitoring wells = 27? Is it because some were not sampled? | | Yes should be 27: 14 paired mini piezometers in the creeks, five single mini piezometers near the Huestis adit, one monitoring well at the mill and three deep monitoring wells at/ around the open pit and four shallow wells around the open pit. | Please confirm, in previous draft this was 24 samples, and it was agreed in the comments log that it should be 27. Has something organizational changed to produce 15 samples in this draft version?  Was there a well installed at the mill, I did not think so? Can you please clarify? | The groundwater sample numbers have been corrected as well as the associated figure, and Appendix B7 to be consistent with the lab results that are included in the data report (Appendix D3). The SI completion memo will also be updated to be consistent. Bullet has been updated to be clearer about successful sampling (19 not 15) vs. total number of installed locations (27).  Yes there was a drive point piezometer installed in an old sonic borehole location at the mill. It should have been BH-M-13-04 though. It was dry upon sampling. |
| **Page 7: Comment [J8] Josee.Perron 14/01/2014 1:54:00 PM** | This sentence is not complete. | | Fixed in text. |  |  |
| **Page 7: Comment [CJD9] Chris Dixon 13/01/2014 10:37:00 AM** | Are there not well installation logs provided for these wells? | | Specific well installation logs were not created. A detailed table has been created and the information provided in the logs as well. |  |  |
| **Page 7: Comment [J10] Josee.Perron 14/01/2014 1:54:00 PM** | Can you please describe the loggers’ storage capacity, i.e. How often do they need to be reset/uploaded? | | Added in text. | Appears the sentence trails off at the point where this information should be. Storage capacity or frequency of download/deleting data to make room for new data was not provided. | This has been fixed. There is 4 MB of memory in each logger able to store 600,000 readings for single channel, and 120,000 readings for multi channel. At the reading rates, this gives decades of storage. Battery life is reported to be five and seven years. |
| **Page 7: Comment [CJD11] Chris Dixon 13/01/2014 10:37:00 AM** | Who logged the holes? | | Added in text. |  |  |
| **Page 8: Comment [J12] Josee.Perron 14/01/2014 1:54:00 PM** | Please note that for all installation the casing was removed prior to installation of the standpipes. This sentence should be modified. | | Changed in text. |  |  |
| **Page 9: Comment [CJD13] Chris Dixon 13/01/2014 10:37:00 AM** | Well installation logs? | | Specific well installation logs were not created. Details are in the borehole logs and summarized n the table. |  |  |
| **Page 9: Comment [CJD14] Chris Dixon 13/01/2014 10:38:00 AM** | This well not shown on BH log. | | Fixed |  |  |
| **Page 9: Comment [W15] Wade.McMillan 14/01/2014 2:10:00 PM** | Should a caution comment be added concerning the reliability of these standpipes due to the installation procedure? | | The standpipes in the tailings (03 and 04) are expected to accurately measure the water levels in the tailings. BH-T-13-02 is also likely affected by the water level in the tailings because there is no seal. Text has been added to say this. |  |  |
| **Page 9: Comment [CJD16] Chris Dixon 13/01/2014 10:39:00 AM** | Why was this procedure used? No surface seal (I realize it is in tailings but never-the-less) is poor practice, description as to why this procedure as opposed to a standard well installation procedure should be provided | | Most of the previous installations at the site in tailings were done without a seal. Given that we wanted to measure the water level in the tailings and that there was no confining layer to place a seal in it did not seem worth delaying the program to install a seal when it was realized that there was no bentonite  on-site. |  |  |
| **Page 9: Comment [J17] Josee.Perron 14/01/2014 2:22:00 PM** | What about the waste rock characterization and the road, was it completed under the geotechnical discipline? | | Added new section in text. |  |  |
| **Page 9: Comment [CJD18] Chris Dixon 13/01/2014 10:40:00 AM** | Who collected the samples, driller or technician? Which company? | | Added in text. |  |  |
| **Page 10: Comment [W19] Wade.McMillan 14/01/2014 1:54:00 PM** | Please define before use. | | Added in text. |  |  |
| **Page 11: Comment [CJD20] Chris Dixon 13/01/2014 10:45:00 AM** | Who provided drilling services? Who did cone testing? Who did reduced cone data? | | Added in text. |  |  |
| **Page 11: Comment [W21] Wade.McMillan 14/01/2014 2:19:00 PM** | Can you please comment as to why? | | Added in text. |  |  |
| **Page 13: Comment [CJD22] Chris Dixon 13/01/2014 10:46:00 AM** | Who collected the samples, driller or technician? Which company? | | Added in text. |  |  |
| **Page 13: Comment [W23] Wade.McMillan 14/01/2014 2:20:00 PM** | What about the waste rock? Was it not part of the site characterization or was this completed under the geotechnical disciplines? | | Added new section in text. |  |  |
| **Page 16: Comment [CJD24] Chris Dixon 13/01/2014 10:47:00 AM** | Who collected samples? | | Added in text. |  |  |
| **Page 17: Comment [CJD25] Chris Dixon 13/01/2014 10:47:00 AM** | Who collected samples? | | Added in text. |  |  |
| **Page 17: Comment [W26] Wade.McMillan 14/01/2014 2:26:00 PM** | What about the reading of the loggers? It should be mentioned. | | Loggers were not read as part of water sampling. |  |  |
| **Page 18: Comment [J27] Josee.Perron 14/01/2014 2:30:00 PM** | Why are they considered unstable? | | See revision to text. Some parameters are unstable upon exposure to air. |  |  |
| **Page 18: Comment [J28] Josee.Perron 14/01/2014 2:30:00 PM** | Why is it difficult? Is it standard practice to complete it this way? | | See revision to text. Sulphide in water is highly reactive and volatile and difficult to sample and preserve for lab analysis. Preferable to measure in the field. The US Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) in Method 376.2 (Colorimetric, Methylene Blue) states that sulphide analyses “must be started immediately” as sulphide is volatile and will react with any dissolved oxygen that is introduced during sampling or analysis. Therefore, AMEC completed sulphide analyses in the field with a test kit using this method. |  |  |
| **Page 18: Comment [J29] Josee.Perron 14/01/2014 2:33:00 PM** | Please comment as to why the gases were not measured. Was it because the cold temperature would have affected the gas monitoring results? What other parameters were not captured? | | See revision to text. The paragraph as written explains that it was to minimize equipment being hauled to remote locations. |  |  |
| **Page 19: Comment [CJD30] Chris Dixon 13/01/2014 10:47:00 AM** | Who did the monitoring? | | Changed in text. |  |  |
| **Page 19: Comment [W31] Wade.McMillan 14/01/2014 2:35:00 PM** | Should a brief description of the various test conducted on the creek discussed here or is it part of another discipline? | | Updated methodologies section to include this. |  |  |
| **Page 19: Comment [W32] Wade.McMillan 14/01/2014 2:34:00 PM** | May 16-17 & 21 to 24 May 2013? These are the dates we have from the field memo issued. | | Dates corrected |  |  |
| **Page 19: Comment [CJD33] Chris Dixon 13/01/2014 10:48:00 AM** | Who went on the field trips? | | First Field Trip Robyn Andrisak (1st Field Trip), Charles Masala (2nd field trip. |  |  |
| **Page 19: Comment [W34] Wade.McMillan 14/01/2014 2:36:00 PM** | Was there no groundwater testing completed during that visit and what about water quality sampling of seeps? | | There were two groundwater samples collected; however, this section is focused on hydrotechnical aspects which is what is listed in the bullets. This has been clarified in the text. |  |  |
| **Page 24: Comment [W35] Wade.McMillan 14/01/2014 2:38:00 PM** | Can you please confirm that they were completed by EBA (not EDI)? | | Corrected. |  |  |
| **Page 24: Comment [J36] Josee.Perron 14/01/2014 2:42:00 PM** | Can you please provide additional information, i.e. which structures were looked at, what was assessed, what about roads and other infrastructures that are part of the MNRP, was the volume of material for the various waste piles assessed? What about electrical requirement for construction and what is currently available? What about hazardous material assessment? Was it not part of this discipline? | | Addressed in text, significantly more detail added. |  |  |
| **Page 24: Comment [CJD37] Chris Dixon 13/01/2014 11:05:00 AM** | Who conducted the inspections? | | Addressed in text. |  |  |
| **Page 24: Comment [J38] Josee.Perron 14/01/2014 2:39:00 PM** | Is this a typo? | | Addressed in text. |  |  |
| **Page 24: Comment [CJD39] Chris Dixon 13/01/2014 11:05:00 AM** | Who made the observations? | | Addressed in text. |  |  |
| **Page 25: Comment [W40] Wade.McMillan 14/01/2014 1:54:00 PM** | Sentence structure. | | Fixed in text. |  |  |
| **Page 25: Comment [CJD41] Chris Dixon 13/01/2014 11:06:00 AM** | Each component should include what stage of QC it is currently in. Has all the lab test data been reviewed by all reviewers? What about the borehole logs, etc? | | The report is now final; this comment is no longer relevant. |  |  |
| **Page 25: Comment [J42] Josee.Perron 14/01/2014 2:43:00 PM** | My notes and the table presented in the last appendix show 9 vs. 10 hole? | | Your notes are correct. This has been fixed. |  |  |
| **Page 25: Comment [W43] Wade.McMillan 14/01/2014 1:54:00 PM** | Should be consistent with the use of either numbers or writing the numbers. | | Done. | Some as J6 above concerning formatting with numbers. Please revise. | See response above |
| **Page 25: Comment [W44] Wade.McMillan 14/01/2014 2:44:00 PM** | Location specific, face of the dam? | | Added detail in text. |  |  |
| **Page 30: Comment [W45] Wade.McMillan 14/01/2014 2:49:00 PM** | Table presented says 4 m to 5 m. Can you please verify? | | Corrected in text. |  |  |
| **Page 30: Comment [W46] Wade.McMillan 14/01/2014 2:50:00 PM** | Please include more details. | | Added detail in text. |  |  |
| **Page 30: Comment [W47] Wade.McMillan 14/01/2014 2:51:00 PM** | Can you please comment further on the SI memo items concerning the other areas within the Mill (i.e. SO1-SO21), were they all addressed, they are certainly not all discussed in here. | | SI memo item references included in text, the only one not addressed was S16 because it was not considered a priority. This has been addressed in the text. |  |  |
| **Page 30: Comment [W48] Wade.McMillan 14/01/2014 1:54:00 PM** | Are testing results referencing the same two reported spills? | | Clarified in text. |  |  |
| **Page 31: Comment [W49] Wade.McMillan 14/01/2014 2:53:00 PM** | Why was there less PCoCs tested when the purpose of 01 and 02 are the same for both? | | Error in text, both were tested for landfill parameters. This has been corrected. |  |  |
| **Page 31: Comment [J50] Josee.Perron 14/01/2014 2:55:00 PM** | Are the bullets for 13-03 to 13-08 making reference to the same two reported fuel spills, or did we have that many reported spills? | | Corrected in text. M-13-06 was not investigation historic spills. |  |  |
| **Page 31: Comment [W51] Wade.McMillan 14/01/2014 2:53:00 PM** | Can you please provide reference to the reports that this conclusion is taken from? | | Sentence deleted. |  |  |
| **Page 31: Comment [W52] Wade.McMillan 14/01/2014 1:54:00 PM** | And 08? | | Yes, added in text. |  |  |
| **Page 32: Comment [J53] Josee.Perron 14/01/2014 2:57:00 PM** | Can you please provide a more detailed summary, what about the tanks in the mill and the ones outside the mill; were they all tested, were pictures taken? | | Detail added in text. |  |  |
| **Page 35: Comment [W54] Wade.McMillan 14/01/2014 2:59:00 PM** | Or 11, the figure shows 11? | | Yes, changed to 11. Also added longitudinal profiles. |  |  |
| **Page 38: Comment [W55] Wade.McMillan 14/01/2014 3:59:00 PM** | Was there an assessment completed with respect to what could be left in place for the remediation project (i.e. workshop, fuel distribution system, camp, electrical distribution system, etc.) and what will be needed to supplement what is already there?  Were the following items also assessed for removal – fuel system (propane, gas and diesel) and electrical distribution systems. Generators, etc…? | | No assessment; power generators should be scheduled for last removal, to be available for deconstruction use.  The gas system was not investigated. |  |  |
| **Page 39: Comment [W56] Wade.McMillan 14/01/2014 3:51:00 PM** | Was testing completed on the paint? | | Yes, the conclusion is there are no lead and PCB paint in large enough quantities to be identified as hazardous waste. |  |  |
| **Page 39: Comment [J57] Josee.Perron 14/01/2014 4:00:00 PM** | Would it be cost effective to consider this option for the site since it is so remote? | | Yes, contractors use mobile crusher machines to process crushing and extraction of steel bars from concrete in a seamless procedure. Site is remote; however, accessible by these machines and low-bed trucks. Alternative would be slow and labour intensive, meaning cost. |  |  |
| **APPENDIX COMMENTS** | | | | | |
| **Page: 48 - drawings** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 15/01/2014 8:33:50 AM** | | In addition to the comments on this page, can you please see the comments on the SI Memo? | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 09/01/2014 3:31:00 PM** | | Ketza Yard. | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 09/01/2014 3:28:20 PM** | | Camp Area. | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Sticky Note Date: 15/01/2014 8:31:06 AM** | | Some of the diamond drill holes on the map indicate the depth, some indicate the instrumentation, some indicate both. Can you please ensure that both are indicated on all? | This naming convention was adopted for the CH holes during the program for clarity because there was a change made to adjacent installations with the same number. It will be very time consuming with a lot of knock on changes to give all locations names that follow this convention. We are proposing to have a clearer summary table that includes all of that information in the text instead. |  |  |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Sticky Note Date: 15/01/2014 8:33:29 AM** | | Are these new veg plot or old ones that were assessed? The label (yellow) leads to believe that they are new ones. Can you please clarify? | Yes, they are new. |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 09/01/2014 3:29:11 PM** | | Historic Landfill. | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 09/01/2014 3:32:19 PM** | | Tailing Facility. | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 09/01/2014 3:28:55 PM** | | Mill Complex. | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 15/01/2014 8:30:00 AM** | | #10 is not shown. | Done. |  |  |
| **Page: 49 - drawing** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Sticky Note Date: 15/01/2014 8:35:19 AM** | | There is a number 7 in the background, it should be removed. | Done. |  |  |
| **Page: 51 – water level drawing** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 15/01/2014 8:40:34 AM** | | Where are GSI-PC-03 and 04? | This drawings was really only intended to show the groundwater wells that were sampled. The water levels shown were those measured during groundwater sampling and do not reflect all of the water level data collected on-site. This type of information is more suited to the site characterization report so the figure has been modified to show the groundwater wells that were successfully sampled during the fall 2013 program. |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 15/01/2014 8:39:58 AM** | | Where is CH-P-13-01 and  CH-P-13-02, is there no water in them? |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 15/01/2014 8:42:36 AM** | | The mini piezometers on Dome Creek are not on the map. Can you please insert them or was there no water in them? |  |  |
| **Page: 94 – test pit logs** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Sticky Note Date: 15/01/2014 8:48:04 AM** | | There is no log for TP-SP-13-03 to 06. | These are reclassified as grab samples, no logs. |  |  |
| **Page: 147 – test pit logs** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 15/01/2014 8:50:03 AM** | | "-" Not Observed". What was not observed, can you please clarify? | Done. |  |  |
| **Page: 148 – test pit logs** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 15/01/2014 8:51:32 AM** | | "-" Not Observed". What was not observed, can you please clarify? | Done. |  |  |
| **Page: 157 – test pit logs** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 15/01/2014 9:05:56 AM** | | This is the symbol for solid pipe with bentonite presented in the geotechnical log document, and it is different than the backfill type presented on this sheet. According to this sheet, the backfill symbol used is for drill cuttings. It should be clarified. In addition, did we not use bentonite grout for the fill and bentonite pellet to provide a seal above the sand?  If the information is not provided in details here, I would propose to insert an appendix which provides the details of all installations for the diamond drill holes; this will be important for us to have so that in the future, there is a record of what was installed. | Done. |  |  |
| **Page: 158 – core hole logs** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 15/01/2014 8:57:43 AM** | | What was used to cap the hole? Can you please provide additional details? The symbol only makes reference to a fill. | Done. |  |  |
| **Page: 159 – core hole logs** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 09/01/2014 4:03:19 PM** | | Plugged with what and at what depth? | Done. |  |  |
| **Page: 160 – core hole logs** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 15/01/2014 8:58:59 AM** | | See CH-P-13-01 comment. | Done. |  |  |
| **Page: 161 – core hole logs** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 15/01/2014 9:07:21 AM** | | A combo of bentonite grout and cement slurry was used; is this the common sign for it? There is no symbol found in the table for this combo; presently the symbol used is for grout. | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 15/01/2014 9:08:32 AM** | | Can you please show where the beads were installed, or as per previous comments, provide an appendix with all the details of the installations. | Done. |  |  |
| **Page: 163 – core hole logs** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 09/01/2014 4:23:29 PM** | | Add pipe size for future reference, same on all diagrams... please include symbol for nested vibrating wires on drawings. Also include pointer for reference to installation schematic for nested wires and/or all sensor arrays, wiring, etc. | Done. |  |  |
| **Page: 164 – core hole logs** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 09/01/2014 4:10:24 PM** | | Same comment as CH-P-13-01/10. | Done. |  |  |
| **Page: 167 – core hole logs** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 15/01/2014 9:09:56 AM** | | Same comments as previous bentonite grout, bentonite pellets, sand, beads location? | Done. |  |  |
| **Page: 168 – core hole logs** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 09/01/2014 4:25:29 PM** | | Same. | Done. |  |  |
| **Page: 169 – core hole logs** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 15/01/2014 9:10:14 AM** | | Same comments as 03. | Done. |  |  |
| **Page: 170 – core hole logs** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 15/01/2014 9:10:32 AM** | | Same comments as 03. | Done. |  |  |
| **Page: 174 – core hole logs** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 15/01/2014 9:10:42 AM** | | Same comments. | Done. |  |  |
| **Page: 175 – core hole logs** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 15/01/2014 9:11:05 AM** | | Same comments as 02. | Done. |  |  |
| **Page: 195 – CPT** | |  |  |  |  |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Sticky Note Date: 15/01/2014 9:11:51 AM** | | There is no log for CPT-13-15, 19 and 20, can you please insert? | All CPT plots have been provided with a summary table up front to provide a complete list of which ones. |  |  |
| **Page: 196 – CPT** | |  |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 15/01/2014 9:15:19 AM** | | Has been provided and should, therefore, be included on all sheets. Can you please modify? | Corrected. |  |  |
| **Page: 226 – CPT** | |  |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 15/01/2014 9:16:58 AM** | | Was a test performed at 11? If so, the results have not been presented. | Table summarizing the dissipation tests has been added and the plots are updated to be consistent with that. |  |  |
| **Page: 229 - CPT** | |  |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 15/01/2014 9:18:56 AM** | | Test results for 15, 16, 19 or 20?? | Plots updated and summary table provided. | Now missing  CPT-13-17 dissipation results. It was included in the previous version. | Per the table at the start of the dissipation results, the dissipation in CPT-13-17 did not reach 50% equilibrium so was removed from the CPT plots and the dissipation test was not included in the data report. These results will be included in the electronic copies. |
| **Page: 233** | |  |  |  |  |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Sticky Note Date: 15/01/2014 9:21:54 AM** | | Can you please insert page numbers on the various memos? | As discussed with AAM, memo templates will not be changed for this report and page numbers will not be added to the appendices. This will be taken into consideration for future reports and for the design report. |  |  |
| **Page: 234 – hydrotechnical data report** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Inserted Text Date: 15/01/2014 9:22:16 AM** | | Of | Done. |  |  |
| **Page: 235– hydrotechnical data report** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Inserted Text Date: 15/01/2014 10:19:45 AM** | | Km or kg? | Kg - Done. |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Comment on Text Date: 15/01/2014 10:20:37 AM** | | Were the samples also tested for geochemistry? | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Cross-Out Date: 15/01/2014 9:23:54 AM** | |  |  |  |  |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Inserted Text Date: 15/01/2014 9:24:08 AM** | | The | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 15/01/2014 9:24:41 AM** | | Map shows six sections. Can you please clarify if five or six were completed? | Yes, six - done. |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Highlight Date: 09/01/2014 4:44:26 PM** | |  |  |  |  |
| **Page: 238– hydrotechnical data report** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 15/01/2014 9:25:25 AM** | | It is documented by the site operator, and provided to AMEC on a regular basis. | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Highlight Date: 09/01/2014 4:46:46 PM** | |  |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 15/01/2014 9:25:57 AM** | | Is the logger currently in the pit able to provide that information? | Yes, the logger is providing that information - text updated. |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 09/01/2014 4:46:00 PM** | | Was this done? | The seeps were not sampled during the fall 2013 event. It is not clear that there was any significant flow at that time. |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Highlight Date: 09/01/2014 4:46:04 PM** | |  |  |  |  |
| **Page: 240 hydrotechnical data report** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Highlight Date: 09/01/2014 4:48:20 PM** | |  |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 15/01/2014 9:26:52 AM** | | Is it possible that these two flows are so different? Where is the water going? | Yes, because the flow d/s of the TSF is seepage flow before it is combined with the diversion channel. |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Highlight Date: 09/01/2014 4:48:34 PM** | |  |  |  |  |
| **Page: 244 hydrotechnical data report** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 15/01/2014 9:27:36 AM** | | It would be useful to have the image in the background for the site plan. | This is the original data (figure) as provided by YES. Same information is provided on Figure 1 by AMEC with background. |  |  |
| **Page: 248 geo reconnaissance** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 10/01/2014 9:14:48 AM** | | Mount Nansen is a proper name. Mt. should not be used in these documents. | Fixed. |  |  |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Replacement Text Date: 15/01/2014 9:28:18 AM** | | Mount. | Fixed. |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 09/01/2014 4:52:33 PM** | | Mount Nansen and not a mine anymore; just "site"; continue with edit throughout document please. | Fixed. |  |  |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Cross-Out Date: 15/01/2014 9:28:24 AM** | |  |  |  |  |
| **Page: 249 geo reconnaissance** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Replacement Text Date: 15/01/2014 9:29:22 AM** | | Potentially | Fixed. |  |  |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Inserted Text Date: 15/01/2014 9:29:33 AM** | | Slope | Fixed. |  |  |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Replacement Text Date: 15/01/2014 9:30:04 AM** | | Mount. | Fixed. |  |  |
| **Page: 252 geo reconnaissance** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Replacement Text Date: 15/01/2014 9:30:33 AM** | | It | Fixed. |  |  |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Inserted Text Date: 15/01/2014 9:30:48 AM** | | The | Fixed. |  |  |
| **Page: 253 geo reconnaissance** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 15/01/2014 9:32:41 AM** | | These piles of rock are part of the diversion, some are for emergency repair; in addition, some have ARD potential (see EBA reports). | Updated text to reflect this. Couldn’t find the specific EBA report that talked about the ARD potential of the riprap so that comment isn’t included. |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Highlight Date: 10/01/2014 9:21:08 AM** | |  |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 15/01/2014 9:34:28 AM** | | Who provided that information? I am uncertain that HPW is using this source. We should be careful of such statement, and if it is correct, the person providing the information should be mentioned. I suggest removing this sentence. | Deleted. |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Highlight Date: 10/01/2014 9:22:24 AM** | |  |  |  |  |
| **Page: 256 – reclamation memo** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Sticky Note Date: 15/01/2014 9:37:09 AM** | | The memo should have page numbers. | As discussed with AAM, memo templates will not be changed for this report and page numbers will not be added to the appendices. This will be taken into consideration for future reports and for the design report. |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 10/01/2014 9:23:49 AM** | | Note previous comments concerning Mount vs. MT. use. | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Replacement Text Date: 15/01/2014 9:34:49 AM** | | Mount | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Replacement Text Date: 15/01/2014 9:34:55 AM** | | Mount | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Replacement Text Date: 15/01/2014 9:35:11 AM** | | Mount | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Replacement Text Date: 15/01/2014 9:35:38 AM** | | Mount | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Replacement Text Date: 15/01/2014 9:36:29 AM** | | Mount | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Cross-Out Date: 15/01/2014 9:36:37 AM** | |  |  |  |  |
| **Page: 257– reclamation memo** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Replacement Text Date: 15/01/2014 9:37:27 AM** | | Wellhouse | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 10/01/2014 9:26:15 AM** | | Are these new soil plots? | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Cross-Out Date: 15/01/2014 9:37:41 AM** | |  |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Highlight Date: 10/01/2014 9:25:53 AM** | |  |  |  |  |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Replacement Text Date: 15/01/2014 9:38:16 AM** | | Mount | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Replacement Text Date: 15/01/2014 9:38:27 AM** | | Mount | Done. |  |  |
| **Page: 259– reclamation memo** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Replacement Text Date: 15/01/2014 9:38:44 AM** | | Mount | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Inserted Text Date: 15/01/2014 9:38:52 AM** | | Mount | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Inserted Text Date: 15/01/2014 9:38:59 AM** | | Mount | Done. |  |  |
| **Page: 260– reclamation memo** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Inserted Text Date: 15/01/2014 9:39:30 AM** | | Mount | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Inserted Text Date: 15/01/2014 9:39:36 AM** | | Mount | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Inserted Text Date: 15/01/2014 9:39:50 AM** | | Mount | Done. |  |  |
| **Page: 262 – reclamation memo** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Cross-Out Date: 15/01/2014 9:40:05 AM** | |  |  |  |  |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Replacement Text Date: 15/01/2014 9:40:00 AM** | | Mount | Done. |  |  |
| **Page: 265 – mill area memo** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Inserted Text Date: 15/01/2014 10:24:12 AM** | | Randell | Done. |  |  |
| **Page: 266 – mill area memo** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Inserted Text Date: 15/01/2014 12:02:29 PM** | | The | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Replacement Text Date: 15/01/2014 12:02:16 PM** | | At | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Inserted Text Date: 15/01/2014 10:25:14 AM** | | The | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Inserted Text Date: 15/01/2014 12:03:01 PM** | | The | Done. |  |  |
| **Page: 268 – mill area memo** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Highlight Date: 10/01/2014 9:39:24 AM** | | Incomplete sentence... | Done. |  |  |
| **Page: 269 – mill area memo** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Inserted Text Date: 15/01/2014 10:27:59 AM** | | Huestis | Done. |  |  |
| **Page: 270 – mill area memo** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Comment on Text Date: 15/01/2014 12:04:48 PM** | | Potential adit? | AMEC is not aware that there could be an adit in the mill location - no changes are proposed here. |  |  |
| **Page: 271– mill area memo** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Inserted Text Date: 15/01/2014 12:04:52 PM** | | . | Done. |  |  |
| **Page: 276 – mill area memo drawing** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: shane.magnusson Subject: Polygon Date: 03/12/2013 3:13:21 PM** | |  | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Sticky Note Date: 15/01/2014 12:06:11 PM** | | Huestis | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: shane.magnusson Subject: Text Box Date: 15/01/2014 12:06:33 PM** | | Huestis Working Area | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: shane.magnusson Subject: Polygon Date: 03/12/2013 3:10:49 PM** | |  | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: shane.magnusson Subject: Polygon Date: 03/12/2013 3:10:59 PM** | |  | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: shane.magnusson Subject: Text Box Date: 03/12/2013 3:12:18 PM** | | Mid Bench | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: shane.magnusson Subject: Text Box Date: 03/12/2013 3:05:08 PM** | | Sedimentation Pond #3 | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: shane.magnusson Subject: Line Date: 03/12/2013 3:06:26 PM** | |  | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: shane.magnusson Subject: Polygon Date: 03/12/2013 3:08:55 PM** | |  | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: shane.magnusson Subject: Text Box Date: 03/12/2013 3:12:31 PM** | | Lower Bench | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: shane.magnusson Subject: Line Date: 03/12/2013 3:06:19 PM** | |  | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: shane.magnusson Subject: Line Date: 03/12/2013 3:06:06 PM** | |  | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: shane.magnusson Subject: Text Box Date: 03/12/2013 3:05:13 PM** | | Sedimentation Pond #2 | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: shane.magnusson Subject: Text Box Date: 03/12/2013 3:11:58 PM** | | Upper Bench | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: shane.magnusson Subject: Line Date: 03/12/2013 3:26:23 PM** | |  | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: shane.magnusson Subject: Text Box Date: 03/12/2013 3:04:47 PM** | | Sedimentation Pond #1 | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: shane.magnusson Subject: Text Box Date: 15/01/2014 12:07:00 PM** | | Landfill Area | Done. |  |  |
| **Page: 278 – tailings area memo** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Cross-Out Date: 15/01/2014 10:30:13 AM** | |  | Deleted “mine”, no other occurrences in document. |  |  |
| **Page: 279 – tailings area memo** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Comment on Text Date: 15/01/2014 12:08:40 PM** | | Was there no observation completed during the sonic drilling program, if so it should also be inserted in this memo. | This section focuses on the excavation behaviour of the tailings. The section has been retitled and the intro paragraph modified to make this more clear. The sonic boreholes aren’t included here because they don’t inform on this topic. The response of the tailings to the drill rig is discussed later. |  |  |
| **Page: 282– tailings area memo** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Cross-Out Date: 15/01/2014 10:32:42 AM** | |  | Corrected. |  |  |
| **Page: 283– tailings area memo** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Highlight Date: 15/01/2014 12:10:27 PM** | | Please provide explanation, e.g. use of bucket to... in what manner, is pumped the right wording to describe this item? | Corrected. |  |  |
| **Page: 284 – tailings area memo** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Inserted Text Date: 15/01/2014 10:33:14 AM** | | The | Corrected. |  |  |
| **Page: 285– tailings area memo** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Inserted Text Date: 15/01/2014 12:11:45 PM** | | Interceptor Ditch vs. Dome Creek? | Source of water not clearly identified have added several possibilities. |  |  |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Sticky Note Date: 15/01/2014 12:14:32 PM** | | The colour on the map is yellow. I would suggest to either change the colour in the text (yellow vs. orange) or to change the colour on the map. | Done. |  |  |
| **Page: 289– tailings area memo** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Highlight Date: 10/01/2014 9:53:32 AM** | | 03? | Corrected, it was encountered in 03 and 04, not 05. |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Highlight Date: 10/01/2014 9:53:47 AM** | | 05 |  |  |
| **Page: 291 – infrastructure site memo** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Inserted Text Date: 15/01/2014 12:16:08 PM** | | Mount Nansen Remediation Project | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Inserted Text Date: 15/01/2014 10:37:25 AM** | | Remove space. | Done. |  |  |
| **Page: 292 – infrastructure site memo** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Inserted Text Date: 15/01/2014 10:38:01 AM** | | Camp Shed | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Inserted Text Date: 15/01/2014 12:22:46 PM** | | Cookhouse | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Inserted Text Date: 15/01/2014 10:38:25 AM** | | Wellhouse | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Inserted Text Date: 15/01/2014 10:42:07 AM** | | Please reword for sentence structure. | Reworded. |  |  |
| **Page: 293 – infrastructure site memo image** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Highlight Date: 15/01/2014 12:23:46 PM** | | Camp Shed | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Highlight Date: 10/01/2014 9:57:52 AM** | | Cookhouse | Done. |  |  |
| **Page: 294 – infrastructure site memo image** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Highlight Date: 10/01/2014 9:58:22 AM** | | Cookhouse | Done. |  |  |
| **Page: 296 – water sampling memo** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 10/01/2014 9:59:13 AM** | | Please include page numbers on document. | Done. |  |  |
| **Page: 307 – water sampling memo** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Inserted Text Date: 15/01/2014 10:48:15 AM** | | Results for 2013. Error carried over next several pages. | Done. | Was 2013 Groundwater analysis moved to its own section? | Yes, the results were moved to Appendix D3 so it was easier to find rather than being buried in the back of the sampling methodology memo. |
| **Page: 337 – site characterization memo** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Inserted Text Date: 15/01/2014 10:51:39 AM** | | As per previous comments, please ensure Mt. is replaced by Mount. | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Replacement Text Date: 15/01/2014 12:29:18 PM** | | Mount | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Cross-Out Date: 15/01/2014 10:52:10 AM** | |  | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Cross-Out Date: 15/01/2014 10:52:32 AM** | |  | Done | Mill area - Page 356 in March 11 document: It appears metals was crossed out by two reviewers. The error was that metals was mentioned in the same sentence twice, not that metals should not be included. Please reinsert metals into the sentence if indeed it should be there. | Metals has been reinserted. |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Cross-Out Date: 15/01/2014 12:29:33 PM** | |  | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Inserted Text Date: 15/01/2014 12:29:24 PM** | | And | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Highlight Date: 15/01/2014 12:30:32 PM** | | Can you please confirm that there was also concern for tailings, why? Tailings were sent through a pipeline to the tailings facility? | Done – removed note of tailings. |  |  |
| **Page: 338 – site characterization memo** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Highlight Date: 15/01/2014 12:31:21 PM** | | What about at the historical tailings pond? I thought that some samples were taken by hand augering or by hand? | Addressed in Report text body Section 3.4. |  |  |
| **Page: 340 – site characterization memo** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Comment on Text Date: 15/01/2014 10:54:27 AM** | | Which report? Please provide reference. | Removed note. |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Cross-Out Date: 15/01/2014 10:54:46 AM** | |  | Done. |  |  |
| **Page: 343 – site characterization memo** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Comment on Text Date: 15/01/2014 10:57:05 AM** | | Please correct sentence. | Done. |  |  |
| **Page: 344 – site characterization memo** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Inserted Text Date: 15/01/2014 10:57:36 AM** | | Of | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Inserted Text Date: 15/01/2014 12:33:20 PM** | | Of | Done. |  |  |
| **Page: 345 – site characterization memo** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Comment on Text Date: 15/01/2014 12:33:48 PM** | | Were both tanks tested? Was water and sediment at the bottom of both tanks and if so was it tested? | Corrected. |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Highlight Date: 10/01/2014 10:10:49 AM** | | Contain what? | Done - typo fixed. |  |  |
| **Page: 346 – site characterization memo** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Highlight Date: 10/01/2014 10:11:21 AM** | | By the camp? | Done – moved to mill section. |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Inserted Text Date: 15/01/2014 11:02:58 AM** | | Are not | Done. | Still a typo - …area are not yet available… | Removed entire sentence as it is no longer relevant. |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Inserted Text Date: 15/01/2014 12:34:34 PM** | | Yet | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Inserted Text Date: 15/01/2014 11:03:47 AM** | | Are | Done. |  |  |
| **Page: 347 – site characterization memo** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Inserted Text Date: 15/01/2014 12:35:50 PM** | | ? wording | Done – “entire”. |  |  |
| **Page: 349 – site characterization memo** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Highlight Date: 15/01/2014 12:36:56 PM** | | What about the three propane tanks by the cookhouse and the gas tank by the bunkhouse? | Done - typo. |  |  |
| **Page: 350 – site characterization memo** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Replacement Text Date: 15/01/2014 12:37:16 PM** | | Mount | Done. |  |  |
| **Page: 351 – Site Photos** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Sticky Note Date: 15/01/2014 1:47:03 PM** | | There are no photos for borehole related to site characterization and veg plot. If some were taken, they should be inserted in this appendix. | Site Characterization photos have been added as Appendix C8. The veg plot photos are in Appendix C7. |  |  |
| **Page: 360 – Site Photos** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Highlight Date: 15/01/2014 1:40:29 PM** | | Should this be Northeast vs. Northwest? | Done. |  |  |
| **Page: 365 – Site Photos** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Replacement Text Date: 15/01/2014 1:40:53 PM** | | Wellhouse | Done. |  |  |
| **Page: 368 – Site Photos** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Replacement Text Date: 15/01/2014 1:41:31 PM** | | BA | Done. |  |  |
| **Page: 393 – Site Photos** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Highlight Date: 10/01/2014 10:23:52 AM** | | Please place under applicable photo. | Done. | Although the labels are under the photos, the photo labels are reversed left to right for both the top two photos and the bottom two photos. | Corrected. |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Highlight Date: 10/01/2014 10:23:55 AM** | |  |  |  |  |
| **Page: 414 – Site Photos** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Replacement Text Date: 15/01/2014 1:43:33 PM** | | In | Done. |  |  |
| **Page: 450 – Geoprobe photos** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Highlight Date: 15/01/2014 1:44:03 PM** | | There is no photo for GP-T-21 & 23? | No photos available – put a note in. |  |  |
| **Page: 518 – Drive point Piezo photos** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Highlight Date: 15/01/2014 1:45:46 PM** | | Where are the photos for GSI-HAs? | Done. |  |  |
| **Page: 532 – Geotech lab results** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 15/01/2014 11:10:28 AM** | | Please provide page numbers and bookmark? | As discussed with AAM, page numbers will not be added to this document. |  |  |
| **Page: 908 – Site characterization lab results** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 10/01/2014 10:46:55 AM** | | Page numbers | As discussed with AAM, page numbers will not be added to this document. |  |  |
| **Page: 1036 – CPT specs** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 15/01/2014 1:52:46 PM** | | Can you please specify which items were used out of the ones presented in the specification sheet (ex. 615N, 615, 615C are presented, which one was used for this program?)? | 615 N, etc., is the drive point piezometers. The CPT used was a “Classic” with the wiring running down the centre. Text added to supplier sheet to indicate this. |  |  |
| **Page: 1056 – Geoprobe sampler** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 15/01/2014 1:53:06 PM** | | There is no information provided. Can you please insert? | This got missed when pulling the pdf together. It is now included. |  |  |
| **Page: 1128 – SI program summary memo** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 15/01/2014 1:54:01 PM** | | Once final, this version should be replaced with the updated version. | Done. |  |  |
| **Page: 1153 – Investigation summary Table** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 10/01/2014 11:02:57 AM** | | Page numbers | Per discussions with AAM, appendix page numbers will not be added to this report. |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Highlight Date: 10/01/2014 11:10:21 AM** | | + VW | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Highlight Date: 10/01/2014 11:10:42 AM** | | + VW | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 15/01/2014 1:55:47 PM** | | The dissipation test are not identified, it should be mentioned where they were performed? | Done. |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Highlight Date: 10/01/2014 10:58:33 AM** | |  |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Highlight Date: 15/01/2014 1:56:27 PM** | | CPT-T-13-15, -19, -20 there was no log provided. Can you please insert them in the appropriate appendix? | Logs have been updated and summary table provided |  |  |
| **Page: 1154 – Investigation summary Table** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 15/01/2014 1:57:53 PM** | | GSI-DC-04? There is a picture showing this one; however, it is not identified on the map or in this table. Can you please clarify? | Done. |  |  |
| **Page: 1155 – Investigation summary Table** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Highlight Date: 15/01/2014 1:59:04 PM** | | TP-SP-13-01 & 02 missing. I thought these were replaced by hand augering or grab samples? Can you please clarify?  There is no log for TP-SP-03 to 06. Can you please provide? | Did not complete TP-SP-01 and 02. TP-SP-03-06 have been reclassified to grab samples. |  |  |
| **Page: 1156 – Investigation summary Table** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 15/01/2014 2:01:24 PM** | | What about hand augers on Dome Creek? These are not identified in this table. Can you please include and provide logs and pictures? | Done. |  |  |
| **Page: 1157 – Investigation summary Table** | | |  |  |  |
| **Author: jkperron Subject: Sticky Note Date: 15/01/2014 2:02:01 PM** | | FL Flow Measurement | Done. |  |  |