



## COMMENT LOG

**Document Title:** Mount Nansen Remediation Project - Summary of 2013 Site Investigation Program (draft memo)  
**Document Date:** Draft - November 15, 2013, Final Rev 0, March 11, 2014 **AMEC File No.** VM00605E  
**Comments By:** MNRP Project Partners - AAM, AANDC, LSCFN  
**Responses By:** AMEC Design Team – Paul Morton, Les Hardy, Judy Andrina, Nicole Jacques, George DeRidder, Renata Wood, Charles Masala, Brian Geddes  
**Response Date:** March 11, 2014, April 2, 2014

Page, Name, Time	Comment (on Draft)	Status / Response	AAM Comment (on March 11, 2014 Final)	AMEC Response (April 2, 2014)
<b>MAIN BODY TEXT COMMENTS</b>				
<b>Page i: Comment [J1] Josee.Perron 14/01/2014 1:54:00 PM</b>	Can you please ensure to define acronym prior to use?	Done		
<b>Page 1: Comment [J2] Josee.Perron 14/01/2014 1:54:00 PM</b>	Should the Victoria Creek Wellhouse be mentioned?	added		
<b>Page 2: Comment [J3] Josee.Perron 14/01/2014 1:54:00 PM</b>	Should waste streams be there?	Yes, an assessment of the waste streams from the demolition process was part of the investigation program.		
<b>Page 3: Comment [CJD4] Chris Dixon 13/01/2014 10:18:00 AM</b>	What about future design needs, 60%, 100% design? Any comments?	The adit should be visually examined to see the condition of the bulkhead. The text has not been changed because it correctly reflects the thinking when the investigation program was carried out and does		



Page, Name, Time	Comment (on Draft)	Status / Response	AAM Comment (on March 11, 2014 Final)	AMEC Response (April 2, 2014)
		indicate that there may be additional investigation required.		
<b>Page 3: Comment [J5] Josee.Perron 14/01/2014 1:54:00 PM</b>	I thought it was completed during the freshet site visits? Was there no groundwater sampling event during the month of May?	Only very limited groundwater sampling (two samples) was completed during the freshet visit.		
<b>Page 4: Comment [J6] Josee.Perron 14/01/2014 1:54:00 PM</b>	Should be consistent with the use of either numbers or writing the numbers.	Done.	This has not been addressed, no change noted. Please be consistent, perhaps stick to numerals.	Have updated list to use numerals (we had tried to be consistent with the writer's guide where everything ten and less is spelled out (eleven and greater is numerals). We have now tried to use numbers in all lists but in the written part of the text have still tried to be consistent with the writers guide for the project (e.g. numbers less than ten are spelled, greater than that use numbers except at the start of a sentence where it should always be spelled, etc.)
<b>Page 4: Comment [J7] Josee.Perron 14/01/2014 1:54:00 PM</b>	Should verify the number, 14 mini, 5 singles and 8 new monitoring wells = 27? Is it because some were not sampled?	Yes should be 27: 14 paired mini piezometers in the creeks, five single mini piezometers near the Huestis adit, one monitoring well at the mill and three deep monitoring wells at/	Please confirm, in previous draft this was 24 samples, and it was agreed in the comments log that it should be 27. Has something	The groundwater sample numbers have been corrected as well as the associated figure, and Appendix B7 to be consistent with the lab



Page, Name, Time	Comment (on Draft)	Status / Response	AAM Comment (on March 11, 2014 Final)	AMEC Response (April 2, 2014)
		around the open pit and four shallow wells around the open pit.	<p>organizational changed to produce 15 samples in this draft version?</p> <p>Was there a well installed at the mill, I did not think so? Can you please clarify?</p>	<p>results that are included in the data report (Appendix D3). The SI completion memo will also be updated to be consistent. Bullet has been updated to be clearer about successful sampling (19 not 15) vs. total number of installed locations (27).</p> <p>Yes there was a drive point piezometer installed in an old sonic borehole location at the mill. It should have been BH-M-13-04 though. It was dry upon sampling.</p>
<b>Page 7: Comment [J8] Josee.Perron 14/01/2014 1:54:00 PM</b>	This sentence is not complete.	Fixed in text.		
<b>Page 7: Comment [CJD9] Chris Dixon 13/01/2014 10:37:00 AM</b>	Are there not well installation logs provided for these wells?	Specific well installation logs were not created. A detailed table has been created and the information provided in the logs as well.		
<b>Page 7: Comment [J10] Josee.Perron 14/01/2014 1:54:00 PM</b>	Can you please describe the loggers' storage capacity, i.e. How often do they need to be reset/uploaded?	Added in text.	Appears the sentence trails off at the point where this information should be. Storage capacity or frequency of download/deleting data	This has been fixed. There is 4 MB of memory in each logger able to store 600,000 readings for single channel, and 120,000



Page, Name, Time	Comment (on Draft)	Status / Response	AAM Comment (on March 11, 2014 Final)	AMEC Response (April 2, 2014)
			to make room for new data was not provided.	readings for multi channel. At the reading rates, this gives decades of storage. Battery life is reported to be five and seven years.
<b>Page 7: Comment [CJD11] Chris Dixon 13/01/2014 10:37:00 AM</b>	Who logged the holes?	Added in text.		
<b>Page 8: Comment [J12] Josee.Perron 14/01/2014 1:54:00 PM</b>	Please note that for all installation the casing was removed prior to installation of the standpipes. This sentence should be modified.	Changed in text.		
<b>Page 9: Comment [CJD13] Chris Dixon 13/01/2014 10:37:00 AM</b>	Well installation logs?	Specific well installation logs were not created. Details are in the borehole logs and summarized in the table.		
<b>Page 9: Comment [CJD14] Chris Dixon 13/01/2014 10:38:00 AM</b>	This well not shown on BH log.	Fixed		
<b>Page 9: Comment [W15] Wade.McMillan 14/01/2014 2:10:00 PM</b>	Should a caution comment be added concerning the reliability of these standpipes due to the installation procedure?	The standpipes in the tailings (03 and 04) are expected to accurately measure the water levels in the tailings. BH-T-13-02 is also likely affected by the water level in the tailings because there is no seal. Text has been added to say this.		



Page, Name, Time	Comment (on Draft)	Status / Response	AAM Comment (on March 11, 2014 Final)	AMEC Response (April 2, 2014)
<b>Page 9: Comment [CJD16] Chris Dixon 13/01/2014 10:39:00 AM</b>	Why was this procedure used? No surface seal (I realize it is in tailings but never-the-less) is poor practice, description as to why this procedure as opposed to a standard well installation procedure should be provided	Most of the previous installations at the site in tailings were done without a seal. Given that we wanted to measure the water level in the tailings and that there was no confining layer to place a seal in it did not seem worth delaying the program to install a seal when it was realized that there was no bentonite on-site.		
<b>Page 9: Comment [J17] Josee.Perron 14/01/2014 2:22:00 PM</b>	What about the waste rock characterization and the road, was it completed under the geotechnical discipline?	Added new section in text.		
<b>Page 9: Comment [CJD18] Chris Dixon 13/01/2014 10:40:00 AM</b>	Who collected the samples, driller or technician? Which company?	Added in text.		
<b>Page 10: Comment [W19] Wade.McMillan 14/01/2014 1:54:00 PM</b>	Please define before use.	Added in text.		
<b>Page 11: Comment [CJD20] Chris Dixon 13/01/2014 10:45:00 AM</b>	Who provided drilling services? Who did cone testing? Who did reduced cone data?	Added in text.		
<b>Page 11: Comment [W21] Wade.McMillan 14/01/2014 2:19:00 PM</b>	Can you please comment as to why?	Added in text.		



Page, Name, Time	Comment (on Draft)	Status / Response	AAM Comment (on March 11, 2014 Final)	AMEC Response (April 2, 2014)
<b>Page 13: Comment [CJD22] Chris Dixon 13/01/2014 10:46:00 AM</b>	Who collected the samples, driller or technician? Which company?	Added in text.		
<b>Page 13: Comment [W23] Wade.McMillan 14/01/2014 2:20:00 PM</b>	What about the waste rock? Was it not part of the site characterization or was this completed under the geotechnical disciplines?	Added new section in text.		
<b>Page 16: Comment [CJD24] Chris Dixon 13/01/2014 10:47:00 AM</b>	Who collected samples?	Added in text.		
<b>Page 17: Comment [CJD25] Chris Dixon 13/01/2014 10:47:00 AM</b>	Who collected samples?	Added in text.		
<b>Page 17: Comment [W26] Wade.McMillan 14/01/2014 2:26:00 PM</b>	What about the reading of the loggers? It should be mentioned.	Loggers were not read as part of water sampling.		
<b>Page 18: Comment [J27] Josee.Perron 14/01/2014 2:30:00 PM</b>	Why are they considered unstable?	See revision to text. Some parameters are unstable upon exposure to air.		
<b>Page 18: Comment [J28] Josee.Perron 14/01/2014 2:30:00 PM</b>	Why is it difficult? Is it standard practice to complete it this way?	See revision to text. Sulphide in water is highly reactive and volatile and difficult to sample and preserve for lab analysis. Preferable to measure in the field. The US Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) in Method 376.2 (Colorimetric,		



Page, Name, Time	Comment (on Draft)	Status / Response	AAM Comment (on March 11, 2014 Final)	AMEC Response (April 2, 2014)
		Methylene Blue) states that sulphide analyses “must be started immediately” as sulphide is volatile and will react with any dissolved oxygen that is introduced during sampling or analysis. Therefore, AMEC completed sulphide analyses in the field with a test kit using this method.		
<b>Page 18: Comment [J29] Josee.Perron 14/01/2014 2:33:00 PM</b>	Please comment as to why the gases were not measured. Was it because the cold temperature would have affected the gas monitoring results? What other parameters were not captured?	See revision to text. The paragraph as written explains that it was to minimize equipment being hauled to remote locations.		
<b>Page 19: Comment [CJD30] Chris Dixon 13/01/2014 10:47:00 AM</b>	Who did the monitoring?	Changed in text.		
<b>Page 19: Comment [W31] Wade.McMillan 14/01/2014 2:35:00 PM</b>	Should a brief description of the various test conducted on the creek discussed here or is it part of another discipline?	Updated methodologies section to include this.		
<b>Page 19: Comment [W32] Wade.McMillan 14/01/2014 2:34:00 PM</b>	May 16-17 & 21 to 24 May 2013? These are the dates we have from the field memo issued.	Dates corrected		
<b>Page 19: Comment [CJD33] Chris Dixon 13/01/2014 10:48:00 AM</b>	Who went on the field trips?	First Field Trip Robyn Andrisak (1 <sup>st</sup> Field Trip), Charles Masala (2 <sup>nd</sup> field trip.		



Page, Name, Time	Comment (on Draft)	Status / Response	AAM Comment (on March 11, 2014 Final)	AMEC Response (April 2, 2014)
<b>Page 19: Comment [W34] Wade.McMillan 14/01/2014 2:36:00 PM</b>	Was there no groundwater testing completed during that visit and what about water quality sampling of seeps?	There were two groundwater samples collected; however, this section is focused on hydrotechnical aspects which is what is listed in the bullets. This has been clarified in the text.		
<b>Page 24: Comment [W35] Wade.McMillan 14/01/2014 2:38:00 PM</b>	Can you please confirm that they were completed by EBA (not EDI)?	Corrected.		
<b>Page 24: Comment [J36] Josee.Perron 14/01/2014 2:42:00 PM</b>	Can you please provide additional information, i.e. which structures were looked at, what was assessed, what about roads and other infrastructures that are part of the MNRP, was the volume of material for the various waste piles assessed? What about electrical requirement for construction and what is currently available? What about hazardous material assessment? Was it not part of this discipline?	Addressed in text, significantly more detail added.		
<b>Page 24: Comment [CJD37] Chris Dixon 13/01/2014 11:05:00 AM</b>	Who conducted the inspections?	Addressed in text.		
<b>Page 24: Comment [J38] Josee.Perron 14/01/2014 2:39:00 PM</b>	Is this a typo?	Addressed in text.		
<b>Page 24: Comment [CJD39] Chris Dixon 13/01/2014 11:05:00 AM</b>	Who made the observations?	Addressed in text.		





Page, Name, Time	Comment (on Draft)	Status / Response	AAM Comment (on March 11, 2014 Final)	AMEC Response (April 2, 2014)
<b>Page 25: Comment [W40] Wade.McMillan 14/01/2014 1:54:00 PM</b>	Sentence structure.	Fixed in text.		
<b>Page 25: Comment [CJD41] Chris Dixon 13/01/2014 11:06:00 AM</b>	Each component should include what stage of QC it is currently in. Has all the lab test data been reviewed by all reviewers? What about the borehole logs, etc?	The report is now final; this comment is no longer relevant.		
<b>Page 25: Comment [J42] Josee.Perron 14/01/2014 2:43:00 PM</b>	My notes and the table presented in the last appendix show 9 vs. 10 hole?	Your notes are correct. This has been fixed.		
<b>Page 25: Comment [W43] Wade.McMillan 14/01/2014 1:54:00 PM</b>	Should be consistent with the use of either numbers or writing the numbers.	Done.	Some as J6 above concerning formatting with numbers. Please revise.	See response above
<b>Page 25: Comment [W44] Wade.McMillan 14/01/2014 2:44:00 PM</b>	Location specific, face of the dam?	Added detail in text.		
<b>Page 30: Comment [W45] Wade.McMillan 14/01/2014 2:49:00 PM</b>	Table presented says 4 m to 5 m. Can you please verify?	Corrected in text.		
<b>Page 30: Comment [W46] Wade.McMillan 14/01/2014 2:50:00 PM</b>	Please include more details.	Added detail in text.		



Page, Name, Time	Comment (on Draft)	Status / Response	AAM Comment (on March 11, 2014 Final)	AMEC Response (April 2, 2014)
<b>Page 30: Comment [W47] Wade.McMillan 14/01/2014 2:51:00 PM</b>	Can you please comment further on the SI memo items concerning the other areas within the Mill (i.e. SO1-SO21), were they all addressed, they are certainly not all discussed in here.	SI memo item references included in text, the only one not addressed was S16 because it was not considered a priority. This has been addressed in the text.		
<b>Page 30: Comment [W48] Wade.McMillan 14/01/2014 1:54:00 PM</b>	Are testing results referencing the same two reported spills?	Clarified in text.		
<b>Page 31: Comment [W49] Wade.McMillan 14/01/2014 2:53:00 PM</b>	Why was there less PCoCs tested when the purpose of 01 and 02 are the same for both?	Error in text, both were tested for landfill parameters. This has been corrected.		
<b>Page 31: Comment [J50] Josee.Perron 14/01/2014 2:55:00 PM</b>	Are the bullets for 13-03 to 13-08 making reference to the same two reported fuel spills, or did we have that many reported spills?	Corrected in text. M-13-06 was not investigation historic spills.		
<b>Page 31: Comment [W51] Wade.McMillan 14/01/2014 2:53:00 PM</b>	Can you please provide reference to the reports that this conclusion is taken from?	Sentence deleted.		
<b>Page 31: Comment [W52] Wade.McMillan 14/01/2014 1:54:00 PM</b>	And 08?	Yes, added in text.		
<b>Page 32: Comment [J53] Josee.Perron 14/01/2014 2:57:00 PM</b>	Can you please provide a more detailed summary, what about the tanks in the mill and the ones outside the mill; were they all tested, were pictures taken?	Detail added in text.		



Page, Name, Time	Comment (on Draft)	Status / Response	AAM Comment (on March 11, 2014 Final)	AMEC Response (April 2, 2014)
<b>Page 35: Comment [W54] Wade.McMillan 14/01/2014 2:59:00 PM</b>	Or 11, the figure shows 11?	Yes, changed to 11. Also added longitudinal profiles.		
<b>Page 38: Comment [W55] Wade.McMillan 14/01/2014 3:59:00 PM</b>	Was there an assessment completed with respect to what could be left in place for the remediation project (i.e. workshop, fuel distribution system, camp, electrical distribution system, etc.) and what will be needed to supplement what is already there?  Were the following items also assessed for removal – fuel system (propane, gas and diesel) and electrical distribution systems. Generators, etc...?	No assessment; power generators should be scheduled for last removal, to be available for deconstruction use.  The gas system was not investigated.		
<b>Page 39: Comment [W56] Wade.McMillan 14/01/2014 3:51:00 PM</b>	Was testing completed on the paint?	Yes, the conclusion is there are no lead and PCB paint in large enough quantities to be identified as hazardous waste.		
<b>Page 39: Comment [J57] Josee.Perron 14/01/2014 4:00:00 PM</b>	Would it be cost effective to consider this option for the site since it is so remote?	Yes, contractors use mobile crusher machines to process crushing and extraction of steel bars from concrete in a seamless procedure. Site is remote; however, accessible by these machines and low-bed trucks. Alternative would be slow and labour intensive, meaning cost.		



Page, Name, Time	Comment (on Draft)	Status / Response	AAM Comment (on March 11, 2014 Final)	AMEC Response (April 2, 2014)
<b>APPENDIX COMMENTS</b>				
<b>Page: 48 - drawings</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>8:33:50 AM</b>	In addition to the comments on this page, can you please see the comments on the SI Memo?	Done.		
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 09/01/2014</b> <b>3:31:00 PM</b>	Ketza Yard.	Done.		
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 09/01/2014</b> <b>3:28:20 PM</b>	Camp Area.	Done.		
<b>Author: jkperron</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>8:31:06 AM</b>	Some of the diamond drill holes on the map indicate the depth, some indicate the instrumentation, some indicate both. Can you please ensure that both are indicated on all?	This naming convention was adopted for the CH holes during the program for clarity because there was a change made to adjacent installations with the same number. It will be very time consuming with a lot of knock on changes to give all locations names that follow this convention. We are proposing to have a clearer summary table that includes all of that information in the text instead.		
<b>Author: jkperron</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>8:33:29 AM</b>	Are these new veg plot or old ones that were assessed? The label (yellow) leads to believe that they are new ones. Can you please clarify?	Yes, they are new.		



Page, Name, Time	Comment (on Draft)	Status / Response	AAM Comment (on March 11, 2014 Final)	AMEC Response (April 2, 2014)
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 09/01/2014</b> <b>3:29:11 PM</b>	Historic Landfill.	Done.		
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 09/01/2014</b> <b>3:32:19 PM</b>	Tailing Facility.	Done.		
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 09/01/2014</b> <b>3:28:55 PM</b>	Mill Complex.	Done.		
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>8:30:00 AM</b>	#10 is not shown.	Done.		
<b>Page: 49 - drawing</b>				
<b>Author: jkperron</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>8:35:19 AM</b>	There is a number 7 in the background, it should be removed.	Done.		
<b>Page: 51 – water level drawing</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>8:40:34 AM</b>	Where are GSI-PC-03 and 04?	This drawings was really only intended to show the groundwater wells that were sampled. The water levels shown were those measured during groundwater sampling and do not reflect all of the water level data collected on-site. This type of information is more suited to the site characterization report so the figure has been modified to show the		
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>8:39:58 AM</b>	Where is CH-P-13-01 and CH-P-13-02, is there no water in them?			
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>8:42:36 AM</b>	The mini piezometers on Dome Creek are not on the map. Can you please insert them or was there no water in them?			



Page, Name, Time	Comment (on Draft)	Status / Response	AAM Comment (on March 11, 2014 Final)	AMEC Response (April 2, 2014)
		groundwater wells that were successfully sampled during the fall 2013 program.		
<b>Page: 94 – test pit logs</b>				
<b>Author: jkperron</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>8:48:04 AM</b>	There is no log for TP-SP-13-03 to 06.	These are reclassified as grab samples, no logs.		
<b>Page: 147 – test pit logs</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>8:50:03 AM</b>	"-" Not Observed". What was not observed, can you please clarify?	Done.		
<b>Page: 148 – test pit logs</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>8:51:32 AM</b>	"-" Not Observed". What was not observed, can you please clarify?	Done.		
<b>Page: 157 – test pit logs</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>9:05:56 AM</b>	<p>This is the symbol for solid pipe with bentonite presented in the geotechnical log document, and it is different than the backfill type presented on this sheet. According to this sheet, the backfill symbol used is for drill cuttings. It should be clarified. In addition, did we not use bentonite grout for the fill and bentonite pellet to provide a seal above the sand?</p> <p>If the information is not provided in details here, I would propose to insert an appendix which provides the details of all installations for the diamond drill holes; this will be</p>	Done.		



Page, Name, Time	Comment (on Draft)	Status / Response	AAM Comment (on March 11, 2014 Final)	AMEC Response (April 2, 2014)
	important for us to have so that in the future, there is a record of what was installed.			
<b>Page: 158 – core hole logs</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 15/01/2014 8:57:43 AM</b>	What was used to cap the hole? Can you please provide additional details? The symbol only makes reference to a fill.	Done.		
<b>Page: 159 – core hole logs</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 09/01/2014 4:03:19 PM</b>	Plugged with what and at what depth?	Done.		
<b>Page: 160 – core hole logs</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 15/01/2014 8:58:59 AM</b>	See CH-P-13-01 comment.	Done.		
<b>Page: 161 – core hole logs</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 15/01/2014 9:07:21 AM</b>	A combo of bentonite grout and cement slurry was used; is this the common sign for it? There is no symbol found in the table for this combo; presently the symbol used is for grout.	Done.		
<b>Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 15/01/2014 9:08:32 AM</b>	Can you please show where the beads were installed, or as per previous comments, provide an appendix with all the details of the installations.	Done.		
<b>Page: 163 – core hole logs</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill Subject: Sticky Note Date: 09/01/2014 4:23:29 PM</b>	Add pipe size for future reference, same on all diagrams... please include symbol for nested vibrating wires on drawings. Also include pointer for reference to installation	Done.		



Page, Name, Time	Comment (on Draft)	Status / Response	AAM Comment (on March 11, 2014 Final)	AMEC Response (April 2, 2014)
	schematic for nested wires and/or all sensor arrays, wiring, etc.			
<b>Page: 164 – core hole logs</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 09/01/2014</b> <b>4:10:24 PM</b>	Same comment as CH-P-13-01/10.	Done.		
<b>Page: 167 – core hole logs</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>9:09:56 AM</b>	Same comments as previous bentonite grout, bentonite pellets, sand, beads location?	Done.		
<b>Page: 168 – core hole logs</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 09/01/2014</b> <b>4:25:29 PM</b>	Same.	Done.		
<b>Page: 169 – core hole logs</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>9:10:14 AM</b>	Same comments as 03.	Done.		
<b>Page: 170 – core hole logs</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>9:10:32 AM</b>	Same comments as 03.	Done.		
<b>Page: 174 – core hole logs</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>9:10:42 AM</b>	Same comments.	Done.		
<b>Page: 175 – core hole logs</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>9:11:05 AM</b>	Same comments as 02.	Done.		





Page, Name, Time	Comment (on Draft)	Status / Response	AAM Comment (on March 11, 2014 Final)	AMEC Response (April 2, 2014)
<b>Page: 195 – CPT</b>				
<b>Author: jkperron</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>9:11:51 AM</b>	There is no log for CPT-13-15, 19 and 20, can you please insert?	All CPT plots have been provided with a summary table up front to provide a complete list of which ones.		
<b>Page: 196 – CPT</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>9:15:19 AM</b>	Has been provided and should, therefore, be included on all sheets. Can you please modify?	Corrected.		
<b>Page: 226 – CPT</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>9:16:58 AM</b>	Was a test performed at 11? If so, the results have not been presented.	Table summarizing the dissipation tests has been added and the plots are updated to be consistent with that.		
<b>Page: 229 - CPT</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>9:18:56 AM</b>	Test results for 15, 16, 19 or 20??	Plots updated and summary table provided.	Now missing CPT-13-17 dissipation results. It was included in the previous version.	Per the table at the start of the dissipation results, the dissipation in CPT-13-17 did not reach 50% equilibrium so was removed from the CPT plots and the dissipation test was not included in the data report. These results will be included in the electronic copies.
<b>Page: 233</b>				
<b>Author: jkperron</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>9:21:54 AM</b>	Can you please insert page numbers on the various memos?	As discussed with AAM, memo templates will not be changed for this report and page numbers will not be added to the appendices. This will be taken into		



Page, Name, Time	Comment (on Draft)	Status / Response	AAM Comment (on March 11, 2014 Final)	AMEC Response (April 2, 2014)
		consideration for future reports and for the design report.		
<b>Page: 234 – hydrotechnical data report</b>				
<b>Author: jkperron</b> <b>Subject: Inserted</b> <b>Text Date:</b> <b>15/01/2014 9:22:16 AM</b>	Of	Done.		
<b>Page: 235– hydrotechnical data report</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Inserted</b> <b>Text Date:</b> <b>15/01/2014 10:19:45 AM</b>	Km or kg?	Kg - Done.		
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Comment on Text</b> <b>Date:</b> <b>15/01/2014 10:20:37 AM</b>	Were the samples also tested for geochemistry?	Done.		
<b>Author: jkperron</b> <b>Subject: Cross-Out</b> <b>Date:</b> <b>15/01/2014 9:23:54 AM</b>				
<b>Author: jkperron</b> <b>Subject: Inserted</b> <b>Text Date:</b> <b>15/01/2014 9:24:08 AM</b>	The	Done.		
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date:</b> <b>15/01/2014 9:24:41 AM</b>	Map shows six sections. Can you please clarify if five or six were completed?	Yes, six - done.		



Page, Name, Time	Comment (on Draft)	Status / Response	AAM Comment (on March 11, 2014 Final)	AMEC Response (April 2, 2014)
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Highlight</b> <b>Date: 09/01/2014</b> <b>4:44:26 PM</b>				
<b>Page: 238– hydrotechnical data report</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>9:25:25 AM</b>	It is documented by the site operator, and provided to AMEC on a regular basis.	Done.		
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Highlight</b> <b>Date: 09/01/2014</b> <b>4:46:46 PM</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>9:25:57 AM</b>	Is the logger currently in the pit able to provide that information?	Yes, the logger is providing that information - text updated.		
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 09/01/2014</b> <b>4:46:00 PM</b>	Was this done?	The seeps were not sampled during the fall 2013 event. It is not clear that there was any significant flow at that time.		
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Highlight</b> <b>Date: 09/01/2014</b> <b>4:46:04 PM</b>				
<b>Page: 240 hydrotechnical data report</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Highlight</b> <b>Date: 09/01/2014</b> <b>4:48:20 PM</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>9:26:52 AM</b>	Is it possible that these two flows are so different? Where is the water going?	Yes, because the flow d/s of the TSF is seepage flow before it is combined with the diversion channel.		



Page, Name, Time	Comment (on Draft)	Status / Response	AAM Comment (on March 11, 2014 Final)	AMEC Response (April 2, 2014)
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Highlight</b> <b>Date: 09/01/2014</b> <b>4:48:34 PM</b>				
<b>Page: 244 hydrotechnical data report</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>9:27:36 AM</b>	It would be useful to have the image in the background for the site plan.	This is the original data (figure) as provided by YES. Same information is provided on Figure 1 by AMEC with background.		
<b>Page: 248 geo reconnaissance</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 10/01/2014</b> <b>9:14:48 AM</b>	Mount Nansen is a proper name. Mt. should not be used in these documents.	Fixed.		
<b>Author: jkperron</b> <b>Subject:</b> <b>Replacement Text</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>9:28:18 AM</b>	Mount.	Fixed.		
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 09/01/2014</b> <b>4:52:33 PM</b>	Mount Nansen and not a mine anymore; just "site"; continue with edit throughout document please.	Fixed.		
<b>Author: jkperron</b> <b>Subject: Cross-Out</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>9:28:24 AM</b>				
<b>Page: 249 geo reconnaissance</b>				
<b>Author: jkperron</b> <b>Subject:</b> <b>Replacement Text</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>9:29:22 AM</b>	Potentially	Fixed.		



Page, Name, Time	Comment (on Draft)	Status / Response	AAM Comment (on March 11, 2014 Final)	AMEC Response (April 2, 2014)
<b>Author: jkperron</b> <b>Subject: Inserted</b> <b>Text Date:</b> <b>15/01/2014 9:29:33</b> <b>AM</b>	Slope	Fixed.		
<b>Author: jkperron</b> <b>Subject:</b> <b>Replacement Text</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>9:30:04 AM</b>	Mount.	Fixed.		
<b>Page: 252 geo reconnaissance</b>				
<b>Author: jkperron</b> <b>Subject:</b> <b>Replacement Text</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>9:30:33 AM</b>	It	Fixed.		
<b>Author: jkperron</b> <b>Subject: Inserted</b> <b>Text Date:</b> <b>15/01/2014 9:30:48</b> <b>AM</b>	The	Fixed.		
<b>Page: 253 geo reconnaissance</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>9:32:41 AM</b>	These piles of rock are part of the diversion, some are for emergency repair; in addition, some have ARD potential (see EBA reports).	Updated text to reflect this. Couldn't find the specific EBA report that talked about the ARD potential of the riprap so that comment isn't included.		
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Highlight</b> <b>Date: 10/01/2014</b> <b>9:21:08 AM</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>9:34:28 AM</b>	Who provided that information? I am uncertain that HPW is using this source. We should be careful of such statement, and if it is correct, the	Deleted.		



Page, Name, Time	Comment (on Draft)	Status / Response	AAM Comment (on March 11, 2014 Final)	AMEC Response (April 2, 2014)
	person providing the information should be mentioned. I suggest removing this sentence.			
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Highlight</b> <b>Date: 10/01/2014</b> <b>9:22:24 AM</b>				
<b>Page: 256 – reclamation memo</b>				
<b>Author: jkperron</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>9:37:09 AM</b>	The memo should have page numbers.	As discussed with AAM, memo templates will not be changed for this report and page numbers will not be added to the appendices. This will be taken into consideration for future reports and for the design report.		
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 10/01/2014</b> <b>9:23:49 AM</b>	Note previous comments concerning Mount vs. MT. use.	Done.		
<b>Author: jkperron</b> <b>Subject:</b> <b>Replacement Text</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>9:34:49 AM</b>	Mount	Done.		
<b>Author: jkperron</b> <b>Subject:</b> <b>Replacement Text</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>9:34:55 AM</b>	Mount	Done.		
<b>Author: jkperron</b> <b>Subject:</b> <b>Replacement Text</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>9:35:11 AM</b>	Mount	Done.		



Page, Name, Time	Comment (on Draft)	Status / Response	AAM Comment (on March 11, 2014 Final)	AMEC Response (April 2, 2014)
<b>Author: jkperron</b> <b>Subject:</b> <b>Replacement Text</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>9:35:38 AM</b>	Mount	Done.		
<b>Author: jkperron</b> <b>Subject:</b> <b>Replacement Text</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>9:36:29 AM</b>	Mount	Done.		
<b>Author: jkperron</b> <b>Subject: Cross-Out</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>9:36:37 AM</b>				
<b>Page: 257– reclamation memo</b>				
<b>Author: jkperron</b> <b>Subject:</b> <b>Replacement Text</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>9:37:27 AM</b>	Wellhouse	Done.		
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 10/01/2014</b> <b>9:26:15 AM</b>	Are these new soil plots?	Done.		
<b>Author: jkperron</b> <b>Subject: Cross-Out</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>9:37:41 AM</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Highlight</b> <b>Date: 10/01/2014</b> <b>9:25:53 AM</b>				
<b>Author: jkperron</b> <b>Subject:</b> <b>Replacement Text</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>9:38:16 AM</b>	Mount	Done.		



Page, Name, Time	Comment (on Draft)	Status / Response	AAM Comment (on March 11, 2014 Final)	AMEC Response (April 2, 2014)
<b>Author: jkperron</b> <b>Subject:</b> <b>Replacement Text</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>9:38:27 AM</b>	Mount	Done.		
<b>Page: 259– reclamation memo</b>				
<b>Author: jkperron</b> <b>Subject:</b> <b>Replacement Text</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>9:38:44 AM</b>	Mount	Done.		
<b>Author: jkperron</b> <b>Subject: Inserted</b> <b>Text Date:</b> <b>15/01/2014 9:38:52</b> <b>AM</b>	Mount	Done.		
<b>Author: jkperron</b> <b>Subject: Inserted</b> <b>Text Date:</b> <b>15/01/2014 9:38:59</b> <b>AM</b>	Mount	Done.		
<b>Page: 260– reclamation memo</b>				
<b>Author: jkperron</b> <b>Subject: Inserted</b> <b>Text Date:</b> <b>15/01/2014 9:39:30</b> <b>AM</b>	Mount	Done.		
<b>Author: jkperron</b> <b>Subject: Inserted</b> <b>Text Date:</b> <b>15/01/2014 9:39:36</b> <b>AM</b>	Mount	Done.		
<b>Author: jkperron</b> <b>Subject: Inserted</b> <b>Text Date:</b> <b>15/01/2014 9:39:50</b> <b>AM</b>	Mount	Done.		





Page, Name, Time	Comment (on Draft)	Status / Response	AAM Comment (on March 11, 2014 Final)	AMEC Response (April 2, 2014)
<b>Page: 262 – reclamation memo</b>				
<b>Author: jkperron Subject: Cross-Out Date: 15/01/2014 9:40:05 AM</b>				
<b>Author: jkperron Subject: Replacement Text Date: 15/01/2014 9:40:00 AM</b>	Mount	Done.		
<b>Page: 265 – mill area memo</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill Subject: Inserted Text Date: 15/01/2014 10:24:12 AM</b>	Randell	Done.		
<b>Page: 266 – mill area memo</b>				
<b>Author: jkperron Subject: Inserted Text Date: 15/01/2014 12:02:29 PM</b>	The	Done.		
<b>Author: jkperron Subject: Replacement Text Date: 15/01/2014 12:02:16 PM</b>	At	Done.		
<b>Author: wsmcmill Subject: Inserted Text Date: 15/01/2014 10:25:14 AM</b>	The	Done.		
<b>Author: jkperron Subject: Inserted Text Date: 15/01/2014 12:03:01 PM</b>	The	Done.		



Page, Name, Time	Comment (on Draft)	Status / Response	AAM Comment (on March 11, 2014 Final)	AMEC Response (April 2, 2014)
<b>Page: 268 – mill area memo</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Highlight</b> <b>Date: 10/01/2014</b> <b>9:39:24 AM</b>	Incomplete sentence...	Done.		
<b>Page: 269 – mill area memo</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Inserted</b> <b>Text Date:</b> <b>15/01/2014 10:27:59</b> <b>AM</b>	Huestis	Done.		
<b>Page: 270 – mill area memo</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Comment</b> <b>on Text Date:</b> <b>15/01/2014 12:04:48</b> <b>PM</b>	Potential adit?	AMEC is not aware that there could be an adit in the mill location - no changes are proposed here.		
<b>Page: 271– mill area memo</b>				
<b>Author: jkperron</b> <b>Subject: Inserted</b> <b>Text Date:</b> <b>15/01/2014 12:04:52</b> <b>PM</b>	.	Done.		
<b>Page: 276 – mill area memo drawing</b>				
<b>Author:</b> <b>shane.magnusson</b> <b>Subject: Polygon</b> <b>Date: 03/12/2013</b> <b>3:13:21 PM</b>		Done.		
<b>Author: jkperron</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>12:06:11 PM</b>	Huestis	Done.		



Page, Name, Time	Comment (on Draft)	Status / Response	AAM Comment (on March 11, 2014 Final)	AMEC Response (April 2, 2014)
<b>Author:</b> shane.magnusson <b>Subject:</b> Text Box <b>Date:</b> 15/01/2014 12:06:33 PM	Huestis Working Area	Done.		
<b>Author:</b> shane.magnusson <b>Subject:</b> Polygon <b>Date:</b> 03/12/2013 3:10:49 PM		Done.		
<b>Author:</b> shane.magnusson <b>Subject:</b> Polygon <b>Date:</b> 03/12/2013 3:10:59 PM		Done.		
<b>Author:</b> shane.magnusson <b>Subject:</b> Text Box <b>Date:</b> 03/12/2013 3:12:18 PM	Mid Bench	Done.		
<b>Author:</b> shane.magnusson <b>Subject:</b> Text Box <b>Date:</b> 03/12/2013 3:05:08 PM	Sedimentation Pond #3	Done.		
<b>Author:</b> shane.magnusson <b>Subject:</b> Line <b>Date:</b> 03/12/2013 3:06:26 PM		Done.		
<b>Author:</b> shane.magnusson <b>Subject:</b> Polygon <b>Date:</b> 03/12/2013 3:08:55 PM		Done.		



Page, Name, Time	Comment (on Draft)	Status / Response	AAM Comment (on March 11, 2014 Final)	AMEC Response (April 2, 2014)
<b>Author:</b> shane.magnusson <b>Subject: Text Box</b> <b>Date: 03/12/2013</b> <b>3:12:31 PM</b>	Lower Bench	Done.		
<b>Author:</b> shane.magnusson <b>Subject: Line Date:</b> <b>03/12/2013 3:06:19</b> <b>PM</b>		Done.		
<b>Author:</b> shane.magnusson <b>Subject: Line Date:</b> <b>03/12/2013 3:06:06</b> <b>PM</b>		Done.		
<b>Author:</b> shane.magnusson <b>Subject: Text Box</b> <b>Date: 03/12/2013</b> <b>3:05:13 PM</b>	Sedimentation Pond #2	Done.		
<b>Author:</b> shane.magnusson <b>Subject: Text Box</b> <b>Date: 03/12/2013</b> <b>3:11:58 PM</b>	Upper Bench	Done.		
<b>Author:</b> shane.magnusson <b>Subject: Line Date:</b> <b>03/12/2013 3:26:23</b> <b>PM</b>		Done.		
<b>Author:</b> shane.magnusson <b>Subject: Text Box</b> <b>Date: 03/12/2013</b> <b>3:04:47 PM</b>	Sedimentation Pond #1	Done.		



Page, Name, Time	Comment (on Draft)	Status / Response	AAM Comment (on March 11, 2014 Final)	AMEC Response (April 2, 2014)
<b>Author:</b> shane.magnusson <b>Subject:</b> Text Box <b>Date:</b> 15/01/2014 12:07:00 PM	Landfill Area	Done.		
<b>Page: 278 – tailings area memo</b>				
<b>Author:</b> wsmcmill <b>Subject:</b> Cross-Out <b>Date:</b> 15/01/2014 10:30:13 AM		Deleted “mine”, no other occurrences in document.		
<b>Page: 279 – tailings area memo</b>				
<b>Author:</b> wsmcmill <b>Subject:</b> Comment on Text <b>Date:</b> 15/01/2014 12:08:40 PM	Was there no observation completed during the sonic drilling program, if so it should also be inserted in this memo.	This section focuses on the excavation behaviour of the tailings. The section has been retitled and the intro paragraph modified to make this more clear. The sonic boreholes aren't included here because they don't inform on this topic. The response of the tailings to the drill rig is discussed later.		
<b>Page: 282– tailings area memo</b>				
<b>Author:</b> wsmcmill <b>Subject:</b> Cross-Out <b>Date:</b> 15/01/2014 10:32:42 AM		Corrected.		
<b>Page: 283– tailings area memo</b>				
<b>Author:</b> wsmcmill <b>Subject:</b> Highlight <b>Date:</b> 15/01/2014 12:10:27 PM	Please provide explanation, e.g. use of bucket to... in what manner, is pumped the right wording to describe this item?	Corrected.		



Page, Name, Time	Comment (on Draft)	Status / Response	AAM Comment (on March 11, 2014 Final)	AMEC Response (April 2, 2014)
<b>Page: 284 – tailings area memo</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Inserted</b> <b>Text Date:</b> <b>15/01/2014 10:33:14</b> <b>AM</b>	The	Corrected.		
<b>Page: 285– tailings area memo</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Inserted</b> <b>Text Date:</b> <b>15/01/2014 12:11:45</b> <b>PM</b>	Interceptor Ditch vs. Dome Creek?	Source of water not clearly identified have added several possibilities.		
<b>Author: jkperron</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>12:14:32 PM</b>	The colour on the map is yellow. I would suggest to either change the colour in the text (yellow vs. orange) or to change the colour on the map.	Done.		
<b>Page: 289– tailings area memo</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Highlight</b> <b>Date: 10/01/2014</b> <b>9:53:32 AM</b>	03?	Corrected, it was encountered in 03 and 04, not 05.		
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Highlight</b> <b>Date: 10/01/2014</b> <b>9:53:47 AM</b>	05			
<b>Page: 291 – infrastructure site memo</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Inserted</b> <b>Text Date:</b> <b>15/01/2014 12:16:08</b> <b>PM</b>	Mount Nansen Remediation Project	Done.		
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Inserted</b> <b>Text Date:</b> <b>15/01/2014 10:37:25</b> <b>AM</b>	Remove space.	Done.		



Page, Name, Time	Comment (on Draft)	Status / Response	AAM Comment (on March 11, 2014 Final)	AMEC Response (April 2, 2014)
<b>Page: 292 – infrastructure site memo</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill Subject: Inserted Text Date: 15/01/2014 10:38:01 AM</b>	Camp Shed	Done.		
<b>Author: wsmcmill Subject: Inserted Text Date: 15/01/2014 12:22:46 PM</b>	Cookhouse	Done.		
<b>Author: wsmcmill Subject: Inserted Text Date: 15/01/2014 10:38:25 AM</b>	Wellhouse	Done.		
<b>Author: wsmcmill Subject: Inserted Text Date: 15/01/2014 10:42:07 AM</b>	Please reword for sentence structure.	Reworded.		
<b>Page: 293 – infrastructure site memo image</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill Subject: Highlight Date: 15/01/2014 12:23:46 PM</b>	Camp Shed	Done.		
<b>Author: wsmcmill Subject: Highlight Date: 10/01/2014 9:57:52 AM</b>	Cookhouse	Done.		
<b>Page: 294 – infrastructure site memo image</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill Subject: Highlight Date: 10/01/2014 9:58:22 AM</b>	Cookhouse	Done.		



Page, Name, Time	Comment (on Draft)	Status / Response	AAM Comment (on March 11, 2014 Final)	AMEC Response (April 2, 2014)
<b>Page: 296 – water sampling memo</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 10/01/2014</b> <b>9:59:13 AM</b>	Please include page numbers on document.	Done.		
<b>Page: 307 – water sampling memo</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Inserted Text</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014 10:48:15 AM</b>	Results for 2013. Error carried over next several pages.	Done.	Was 2013 Groundwater analysis moved to its own section?	Yes, the results were moved to Appendix D3 so it was easier to find rather than being buried in the back of the sampling methodology memo.
<b>Page: 337 – site characterization memo</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Inserted Text</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014 10:51:39 AM</b>	As per previous comments, please ensure Mt. is replaced by Mount.	Done.		
<b>Author: jkperron</b> <b>Subject: Replacement Text</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014 12:29:18 PM</b>	Mount	Done.		
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Cross-Out</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014 10:52:10 AM</b>		Done.		
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Cross-Out</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014 10:52:32 AM</b>		Done	Mill area - Page 356 in March 11 document: It appears metals was crossed out by two reviewers. The error was that metals was mentioned in the same sentence twice, not that	Metals has been reinserted.





Page, Name, Time	Comment (on Draft)	Status / Response	AAM Comment (on March 11, 2014 Final)	AMEC Response (April 2, 2014)
			metals should not be included. Please reinsert metals into the sentence if indeed it should be there.	
<b>Author: jkperron</b> <b>Subject: Cross-Out</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>12:29:33 PM</b>		Done.		
<b>Author: jkperron</b> <b>Subject: Inserted</b> <b>Text Date:</b> <b>15/01/2014 12:29:24</b> <b>PM</b>	And	Done.		
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Highlight</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>12:30:32 PM</b>	Can you please confirm that there was also concern for tailings, why? Tailings were sent through a pipeline to the tailings facility?	Done – removed note of tailings.		
<b>Page: 338 – site characterization memo</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Highlight</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>12:31:21 PM</b>	What about at the historical tailings pond? I thought that some samples were taken by hand augering or by hand?	Addressed in Report text body Section 3.4.		
<b>Page: 340 – site characterization memo</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Comment on Text</b> <b>Date:</b> <b>15/01/2014 10:54:27</b> <b>AM</b>	Which report? Please provide reference.	Removed note.		
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Cross-Out</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>10:54:46 AM</b>		Done.		



Page, Name, Time	Comment (on Draft)	Status / Response	AAM Comment (on March 11, 2014 Final)	AMEC Response (April 2, 2014)
<b>Page: 343 – site characterization memo</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Comment on Text</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014 10:57:05 AM</b>	Please correct sentence.	Done.		
<b>Page: 344 – site characterization memo</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Inserted</b> <b>Text Date: 15/01/2014 10:57:36 AM</b>	Of	Done.		
<b>Author: jkperron</b> <b>Subject: Inserted</b> <b>Text Date: 15/01/2014 12:33:20 PM</b>	Of	Done.		
<b>Page: 345 – site characterization memo</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Comment on Text</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014 12:33:48 PM</b>	Were both tanks tested? Was water and sediment at the bottom of both tanks and if so was it tested?	Corrected.		
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Highlight</b> <b>Date: 10/01/2014 10:10:49 AM</b>	Contain what?	Done - typo fixed.		
<b>Page: 346 – site characterization memo</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Highlight</b> <b>Date: 10/01/2014 10:11:21 AM</b>	By the camp?	Done – moved to mill section.		
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Inserted</b> <b>Text Date: 15/01/2014 11:02:58 AM</b>	Are not	Done.	Still a typo - ...area are not yet available...	Removed entire sentence as it is no longer relevant.



Page, Name, Time	Comment (on Draft)	Status / Response	AAM Comment (on March 11, 2014 Final)	AMEC Response (April 2, 2014)
<b>Author: jkperron</b> <b>Subject: Inserted</b> <b>Text Date:</b> <b>15/01/2014 12:34:34</b> <b>PM</b>	Yet	Done.		
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Inserted</b> <b>Text Date:</b> <b>15/01/2014 11:03:47</b> <b>AM</b>	Are	Done.		
<b>Page: 347 – site characterization memo</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Inserted</b> <b>Text Date:</b> <b>15/01/2014 12:35:50</b> <b>PM</b>	? wording	Done – “entire”.		
<b>Page: 349 – site characterization memo</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Highlight</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>12:36:56 PM</b>	What about the three propane tanks by the cookhouse and the gas tank by the bunkhouse?	Done - typo.		
<b>Page: 350 – site characterization memo</b>				
<b>Author: jkperron</b> <b>Subject:</b> <b>Replacement Text</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>12:37:16 PM</b>	Mount	Done.		
<b>Page: 351 – Site Photos</b>				
<b>Author: jkperron</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>1:47:03 PM</b>	There are no photos for borehole related to site characterization and veg plot. If some were taken, they should be inserted in this appendix.	Site Characterization photos have been added as Appendix C8. The veg plot photos are in Appendix C7.		
<b>Page: 360 – Site Photos</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Highlight</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>1:40:29 PM</b>	Should this be Northeast vs. Northwest?	Done.		



Page, Name, Time	Comment (on Draft)	Status / Response	AAM Comment (on March 11, 2014 Final)	AMEC Response (April 2, 2014)
<b>Page: 365 – Site Photos</b>				
<b>Author: jkperron</b> <b>Subject:</b> <b>Replacement Text</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>1:40:53 PM</b>	Wellhouse	Done.		
<b>Page: 368 – Site Photos</b>				
<b>Author: jkperron</b> <b>Subject:</b> <b>Replacement Text</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>1:41:31 PM</b>	BA	Done.		
<b>Page: 393 – Site Photos</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Highlight</b> <b>Date: 10/01/2014</b> <b>10:23:52 AM</b>	Please place under applicable photo.	Done.	Although the labels are under the photos, the photo labels are reversed left to right for both the top two photos and the bottom two photos.	Corrected.
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Highlight</b> <b>Date: 10/01/2014</b> <b>10:23:55 AM</b>				
<b>Page: 414 – Site Photos</b>				
<b>Author: jkperron</b> <b>Subject:</b> <b>Replacement Text</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>1:43:33 PM</b>	In	Done.		
<b>Page: 450 – Geoprobe photos</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Highlight</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>1:44:03 PM</b>	There is no photo for GP-T-21 & 23?	No photos available – put a note in.		



Page, Name, Time	Comment (on Draft)	Status / Response	AAM Comment (on March 11, 2014 Final)	AMEC Response (April 2, 2014)
<b>Page: 518 – Drive point Piezo photos</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Highlight</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>1:45:46 PM</b>	Where are the photos for GSI-HAs?	Done.		
<b>Page: 532 – Geotech lab results</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>11:10:28 AM</b>	Please provide page numbers and bookmark?	As discussed with AAM, page numbers will not be added to this document.		
<b>Page: 908 – Site characterization lab results</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 10/01/2014</b> <b>10:46:55 AM</b>	Page numbers	As discussed with AAM, page numbers will not be added to this document.		
<b>Page: 1036 – CPT specs</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>1:52:46 PM</b>	Can you please specify which items were used out of the ones presented in the specification sheet (ex. 615N, 615, 615C are presented, which one was used for this program?)?	615 N, etc., is the drive point piezometers. The CPT used was a “Classic” with the wiring running down the centre. Text added to supplier sheet to indicate this.		
<b>Page: 1056 – Geoprobe sampler</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>1:53:06 PM</b>	There is no information provided. Can you please insert?	This got missed when pulling the pdf together. It is now included.		
<b>Page: 1128 – SI program summary memo</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>1:54:01 PM</b>	Once final, this version should be replaced with the updated version.	Done.		



Page, Name, Time	Comment (on Draft)	Status / Response	AAM Comment (on March 11, 2014 Final)	AMEC Response (April 2, 2014)
<b>Page: 1153 – Investigation summary Table</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 10/01/2014</b> <b>11:02:57 AM</b>	Page numbers	Per discussions with AAM, appendix page numbers will not be added to this report.		
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Highlight</b> <b>Date: 10/01/2014</b> <b>11:10:21 AM</b>	+ VW	Done.		
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Highlight</b> <b>Date: 10/01/2014</b> <b>11:10:42 AM</b>	+ VW	Done.		
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>1:55:47 PM</b>	The dissipation test are not identified, it should be mentioned where they were performed?	Done.		
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Highlight</b> <b>Date: 10/01/2014</b> <b>10:58:33 AM</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Highlight</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>1:56:27 PM</b>	CPT-T-13-15, -19, -20 there was no log provided. Can you please insert them in the appropriate appendix?	Logs have been updated and summary table provided		
<b>Page: 1154 – Investigation summary Table</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>1:57:53 PM</b>	GSI-DC-04? There is a picture showing this one; however, it is not identified on the map or in this table. Can you please clarify?	Done.		
<b>Page: 1155 – Investigation summary Table</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Highlight</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>1:59:04 PM</b>	TP-SP-13-01 & 02 missing. I thought these were replaced by hand augering or grab samples? Can you please clarify?	Did not complete TP-SP-01 and 02. TP-SP-03-06 have been reclassified to grab samples.		



Page, Name, Time	Comment (on Draft)	Status / Response	AAM Comment (on March 11, 2014 Final)	AMEC Response (April 2, 2014)
	There is no log for TP-SP-03 to 06. Can you please provide?			
<b>Page: 1156 – Investigation summary Table</b>				
<b>Author: wsmcmill</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>2:01:24 PM</b>	What about hand augers on Dome Creek? These are not identified in this table. Can you please include and provide logs and pictures?	Done.		
<b>Page: 1157 – Investigation summary Table</b>				
<b>Author: jkperron</b> <b>Subject: Sticky Note</b> <b>Date: 15/01/2014</b> <b>2:02:01 PM</b>	FL Flow Measurement	Done.		