

PERMANENT COPY

NPAEN-DB-AR

Repair Haines to Fairbanks Pipeline

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

PEAR

File 29 Sep 69
Mr Andersen/nw/754-5188
OREC: PEAR

1. On 19 August 1969, Major Towne (ALCOM J-4), contacted the writer requesting a meeting to discuss recent trip to Ottawa, Canada by ALCOM and PDO personnel, with the purpose of discussing maintenance and repair procedures for that portion of the Haines-Fairbanks pipeline which lies within Canada. During the meeting on 20 Aug, Lt Col Rogillio provided a trip report (copy on file in Security), which was discussed. Lt Col Rogillio pointed out that under the terms of the treaty there is no requirement to furnish technical data to the Canadians, nor are repairs or modifications subject to their approval. He further pointed out that there was no objection to informal discussion between the Army Corps of Engineers and the Canadian NEB.
2. The Colonel further recommended that the Alaska District Corps place a call to Colonel Dolson, Military Attache American Embassy, Ottawa. A memo of record for this call was prepared by Major Richard Towne, (Incl 1).
3. On August 22 a call was placed to the Canadian NEB by the writer and a discussion was held with Mr. Deugau and Mr. Rutherford (see Incl 1 for their identity.) Mr. Deugau asked the pipe specification for the repair. He was informed that it was API 5L, Grade A, seamless. He was also given the following information: The new pipe will receive a 54 mil polyethylene extruded sheathing. A new pipe to be installed will be in one continuous 12 mile section. The additional repair for next construction season is at one end of the 12-mile section. All jointer welds will be radiographed at the rolling mill, the interior surface of the 8-inch pipe will be blast cleaned and an interior coating of corrosion inhibitor. Pipe will be hydrostatically tested at the mill, and subjected to a direct current magnetic induction test and a compression wave ultrasonic test. One hundred (100) percent of field welds will be inspected by radiographic method. At no time did they question the method of repair. This is not to say that they have no question as to the method of repair as indicated in Incl 1.
4. The tentative plan is to complete the placement of the 12-mile section by 31 Dec, and do the remaining portion of repair during the 1970 construction season. They were also advised that three (3) sets of documents had been sent to Colonel Dolson, Military Attache in the Embassy at Ottawa. These documents left the Alaska District on 22 August. It was pointed out that it might be as much as two weeks before they arrive. (In the transmittal letter sent to Colonel Dolson, it was indicated that this office had no objection to the documents being reviewed by people who have legitimate interest. The Canadian NEB was considered to have a legitimate interest.) It was suggested to Mr. Deugau and Mr. Rutherford that they contact Colonel Dolson and review the documents. This might answer a number of questions that they raised and give them a more accurate idea of the proposed repair. When they had reviewed these documents, if they still felt there were additional questions, they should feel free to contact this office and we would furnish any information that we could.

1 Incl as

Copy furnished:
Security
→ Office of Counsel

File
FRANCIS A. ANDERSEN
Project Engineering, Army