MANUE (9 War 54)

lat Memo Ind

Suldwill: Shell Gil Contract for Military Pipeline Study (No. NA-30-075
eng-5889)

Esterials & Contracts Division, Military Construction 15 March 1956

70: Legal Division, Contracts branch

The proposal and recommendation contained in part h of the basic letter from the hem fork histrict, has been reviewed and the following comments are offered:

- a. Shall Cil Company apparently is assuming that the provisions of Article 7 in the referenced contract will place them in an untenable position regarding any proposed compass which might be required by the Contracting Officer, and that under those provisions, the Contracting Officer is permitted "unfottered discretion" to change Shell's commitment at any time he so desires, dependent upon his interpretation of whether or not, the proposed changes are within the general scope of the contract.
- b. Overlooked is the fact that provisions exist to compensate the contractor by an equitable adjustment of the contract cost, arrived at by negotiation, for any increase resulting from such proposed changes. If, in the event that he agreement can be reached on an equitable compensation, the right to appeal still exists under the disputes article.
- c. In view of the slove, it is not understood exactly what is to be gained by the contractor, or now the Government will be placed at any great disadvantage in this particular contract, if the disputed Article 7 is deleted from the contract. Any proposed changes, in that event, will be subjected to negotiation and Shell has the right to reduce to do them. The possibility of funding difficulties is inherent however. If no provisions for issuing Ghange Orders exists under the proposed contract, each change will necessarily be a supplemental contract and in the event fiscal year funds are involved, the time limitations for obligation of funds may prove to be embarransing to the Government in event the proposed action occurs at the end of the obligation period.
- d. Since Article 7 is a standard article prescribed for Department of the Army contracts, the question of its deletion by action of CCE is a matter for decision by the Legal Division.
- garding the possibility of difficulties with funds utilization and obligation and if this question is satisfactorily resolved, the question of the nuthority of COE to eliminate Article 7 from the contract be explored. If it is found that authority exists in COE to accomplish this deletion, it is recommended that the proposal submitted by the New York District and concurred in by the NAD, be approved.

Elein

ರಚಿತ್ರಗ