

107511

2 March 1954

Denimax Development Corporation
P. O. Box 213
Crittchell Manor, New York

Sir:

Reference is made to letter from the Office of the Chief of Engineers, dated 30 December 1953, which furnished an interim reply to previous correspondence from your organization, subject: "Report and letter by the Denimax Development Corporation dated 3 December 1953 'Projects Pipeline Haines to Fairbanks, Alaska,'" in which you criticized the design of the Haines-Fairbanks Projects Pipeline.

The merits of the suggestions made in your report have been studied and the conclusion reached is that the pipeline, as now designed, is in accordance with requirements. It is not contemplated that any additional engineering work will be required which will exceed the capabilities of personnel within the Corps of Engineers or currently under contract.

Sincerely yours,

Richardson

cc to: Reading (3)
unit, w/d
DSE ltr, ltr 2434

Millard

Conklin

A. F. SADLEY
Chief, Army Projects Division
Military Construction

RECORD: Gives reply to Denimax Corporation after review of their criticism by the Division Engineer, HPD, by ltr ltr, 31 Dec 53 to our 14 Dec ltr, subj: "POL Pipeline, Haines to Fairbanks, Alaska." Review also made by Engr Div, 6 Jan and R2U, 4 Feb by memo indorsements, subj: "POL Pipeline, Haines to Fairbanks, Alaska." The consensus being that: 1. The Fluor Corp is a recognized authority in pipeline construction; 2. Their consultant, Mr. S. S. Smith, is a world wide recognized authority and, 3. The design conforms to the criteria of the various interested Using Agencies. Draft of this ltr was cleared with Gen Tulley.