HOUSE OF COMMONS

Andrews

111

CIR SUP

Thursday, November 19, 1953

The house met at 2.30 p.m.

DIPLOMATIC INSTRUMENTS

TABLING OF EXCHANGE OF NOTES BETWEEN
UNITED STATES AND CANADA—HAINESFAIRBANKS PIPE LINE

Hon. L. B. Pearson (Secretary of State for

External Affairs): I should like to table an exchange of notes between Canada and the United States of America constituting an agreement concerning the Haines-Fairbanks pipe line signed in Ottawa on June 30, 1953, in English and in French.

HOUSE OF COMMONS

ALLEGED ABUSE OF MEMBERS' FRANKING
PRIVILEGES
On the orders of the day:
Mr. E. T. Applewhaite (Skeena): I sho I should like to ask a question of the acting Postmaster General. Is the Post Office Department investigating the apparent abuse of members' franking privileges, as reported in an item on page 6 of the Ottawa Journal of November 18, copy of which I have sent to the minister?

Hon. J. W. Pickersgill (Acting Postmaster General). I noticed the item myself in the Journal last night. It is a reprint from the Calgary Herald, and I have asked the Post Office Department to communicate with the ditorpof the Calgary Herald to see whether can get both the cover and the contents we can find out which member's frank and been used. I assume of course from the ittle that it was used improperly. nave urwing

EXTERNAL AFFAIRS

COR GOUZENKO—APPEARANCE BEFORE UNITED

On the orders of the day:

Mr. J. G. Diefenbaker (Prince Albert): I would like to direct a question to the Secretary of State for External Affairs. In view of a news report to the effect that the United States government is renewing its application, or passing on its request, for an examination of Igor Gouzenko, is there any probability dithe government changing its decision already made on the first application, under this further apparent pressure?

Hon. L. B. Pearson (Secretary of State for External Affairs): I can only say in reply to the question that notwithstanding press reports to the contrary, no such second request has yet been made to the Canadian government. If such a request were made I should think that, unless it included new information and new factors bearing on the case there would be no reason to change the decision already made.

INQUIRY AS TO EXTENSION OF ANZUS PACT IN PACIFIC AREA

On the orders of the day:

Mr. Howard C. Green (Vancouver-Quadra): Mr. Speaker, I should like to ask a question of the Secretary of State for External Affairs. Are there any negotiations either taking place or in contemplation for the extension of the ANZUS pact on the Pacific, or for any wider Pacific defence pact?

Hon. L. B. Pearson (Secretary of State for External Affairs): Mr. Speaker, I am not aware of any such negotiation taking place at the present time, or of their imminent likelihood. I am aware that certain proposals have been made in Washington by members of the congress looking toward the extension of that pact. However, there have been no governmental negotiations as yet to this

SEA RESCUE

" Thought SUGGESTED ESTABLISHMENT OF COASTGUARD ON BRITISH COLUMBIA COAST

On the orders of the day:

Mr. Colin Cameron (Nanaimo): Mr. Speaker, I should like to ask a question of the Minister of Transport. As we are dependent upon the United States coastguard for sea rescue on the coast of British Columbia, has the government any plans for the establishment of a coastguard service on the Pacific coast in the near future?

Hon. Lionel Chevrier (Minister of Transport): Mr. Speaker, I find myself in disagreement with my hon. friend as to the first part of his question. I do not think it is accurate to say that we are dependent on the United States coastguard on the west coast. On the contrary, we have two very modern lifesaving stations on that coast, on Vancouver

trace to Livin

The Address-Mr. Winch

► There is just one other point to which I in contracting for work when there is a mutual Canadian-United States agreement, and particularly when it is a mutual agreewas in Great Britain in 1949 it was my privilege to go into a number of those estab-lishments. As I have said before, I think they seeing to it that Canadian industry gets a greater break in the supply of materials and action. I time because I believe it is something upon to abuse the privilege which is mine and I shall not do so. I raise this point at this that greater interest should be taken in which the government should take immediate wish to refer. I said that I was not going government would give consideration to the be in the interests of Canada if the present are a major step forward. I believe it would of one shilling a square foot a year. When I initiation of a similar plan in this dominion. believe that more encouragement given to Canadian industry and

ment for defence.

attacking the principle of mutual agreements between the United States and the Dominion is of Canada; but, Mr. Speaker, I think that green in Canada are not getting a break in the supply of materials and on tendering for the contracts for work which is to be done in the Dominion of Canada. I realize that it from the contracts for work which is to be done in the contracts for work which is to be done in the Dominion of Canada. I realize that it from the contracts of the principle of the contracts for work which is to be done in the contracts for work which is to be done in the contracts of the c as follows: is entitled "Right of Way" and which reads filed in this house we find paragraph 1 which to this project but Canada also has some only in Canada a signatory, as she must be, responsibilities. In the agreement which was Columbia on the northern boundary. have to go through the province of British in this project, a few hundred miles of it in the main by the United States government. Therefore it is a United States project. But joint board on defence. It is being financed so I am going to bring it down to a particular Fairbanks pipe line is under the permanent the United States on what is known as the Haines-Fairbanks pipe line. The Haineswould not be fair to generalize in that way made between the Dominion of Canada and instance. I am under no circumstances criticizing or I want to refer to the agreement

All land or interest in land required for the right of way of the pipe line and appurtenances including any pumping stations. . . and for access roads, will be acquired by and remain in the title of Canada. Any expense incurred in the acquisition of such land shall be assumed by Canada.

for all the equipment and all the supplies very quickly to put through the necessary a mutual defence project, Canada moved Haines-Fairbanks pipe line. cial as well as a defence interest in the egulations in order to make it possible Hence the dominion obviously has a finan-Because this is

> excise division making it clear to all those concerned that Canada was not going to coming into Canada from the government of the United States, from anyone who is con-tracting with the government of the United States or any Canadian who is contracting orandum was sent out by the customs and cause, under date of September 18, the memsays, free of customs duties, sales tax and excise tax. Canada moved very swiftly befor this project. to come into Canada, as the memorandum with the government of the United States, lax on materials coming into British Columbia charge any customs duties, excise tax or sales

it the United States has not given our people the same rights and the same treatment in ot tendering for supplies, work or materials in the contiguous sections in the United States, the contiguous sections in the United States one I think it is wrong that we have this kind of agreement, when part of a project goes through the Dominion of Canada, whereby we it to Ottawa I tried to find out. I found out it from the departments here in Ottawa that it is extremely easy to find out what Canada ar has done—which, as I have already pointed out, has been absolutely fair, absolutely decent—working in a speedy manner. But, he Mr. Speaker, it took me five days to find out, or rather for the government departments to find out what the United States has ed done to the first transfer out to find out what the United States has on anything that they send in but our people are not exempt from United States taxes if we want to bid on contiguous sections in should say that the United States is exempt done to give us equal treatment. I finally got the answer right here in Ottawa after five days' search; and the answer was that we want to bid the United States. tender on this Canadian-United States project but that they did not know what was going to happen on the sales tax and the customs duty position and that they did not know whom to contact. As soon as I came can do, and what their potentialities are. In The four or five weeks before I came down to the House of Comm as I spent in making a survey of industry in British Columbia for the purpose of trying to be a better member of parliament by knowing the in-dustries, what they are doing, what they said they were interested in being able to time I came up against a big industry who making that survey, Mr. Speaker, time after they

Mr. Winch: The greater part of the cost is being paid by the United States government. Mr. Lesage: Who is paying the whole cost?

Mr. Lesage: The whole cost.

Mr. Winch: I cannot answer that. I just read this part of the agreement which was filed in this house and which says that

way street, not a one-way street. I sincerely the finite of the government will take this matter is under advisement. I am also certain that the finister, who has just spoken, will say go that they are interested in encouraging the it use of Canadian materials and that there is actually a section to that effect in the of agreement. I want to be honest and fair we so I will read it. It is subsection (c) of su hax and excise tax. I say that is as it should be. But I also say, sir, that the Canadian industries and Canadian products should have the same right in that contiguous section of the United States. It should be a twoagreement. But they are in Canada. Because It is a mutual agreement we agreed to let them come in free of customs duties, sales even though it is outside the terms of the cost, that is fine. I will accept that statement, what that means if the United States pays the whole cost. If they are paying the whole Canada has to pay for the acquisition and in British Columbia. I do not kn I do not know

Canadian materials will be used on the Canadian portion of the line as far as feasible. section 8 and reads as follows:

to admit here and now that they have expressed their interest by the appointment of a civil servant to whom they have given the title of special commissioner on the Hames cut-off. He is the haison officer with the United States officials on this pipe line say they are very interested in this. I want to face if they want to tender on contracts unless the secretary of the army says that we do not have to pay these taxes. That is an impossible situation for industry to have into Canada because they are operating on the same basis as Canadian firms, while on the other hand we are in a difficult position if we want to go into the United States as I have just outlined, because we are com-pletely up against the competition of United States business concerns who want to come for supplies. I said that the government will But we are in a pretty difficult position,

That is as it should be, but here is the point. Before I left Vancouver I spent four is or five weeks covering industry in that city, are some of which are quite capable of tendering some of which are quite capable of tendering some of which are quite capable of tendering some of which are quite capable of the wand to fob, and they did not know in appointed. They had not heard of him. I want to say that I got the information want to say that I got the information of the want to say that I got the information of the want to say that I got the information of the speedily on my arrival. However, while this man may be the finest in the world, and I do not doubt but what he is, when I asked how industry was to get in touch with him I found that his postal address was White-bound that his postal address was White-Signal and the state of the world.

hon. member a question? Mr. Howe (Port Arthur): May I ask the The Address-Mr. Winch

Mr. Winch: Yes.

Mr. Howe (Port Arthur): Is he aware that the contract for the laying of all pipe within Canada went to a Vancouver contractor? Is in England, which we in Canada are rather inclined to look upon with favour if we does he want? cannot make the pipe in Canada? What more he also aware that the pipe itself was bought

Mr. Winch: At the time I was there the contracts had not been let, and industry in Vancouver, which I not only think but know expect to be able to get in touch with such an officer. I am expressing here the views that were expressed to me by industry in submit he should not be located there but in Victoria, Vancouver or Edmonton, or an industrial centre where you could logically going to appoint a liaison officer, and I think it is right that you should, he should be stationed in the centre where he can be of most value. Although I like Whitehorse is interested, did not know about it. My point is still absolutely valid. If you are very much and know the place quite well, the province of British Columbia.

Mr. Howe (Port Arthur): A piece of non-

that. That was definitely proven in the last war. If you will look to the industry of British Columbia I think you will find that we can handle any job pretty well. All we are asking is an even break, and I ask for an even break for all Canadian industry. spective of who is paying the shot, and that in appointing liaison officers such appoint-ments should be well publicized and they prosperity of Canada does not depend upon one province or community. It depends upon the prosperity of all Canada. All I am doing I am not speaking parochially for the province of British Columbia alone because the operate very efficiently. We have men who That is all I want to say at this time on the is asking that it be made clear that such agreements entail a two-way street irrehave very good industries there and they Mr. Winch: I do not think industry in British Columbia considers it nonsense. We spoke last. matters I drew to their attention when matter of industrial estates, decentralization should be stationed in a central location. are on their toes. There is no question about that the government has not forgotten and these agreements. In addition, I hope

in British Columbia.

Mr. J. W. Noseworthy (York South): Mr. Speaker, before the vote is taken on the

v J CTS 1953/20 + > * 12/12 114 1951/1 41902/5 1962/6 1964 1964/27 967/23