



# RAINY HOLLOW AND BORDER PUMP STATION, BRITISH COLUMBIA, CANADA: SUMMARY REPORT FOR SITE REMEDIATION AND ANNUAL MONITORING PROGRAM



Prepared for:
Indian and Northern Affairs Canada
Waste Management Program, Whitehorse, Yukon

By:

Royal Roads University

Applied Research

Science Technology & Environment Division

September 2002



# ROYAL ROADS UNIVERSITY

#### SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY & ENVIRONMENT DIVISION

Waste Management Program - Yukon Region 345-300 Main Street Whitehorse, Yukon Y1A 2B5

Attention: Mr. Brett Hartshorne

Date: November 6, 2002

Re: RAINY HOLLOW AND BORDER PUMP STATION, BRITISH COLUMBIA,

CANADA: SUMMARY REPORT FOR SITE REMEDIATION AND ANNUAL

MONITORING PROGRAM.

We are pleased to submit a summary report for site investigations, remediation and monitoring conducted at Rainy Hollow and Border Station between 1996 and 2000.

The report was prepared in response to the request by the British Columbia Ministry of Water, Land and Air Protection (WLAP) to provide a summary of the follow-up actions to the risk management recommendations; a summary of the findings of the monitoring program along with all raw data; and interpretation of the monitoring data in relation to the risk assessment conclusions as well as statements to the adequacy of the monitoring data to characterize the site conditions. A summary of the results of the detailed site investigation and risk assessment and recommendations are provided in Chapter 2; remedial activities that were undertaken to meet the recommendations are presented in Chapter 3 while chapter 4 summarizes data from the monitoring program.

Yours sincerely,

Matt Dodd, Ph.D. Research Professor

#### Report Distribution

- 1. DIAND Waste Management and Contaminants Program, Whitehorse 2 copies
- 2. Glenn Harris, Ph.D, WLAP, Contaminated Sites Section, Victoria 1 copy
- 3. Alex Grant, B.Sc., WLAP, Smithers, BC 1 copy
- 4. Royal Roads University 1 copy

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Rainy Hollow and Border Station are contiguous sites located in Northern British Columbia, 8 km north of the Canada Customs Pleasant Camp. The sites were originally used together as a pump station along the Haines-Fairbanks pipeline. The pump station was operated by the US military from the mid 1950's until it was decommissioned in 1972. Following this, the infrastructure was used as a base camp for the Haines Road realignment in 1978 and 1979, and for mineral exploration from 1983 to 1987. The station was finally closed in 1987 at which time a cleanup was conducted by BC Ministry of Forests. All of the buildings and facilities were subsequently demolished and buried on site. In 1994, canisters containing the insecticide DDT were found buried in a dump at Rainy Hollow. This prompted an emergency response and clean up. The DDT canisters were excavated. The canisters along with other materials suspected as contaminated including wastewater and empty barrels were shipped off-site for disposal. The remaining soils in the "Trench" were excavated and placed at a Temporary Storage Facility at Border Station. These soils were subsequently disposed off-site in October 1996.

Preliminary and detailed site investigations along with a screening-level risk assessment identified DDT and hydrocarbon contamination in soils and groundwater at the sites. There was also some evidence of the migration of these contaminants into the adjacent Klehini River. However, the results of the risk assessment suggested that the concentrations of total DDT and hydrocarbon in groundwater and at the outflow face into the Klehini River did not constitute an elevated risk to aquatic life. Based on the recommendations of the Detailed Site Investigation and Risk Assessment, site remediation was conducted in 1997. Surface soils contaminated with total DDT concentrations exceeding 10 µg/g were excavated from the vicinity of the former Temporary Storage Facility and near the Trench at Rainy Hollow. This was to curtail the possible exposure pathways for DDT in surface soils to wildlife and humans. The excavated soils were taken to the East Peace Industrial Waste Treatment and Disposal Site, Peace River, Alberta for disposal. The remaining DDT-contaminated soils with total DDT concentration in the range of 1 to 10  $\mu$ g/g and hydrocarbon-contaminated soils with concentrations exceeding 1000 µg/g were capped using a minimum of 0.5m of clean granular material. Additional excavation, capping and confirmatory sampling, especially along the access roads to the Temporary Storage Facility was undertaken in 1998 at Border Station to address elevated levels of DDT along portions of the road.

A conceptual model of contaminant transport, including a groundwater-monitoring program was developed. The groundwater-monitoring program was initiated in 1997 and continued through 2000. The data obtained over the monitoring period, indicated a remarkable overall consistency in the concentrations of DDT and hydrocarbons with the model predictions. The data to date adequately characterizes the site conditions.

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.	INTR	DDUCTION	1
1.1	Bac	kground	1
1.2	Sco	pe and Objectives	2
2.		MARY OF DETAILED SITE INVESTIGATION AND RISK	4
2.1	Fiel	d and Laboratory Program	4
2.2	Sur	ace Contaminant Distribution	4
2.3	Sub	surface Contaminant Distribution	5
2.4	Prec	licted Fate of Subsurface Contaminants	6
2.5	Sun	mary of Recommendations	7
2	2.5.1	Removal or Isolation of DDT-Contaminated Surface Soils	7
2	2.5.2	Removal of Snow Fence and Upper Liner from the Rainy Hollow Tre Area	
2	2.5.3	Remediation of Localized Surface Hydrocarbon Stains	8
2	2.5.4	Site Re-Contouring and Re-Grading	8
2	2.5.5	Initiation of a Small Rodent Study and Terrestrial Wildlife Survey	9
2	2.5.6	Groundwater Monitoring Program	9
2.6	Ren	nedial Action Plan and Implementation	9
3.	REME	EDIAL ACTIVITIES	11
3.1	Ren	noval or Isolation of DDT-Contaminated surface soils	11
3	.1.1	Removal of Temporary Storage Facility (1996)	11
3	.1.2	1997 Remedial Activities	11
3	.1.3	1998 Remedial Activities	12
3.2	Ren	noval of Snow Fence and Upper Liner from the Rainy Hollow Trench	Area 13
2 2		11	
3.3	Ren	nediation of Localized Surface Hydrocarbon Stains	13
3.4		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Site	nediation of Localized Surface Hydrocarbon Stains	14
3.4	Site Initi	nediation of Localized Surface Hydrocarbon Stains	14 14
3.4 3.5	Site Initi	Contouring and Re-Gradingation of a Small Rodent Study and Terrestrial Wildlife Survey	14 14 15
<ul><li>3.4</li><li>3.5</li><li>4.</li><li>4.1</li></ul>	Site Initi	nediation of Localized Surface Hydrocarbon Stains	14 14 15
<ul><li>3.4</li><li>3.5</li><li>4.</li><li>4.1</li><li>4</li></ul>	Site Initi GROU ME	nediation of Localized Surface Hydrocarbon Stains	14 14 15 15
3.4 3.5 4. 4.1 4	Site Initi GROU ME	nediation of Localized Surface Hydrocarbon Stains  Contouring and Re-Grading  ation of a Small Rodent Study and Terrestrial Wildlife Survey  JNDWATER MONITORING PROGRAM  THODS  Field Investigations	14 15 15 15

4.1.	5 Laboratory Analysis	17
4.2	Results and Discussions	17
4.2.	1 Groundwater Levels	17
4.3	Results for DDT	20
4.3.	1 Groundwater	20
4.4	Hydrocarbons	22
4.4.	1 Monocyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (MAHs)	22
4.4.	2 Volatile and Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbons	23
4.4.	Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon	24
4.5	Metals	24
4.5.	1 Groundwater	24
4.5.	2 Surface Water	27
5. S	ITE INSPECTIONS	28
6. C	CONCLUSIONS	29
7. R	REFERENCES	31

# **ATTACHEMENTS**

**Tables** 

Maps

LIST OF APPENDICES

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 4.1: Groundwater levels at MW-19 recorded using an automated Solinst Levelogger from August 1997 and August 2000
Figure 4.2: Groundwater levels at MW-16 recorded using an automated Solinst Levelogger from August 1999 and September 2000
Figure 4.3: Total DDT Concentrations in Groundwater and Surface Water Samples Collected Annually at Rainy Hollow from 1996 to 2000
Figure 4.4: Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbons (EPH C10-19) Concentrations in Groundwater and Surface Water Samples Collected Annually at Rainy Hollow from 1996 to 2000
Figure 4.5: Zinc Concentration in Groundwater Water Samples Collected at Annually Rainy Hollow from 1997 to 2000
Figure 4.6: Inter-Annual Variation of Barium, Calcium, Iron and Manganese Concentrations in Groundwater Water Samples Collected at Rainy Hollow from 1997 to 2000
Figure 4.7: Comparison of Total Metal to Dissolved Metal Concentrations in Groundwater Samples Collected from Rainy Hollow in 1998
Figure 4.8: Total Metal Concentrations in Surface Water Samples Collected Annually from the Klehini River near Rainy Hollow

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Static Groundwater Levels for Monitoring WellsTable-1
Table 2: DDT Concentration in Water Samples Collected from Border Station and Rainy Hollow in September 1996
Table 3: DDT Concentration in Water Samples Collected from Border Station and Rainy Hollow in August 1997
Table 4: DDT Concentration (mg/L) in Water Samples Collected from Border Station and Rainy Hollow in 1998
Table 5: DDT Concentration in Water Samples Collected from Border Station and Rainy Hollow in August 1999
Table 6: DDT Concentration in Water Samples Collected from Border Station and Rainy Hollow in September 2000
Table 7: Concentrations of Monocyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in Water Samples Collected Annually at Rainy Hollow from 1996 to 2000
Table 8: Concentration of Volatile and Extractable Hydrocarbons (μg/L) in Water Samples Collected Annually at Rainy Hollow from 1996 to 2000Table-8
Table 9: Concentrations of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in Groundwater Samples  Collected from Rainy Hollow in 1996 and 1998
Table 10: Concentration of Dissolved Metals in Groundwater Samples Collected at Rainy Hollow in 1997Table-10
Table 11: Concentration of Dissolved Metals in Groundwater Samples Collected at Rainy Hollow in 1998Table-11
Table 12: Concentration of Dissolved Metals (μg/L) in Groundwater Samples Collected from Rainy Hollow in 1999
Table 13: Concentration of Dissolved Metals (μg/L) in Groundwater Samples Collected from Rainy Hollow in 2000
Table 14: Concentration of Total Metals (μg/L) in Groundwater Samples Collected at Rainy Hollow in 1998
Table 15: Concentration of Total Metals in Surface Water Samples Collected at Annually at Rainy Hollow from 1998 to 2000
Table 16: Comparison of Total Metal to Dissolved Metal Concentrations in Surface water samples Collected near Rainy Hollow in 1998

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

# 1.1 Background

Rainy Hollow and Border Station are contiguous sites located in Northern British Columbia, 8 km north of the Canada Customs Pleasant Camp. The sites were originally used together as a pump station along the Haines-Fairbanks pipeline. Facilities constructed at Border Station (the upper bench) consisted of a main pump line building, warehouse-garage-shop building, utility building, wood frame construction family housing (one dormitory and two apartment buildings), a cement cold storage locker building, and petroleum oil and lubricant (POL) storage facilities. Rainy Hollow (the lower bench) was used as an airstrip by small aircraft for light re-supply of the pump station during construction and operation; it was also used in later years for transporting station personnel to nearby communities. Aviation fuel was probably also located near the airstrip during its operation, along with dumps for station wastes. A small pump house at Rainy Hollow was used to deliver water from the Klehini River to Border Station. General site location and site features are included in Drawing 1.

The pump station was operated by the US military from the mid 1950's until it was decommissioned in 1972. Following this, the infrastructure was used as a base camp for the Haines Road re-alignment in 1978 and 1979, and for mineral exploration from 1983 to 1987 (Bisset and Associates, 1995). The station was finally closed in 1987 at which time a cleanup was conducted by BC Ministry of Forests. All of the buildings and facilities were subsequently demolished by the Public Works under contract to DIAND in 1992-3, and buried on site (Royal Roads, 1996a).

Rainy Hollow and Border Station are currently within the Tatshenshini-Alsek Wilderness Park. The park was created in 1993 and subsequently designated a United Nations World Heritage Site in 1994. The sites are also inside the southern portion of traditional lands of the Champagne-Aishihik First Nations and Southern Tutchone.

In 1994, canisters containing the insecticide DDT<sup>1</sup> were found buried in a dump at Rainy Hollow. This prompted an emergency response and clean up (Golder, 1995). The DDT canisters were excavated. The canisters along with other materials suspected as contaminated including wastewater and empty barrels were shipped off-site for disposal. The remaining soils in the dump were excavated. After completion of the excavation, an Arctic grade polyethylene liner was placed in the bottom of the excavation (designated the "Trench") was filled with surface material. A reinforced polyethylene liner was placed over the back-filled material to prevent water infiltration and the area was fenced off.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The name DDT is derived from Dichloro-Diphenyl-Trichloroethane and is commonly applied to 1,1,1-trichloro-2,2-bis-(p-chlorophenyl) ethane (p,p'-DDT) and its other isomeric forms o,p'-DDT and m,p'-DDT. The pesticide formulations contain several similar compounds such as DDE (dichloro-diphenyl-ethylene) and DDD (dichloro-diphenyl-dichloroethane) that are present as impurities or produced through metabolic or photochemical transformation in the environment. The term DDT when used in this report includes the following isomers p,p'-DDT, o,p'-DDD, o,p'-DDD, p,p'-DDD, and o,p'-DDE)

Soils removed from the Trench were placed in temporary stockpile. The stockpile was tested and the materials were subsequently relocated by truck from the Rainy Hollow to a "Temporary Storage Facility" constructed on the upper bench at Border Station. Approximately 550 m³ of DDT contaminated soil (concentrations between  $2.85-25~\mu g/g$ ) was removed from the Trench and transported to the Temporary Storage Facility during extreme weather conditions. The contaminated soils were placed onto a reinforced polyethylene liner, covered with liners and enclosed in a fence. Contaminated materials in the Temporary Storage Facility were characterized and removed off-site for disposal in 1996 (Royal Roads, 1996b).

A preliminary site investigation conducted during the emergency response indicated that hydrocarbons and DDT were present in soil and groundwater at Rainy Hollow (Golder, 1995). In the following summer, hydrocarbon contamination of subsurface soil and groundwater at the Border Station site was also identified in a study, which was part of preliminary environmental assessments along the Haines-Fairbanks Pipeline that was commissioned by DIAND - Waste Management, Yukon Region (UMA, 1995 and Royal Roads, 1996c).

A detailed site investigation (DSI) and screening-level risk assessment was therefore undertaken at the sites in 1996. This confirmed the presence of DDT and hydrocarbon contamination in subsurface soils and groundwater at the sites (Royal Roads, 1997). There was also some evidence of the migration of these contaminants into the adjacent Klehini River. However, the results of the risk assessment suggested that the concentrations of total DDT and hydrocarbon in groundwater and at the outflow face into the Klehini River did not constitute an elevated risk to aquatic life. Remedial activities were conducted in the summer of 1997, based on the results of the DSI and recommendations arising from the risk assessment. It consisted of the removal of contaminated surface soils with DDT concentrations greater than  $10 \mu g/g$ , capping of soils with DDT concentrations between  $1 - 10 \mu g/g$  and site restoration to minimize surface soil erosion. A conceptual model of contaminant transport, including a groundwater-monitoring program was developed (Woodbury, 1997). The groundwater-monitoring program was initiated in 1997 and continued through 2000.

# 1.2 Scope and Objectives

An application for a Conditional Certificate of Compliance (CCoC) was submitted by DIAND to the British Columbia Ministry of Water, Land and Air Protection (WLAP) in 1997. WLAP is currently reviewing the Rainy Hollow file in support of the application. In order to complete the review and determine if the site has been adequately remediated and monitored to provincial standards and expectations WLAP requested that DIAND produce a summary report that includes the following:

- A summary of the follow-up actions to the risk management recommendations;
- A summary of the findings of the monitoring program (i.e., 1996 2000) along with all raw data; and
- Interpretation of the monitoring data in relation to the risk assessment conclusions as well as statements to the adequacy of the monitoring data to characterize the site conditions.

In October 2001 provincial staff visited the site and noted the presence of exposed barrels at the site. A slight shifting of the river channel was also noted. Samples of the contents of the barrels were obtained by DIAND personnel and subsequently identified as waste oil through commercial laboratory analysis. The WLAP has requested additional information confirming that all drums of waste oil/hydrocarbons have been removed from the site following the October 2001 observation. In addition comments on the current status of the site, including the significance of erosion and slight shifting of the river channel is needed.

This report was prepared for DIAND for onward submission WLAP to address issues presented in the preceding paragraphs.

# 2. SUMMARY OF DETAILED SITE INVESTIGATION AND RISK ASSESSMENT RECOMMENDATIONS

This chapter contains a summary of the recommendations of the Detailed Site Investigation and Risk Assessment.

#### 2.1 Field and Laboratory Program

A team comprising participants from Royal Roads University, UMA Engineering Ltd., and Golder Associates Ltd. conducted the detailed site investigation (DSI) and screening-level risk assessment in 1996 (Royal Roads, 1997).

The field program, which took place in August and September 1996, included the excavation of 24 test pits, drilling of 15 boreholes and installation of monitoring wells in all but one of the boreholes. Locations of test pits and boreholes are given in Drawing 1. The field program was followed by the analysis of over 200 surface and subsurface soil samples for one or more of the following principal contaminants of concern - hydrocarbons, chlorinated pesticides (including DDT), PCBs and metals. Groundwater samples were also analyzed for these contaminants. Samples of sediments, surface water and benthic organisms collected from the Klehini River along with terrestrial vegetation, berries and herbivorous and insectivorous small mammals were also analyzed in order to conduct a screening level risk assessment. Three surface soil samples were also collected along right-of-ways and analyzed for dioxins/furans - possible contaminants associated with the historical application of herbicides containing 2,4,5-T.

The major contaminants of concern at Rainy Hollow and Border Station were found to be:

- DDT in surface soils near the Trench and the Temporary Storage Facility, and on access roads between the two facilities;
- DDT in subsurface soils and groundwater, arising from the historical burial of DDT-containing canisters in the Trench at Rainy Hollow; and
- Light hydrocarbons (diesel-like) in subsurface soils and groundwater at Border Station and Rainy Hollow

#### 2.2 Surface Contaminant Distribution

It was noted during the characterization of soils at the Temporary Storage Facility (Royal Roads, 1996a) that some surface soils along the edge of the facility contained detectable concentrations of DDT. As such, one of the objectives of the detailed site investigation was to delineate the extent of surface contamination near the Temporary Storage Facility and around the Trench at Rainy Hollow. To achieve this objective, 66 surface soil samples collected around these facilities were analyzed for DDT (Drawing 2).

High concentrations of DDT (up to 173  $\mu$ g/g) were detected in samples collected along a transect on the north side of the Trench and to a distance of between 10 and 20 m from the end of the Trench. This area was probably used during the 1994 emergency response for the temporary placement of the contaminated materials and soils prior to either their removal off-site or

transport to the Temporary Storage Facility. In addition to the hotspots, surface soil samples in the vicinities of the Trench and the Temporary Storage Facility, and in areas of vehicular and heavy equipment usage contained DDT at concentrations of up to 27  $\mu$ g/g. In contrast, all soil samples collected from undisturbed areas had DDT at concentrations that were less than 1  $\mu$ g/g. DDT concentrations along the major portion of access road surfaces ranged from 0.43 to 27  $\mu$ g/g. The highest concentration was found in a depression along the eastern entrance to the Temporary Storage Facility, where water and fine particulates would tend to pool. It was clear from the data obtained that, while some airborne redistribution of DDT might have contributed to the contamination, the major mechanism of surface redistribution had been via tracking on heavy equipment and other vehicles. The redistribution was probably intensified during the 1994 emergency response by the adverse weather, which caused the site to be extremely muddy.

Surface contamination with hydrocarbons was limited to two stained areas near the former POL storage area and the apartment complex (D).

The screening level risk assessment included an evaluation of the risk to small mammals and their predators in the terrestrial and riparian habitat within 100 m of the contaminated areas. The human health risks associated with ingestion of DDT-contaminated soil, inhalation of DDT in the gaseous phase, or dermal absorption were also assessed. The human health risk assessment indicated that in the context of the exposure scenarios considered for this site, there was no risk to humans from exposure to DDT and its related compounds at Rainy Hollow.

#### 2.3 Subsurface Contaminant Distribution

The results of the DSI confirmed that some of the subsurface soils and groundwater at Border Station and Rainy Hollow were contaminated with hydrocarbons and DDT. The maximum concentrations of DDT and extractable hydrocarbons detected in sub-surface soil samples are summarized in Drawing 1. The extent of these contaminants in groundwater and subsurface soil were presented in drawings in the DSI report (Royal Roads, 1997).

Hydrocarbon contamination at the upper site was encountered at depths varying from 3 to 25 m. Approximately 4 cm of free product was noted in one well (MW-8B). Laterally, the hydrocarbons originated from the Main Pump Building (A) and the Utility Building (B) and extended towards the lower site. There was a layer of hydrocarbon contaminated soil and groundwater up to 8 m thick beneath the water table at the lower site. Near the Klehini River, this thickness was reduced to about 4 m. Concentrations of extractable hydrocarbons in groundwater samples collected from wells at Border Station ranged from less than 1000 μg/L to a high of 7600 μg/L while a maximum of 10,000 μg/L was found at Rainy Hollow. There were also low but detectable concentrations of ethylbenzene and xylene in the groundwater. Three minipiezometers were driven to below the water table on the bank of the river. The piezometer in the middle of the string (MP-2) had a concentration of dissolved extractable hydrocarbons (LEPH) of 5900 μg/L. This data indicated the discharge of dissolved hydrocarbons to the river.

Subsurface contamination with DDT was noted at Rainy Hollow in the area where the canisters were recovered and extended to the river. The highest soil concentrations were directly adjacent to the Trench, and were in the range of 4 to 5  $\mu$ g/g. Further from the Trench, subsurface soil

concentrations were typically less than 2  $\mu g/g$ . DDT concentrations of up to 0.40  $\mu g/L$  were detected in groundwater wells installed adjacent to the Trench. The concentrations were significantly reduced by the time groundwater reached the river's edge, with DDT levels in the range of 0.001 to 0.008  $\mu g/L$ .

The Trench where the DDT canisters were buried was directly in line with the hydrocarbon plume migrating from the upper bench. This presented an unfortunate circumstance since the solubility of DDT might be greatly enhanced in the presence of co-dissolved organic molecules. For example, the solubility of DDT in water saturated with n-octanol was estimated to be 14 mg/L compared to 5.5 µg/L in pure water at 25°C (Chiou and others, 1982).

There was evidence that both DDT and hydrocarbons were being introduced to the Klehini River through the discharge of contaminated groundwater. The results of the risk assessment however, indicated that the actual instantaneous concentrations of these substances in sediment, river water, or stream invertebrates was so low as to preclude any possibility of deleterious biological effects. DDT concentrations were indistinguishable from background levels for sediment and stream invertebrates. Possible risk pathways for contamination of the Klehini River and its inhabitants, therefore, could be confidently ruled out, since the dose to aquatic organisms contributed from contaminated areas at Rainy Hollow via sediment or river water was essentially zero. All aquatic ecological risk pathways were excluded for further risk assessment. The same conclusion, with a similar rationale, was made for petroleum hydrocarbons and aquatic receptors.

#### 2.4 Predicted Fate of Subsurface Contaminants

A conceptual groundwater modeling exercise, based on Domenico and Schwartz (1990), was undertaken in order to predict the subsurface fate of DDT and hydrocarbons at Rainy Hollow. Based on site data on DDT in groundwater samples from various wells, an estimated mean hydraulic conductivity of 2.5 x 10<sup>-4</sup> cm/sec, a horizontal gradient between the former location of the trench and the river of 0.075 m/m, an estimated porosity of 0.27, a DDT solubility limit of 3.4 mg/L, and a DDT half-life of 15 years, the groundwater model provided the following predictions:

- The total concentration of DDT in groundwater 80 m from a concentrated source (the distance between the former burial Trench and the bank of the Klehini River) was predicted twenty years after post-burial release to be 0.007 μg/L. This was in excellent agreement with the 1996 groundwater data for mini-piezometer MP-2 (0.0084 μg/L)
- Temporal changes in DDT fluxes at the interface were predicted to occur relatively slowly, and a substantial short-term increase in DDT inputs to the river would not occur.
- The concentration of DDT is predicted to increase at the bank of the Klehini River (outflow face) for about 50 years after the time of release, or about 30 years from 1996, when it is expected to level off. The calculated concentration of DDT that would be measured in the mini-piezometer at steady state would be approximately 0.037 μg/L. Assuming a dilution factor of 20 between the concentration measured in the river and the mini-piezometer a value of 0.002 μg/L is predicted to occur in the river immediately adjacent to the to the outflow face at some time in the future, when steady state conditions are established.

• Interactive effects of DDT and hydrocarbons in groundwater and subsurface soils have not been accounted for, but were not expected to play a role away from the source of non-aqueous phase hydrocarbons. As fresh water moves the DDT away from the source, DDT fractions in excess of the solubility limit would most likely precipitate into solid form (Pankow and Cherry, 1996). At lower concentrations, the solubility limit (and co-solvent effects) would not be expected to be a major determinant of flux.

For hydrocarbons (primarily diesel source) derived from spills or a possible injection well under the former Border Station pump house:

- There was no evidence of an ongoing source of free-phase hydrocarbons to the groundwater except in monitoring well MW-8B located at the upper bench.
- There is a 95% probability that the mass flux of hydrocarbons to the Klehini River lies within the range of approximately 14.6 and 806,129 g/yr (based on 1996 estimates).

# 2.5 Summary of Recommendations

The recommendations for site restoration included measures designed to address the surface and subsurface DDT and hydrocarbon contamination summarized above, as well as a number of smaller items. These included removal of the snow fence and upper tarp from the Trench facility, re-contouring of the lower site to prevent erosion of surface soils and runoff toward the river, and remediation of discrete hydrocarbon stain locations at Border Station. The recommendations were based on the premise that there was the need for action where the possibility of risk to humans and/or other living organisms were present, or some reasonable expectation that risks could occur in the future. The direct objective of the remedial actions was to eliminate any possibility of risk. The suggested measures within the context of risk management are discussed in the following sub-sections.

#### 2.5.1 Removal or Isolation of DDT-Contaminated Surface Soils

The most contaminated soils, those falling in the concentration range for total DDT between 10 to 170  $\mu$ g/g were localized and relatively small; therefore, the removal of these soils from contact with the environment would impose a minimal clean up cost, and strongly minimize any unforeseen effects on flora and fauna within the immediate vicinity of the site. The important principal is that possible exposure pathways for DDT in surface soils to wildlife need to be curtailed. This could be accomplished either by the removal of the soils from the site or through the use of an appropriate physical barrier (partial encapsulation). There was no compelling evidence that the remaining contaminated soils, those with total DDT concentration of <10  $\mu$ g/g, constituted a hazard to either humans or wildlife. As a precautionary measure, however, capping of the soils containing 1-10  $\mu$ g/g total DDT using a 0.5 to 1 m thick layer of clean granular material excavated from nearby will eliminate entirely any operable exposure pathway.

# 2.5.2 Removal of Snow Fence and Upper Liner from the Rainy Hollow Trench Area

In-situ testing of soils within the upper one metre of the backfilled Trench indicated that the range of concentrations of total DDT was 0.13 to 6.3  $\mu$ g/g while the arithmetic mean was 1.42  $\mu$ g/g (standard deviation = 2.04  $\mu$ g/g). The geometric mean was 0.60. If it is assumed that the DDT concentrations are normally distributed within the soils held between the upper and lower liners of the trench, then the upper 95th percentile total DDT concentration is estimated to be 5.4  $\mu$ g/g. The DDT and hydrocarbon concentrations, therefore, were within the range observed in several surface soil samples outside of the backfilled trench, and the associated estimation of risk is similar. As with other surface soils with DDT concentrations in the 1-10  $\mu$ g/g range, capping with 0.5 to1 m of clean fill after removal of the upper tarp and snow fence was recommended as a precautionary measure.

Removal of the upper tarp will also have the effect of increasing the local infiltration of surface water from rainfall and snowmelt. This should not, however, increase the flux of DDT away from the source area since the major portion of the DDT source is either beneath the lower tarp in the backfilled trench placed at or near water table depth, or in subsurface soils lateral to the trench.

# 2.5.3 Remediation of Localized Surface Hydrocarbon Stains

Two discrete hydrocarbon stains were confirmed at the upper site to the northwest, an irregular shaped stain approximately 5 x 10 m in size (vicinity of TP96-03) where the original source was probably a small heating oil or diesel tank and a tar-like stain a short distance away that is limited to a depth of a few millimeters. The former of these two stains will undergo natural remediation within a few decades or less. Hydrocarbon concentrations at a depth of 1 m or more were lower than the B.C. Draft soil standards. Test pits excavated laterally to the stain indicated that no subsurface lateral migration of hydrocarbons has occurred. The degradation of hydrocarbons in the uppermost soils could be enhanced through in place reworking of the soils, which would enhance volatilization, sunlight-mediated photolysis and microbial degradation. This may not be necessary, since no impacts to wildlife populations are likely to occur as a result of the stain, given its small size. The second stain could be removed through the excavation of the top few centimetres of soil. This would remove the existing barriers to localized re-vegetation of the area.

# 2.5.4 Site Re-Contouring and Re-Grading

As a precautionary measure, re-contouring of the lower terrace at Rainy Hollow in the area of the Trench should be considered to eliminate substantial surface runoff toward the Klehini River, and hence the erosion and entrainment of surface soil particles with low-level DDT contamination. Some re-contouring to limit vehicular access would also enhance re-vegetation of the area and, in the absence of a cap of clean fill, minimize the potential for mobilization of contaminated surface soils as wind-blown dust.

# 2.5.5 Initiation of a Small Rodent Study and Terrestrial Wildlife Survey

A small rodent study was recommended to ascertain the validity of DDT remediation triggers at Rainy Hollow. There was some uncertainty regarding the ecological risks associated with DDT soil contamination in the range of 1 to 10  $\mu$ g/g. Furthermore, it was not possible to ascertain with absolute confidence the source area for the DDT bioaccumulation measured in a pooled sample of shrews from the site. As discussed above, the possible ecological risks would be eliminated by the complete removal of exposure pathways by capping with clean fill. The re-evaluation of the DDT bioaccumulation in small mammal populations and associated health effects (especially effects on fecundity) before and after removal of the most contaminated soils (total DDT > 10  $\mu$ g/g) is an option that could be undertaken in order to determine if lower remediation triggers are warranted.

The assessment of human health risk at Rainy Hollow did not incorporate ingestion estimate for the consumption of country food. The existing scientific information and data did not allow any confident prediction of the concentrations likely to be found in moose meat or other food substance in association with local areas of DDT contamination at Rainy Hollow. It is extremely unlikely that the source of DDT at Rainy Hollow could result in any measurable increase relative to background levels in DDT tissue concentrations in either large herbivorous mammals or larger carnivores and omnivores. This is due to the low DDT concentrations in blueberry tissue collected near the site, in conjunction with the extremely small size of the contaminated area relative to the feeding range of most of the animals. The possibility of food chain related risks to humans, however, could be examined directly through the analysis of DDT in a limited number of country food samples.

# 2.5.6 Groundwater Monitoring Program

This was needed to validate and improve predictions of contaminant fate. It was important to determine the average groundwater velocities and directions over an extended period of time. This would enable a revision and update of the dispersion coefficients and a refinement of the estimates of mass loading into the river. It was suggested that three wells be chosen for water level monitoring, with emphasis on wells installed on the lower terrace. One well should have a continuous recorder installed and the other two could be manually recorded at a frequency of twice per month. This would only be necessary over the spring, summer and fall months in 1997 and 1998.

# 2.6 Remedial Action Plan and Implementation

The results and recommendations of the DSI summarized in the preceding sections were presented to the Rainy Hollow Working Group at a meeting held at Royal Roads University on February 21, 1997. Participants at the meeting comprising representatives from DIAND, Champagne and Aishihik First Nations, BC Ministry of Environment Land and Parks, Royal Roads University, and UMA Engineering Ltd. reviewed the recommendations above and verbally approved a cleanup plan. Remedial actions proposed in the plan included:

• The curtailment of the possible exposure pathways for DDT in surface soils to wildlife and

humans by the removal and off-site disposal of soils with total DDT concentrations exceeding  $10~\mu g/g$  from the vicinity of the former Temporary Storage Facility and near the Trench at Rainy Hollow.

- The isolation of the remaining DDT-contaminated soils with total DDT concentration in the range of 1 to 10 μg/g and hydrocarbon-contaminated soils with concentrations exceeding 1000 μg/g by capping using a minimum of 0.5m of clean granular material.
- The development of a long-term groundwater monitoring program to validate and improve predictions of contaminant fate. This included the monitoring of groundwater levels and DDT concentrations in the existing wells, in addition to the verification of the DDT contaminant transport model used.

The implementation of the remediation action plan to meet the recommendations discussed above is presented in Chapter 3 while Chapter 4 discuses the results of the monitoring program.

#### 3. REMEDIAL ACTIVITIES

This chapter summarizes remedial activities that were undertaken to meet the recommendations of the Detailed Site Investigation and Risk Assessment presented in Chapter 2.

#### 3.1 Removal or Isolation of DDT-Contaminated surface soils

The removal and/or isolation of DDT-contaminated soils were accomplished between 1996 and 1998 as described in the next subsections.

# 3.1.1 Removal of Temporary Storage Facility (1996)

Personnel from Royal Roads characterized the concentrations of DDT and hydrocarbons in the materials at the Temporary Storage Facility in May-June of 1996 (Royal Roads, 1996c). The investigation also addressed soil contaminant leachability (BC Special Waste Extraction Procedure), bioassays (static acute trout), and physical characteristics of the soil pile. The total volume of the soil pile was estimated to be approximately 330 m³ with the concentration of DDT and its metabolites in collected soil samples ranging between 3.58 to 57  $\mu$ g/g. Elevated concentrations (2.34 to 4.71  $\mu$ g/g) were also detected in the retaining berm. Hydrocarbons in the soil were mostly diesel, with measurable quantities of heavy oils (lubricants and grease). Contaminated soils in the Temporary Storage Facility along with substrate in the retaining berms were removed on October 15, 1996 and transported to an industrial landfill in Alberta for disposal. The area was then re-graded.

#### 3.1.2 1997 Remedial Activities

The process was initiated with the development of the construction specification by UMA Engineering (UMA, 1997) based on the recommendations presented in Chapter 2. The specifications were then employed for site remediation between August 20 and September 14, 1997. The clean up project team consisted of representatives from: DIAND Waste Management, Whitehorse (Project Authority); Champagne-Aishihik First Nations, Haines Junction, YT (Construction Contractor and Stakeholder Consultations); Royal Roads University (Scientific/Technical Coordinator) and UMA Engineering Ltd. (Engineering Consultant).

A total of 226 tonnes of surface soils contaminated with total DDT concentrations exceeding 10  $\mu g/g$  were excavated from the vicinity of the former Temporary Storage Facility and near the Trench at Rainy Hollow. This was to curtail the possible exposure pathways for DDT in surface soils to wildlife and humans. The excavated soils were taken to the East Peace Industrial Waste Treatment and Disposal Site, Peace River, Alberta for disposal. The remaining DDT-contaminated soils with total DDT concentration in the range of 1 to 10  $\mu g/g$  and hydrocarbon-contaminated soils with concentrations exceeding 1000  $\mu g/g$  were capped using a minimum of 0.5m of clean granular material. Capping material was hauled in from a borrow area along Haines Highway designated by the Department of Highways, Yukon Territorial Government.

Eighteen monitoring wells required for the long-term monitoring program were reset to below ground surface. The purpose of this task was to reduce the visibility of the monitoring wells at

the site and minimize the potential for tampering. In addition, 11 wells, which were no longer needed for monitoring purposes, were cut off at a depth of 1m below ground surface and sealed. The excavated areas around the wells were backfilled to surface and graded to match existing contours.

A report of remedial activities, including confirmatory sampling, was prepared for DIAND in January 1998 (Royal Roads, 1998). Copies of this report were subsequently submitted to WLAP (Contaminated Sites – Victoria and Environmental Protection – Smithers) and BC Parks (Smithers).

The site remediation (Royal Roads, 1998) was reviewed in a meeting of the Rainy Hollow Working Group on March 30, 1998 at Royal Roads University. Participants included representatives from DIAND Waste Management (Mark Palmer and Brett Hartshorne), WLAP (P. Evans and M. Macfarlane), Champaign and Aishihik First Nations (K. Hudson and G. Allison) and Royal Roads (M. Dodd and D. Bright). Recommendations arising out of this meeting included the following:

- Additional confirmatory sampling, especially along the access roads to the Temporary Storage Facility at Border Station to address elevated levels of DDT.
- Preparation of maps showing all sampling locations to-date at the sites.

A review of previous information was conducted and maps documenting all sampling locations were presented in a report that was submitted to DIAND in 1999 (Royal Roads, 1999). Copies of the report were submitted to WLAP (Contaminated Sites – Victoria and Environmental Protection – Smithers).

Additional confirmatory sampling was conducted in August and October 1998. A synopsis of the program is given in the next section.

#### 3.1.3 1998 Remedial Activities

Representatives from Royal Roads and DIAND Waste Management, Whitehorse conducted the field program on August 8, 1998. Forty-two surface soil samples were collected from locations along the access roads and the edge of the cap along a 10-m grid line. All the samples were analyzed using immunoassay test kits. Ten split replicate samples were also analyzed at Axys Analytical Laboratory using gas chromatography with mass spectrometric detection (GC-MS). Both the field test kit and laboratory results indicated that the concentrations of DDT in most of the samples were less than  $1.0 \,\mu\text{g/g}$ . However, two locations in depressions along the access road east of the Temporary Storage Facility had DDT in excess of  $10 \,\mu\text{g/g}$ . Contaminated soils in these two areas were excavated on October 29 and 30, 1998. The excavated soils were placed into 16 Mega Bag<sup>TM</sup> (Trimeg Holdings, Alberta) each with a capacity of  $1 \, \text{m}^3$ .

Field test kit and laboratory data for samples collected after excavation indicated successful removal of soils containing DDT at concentrations exceeding  $10~\mu g/g$ . The excavated areas along with other locations identified as containing DDT with concentrations between  $1-10~\mu g/g$  were capped with clean granular material. These areas were then re-graded to conform to the natural contours. Confirmatory sampling locations and results are given in Drawings 3 and 4.

A report of the cleanup activities was submitted to DIAND Waste Management in March 1999 (Royal Roads, 1999). Copies of this report were also forwarded to WLAP – Contaminated Sites in Victoria and Environmental Protection in Smithers.

A validation of the DDT immunoassay test kits used for site investigation and remediation was also conducted (Royal Roads, 1999). Over the course of the DSI and remedial activities (1996 – 1998), 200 soil samples collected from Border Station and Rainy Hollow were analyzed with the immunoassay field test kits. Out of these, 39 samples were analyzed using both field test kits and laboratory gas chromatographic methods, which represents 19% of total analyzed by test kits. A majority of the concentrations obtained using the field test kits agreed with the laboratory data. However, there was one false negative (underestimation of DDT concentration by the field test kit) and eight false positives (i.e., overestimation of the true DDT concentration). While some analyses were over-sensitive in no case did a sample determined to contain less than 1 μg/g DDT using the field test kits have a real total DDT concentration greater than 1 μg/g. According to the US EPA SW846-4000 Method for Immunoassay, the maximum permissible false negative rate is 5% while producing as few false positive results (nominally less than 20%). The results obtained at Rainy Hollow (2.5% occurrence of false negatives and 20% false positives) are therefore, within acceptable limits indicating that the EnviroGard<sup>TM</sup> DDT in Soil Test Kit served as a useful tool for field analysis.

# 3.2 Removal of Snow Fence and Upper Liner from the Rainy Hollow Trench Area

This was addressed during the 1997 remediation program. The snow fence, upper liner and all tires used to hold down the liner were removed. These were placed into the stockpile of contaminated soils and shipped off-site for disposal. Soil at the northern end of the Trench was excavated and the remaining material, which contained DDT at concentrations between was 0.13 to 6.3  $\mu$ g/g was capped with at 0.5 m of clean fill (See Drawing 3).

# 3.3 Remediation of Localized Surface Hydrocarbon Stains

Surface hydrocarbon stains were present at the former POL storage area and two discrete areas at the upper site (Border Station). Hydrocarbon concentrations at a depth of 1 m or more were lower than the B.C. Draft soil standards. Test pits excavated laterally to the stain indicated that no subsurface lateral migration of hydrocarbons had occurred. The impacts to wildlife populations from these stains were deemed minimal given their small sizes relative the foraging range. The stains however provided a barrier to localized re-vegetation of the area. These stained areas were therefore capped with a minimum of 0.5 m of clean fill. Capping was accomplished between August 25 to 29, 1997. The limits of the area to be capped were laid out on the basis of the results of the 1996 Detailed Site Investigations and as presented in the Construction Specifications (UMA, 1997). The steep nature of the temporary roadway leading to the former POL area, as well as the confined space for maneuvering required the use of only the small end dump trucks to haul clean fill to this location. This increased the time required to complete the cap. Once the cap was completed, the temporary roadway was graded to blend with the natural slope of the hillside. The total volume of soil placed in the former POL locations was approximately 1450 m<sup>3</sup> while 126 m<sup>3</sup> of fill was placed over the other two localized stained areas.

# 3.4 Site Contouring and Re-Grading

Following the removal of contaminated soils and addition of at least 0.5 m of clean fill, the sites were re-graded and contoured to minimize substantial surface runoff.

# 3.5 Initiation of a Small Rodent Study and Terrestrial Wildlife Survey

The small rodent study and further wildlife survey was recommended as an option if lower remediation triggers are warranted following the removal of soils containing DDT in excess of  $10 \mu g/g$  and capping those with concentrations between  $1 - 10 \mu g/g$ . No further actions were undertaken since the triggers used were deemed satisfactory.

#### 4. GROUNDWATER MONITORING PROGRAM

It was evident from the results of the Detailed Site Investigation and Risk Assessment that subsurface DDT and hydrocarbon contaminated material remained in place at Rainy Hollow. There was evidence that both DDT and hydrocarbons were being introduced to the Klehini River through the discharge of contaminated groundwater. The results of the risk assessment however, indicated that the actual instantaneous concentrations of these substances in sediment, river water, or stream invertebrates was so low as to preclude any possibility of deleterious biological effects. A conceptual groundwater modeling exercise was undertaken in order to predict the subsurface fate of DDT and hydrocarbons at Rainy Hollow. This was expanded and evaluated in a follow up document (Woodbury, 1997). The conceptual model of contaminant transport predicted an increase in DDT concentrations at the bank of the Klehini River (outflow face) for about 50 years after the time of release and expected to level off. The monitoring program was recommended to:

- Confirm the original predictions of the fate and concentrations of DDT;
- Allow, if necessary, refinements to the model, thus ensuring the long-term validity of contaminant fate predictions;
- Permit further intervention at the site, including a possible re-evaluation of remedial/risk-management strategies, through comparison with pre-defined action triggers, and
- Provide assurances that other contaminants such as hydrocarbons and various metals are not entering the Klehini River at potentially deleterious concentrations.

Schedules, parameters and work plan recommended in the monitoring program included:

- Measurement of water levels at three locations on the lower bench area including MW-19A, MW-22 and MW-18. Monitoring in MW-19A should be carried out using a continuous recorder while water levels at MW-18 and MW-22 should be measured on a twice per-month frequency from May to October in 1997, 1998 and 1999.
- Annual sampling of water from monitoring wells WP-7, WP-13, MW-21A, MW-21B, MW-17A, MW-17B and MW-18, from mini-piezometers MP-1, MP-2 and MP-3, and at three points along the Klehini River.
- Field determination of the electrical conductivity, temperature and pH of the water samples and laboratory analysis for DDT and BTEX in predefined subsets of the wells.
- In addition, pursuant to discussions at the Rainy Hollow Working Group meeting of March 1998, it was deemed necessary to analyze these samples for metals as well as light extractable petroleum hydrocarbons (LEPH C10-C18).

#### 4.1 METHODS

# 4.1.1 Field Investigations

Field programs were conducted over two day periods in August each year. The field team comprised representatives from either one or more of these organizations - Royal Roads, DIAND and UMA Engineering. Activities conducted in the first year included the collection of groundwater and surface water samples, and installation of two continuous water level monitors. Data was retrieved from the loggers during subsequent annual visits.

# 4.1.2 Sampling Locations

Seven locations were targeted for groundwater sampling and these are shown on Drawing 5. The wells comprised:

- MW-16 located on the upper bench to ascertain the migration of hydrocarbons towards Rainy Hollow;
- MW-17A&B and MW-19A&B which are two sets of nested wells situated along the groundwater flow path from the upper bench and up gradient from the DDT Trench at Rainy Hollow;
- WP-7, WP-13 and MW-21, which are located down gradient from the Trench; and
- MW-18, which is not directly influenced by either the DDT Trench or hydrocarbon contamination from the upper bench and was therefore selected to provide data on the lower site background conditions
- Samples were also collected from the three mini-piezometers (situated along the bank of the Klehini River MP-1, MP-2 and MP-3).

Surface water samples were obtained from three locations along the Klehini River (Drawing 6) as follows:

- Kle-1: Downstream of the confluence of Seltat Creek and Klehini River, at approximately 1.1 km north of Rainy Hollow
- Kle-2: Inside of gravel bar adjacent to mini-piezometer MP-2
- Kle-4: South of Rainy Hollow, at approximately 1 km downstream of the site.

#### 4.1.3 Groundwater Levels

Two Solinst M5 Leveloggers<sup>™</sup> (Model No. 300, Serial Nos. 3312 and 3313) were installed in monitoring well MW-19B on August 23, 1997. One Levelogger was set below the water level to measure the depth of water in the well. The second Levelogger was placed in the borehole above the highest expected water level to measure barometric pressure. The two Leveloggers were set to record daily at 1200 hrs. Subsequent year activities included the retrieval of data from the Leveloggers. Following consultations and comments received from WLAP, a site visit was conducted on September 28, 1999 during which the Levelogger placed above the water level in MW-19B was retrieved and installed into MW-18.

The static groundwater level in each of the wells identified above was recorded annually during site investigations. The information gathered from the Leveloggers was deemed sufficient to document fluctuations in groundwater flow as such the recommended twice per-month frequency of manual groundwater measurement in MW-18 and MW-22 was not conducted.

# 4.1.4 Sampling

The static water level in each well was measured using a Solinst™ water level meter equipped with an interface probe (Solinst Model No. 122). Each well was purged and sampled using dedicated Waterra™ tubing and foot valve installed during the detailed site investigation. At least three well volumes of water were withdrawn from the well and discarded. Each well was purged

until three consecutive readings of pH, conductivity and temperature were within ten percent. Following this samples were collected and placed into appropriate pre-cleaned sampling jars supplied by ALS Environmental (Formerly Analytical Services Laboratory), Vancouver BC and Axys Analytical Laboratories, Sidney BC (Axys).

Grab surface water samples from the Klehini River were collected directly into the appropriate sampling containers. The container was held at the base and the neck plunged below the surface (25 - 40 cm) and tilted such that the neck pointed to the water flow during filling.

Samples earmarked for dissolved metals analyses were field filtered using disposable in-line 0.45  $\mu m$  membrane filter (Gelman Sciences). The filtered sample was placed directly into a 250 mL plastic container and preserved with nitric acid. A field QA/QC program, which incorporated measures to ensure the integrity of the water samples collected, was utilized. This included the collection of a field duplicate sample from MP-2 each year.

# 4.1.5 Laboratory Analysis

Laboratory analyses for volatile petroleum hydrocarbons (VPH) including benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylene (BTEX), and extractable petroleum hydrocarbons (EPH) were conducted at ALS using gas chromatography with either photo-ionization detection (GC-PID) or flame ionization detection (GC-FID). Under the British Columbia Contaminated Sites Regulations, extractable hydrocarbons are classified into Light Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbons (LEPH) and Heavy Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbons (HEPH). The concentration of LEPH in a sample is obtained by initially quantifying extractable petroleum hydrocarbons (EPH) in the range C10 to C19. The concentrations of un-substituted PAHs that fall in this range are then obtained in a separate analysis and subtracted from the total EPH (C10 to C19) to arrive at a concentration for LEPH. Similarly, HEPH comprise the EPH fraction from C19 to C32, after subtracting concentrations of un-substituted PAHs that fall in this range. Results obtained to date over the first two years for Rainy Hollow and Border Station Rainy Hollow indicated that un-substituted PAHs did not constitute a significant part of the EPH fraction (i.e., uniformly less than 1% of the EPH total concentration, or below detection). As such data for EPH (C10 toC19) and LEPHs have been directly comparable. Corresponding results have also been obtained for EPHs (C19 to C32) and HEPHs. To this end, hydrocarbons in the C10 to C31 range were determined as EPH [(C10 to C19) and (C19 to C32)], rather than LEPH and HEPH during the subsequent monitoring programs.

DDT and its metabolites were analyzed by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry at Axys. The following six DDT compounds which represent the parent isomers and their metabolites were determined; p, p'-DDT, o, p'-DDT, p, p'-DDE, o, p'-DDE, p, p'-DDD and o, p'-DDD. Unless otherwise specified in the discussion DDT concentrations refer to the sum of all six compounds.

#### 4.2 Results and Discussions

#### 4.2.1 Groundwater Levels

Groundwater levels measured manually from August 1996 to 2000 are summarized in Table 1:

no data is available for 1997. The levels measured in the wells over the monitoring period are in close agreement, which suggest no dramatic change in horizontal gradient. Slight variations across wells and across years (i.e., in the range of a cm) are attributed to different measuring techniques by various field personnel. Therefore the groundwater flux to the Klehini River is also expected to be unchanged.

Data from the Solinst Levelogger installed in MW-19, which was retrieved on September 14, 2000, is presented graphically in Figure 4.1. The raw data is provided in Appendix B.




Figure 4.1: Groundwater levels at MW-19 recorded using an automated Solinst Levelogger from August 1997 and August 2000

The logger initially showed values of 1200 to 1250 cm corresponding to a water table elevation (measured manually) of about 95.8 m. During October 1997, the readings dropped off by 250 cm and fluctuated within a fairly narrow range (maximum variation of 100 cm) until the end of the recording interval in August 2000. The reading on August 8, 1998 of 969 cm corresponded to a manually recorded water level of about 96 m. The drop in reading in October 1997 cannot be readily rationalized. It may represent a drift in the transducer. Apart from the dramatic adjustment in pressure readings, the water level appears to vary by about 30 cm with the occasional spikes of 50 cm or more. The short spikes in water level correlate to spring, where the snow pack melts into the overburden and recharges the water table. There are also occasional spikes in the late fall that likely correlate to significant late season precipitation events.

The Levelogger set above the water column in MW-19 was recovered and re-installed into MW-18 in September 1999. Data from this well was also retrieved on September 14, 2000. The

maximum spike noted was about 50 cm (Figure 4.2). This was comparable to data from MW-19.




Figure 4.2: Groundwater levels at MW-16 recorded using an automated Solinst Levelogger from August 1999 and September 2000

The data presented above suggest there is no significant seasonal changes to the groundwater table as such any major external impact, such as spring recharge or high river stage would not be expected to influence groundwater levels.

#### 4.3 Results for DDT

#### 4.3.1 Groundwater

The concentrations of DDT in the water samples collected from 1996 to 2000 are given in Tables 2 to 6. These results are also presented graphically in Figure 4.3 below.




Figure 4.3: Total DDT Concentrations in Groundwater and Surface Water Samples Collected Annually at Rainy Hollow from 1996 to 2000

Detectable levels of p,p'-DDD, o,p'-DDD and p,p'-DDE (maximum of 0.00067 µg/L) were found in MW-17A over the monitoring period. This well is located up gradient of the Trench where the DDT containing canisters were recovered in 1994. The three isomers listed above were also detected in MW-18, which is located west of the Trench and is not directly influenced by contamination from either the Trench or the upper bench. The maximum total concentration detected in these two wells was 0.0011 µg/L. As depicted in Figure 4.3, concentrations in these two wells were generally comparable to each other over the monitoring period. Commercial DDT pesticide formulations usually contain 70 – 80% of the p,p'-DDT isomer and up to 30% of the o,p'-DDT isomer. Both DDE and DDD isomers occur in small quantities as impurities in technical DDT mixtures. Once introduced into the environment, the parent DDT isomers undergo transformations through biotic and abiotic processes to yield more DDD and DDE isomers. DDD is produced through reductive dechlorination under anaerobic conditions while oxidative dehydrochlorination under aerobic conditions yields DDE (Foght et al. 2001; Jing F. 2001). It is believed that DDE is the most recalcitrant of the three and the ratio of DDE to DDT increases with time following release into the environment; i.e., a more recent spill would have a greater proportion of DDT compared to DDD and DDE. The low concentrations of DDD and DDE detected in MW-17 and MW-18 may have been generated from the anaerobic transformation of the parent DDT isomers introduced through historical use or aerial dispersion of DDT-contaminated dust particles.

Total DDT concentrations in excess of the BC CSR standard for aquatic life use (AW) of 0.01 µg/L were found in groundwater samples obtained from the two wells located immediately down gradient of the Trench (WP-7 and WP-13) over the monitoring period. The principal isomer in samples from both these wells was p,p'-DDD, the initial degradation product of DDT by anaerobic dechlorination. The levels in WP-13 were relatively uniform over the entire monitoring period whereas there was a slight inter-annual variation in DDT concentrations for WP-7. The 1999 and 2000 data for WP-7 were, however, comparable to the 1997 result.

Detectable concentrations of DDT were also found in the nested wells located along the groundwater migration pathway to the Klehini River. Comparable levels (around  $0.05 \mu g/L$  were found in the shallow well (MW-21A: screen set at between 0.5 to 2 m below ground surface). The concentrations in the deeper well (MW-21B), with the screen set at 2.5 to 5 m below the ground surface, were an order of magnitude lower (about  $0.001 \mu g/L$ ).

Samples from the mini-piezometer installed to intercept groundwater flow from the Trench before it enters the Klehini River had an average of  $0.009~\mu g/L$  of total DDT between 1996 and 1998. This average was comparable to the concentration of  $0.007~\mu g/L$  predicted by the conceptual model. There was a decrease in 1999 ( $0.003~\mu g/L$ ) and in 2000 ( $0.001~\mu g/L$ ). Thus no significant increase in DDT concentration was noted over the five-year period. This is consistent with the groundwater model predictions that "Temporal changes in DDT fluxes at the interface are predicted to occur relatively slowly, and a substantial short-term increase in DDT inputs to the river is not predicted to occur".

Apart from one anomalous data point of 0.012 µg/L obtained in 1997, the concentrations in samples from MP-1 (up gradient of MP-2) and MP-3 (down gradient of MP-2) were consistently close to or below the limits of detection. The mini-piezometers are set at the edge of the river below the present high water mark and are susceptible to removal during spring break up. This was the case for MP-1 in 1997 and a new one was re-installed. The anomalous data was due to 0,p'-DDT and p,p'-DDT which was probably introduced as a contaminant during the installation of the new mini-piezometer. The dominant isomer was p,p'-DDT and not p,p'-DDD, which was the major species in the groundwater plume.

DDT concentrations in the surface water samples collected from the Klehini River are also included in Figure 4.3. Total DDT at KLE-1 (upstream of the site) and KLE-4 (downstream of the site) were generally below detection or less than 0.001 µg/L, which is the BC CSR AW standard of 0.001 µg/L, taking into account a ten-fold dilution of groundwater in the actual aquatic receiving environment. Similar results were also obtained for KLE-2 except for the 2000 data. A total DDT concentration of 0.0013 µg/L was found in the sample obtained from KLE-2 in September 2000. The sampling location was near the mini-piezometer MP-2 in an overflow channel separated from the main river by a gravel bar. The concentrations of DDT detected in the surface water sample was similar to that obtained from groundwater in MP-2 (see Table 6). This suggested that there was virtually no dilution of groundwater discharge into the side channel. Water level in the main river channel was very low at the time of sampling as such flow through

the side channel was very slow and effectively stagnant. It should be noted that the concentration of  $0.0013~\mu g/L$  detected in the surface water sample is less than the maximum concentration of  $0.002~\mu g/L$  predicted to occur in the river immediately adjacent to the groundwater outflow face at some time in the future, when steady state conditions are established.

#### 4.4 Hydrocarbons


The concentration of hydrocarbons in groundwater samples was measured as monocyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylenes) VHs (volatile hydrocarbons, C6 to 10), VPH (volatile petroleum hydrocarbons, calculated) and EPH (extractable hydrocarbons). Results obtained from the Detailed Site Investigations (Royal Roads, 1997) and the 1998 monitoring programs (Royal Roads, 1999) indicated that PAHs do not constitute a significant part of the EPH fraction, and site groundwater data for EPHs (C10 to19) and LEPHs have been directly comparable. Corresponding results were also been obtained for EPHs (C19 to 32) and HEPHs. To this end, extractable hydrocarbons were determined as EPH, rather than LEPH and HEPH during the 1999 and 2000 monitoring program.

# 4.4.1 Monocyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (MAHs)

The concentrations of the components of this group of hydrocarbons including benzene, ethylbenzene, toluene and xylenes (BTEX) have been consistently low and below the respective BC CSR standard for aquatic life (Table 7). Monitoring wells MW-17A and 17B, a set of nested wells situated between the upper bench and the lower bench along the groundwater flow path contained detectable concentrations of ethylbenzene, toluene and xylenes while the highest concentrations of these compounds were found in WP-7 directly down gradient of the trench. The concentrations at MW-17 may represent the migration of hydrocarbons from the upper bench and that at WP-7 reflects residuals from the DDT canisters along with contributions from the upper bench. The concentrations at the groundwater discharge by the Klehini River (minipiezometers) were considerably lower.

# 4.4.2 Volatile and Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbons

Detectable concentrations of VPH and LEPH or EPH (C10 to 19) were found in groundwater samples obtained from various monitoring wells and mini-piezometers (Table 8); HEPH or EPH (C19-32) levels were all below detection except for one sample. The HEPH or EPH (C19-32) concentration of 1700  $\mu$ g/L detected in MP-1 in 1996 may be attributed to contamination from the mini-piezometer upriser, which appeared to contain a heavy oil coating used during the manufacture. VPH (C6 to 10) concentrations in MW17 and WP-7 exceeded the BC CSR AW standard of 1500  $\mu$ g/L over the monitoring period.






Figure 4.4: Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbons (EPH C10-19) Concentrations in Groundwater and Surface Water Samples Collected Annually at Rainy Hollow from 1996 to 2000

The highest EPH (C10-19) concentration (23,000  $\mu$ g/L) was found in 2000 in MW-16, which is situated on the upper bench along the groundwater migration flow to Rainy Hollow. This concentration was four times higher than results from the previous years. The elevated concentration was detected following installation of the Solinst Levelogger in the well and this could account for the contamination. MW-17A and 17B, a set of nested wells situated between the upper bench and the lower bench along the groundwater flow path also contained elevated concentrations EPH (C10 – 19). The concentrations were however, comparable to each other over the monitoring period. EPH (C10-19 levels in WP-13, immediately down gradient of the Trench showed an increasing trend while all other wells indicated decreasing hydrocarbon levels over the monitoring period. Hydrocarbon concentrations in samples from the mini-piezometers also generally showed a decreasing trend.

# 4.4.3 Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon

A limited number of groundwater samples were analyzed for PAHs in 1996 and 1998. The concentrations were either below detection or well below the BC CSR AW standards (Table 9).

#### 4.5 Metals

#### 4.5.1 Groundwater

The concentrations of dissolved metals in groundwater samples are tabulated in Tables 10 to 14. Antimony, arsenic, beryllium, cadmium, chromium, cobalt, copper, lead, molybdenum, nickel, selenium, silver and thallium were all below their respective detection limits. Detectable concentrations of the remaining metals were all below the CSR Generic Numerical Water Standards for freshwater Aquatic Life (AW) except for zinc. The distribution of dissolved zinc in samples collected from the monitoring wells and mini-piezometer is depicted in Figure 4.5. For concentrations below detection the absolute value of the detection limit (5 µg/L) was used to generate the plot. Zinc concentrations were generally below detection or less than the CSR AW standard except for MP-2 and MP-3. A closer examination of the up-risers indicated that the pipes for MP-2 and MP-3 had galvanized coatings and this accounted for the elevated levels in samples from these two mini-piezometers. The up-riser for MP-1 was composed of stainless steel as opposed to the galvanized ones used for the other two.




Figure 4.5: Zinc Concentration in Groundwater Water Samples Collected at Annually Rainy Hollow from 1997 to 2000

There were only slight changes in detectable metals concentrations in the monitoring wells over the monitoring period. The inter-annual variation in each well was not very significant as illustrated by the concentrations of barium, calcium, iron and manganese in Figure 4.6.





Figure 4.6: Inter-Annual Variation of Barium, Calcium, Iron and Manganese Concentrations in Groundwater Water Samples Collected at Rainy Hollow from 1997 to 2000

Barium and calcium concentrations did not vary much between wells while iron and manganese concentrations were depended on well locations. The highest iron and manganese levels were found in MW-17A and WP-7. Elevated iron and manganese concentrations in ground water are directly attributable to dissolution from the solid phase. Iron and manganese form oxyhydroxides in well oxygenated environments that have limited solubility in water. Under reducing conditions as found in sub-oxic or anaerobic environments, the oxyhydroxides go into solution thereby increasing dissolved iron and manganese concentrations. Other metals such as cadmium, copper, nickel, chromium, lead and zinc are known to adsorb to the abundant binding sites on iron and manganese oxyhydroxides, expecially hydroxy- (OH-) groups. Unlike iron and manganese, this latter group of metals react under highly anoxic conditions to form insoluble metal sulfide complexes provided that a large enough pool of free sulfides exist, removing them from solution. Generally, conditions that decrease the oxygen concentration in groundwater (i.e., enhance

reducing conditions) favour the solubilization of iron and manganese. The subsurface anoxia in shallow groundwater at Rainy Hollow is enhanced by hydrocarbon contamination. Aerobic bacteria utilize the hydrocarbons as a food source. The enhanced bacterial productivity in groundwater causes oxygen depletion with subsequent solubilization of iron and manganese into groundwater. Thus the highest dissolved iron and manganese concentrations were found in MW-16 (not shown on the plot), MW-17A and WP-7, which are situated along the hydrocarbon-contaminated groundwater migration flow towards the Klehini River. Samples from these wells contained elevated concentrations of EPH (C10-19) – see Figure 4.4. Elevated concentrations of manganese were also noted in samples from MP-2 and MP-3. This could be attributed to additional contributions from the metal up-riser pipes as with the zinc contamination discussed previously.

Groundwater samples collected in 1998 were also analyzed for total metals to ascertain the relative contribution of particulate matter to metal loading in groundwater. Total metals



concentrations are given in Table 14. As with dissolved metals concentrations, arsenic, antimony, beryllium, boron, mercury, molybdenum, selenium and silver below detection while elevated levels of other metals such as aluminum, iron, manganese and zinc were noted. A comparison of the aluminum and iron total concentrations to their respective dissolved concentrations are given in Figure 4.7. Higher aluminum and iron levels were generally associated with particulate matter as illustrated in the figure. MW-17A, WP-7 and MP-3, however, contained a greater ratio of dissolved iron. This was attributed to solubilization of iron hydroxides as discussed in the preceding paragraph.

Figure 4.7: Comparison of Total Metal to Dissolved Metal Concentrations in Groundwater Samples Collected from Rainy Hollow in 1998

#### 4.5.2 Surface Water

The monitoring of total metals in surface water was initiated in 1998 pursuant to discussions at the Rainy Hollow Working Group meeting of March 1998. Total metals concentrations obtained over the monitoring period is given in Table 15. Most of the concentrations were either below detection or below their respective BC CSR aquatic life standard. Furthermore the concentrations detected in 2000 were significantly lower than those obtained in the preceding years. This is illustrated using aluminum, iron, manganese and zinc concentrations in Figure 4.8. Metal concentrations in samples from KLE-2, directly adjacent to the site and KLE-4 (downstream of the site) were higher compared to the up-stream background sample (KLE-1). A comparison of total metal to dissolved metal concentrations (Table 16) indicated that the elevated concentrations were associated with particulate matter. Samples collected in 1999 were highly turbid as a result of high water flow as such the elevated concentrations could be attributed to greater amount of particulate matter.




Figure 4.8: Total Metal Concentrations in Surface Water Samples Collected Annually from the Klehini River near Rainy Hollow

#### 5. SITE INSPECTIONS

DIAND Waste Management personnel visit the site in July 2002 to assess current site condition and document any significant erosion and shifting of the river channel. Vegetation reestablishment in capped areas was also assessed. Photographs were taken and a summary report was prepared. This report is attached to Appendix A. This summary report also contains information regarding the barrel discovered in October 2001. A synopsis of the findings is reproduced below.

- The drum containing used motor oil was removed and disposed of in the fall of 2000. Laboratory analysis of a sample of the contents did not indicate any potential contaminants of concern such as DDT, PCBs, VOCs and metals. No additional drums were discovered.
- Slight erosion and shifting of the river channel was observed near MP-3. This was downstream of the Trench and was not anticipated to impact the site.
- Site re-vegetation was at a natural rate.

#### 6. CONCLUSIONS

Based on the recommendations of the Detailed Site Investigation and Risk Assessment site remediation was conducted in 1997. Surface soils contaminated with total DDT concentrations exceeding 10  $\mu$ g/g were excavated from the vicinity of the former Temporary Storage Facility and near the Trench at Rainy Hollow. This was to curtail the possible exposure pathways for DDT in surface soils to wildlife and humans. The excavated soils were taken to the East Peace Industrial Waste Treatment and Disposal Site, Peace River, Alberta for disposal. The remaining DDT-contaminated soils with total DDT concentration in the range of 1 to 10  $\mu$ g/g and hydrocarbon-contaminated soils with concentrations exceeding 1000  $\mu$ g/g were capped using a minimum of 0.5m of clean granular material. Capping material was hauled in from a borrow area along Haines Highway designated by the Department of Highways, Yukon Territorial Government. Additional excavation, capping and confirmatory sampling, especially along the access roads to the Temporary Storage Facility was undertaken in 1998 at Border Station to address elevated levels of DDT along portions of the road.

The snow fence, liner and all tires used to hold down the liner over the Trench were removed and shipped off-site for disposal. Soil at the northern end of the Trench containing DDT in excess of  $10~\mu g/g$  was excavated. The remaining areas around the Trench which contained DDT at concentrations between was 0.13 to  $6.3~\mu g/g$  was capped with at 0.5 m of clean fill (Drawing 3). The entire site was re-graded and contoured to minimize substantial surface runoff.

A monitoring program was conducted using recommendations of the risk assessment and modifications suggested by the Rainy Hollow Working Group. The data obtained over the monitoring period, as presented in Chapter 4, indicates a remarkable overall consistency in the concentrations of DDT and hydrocarbons in groundwater and surface water samples collected from Rainy Hollow and Border Station over a five-year period.

Water levels reflect seasonal and annual variations, however the normal range of fluctuation is in the order of 50 cm. The horizontal gradient, and resultant groundwater flux to the Klehini River over the past four years has been relatively constant. Spikes in water levels are associated with spring recharge and late season precipitation. Any impact on groundwater flux to the river associated with these events is nominal as they are of short duration.

Based on previous investigations, it is estimated that mini-piezometer MP-2 is located in the approximate centre of the DDT-contaminated groundwater plume. The concentrations of DDT in samples collected from MP-2 indicate a slight decrease in 1999 and 2000. DDT concentrations in water samples from the Klehini River within 2 to 3 m of where contaminated groundwater would enter the river (KLE-2) have also been below 0.001  $\mu$ g/L except for the 2000 data. The concentration obtained in surface water in 2000 was comparable to that found in the adjacent mini-piezometer. This suggested that there was virtually no dilution of groundwater discharge into the side channel. Water level in the main river channel was very low at the time of sampling as such flow through the side channel was very slow and effectively stagnant. It should be noted that the concentration of 0.0013  $\mu$ g/L detected in the surface water sample is less than the maximum concentration of 0.002  $\mu$ g/L predicted by the model to occur in the river immediately adjacent to the to the groundwater outflow face at some time in the future, when steady state

conditions are established. Hydrocarbon concentrations have also showed a similar decreasing trend. The results are therefore consistent with the groundwater model predictions that "Temporal changes in DDT fluxes at the interface are predicted to occur relatively slowly, and a substantial short-term increase in DDT inputs to the river is not predicted to occur". A similar conclusion can be drawn with respect to hydrocarbons.

Overall, the post-remediation monitoring data for Rainy Hollow/Border Station are consistent with groundwater model predictions undertaken as part of the 1996 environmental risk assessment. The data to date adequately characterizes the site conditions.

#### 7. REFERENCES

- Bisset, K. and Associates, 1995. Research of Former Military Sites & Activities in the Yukon Report submitted to AES (DIAND) in April, 1995.
- BC MELP (British Columbia Ministry of the Environment Lands and Parks), 1999. Contaminated Sites Regulation First Stage Amendments (order Council 843), deposited as B.C. Reg. 244/99. http://www.bc-environment-issues.com/oic\_244\_99.html Accessed January 24, 2000.
- Chiou C.T. and Schmedding D.W. (1982). Partitioning of Organic Compounds in Octanol-Water Systems. Environmental Science and Technology, 1982, 16, 4-10.
- Domenico, P.A. and Schwartz F.A. (1990). Physical and Chemical Hydrogeology. Wiley. 824 p.
- CCME (Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment), 1999. Canadian Environmental Quality Guidelines.
- Feng, J. 2001. 1,1,1-Trichloro-2,2-bis-(4"-chlorophenyl)ethane (DDT) Pathway Map. The University of Minnesota Biocatalysis/Biodegradation Database. http://umbbd.ahc.umn.edu/ddt/ddt\_map.html
- Foght, J., April T., Biggar, K and Aislabie, J. 2001. Bioremediation of DDT-contaminated soils: A review. Bioremediation Journal 5(3): 225-246.
- Golder Associates, 1995. Report on 1994 Site Assessment & Remedial Response Program Border Pump Station Rainy Hollow, B.C. Submitted to Environment Canada, Pacific and Yukon Region in March 1995
- Government of British Columbia. Contaminated Sites Regulation. April 1, 1997.
- Royal Roads University Applied Research Division (1996a). Haines-Fairbanks Pipeline: Environmental Issues at Border Pump Station and Rainy Hollow Sites. Report prepared for Indian and Northern Affairs (DIAND) AES, March 1996.
- Royal Roads University Applied Research Division (1996b). Characterization of Soils at the Temporary Storage Facility, Border Pump Station. Report prepared for Indian and Northern Affairs (DIAND) AES, October, 1996.
- Royal Roads University Applied Research Division (1996c). Preliminary Environmental Assessment Haines-Fairbanks Pipeline: Delineation and Characterization of Metals, Organochlorines and Hydrocarbons at Million Dollar Falls, Blanchard River and Border Station. Report prepared for Indian and Northern Affairs (DIAND) AES, March 1996.
- Royal Roads University Applied Research Division (1997) in association with UMA Engineering Ltd and Golder Associates Ltd. Detailed Site Investigation and Risk Assessment: Border Pump Station and Rainy Hollow. Report prepared for Indian and Northern Affairs

- (DIAND) and the Rainy Hollow working Group, January 1997.
- Royal Roads University Applied Research Division (1998). Site Remediation of Border Pump Station and Rainy Hollow, British Columbia Canada. Report prepared for Indian and Northern Affairs (DIAND), Waste Management Program, Whitehorse, Yukon, January 1998.
- Royal Roads University Applied Research Division (1999a). Remediation and Confirmatory Sampling of DDT Contaminated Soils at Border Pump Station and Rainy Hollow, British Columbia Canada. Report prepared for Indian and Northern Affairs (DIAND), Waste Management Program, Whitehorse, Yukon, March 1999.
- Royal Roads University Applied Research Division (1999b). Border Pump Station and Rainy Hollow, BC, Canada: 1997 and 1998 Monitoring Program. Report prepared for Indian and Northern Affairs (DIAND), Waste Management Program, Whitehorse, Yukon, February 1999.
- UMA Engineering Ltd. and AMBIO Research Associates, Inc., 1995. Preliminary Environmental Assessment Haines-Fairbanks Pipeline. Report prepared for AES-Whitehorse (DIAND) in August, 1995.
- UMA Engineering Ltd., 1997. Construction Specifications for the Site Remediation of the border Pump Station and Rainy Hollow Sites, British Columbia, Canada. Prepared for Indian and Northern Affairs Canada, Waste Management, Yukon, July 1997.
- Woodbury A. D. Rainy Hollow Contaminant Transport Modeling. Report prepared for UMA Engineering Ltd., Winnipeg, Manitoba, August 1997.

# **TABLES**

Dissolved Metal Concentrations in Surface water samples Collected near Rainy Hollow in 16	Table 16: Comparison of Total Metal to Dissolved Metal Concentrations in Surface           1998
at Rainy Hollow from 1998 to 200015	Table 15: Concentration of Total Metals in Surface Water Samples Collected at Annually at Rainy Hollow from 1998 to 2000
iny Hollow in 199814	Table 14: Concentration of Total Metals (μg/L) in Groundwater Samples Collected at Rainy Hollow in 1998
rom Rainy Hollow in 200013	Table 13: Concentration of Dissolved Metals (μg/L) in Groundwater Samples Collected from Rainy Hollow in 2000.
rom Rainy Hollow in 199912	Table 12: Concentration of Dissolved Metals (μg/L) in Groundwater Samples Collected from Rainy Hollow in 1999
/ Hollow in 199811	Table 11: Concentration of Dissolved Metals in Groundwater Samples Collected at Rainy Hollow in 1998
/ Hollow in 199710	Table 10: Concentration of Dissolved Metals in Groundwater Samples Collected at Rainy Hollow in 1997
s Collected from Rainy Hollow in 1996 and	Table 9: Concentrations of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in Groundwater Samples Collected from Rainy Hollow in 1996 and           1998
les Collected Annually at Rainy Hollow from	Table 8: Concentration of Volatile and Extractable Hydrocarbons (μg/L) in Water Samples Collected Annually at Rainy Hollow from 1996 to 2000
cted Annually at Rainy Hollow from 1996 to	Table 7: Concentrations of Monocyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in Water Samples Collected Annually at Rainy Hollow from 1996 to         2000
Hollow in September 20006	Table 6: DDT Concentration in Water Samples Collected from Border Station and Rainy Hollow in September 2000
Hollow in August 19995	Table 5: DDT Concentration in Water Samples Collected from Border Station and Rainy Hollow in August 1999
Rainy Hollow in 19984	Table 4: DDT Concentration (µg/L) in Water Samples Collected from Border Station and Rainy Hollow in 1998.
Hollow in August 19973	Table 3: DDT Concentration in Water Samples Collected from Border Station and Rainy Hollow in August 1997
Hollow in September 19962	Table 2: DDT Concentration in Water Samples Collected from Border Station and Rainy Hollow in September 1996
T	Table 1: Static Groundwater Levels for Monitoring Wells

Table 1: Static Groundwater Levels for Monitoring Wells

96.11 96.08 98.20 97.39	Groundwater Elevation (m)	levation (m)	
96.11 96.08 98.20 97.39	9 1998	1999	2000
96.08 98.20 97.39	1 96.07	96.30	70.96
98.20 97.39 96.30	8 96.18	96.40	96.18
97.39	08.80	99.00	98.65
96.30	9 97.28	97.42	97.16
0000	0 96.10	96.32	96.18
MW19A 96.22 9.	2 95.95	96.19	•
MW-21A 93.85 93	5 93.98	94.01	93.83
MW-21B 93.87 93	7 93.67	93.72	93.55

Table 2: DDT Concentration in Water Samples Collected from Border Station and Rainy Hollow in September 1996

Sample ID			Moi	Monitoring Wells	ells			Min	Wini-Piezometers	ers		Klehini River	
1	MW-17A	MW-17B	MW-19	MW-17A MW-17B MW-19 MW-21A MW-21B	MW-21B	WP-7	WP-13	MP-1	MP-2	MP-3	Kle-1	Kle-2	Kle-4
o,p' DDE	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00002	<0.000001	<0.000001	<0.000004
p,p' DDE	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.00001	0.00003	0.00006	<0.000003	<0.000003 <0.000004	<0.000000>
o,p' DDD	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.0001	0.002	0.00079	<0.000006	0.00008	<0.000005
p,p' DDD	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.4	0.2	0.00007	0.0058	0.0022	0.000004	0.00023	<0.000006
o,p' DDT	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.00018	<0.00018	0.00028	<0.00002	<0.000009	<0.00005
p,p' DDT	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.0015	0.0008	0.00043	0.00002	<0.00002	0.00002
Total DDT	1	ı	ı	•	•	0.4	0.2	0.00048	0.00863	0.00376	0.000024	0.00031	0.00002

- 1. All concentrations are expressed in micrograms per litre (μg/L)
- 2. <= less than the detection limit indicated
- 3. Shaded area indicates total DDT exceeds the BC CSR aquatic life standard of  $0.01\,\mu g/L$

Table 3: DDT Concentration in Water Samples Collected from Border Station and Rainy Hollow in August 1997

Sample ID			Mo	Monitoring Wells	ells				Mini-Piezometers	cometers		K	Klehini River	1
	MW-17A	MW-17A MW-17B		MW-18   MW-21A   MW-21B	MW-21B	WP-7	WP-13	MP-1	MP-2	MP-3-1	MP-3-2	Kle-1	Kle-2	Kle-4
o,p' DDE	<0.00012	<0.00012 <0.00008 <0.00005	<0.00005	<0.00017	<0.0001	0.00026	0.0003	<0.00032	<0.00032 0.00048 <sup>NQ</sup> $<0.00079$	<0.00079	0.00074	<0.00006	<0.00003	<0.00019
p,p' DDE	0.00024 <sup>NQ</sup>	0.00024 <sup>NQ</sup> 0.00012 <sup>NQ</sup>	0.00015	<0.00027	0.00014 <sup>NQ</sup>	0.0019	0.0015	<0.0013	0.00061 <sup>NQ</sup>	<0.0011	<0.00029	<0.00012 0.00012 <sup>NQ</sup>	0.00012 <sup>NQ</sup>	<0.00011
o,p' DDD	0.00029	<0.00004 <0.00003	<0.00003	0.01	0.00067	0.011	0.035	0.00072	0.002	0.0013 <sup>NQ</sup>	0.00085	<0.00004	<0.00003	<0.00005
p,p' DDD	0.00067	0.00067 <0.00007 <0.00013	<0.00013	0.039	0.0005	0.044	0.11	0.00098	9/00.0	0.0033	0.002	<0.00004	<0.00003	<0.0001
o,p' DDT	<0.0001	<0.00007 <0.00012 <0.00016	<0.00012		<0.00015	<0.00087	<0.00014	0.0032	$0.00035^{NQ}$ $0.0012^{NQ}$	0.0012 <sup>NQ</sup>	<0.0013	<0.00005	<0.00004	<0.00015
p,p' DDT	<0.00016	<0.00016 <0.00015	0.00051	0.0003	<0.0008	0.0022	0.0015	0.0076	<0.00043	<0.0012	0.00088	<0.00011	<0.00004	<0.00013
Total DDT	9600000	ı	0.00066	0.049	0.0012	0.059	0.15	0.012	0.0096	0.0033	0.0045	ı	ı	,

- 1. A field duplicate sample (MP-3-1 and MP-3-2) was collected at MP-3
- 2. All concentrations are expressed in micrograms per litre  $(\mu g/L)$
- 3. <= less than the detection limit indicated
- 4. NQ = Peak detected but did not meet quantification criteria
- 5. Shaded area indicates total DDT exceeds the BC CSR aquatic life standard of 0.01 µg/L

Table 4: DDT Concentration (µg/L) in Water Samples Collected from Border Station and Rainy Hollow in 1998.

Sample #			Monitoring Wells	ng Wells				Mini-Piezometers	cometers		K	Klehini River	Į.
	MW-17A	MW-17A MW-18 MW-21		A MW-21B	WP-7	WP-13	MP-1	MP-2A	MP-2B	MP-3	Kle-1	KLE-2	Kle-4
o,p' DDE	<0.0002	<0.0002 <0.0003	<0.0001	<0.0003	<0.0003 0.0015 NQ	0.00046	<0.0003	<0.0011	<0.0011 <0.0011 <0.0007		<0.0006	>0.0006	<0.0005
p,p' DDE	<0.0003	<0.0003 <0.0004	0.00041	<0.0003	0.011	0.0029	0.0005	<0.00016	<0.00016 <0.00016 <0.0009		<0.0008	<0.0006	<0.0007
o,p' DDD	<0.0002	<0.0002 <0.0003	0.011	0.00053	0.054	0.062	<0.0004	0.0019	0.0023	<0.0010	<0.0004	<0.0005	<0.0006
p,p' DDD	<0.0002	<0.0002 <0.0004	0.041	0.00067	0.22	0.24	<0.0009	0.0068	6900'0	0.0016	<0.0008	<0.001	<0.0009
o,p' DDT	<0.0003	<0.0003 <0.0002	0.00033	<0.0002	<0.001	<0.0006	<0.0006	<0.0018	<0.0004	<0.0011	<0.0007	<0.0004	<0.0007
p,p' DDT	<0.0005	<0.0005 <0.0004	0.00065	<0.0005	0.0042	0.00075	<0.0014	<0.0013	<0.0011	<0.0017	<0.0013	<0.0006	<0.0007
Total DDT	ı	1	0.053	0.0012	0.29	0.31	0.0005	0.0087	0.0092	0.0016	ı	-	1

- 1. A field duplicate sample (MP-2A and MP-2B) was collected at MP-2
- $\sim$  All concentrations are expressed in micrograms per litre (µg/L)
- 3. < = less than the detection limit indicated
- 4. NQ = Peak detected but did not meet quantification criteria
- 5. Shaded area indicates total DDT exceeds the BC CSR aquatic life standard of 0.01 µg/L

Table 5: DDT Concentration in Water Samples Collected from Border Station and Rainy Hollow in August 1999

Sample ID			Mon	Monitoring Wells	slls				Mini-Pie	Mini-Piezometers		¥	Klehini River	
	MW-17A	MW-17A MW-17B	MW-18   MW-21A   M	MW-21A	MW-21B	WP-7	WP-13	MP-1	MP-2-1	MP-2-2	MP-3	Kle-1	Kle-2	Kle-4
o,p' DDE	<0.00013	<0.00022	<0.00022 <0.00025	<0.00025	<0.00019	<0.00056	<0.00031	<0.00010	<0.00045	<0.00032	<0.00046	<0.00018	<0.00038	<0.00044
p,p' DDE	0.00027	0.00025	0.00026	0.0003	0.0002	0.0017	0.00065	0.00028	0.00019	0.00026 NQ	<0.00040	0.00033 NQ	0.00025	<0.00016
o,p' DDD	0.00011	<0.00015	0.00027	0.011	0.00065	0.011	0.061	<0.00010	<0.00010 0.00049 <sup>NQ</sup>	0.00052 <sup>NQ</sup>	<0.00022	<0.00020	<0.00012	<0.00018
p,p' DDD	0.00016	<0.00016	0.0060	0.036	0.00064	0.035	0.20	<0.00011	0.0014	0.0015	0.0032 NQ	20000°0>	<0.00013	<0.00019
o,p' DDT	<0.00014	<0.00020	<0.00013	<0.0021	<0.00038	<0.00054	0.00099	<0.00011	0.0015	0.0016	0.00035	<0.00024	<0.00016	<0.00022
p,p' DDT	<0.00020	<0.00029	<0.00019	<0.0031	<0.00055	<0.00079	0.0017	<0.00013	<0.00020	<0.00015	<0.00016	<0.00012	<0.00012	<0.00028
Total DDT	0.00054	0.00025	0.0011	0.047	0.0015	0.048	0.26	0.00028	0.0031	0.0031	0.00035	1	0.00025	-

- 1. A field duplicate sample (MP-2-1 and MP-2-2) was collected at MP-2
- 2. All concentrations are expressed in micrograms per litre (μg/L)
- 3. <= less than the detection limit indicated
- 4. NQ = Peak detected but did not meet quantification criteria
- 5. Shaded area indicates total DDT exceeds the BC CSR aquatic life standard of 0.01 µg/L

Table 6: DDT Concentration in Water Samples Collected from Border Station and Rainy Hollow in September 2000

Sample #			Monite	nitoring Wells	ells			Mir	Mini-Piezometers	ters	K	Klehini River	er
	MW-17A	MW-17A MW-17B MW-18 MW-21A MW-21B	MW-18	MW-21A	MW-21B	L-dW	WP-13	MP-1	MP-2-1 MP-2-2	MP-2-2	Kle-1	Kle-2	Kle-4
o,p' DDE	<0.00025	<0.00025 <0.00049 <0.00064	<0.00064	<0.00045	<0.00058	<0.00042	<0.00041	<0.00035	<0.00034	<0.00031	<0.00031 <0.00026 <0.00037	<0.00037	<0.00036
p,p' DDE	<0.00022	<0.00022 <0.00064	<0.00083	0.00058	<0.00075	0.0002	0.00016	<0.00032	<0.00031	<0.00028	<0.00028 <0.00023	<0.00034	<0.00033
o,p' DDD	<0.00013	<0.00013 <0.00026 <0.00029	<0.00029	0.01	0.00048	0.011	0.069	<0.00013	0.00037	0.00021	<0.00014	0.00034	<0.00014
p,p' DDD	<0.00013	<0.00013 <0.00031 <0.00035	<0.00035	0.034	0.00059	0.037	0.20	<0.00013	0.00098	0.00078	<0.00014	0.00094	<0.00014
o,p' DDT	<0.00017	<0.00017 <0.00036	<0.00042	<0.00069	<0.00055	<0.00051	<0.00065	<0.00013	<0.00018	<0.00021	<0.00017	<0.00019	<0.00017
p,p' DDT	<0.000019	<0.000019 <0.00048	<0.00056	<0.00092	<0.00072	89000:0>	0.00014	<0.00015	<0.00020	<0.00024	<0.0002	<0.00022	<0.0002
Total DDT	•	1	1	0.04458	0.00107	0.0482	0.2693	-	0.00135	0.00099	ı	0.00128	1

- . A field duplicate sample (MP-2-1 and MP-2-2) was collected at MP-2
- 2. All concentrations are expressed in micrograms per litre ( $\mu g/L$ )
- 3. <= less than the detection limit indicated
- 4. Shaded area indicates total DDT exceed the BC CSR aquatic life standard of 0.01μg/L

Table 7: Concentrations of Monocyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in Water Samples Collected Annually at Rainy Hollow from 1996 to 2000

1996	MW-16	MW-17A	MW-17B	MW-18	MW-21A	MW-21B	WP-7	WP-13	MP-1	MP-21	MP-22	MP-3	CSR AW
Benzene	<0.5				<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	:			<0.5	3000
Ethylbenzene	1'1		-	-	<0.5	<0.5	24	<0.5				3.3	0002
Toluene	l>	-			<1	<1	10	<1			-	<1	3000
meta- & para-Xylene	1.1		-		<0.5	<0.5	54	0.6	-	-		3.9	-
ortho-Xylene	1.6				<0.5	<0.5	12	<0.5	-	:	-	6.0	-
2661	91-MW	MW-17A	MW-17B	MW-18	MW-21A	MW-21B	WP-7	WP-13	MP-1	MP-21	MP-22	MP-3	
Benzene	5.0>	0.7	0.7	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	6.0		<0.5	<0.5		<0.5	3000
Ethylbenzene	_	32	23.6	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	23.2	1	<0.5	2.5	••	8.0	7000
Toluene	5.0>	2.2	1.7	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	1.5		<0.5	<0.5		0.7	3000
meta- & para-Xylene	5.1	31.1	26.2	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	50		<0.5	3.3		1.2	
ortho-Xylene	2.0	3.8	3.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	13.5	-	<0.5	1.2		<0.5	-
8661	91-MW	MW-17A	MW-17B	MW-18	MW-21A	MW-21B	WP-7	WP-13	MP-1	MP-21	MP-22	MP-3	
Benzene	<0.5	<0.5			<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	3000
Ethylbenzene	9.1	61			<0.5	<0.5	25.6	<0.5	<0.5	4.5	4.5	3	7000
Toluene	<0.5	1.2	:		<0.5	<0.5	1.2	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	3000
meta- & para-Xylene	2	20.2	1	ł	<0.5	<0.5	64.9	<0.5	<0.5	7.4	7.5	4.3	ŀ
ortho-Xylene	0.7	2.7		-	<0.5	<0.5	14.9	<0.5	<0.5	2.2	2.3	1.4	:
1999	91-MW	MW-17A	MW-17B	MW-18	MW-21A	MW-21B	WP-7	WP-13	MP-1	MP-21	MP-22	MP-3	
Benzene	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	3000
Ethylbenzene	6.0	19.8	15.1	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	16.8	<0.5	<0.5	3.1	1.2	2.2	7000
Toluene	<0.5	1.1	1.1	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	8.0	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	3000
meta- & para-Xylene	8.0	21.2	15.9	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	35.8	<0.5	<0.5	3.7	1.8	2.7	-
ortho-Xylene	<0.5	4	2.3	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	9.6	<0.5	<0.5	1.3	0.5	6.0	-
2000	91-MW	MW-17A	MW-17B	MW-18	MW-21A	MW-21B	WP-7	WP-13	MP-1	MP-21	MP-22	WP-3	
Benzene	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5		3000
Ethylbenzene	6.0	13	14.4	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	1.5	<0.5	<0.5	5.9	0.4	-	7000
Toluene	<0.5	1.4	1.4	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	8.0	<0.5	<0.5	0.5	<0.5		3000
meta- & para-Xylene	1	15.8	12.7	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	36.3	<0.5	<0.5	7.8	6.9	1	1
ortho-Xylene	<0.5	3.3	2.8	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	13.9	<0.5	<0.5	3.3	2.6	1	ŀ

Notes: all concentrations in µg/L

Table-7

Table 8: Concentration of Volatile and Extractable Hydrocarbons (µg/L) in Water Samples Collected Annually at Rainy Hollow from 1996 to 2000

1996	MW-16	MW-17A	MW-17B	MW-18	MW-21A	MW-21B	WP-7	WP-13	MP-1	MP-2-1	MP-2-2	MP-3	CSR AW
VPH	200	1	;	;	200	<100	2800	400		-	-	1000	1500
EPH (C10-18)	6700	1500	1700	<1000	<1000	<1000	10,000	<1000	<1000	2900	1	<1000	5000
EPH (C19-31)	<1000	<1000	<1000	<1000	<1000	<1000	<1000	<1000	1700	<1000	-	<1000	1
ГЕРН	1	-			-	:			<1000	2000	:	<1000	500
НЕРН	:		1		;	-	-	-	1700	<1000	-	<1000	:
1997	MW-16	MW-17A	MW-17B	MW-18	MW-21A	MW-21B	WP-7	WP-13	MP-1	MP-2-1	MP-2-2	MP-3	CSR AW
LH (C5-9)	009	3600	2800	<100	200	<100	1800	:	<100	300		300	:
VPH	300	1600	1300	<100	<100	<100	800		<100	100		200	1500
EPH (C10-18)	2000	2000	2000	<1000	1000	<1000	13,000	!	<1000	10,000	-	2000	2000
EPH (C19-31)	<1000	<1000	<1000	<1000	<1000	<1000	<1000	ŀ	<1000	<1000	:	<1000	-
1998	MW-16	MW-17A	MW-17B	MW-18	MW-21A	MW-21B	WP-7	WP-13	MP-1	MP-2-1	MP-2-2	MP-3	CSR AW
VH (C6-10)	800	1200	:	1	300	100	1400	300	<100	700	006	1200	15000
VPH (C6-10)	800	1200	1	:	300	100	1300	300	<100	700	800	1200	1500
EPH (C10-19)	5200	1	:	ţ	1	;	3100	1700	200	2800	3500	1800	5000
EPH C19-32	<1000	;	;	:	:	1	<1000	<1000	<1000	<1000	<1000	<1000	
ГЕРН	2200	ţ	:	1	1	:	3100	1700	500	2800	3500	1800	500
НЕРН	<1000	!	;	:	:	:	<1000	<1000	<1000	<1000	<1000	<1000	-
1999	MW-16	MW-17A	MW-17B	MW-18	MW-21A	MW-21B	WP-7	WP-13	MP-1	MP-2-1	MP-2-2	MP-3	CSR AW
VH (C6-10)	1100	1800	1900	<100	<100	<100	2100	200	<100	009	400	009	15000
VPH (C6-10)	1100	1700	1800	<100	<100	<100	2100	200	<100	009	400	009	1500
EPH (C10-19)	7400	1600	1800	<300	400	<300	5800	3900	<300	3800	1400	1300	5000
EPH C19-32	<1000	<1000	<1000	<1000	<1000	<1000	<1000	<1000	<1000	<1000	<1000	<1000	:
2000	MW-16	MW-17A	MW-17B	MW-18	MW-21A	MW-21B	WP-7	WP-13	MP-1	MP-2-1	MP-2-2	MP-3	CSR AW
VH (C6-10)	4500	2000	2300	<100	200	<100	2400	200	<100	500	009	ł	15000
VPH (C6-10)	4500	1900	2200	<100	200	<100	2400	200	<100	500	009	;	1500
EPH (C10-19)	23,200	1800	1400	<300	<300	300	3800	9029	<300	1000	1100	:	5000
EPH C19-32	<1000	<1000	<1000	<1000	<1000	<1000	<1000	<1000	<1000	<1000	<1000	<b>!</b>	1

LH = Light Hydrocarbons (C6-9); Shaded area exceed the BC CSR standard for freshwater aquatic life (AW). Notes: VPH = Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons; VH = Volatile Hydrocarbons (C6-10); n/a = no data available;

Table 9: Concentrations of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in Groundwater Samples Collected from Rainy Hollow in 1996 and 1998

Sample ID		1996					1998				CSR AW
	MP-1	MP-2A	MP-3	MW-16	WP7	WP13	MP-1	MP-2A	MP-2B	MP-3	
Acenaphthene	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	2.2	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	5.0>	<0.5	<0.5	09
Acenaphthylene	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	0.7	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	1
Acridine	<0.05	<0.05	0.06	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.5
Anthracene	0.1	0.1	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	
Benzo(a)anthracene	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.01	0.02	<0.01	<0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	
Benzo(a)pyrene	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.1
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	:
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	ł
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	:
Chrysene	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	1
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.02	<0.01	0.01	l
Fluoranthene	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	2
Fluorene	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	2.4	0.7	<0.1	<0.1	0.3	0.3	0.3	120
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	10.0>	<0.01	<0.01	0.01	0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.01	<0.01	<0.01	ŀ
Naphthalene	<0.2	1.1	1.5	0.01	7.5	0.4	<0.2	2.5	4.2	3.1	10
Phenanthrene	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	1	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	3
Pyrene	0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.04	0.03	<0.02	<0.02	0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.2

Notes: All concentrations are in microgram per litre ( $\mu g/L$ )

Table 10: Concentration of Dissolved Metals in Groundwater Samples Collected at Rainy Hollow in 1997

Jampie II				Monitoring Wells	ng Wells				Mir	Mini-Piezometers	ers	BC CCD
	MW-16	MW-16 MW-17A	MW-18	MW-19A MW-21A		MW-21B	WP-7	WP-13	MP-1	MP-2	MP-3	AW
Hardness mg/L CaCO3	172	241	232	109	263	282	222	293	188	264	279	-
Dissolved Metals												
Aluminum	<50	50	150	09	<50	<50	140	490	<50	<50	<50	:
Antimony	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	200
Arsenic	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	50
Barium	120	130	40	50	70	70	06	06	40	06	70	10,000
Beryllium	\$	\$	\$	<\$	<>	<>	<>	\$>	<>	<5	\$	53
Cadmium	<2	\$	\$	<2	<2	<2	7	7	2	<2	<2	0.1-0.6*
Calcium	61,400	89,600	81,800	38,200	94,200	100,000	80,800	103,000	67,400	96,400	104,000	-
Chromium	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	10
Cobalt	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	6
Copper	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	20 - 90*
Iron	32,200	31,300	210	7,740	390	30	25,700	2,620	<30	<30	<30	-
Lead	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	40 - 300
Magnesium	4,410	4,300	6,800	3,300	6,800	7,750	4,830	8,700	4,800	2,660	5,100	
Manganese	4,070	2,370	7	691	1,610	2,210	2,410	1,040	13	1,720	1,750	:
Mercury	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	1
Molybdenum	<30	<30	<30	<30	<30	<30	<30	<30	<30	<30	<30	10,000
Nickel	<20	<20	<20	<20	<20	<20	<20	<20	<20	<20	<20	250-1500*
Selenium	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	10
Silver	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	7	[∨	~	<1	~	<1	0.5-100*
Thallium	<1	<1	<1	<1	< <u>-</u>	7	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	3
Uranium	<1	<1	2	~	2	2	\	2	1	<	\	3000
Zinc	14	5	30	7	\$	<5	29	22	21	7,190	2,910	75-2400*

- All concentrations are expressed in micrograms per litre (μg/L) unless otherwise stated
   < = less than the detection limit indicated</li>
   \* = Standard varies with hardness as median of the concentration

  - < = less than the detection limit indicated
    \* = Standard varies with hardness as mg/L CaCO<sub>3</sub>

Table 11: Concentration of Dissolved Metals in Groundwater Samples Collected at Rainy Hollow in 1998

			Monitoring Wells	ng Wells				Mini-Pie	Mini-Piezometers		BC CSR
Sample #	MW-17A	MW-19A	MW-21A	MW- 21B	WP-7	WP-13	MP-1	MP-2-1	MP-2-2	MP-3	AW
pH (Field)	6.35	6.30	7.14	7.16	88.9	7.16	7.33	7.31	7.31	7.19	
Conductivity (µS/cm)	413	177	456	480	438	457	370	425	425	420	
Hardness mg/L CaCO3	229	96	286	298	238	285	221	260	256	265	
Dissolved Metals											
Aluminum	<50	170	09	<50	09	<50	<50	110	70	<50	1
Antimony	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	200
Arsenic	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	50
Barium	120	40	09	70	80	70	50	06	06	70	10,000
Beryllium	<\$	<\$	<\$	<\$	<5	<\$	<>	<>	\$>	\$	53
Boron	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	50,000
Cadmium	\$	<2	<2	42	<2	<2	<2	<2	7>	<2	0.1-0.6*
Calcium	85,100	33,600	103,000	107,000	88,000	101,000	80,000	95,900	94,600	98,700	-
Chromium	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	10
Cobalt	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	6
Copper	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	50	20 - 90*
Iron	29,700	7,790	830	09	18,300	4,090	90	8,640	8,400	8,700	-
Lead	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	01>	<10	40 - 300
Magnesium	4,100	3,000	008'9	7,400	4,600	7,700	5,200	4,900	4,800	4,500	:
Manganese	2,400	575	1,760	2,530	1,900	677	10	2,280	2,250	1,800	:
Mercury	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	1
Molybdenum	<30	<30	<30	<30	<30	<30	<30	<30	<30	<30	10,000
Nickel	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<20	250-1500*
Selenium	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	01>	<10	<10	<10	10
Silver	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1>	<1	<1	<1	<	0.5-100*
Thallium	~	\ <u>\</u>	   	\ <u>\</u>	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	3
Uranium	0.2	0.1	1.3	1.9	0.2	1.2	1.3	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	3000
Zinc	<5	<\$	<\$	<5	5	<5	<\$	3,590	5,820	1,390	75-2400*

Notes: 1. All concentrations are expressed in micrograms per litre (μg/L) unless otherwise stated

2. <= less than the detection limit indicated</li>
3. Standard varies with hardness as mg/L CaCO<sub>3</sub>
4. A field duplicate sample MP-2-1 and MP-2-2 was collected at MP-2

Table 12: Concentration of Dissolved Metals (µg/L) in Groundwater Samples Collected from Rainy Hollow in 1999

pH Conductivity (μS/cm) Hardness mg/L CaC03 Dissolved Metals Aluminum Antimony	MW-17A									111111111111111111111111111111111111111
83	6.24	MW-18	MW-21A	WP-7	WP-13	MP-1	MP-2-1	MP-2-2	MP-3	
3		7.05	6.47	6.20	6.20	6.70	6.55	6.55	6.45	
aCO3	593	999	672	575	169	554	209	209	582	
	223	255	285	223	269	236	254	256	237	
c .			,							
	\$	40	10	33	73	13	12	10	15	1
	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	200
	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	50
Barium	110	50	09	70	70	50	09	09	09	10,000
Beryllium	\$	\$	<\$	<5	<\$	\$>	\$	♦	♦	53
Boron	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	50,000
Cadmium	<0.2	<0.2	<0.4	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	0.1-0.6*
Calcium 83	83,000	89,000	103,000	82,000	96,400	85,600	93,600	94,700	88,500	
Chromium	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	10
Cobalt	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	6
Copper	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	20 - 90*
Iron 26	26,800	40	640	15,400	4,870	<30	8,030	8,560	5,720	
Lead		<1	<2	<1	[>	[>	7	5	3	40 - 300
Magnesium 3	3,900	7,400	6,800	4,400	006'9	5,400	4,800	4,800	4,000	
Manganese 2	2,100	\$	1,500	1,590	888	<5	1,900	1910	1,310	-
Mercury <	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	1
Molybdenum	<30	<30	<30	<30	<30	<30	<30	<30	<30	10,000
Nickel	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	250-1500*
Selenium	<1	<1	<2	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	10
Silver	<0.1	<0.1	<0.2	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.5-100*
Sodium 3	3,000	18,000	11,000	3,000	5,000	8,000	3,000	3,000	2,000	1
Thallium -	<0.1	<0.1	<0.2	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	3
Uranium (	0.24	1.86	1.2	0.11	0.76	1.28	0.07	0.07	0.08	3000
Zinc	<5	<>	<>	<\$	<>	<>	3,120	2,620	1,460	75-2400*

1. All concentrations are expressed in micrograms per litre ( $\mu g/L$ ) unless otherwise stated 2. <= less than the detection limit indicated 3. Standard varies with hardness as mg/L CaCO<sub>3</sub> 4. A field duplicate sample MP-2-1 and MP-2-2 was collected at MP-2 Notes:

Table 13: Concentration of Dissolved Metals (µg/L) in Groundwater Samples Collected from Rainy Hollow in 2000

Somple ID		2	Monitoring Wells	<u> </u>			Mini Diozomotor		BC CCD AW
	MW-17A	MW-18	MW-21A	WP-7	WP-13	MP-1	MP-2-1	MP-2-2	
Hd	96.9	7.56	7.22	6.93	7.1	7.46	6.95	6.95	
Conductivity (µS/cm)	488	545	895	489	572	490	507	507	
Hardness mg/L CaCO3	219	249	281	228	281	246	237	247	
Dissolved Metals									
Aluminum	17	80	25	63	102	499	21	\$	ŀ
Antimony	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	200
Arsenic	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	50
Barium	100	40	50	80	80	09	09	09	10,000
Beryllium	\$>	\$	<5	<\$	<\$	<5	<>	\$>	53
Boron	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	50,000
Cadmium	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	0.5	0.1-0.6*
Calcium	81,500	88,500	101,000	83,700	102,000	88,300	87,500	91,300	1
Chromium	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	20	<10	<10	10
Cobalt	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	6
Copper	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	20 – 90*
Iron	24,300	40	086	18,200	3,330	920	9010	7850	-
Lead	<1	<1	<1	<li>  &lt;   &lt;   &lt;   &lt;   &lt;   &lt;   &lt;   &lt;   &lt;   &lt;</li>	l>	2	9	4	40 - 300
Magnesium	3700	0089	0029	4600	0062	0009	4400	4600	-
Manganese	1900	7	730	1680	876	29	1560	1620	-
Mercury	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	1
Molybdenum	<30	<30	<30	<30	<30	<30	<30	<30	10,000
Nickel	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	250-1500*
Selenium	<1	<1	<1	[>	>	1	<1	<1	10
Silver	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.5-100*
Sodium	3,000	17,000	12,000	3,000	7,000	10,000	3000	3000	-
Thallium	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	3
Uranium	0.24	1.75	0.8	0.13	1.27	1.52	0.02	0.01	3000
Zinc	\$>	<\$	<\$	\$>	<\$	53	7220	10600	75-2400*

1. All concentrations are expressed in micrograms per litre ( $\mu g/L$ ) unless otherwise stated Notes:

2. <= less than the detection limit indicated

3. Standard varies with hardness as mg/L CaCO3  $_{\rm 4}$  A field duplicate sample MP-2-1 and MP-2-2 was collected at MP-2

Table 14: Concentration of Total Metals (µg/L) in Groundwater Samples Collected at Rainy Hollow in 1998

			Monitoring Wells	ng Wells				Mini-Pie	Mini-Piezometers		BC CSR
Sample #	MW-17A	MW-19A	MW-21A	MW- 21B	WP-7	WP-13	MP-1	MP-2-1	MP-2-2	MP-3	AW
pH (Field)	6.35	6.30	7.14	7.16	88.9	7.16	7.33	7.31	7.31	7.19	
Conductivity (µS/cm)	413	177	456	480	438	457	370	425	425	420	
Hardness mg/L CaCO3	229	96	286	298	238	285	221	260	256	265	
Dissolved Metals											
Aluminum	15,600	69,300	11,700	1,880	19,400	7,300	6,380	46,500	58,600	3,920	1
Antimony	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	200
Arsenic	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	50
Barium	340	006	150	80	210	130	100	380	420	06	10,000
Beryllium	<>	\$>	<5	<5	<5	<5	\$	\$	\$	\$	53
Boron	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	1>	<1	⊽	50,000
Cadmium	2	20	<2	3	<2	<2	<2	9	9	<2	0.1-0.6*
Calcium	91,000	65,500	108,000	106,000	93,600	102,000	87,100	181,000	186,000	106,000	:
Chromium	30	210	30	<10	40	20	120	180	250	<10	10
Cobalt	20	80	20	<10	10	<10	<10	40	50	<10	6
Copper	120	590	09	20	70	40	30	270	290	20	20-90*
Iron	53,200	138,000	18,500	2,160	38,000	12,500	11,000	86,700	97,500	13,400	1
Lead	40	100	10	100	20	10	<10	720	290	30	40 - 300
Magnesium	12	54	13	8	13	11	6	42	47	7	1
Manganese	2,660	2,180	1,550	2,470	2,110	1,060	223	3,560	3,670	1,810	:
Mercury	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	1
Molybdenum	<30	<30	<30	<30	<30	<30	<30	<30	<30	<30	10,000
Nickel	<50	190	<50	<50	<50	<50	90	100	130	<50	250-1500*
Selenium	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	10
Silver		2	7		$\nabla$	<1	<1	1	1	<1	0.5-100*
Thallium	\ 	3	~	~	$\nabla$	<1	7	√1	<1	<1	3
Uranium	0.7	3.8	2.0	2.0	1.7	1.8	1.7	4.4	4.0	0.4	3000
Zinc	171	653	96	13	129	55	36	53,100	40,200	5,590	75-2400*

Notes: 1. All concentrations are expressed in micrograms per litre (μg/L) unless otherwise stated

<sup>2. &</sup>lt;= less than the detection limit indicated</li>
3. Standard varies with hardness as mg/L CaCO<sub>3</sub>
4. A field duplicate sample MP-2-1 and MP-2-2 was collected at MP-2

Table 16: Comparison of Total Metal to Dissolved Metal Concentrations in Surface water samples Collected near Rainy Hollow in 1998

Sample ID	KI	KLE-1	KI	KLE-2	KI	KLE-4
	Total Metals	Dissolved Metals	Total Metals	Dissolved Metals	Total Metals	Dissolved Metals
Aluminum	2,870	120	068'6	520	10,800	220
Antimony	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200
Arsenic	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200	<200
Barium	20	<10	09	<10	70	<10
Beryllium	<>	\$	\$>	\$>	\$	\$
Cadmium	<2	<2	<2	\$	<2	\$
Calcium	11,800	8,570	23,900	13,200	22,400	12,700
Chromium	<10	<10	20	<10	30	<10
Cobalt	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Copper	<10	<10	20	<10	20	<10
Iron	3,380	110	12,000	360	13,700	210
Lead	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Magnesium	2100	700	0029	1,400	7500	1,200
Manganese	72	6	245	21	264	14
Mercury	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
Molybdenum	<30	<30	<30	<30	<30	<30
Nickel	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
Selenium	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Silver	<1	<1	<1	<1	▽	~
Thallium	<1	<1	<1	<1		 
Uranium	0.9	9.0	1.0	0.4	6.0	0.4
Zinc	15	10	36	\$>	42	\$

Notes: 1. All concentrations are expressed in micrograms per litre ( $\mu g/L$ ) unless otherwise stated


2. < = less than the detection limit indicated


Table 15: Concentration of Total Metals in Surface Water Samples Collected at Annually at Rainy Hollow from 1998 to 2000


		1,998			1999			2000 Metals		BC CSR AW
	KLE-1	KLE-2	KLE-4	KLE-1	KLE-2	KLE-4	KLE-1	KLE-2	KLE-4	
Hardness mg/L CaCO <sub>3</sub>	24	38	37	60.7	185	155	17.8	83.7	45	
Total Metals										
Aluminum T-Al	2,870	068'6	10,800	5640	23700	18300	69	485	550	-
Antimony T-Sb	<200	<200	<200	0.4	0.5	0.5	<200	<200	<200	200
Arsenic T-As	<200	<200	<200	1.9	5.8	4.9	<200	<200	<200	50
Barium T-Ba	20	09	70	40	130	110	0.04	0.13	0.11	10,000
Beryllium T-Be	\$>	<5	<5	<1	<1	<1	<10	20	<10	53
Boron T-B	<1	<1	<1	<100	<100	100	<\$	\$>	♡	50,000
Cadmium T-Cd	7>	<2	<2	0.13	0.3	0.26	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	0.1-0.6*
Calcium T-Ca	11,800	23,900	22,400	17400	46700	39700	6,330	29,000	15,200	1
Chromium T-Cr	<10	20	30	12.4	65.6	51	<10	<10	<10	10
Cobalt T-Co	<10	<10	<10	3.6	16.1	12.9	<10	<10	<10	6
Copper T-Cu	<10	20	20	10	40.5	28	<10	<10	<10	20 - 90*
Iron T-Fe	3,380	12,000	13,700	7460	32700	26000	70	089	025	1
Lead T-Pb	<10	<10	<10	1.69	5.25	5.18	<1	<1	[>	40 - 300
Magnesium T-Mg	2100	6700	7500	4200	16700	13600	200	2800	1700	-
Manganese T-Mn	72	245	264	150	626	504	<>	44	20	
Mercury T-Hg	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	1
Molybdenum T-Mo	<30	<30	<30	<30	<30	<30	<30	<30	0£>	10,000
Nickel T-Ni	<50	<50	<50	9	29	23	<50	<50	0\$>	250-1500*
Selenium T-Se	<10	<10	<10	<1	<1	<1	<1	~	▽.	10
Silver T-Ag	<1	<1	<1	0.04	0.14	0.14	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.5-100*
Sodium	-	-	1	2000	3000	2000	<2000	3000	<2000	1
Thallium T-Tl	1>	<1	<1	60.0	0.11	0.08	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	3
Uranium T-U	6.0	1.0	6.0	8.0	1.1	1	0.87	0.87	89.0	3000
Zinc T-Zn	15	36	42	24	98	69	\$	\$	\$	75-2400*


Notes: 1. All concentrations are expressed in micrograms per litre (μg/L) unless otherwise stated


<sup>2. &</sup>lt; = less than the detection limit indicated















PROJECT No: 2002-016

DATE: August 2002

Drawing 6

# APPENDIX A: WASTE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM, YUKON REGION SITE INSPECTION REPORT



et du Nord Canada

Waste Management Program 345-300 Main Street Whitehorse, Yukon Y1A 2B5

Phone: 867-667-3273/3272 Fax: 867-667-3271

e-mail: seamanr@inac.gc.ca / liebauw@inac.gc.ca

August 06, 2002

Royal Roads University Applied Research Division 2005 Sooke Road Victoria, B.C. V9B 5Y2

Attn: Dr. Matt Dodd

Dear Dr. Dodd:

Re: Rainy Hollow - Site Inspection

A site inspection of the Rainy Hollow site was carried out by DIAND Waste Management Program staff in July of 2002. The following was noted:

Klehini River bank erosion and slight shifting of the river channel was observed in the area of MP-3 (the piezometer was washed away a few years ago - see Figure 2.1 in the 1999 Monitoring Report.) The erosion has occurred well downstream and relatively far from the trench site and the location where the oil barrel (see below) was discovered. Minor, typical erosion is expected to continue. We do not anticipate this will impact the site.

Overall site re-vegetation seems to be occurring at an expected natural rate (see photos, July 2002) and is expected to continue as local plants are colonizing the area.

A drum containing used motor oil was removed and disposed of in the fall of 2001. A sample has been analysed (attached), and no other potential contaminants were identified. (This information had been passed to BCMELP last fall.) No other drums with product were discovered. We believe all contaminant issues have been addressed.

Please contact us if you require anything further.

Yours truly,

Rick Seaman, Project Officer

Werner Liebau, Environmental Officer

Your file Votre référence

Our file Notre référence

attachments

cc: Brett Hartshorne, Manager, Waste Management Program




## RAINYHOESOW

Berder Station

Trench

Area of
Minor Erosion





Rainy Hollow: Looking along the gravel cap.



Rainy Hollow: Looking towards the Klehini River



Border Station: Gravel cover over former Temporary Storage Area looking north



Border Station: View of gravel cover over form Temporary Storage facility from the POL area

#### Edmonton (Main)

936 - 67 Avenue Edmonton, AB T6E 0P5 Phone: (780) 413-5227 (780) 437-2311

#### Edmonton (Downtown) industrial Hygiene

and Fir., 10158 - 103 Street dmonton, AB T5J 0X6 hone: (780) 413-5265

(780) 424-4602

#### Calgary

ay 2, 1313 - 44 Ave. N.E. algary, AB T2E 6L5 none: (403) 291-9897 (403) 291-0298

#### arande Prairie

505 - 111 Street ande Prairie, AB T8V 5W1 none: (780) 539-5196 (780) 513-2191

#### askatoon

24 Veterinary Road iskatoon, SK S7N 5E3 none: (306) 668-8370 (306) 668-8383 800-667-7645

#### **Finnipeg**

15 Logan Avenue nnipeg, MB R3E 3L5 one: (204) 945-3705 (204) 945-0763

#### aunder Bay

31 Barton Street under Bay, ON P7B 5N3 ione: (807) 623-6463 (807) 623-7598

#### itawa

nos Laboratories Inc. ) Colonnade Road :t #13 apean, ON K2E 7L5 ione: (613) 731-1005 (613) 736-1107 X:

#### /aterloo

Bathurst Drive #1 iterloo, ON N2V 2C5 ione: (519) 886-6910 (519) 886-9047

#### yoming

West First Street sper, Wyoming 82601 one: (307) 235-5741 (307) 266-1676 300**-666-0306** 

#### anada Wide Phone: 300-**668-9878**

lestern Canada Fax: 300-286-7319

### ETL Enviro-Test

A DIVISION OF ETLICHEMSPEC ANALYTICAL LIMITED

#### CHEMICAL ANALYSIS REPORT

D.I.A.N.D. CONTAMINATES

ATTN:

WERNER LIEBON

345 300 MAIN ST

WHITEHORSE YT Y1A 2B5

DATE:

November 28, 2001

Lab Work Order #: L48069

Sampled By:

w.l.

Date Received: 16-OCT-01

Project P.O. #:

Project Reference:

Comments:

**ADDITIONAL 09-NOV-01 08:34** 

APPROVED BY:

TAMMI HOĞAN

**Project Manager** 

THIS REPORT SHALL NOT BE REPRODUCED EXCEPT IN FULL WITHOUT THE WRITTEN AUTHORITY OF THE LABORATORY. ALL SAMPLES WILL BE DISPOSED OF AFTER 30 DAYS FOLLOWING ANALYSIS. PLEASE CONTACT THE LAB IF YOU REQUIRE ADDITIONAL SAMPLE STORAGE TIME.

LABORATORY ACCREDITATIONS:

STANDARDS COUNCIL OF CANADA IN COOPERATION WITH THE CANADIAN ASSOCIATION FOR ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL LABORATORIES (CAEAL) FOR SPECIFIC TESTS AS REGISTERED BY THE COUNCIL (EDMONTON, CALGARY, GRANDE PRAIRIE, SASKATOON, WINNIPEG, THUNDER BAY, WATERLOO)

AMERICAN INDUSTRIAL HYGIENE ASSOCIATION (AIHA)IN THE INDUSTRIAL HYGIENE PROGRAM (EDMONTON, WINNIPEG)

STANDARDS COUNCIL OF CANADA IN COOPERATION WITH THE CANADIAN FOOD INSPECTION AGENCY (CFIA)FOR FERTILIZER AND FEED TESTING (SASKATOON) AND FOR MICROBIOLOGICAL TESTING IN FOOD (WINNIPEG) LABORATORY RECOGNITIONS:

· STANDARDS COUNCIL OF CANADA · GLP COMPLIANT FACILITY (EDMONTON, OTTAWA)

### **ENVIRO-TEST CHEMICAL ANALYSIS REPORT**

Lab ID	Sample ID	Test Description	Result	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Ву
L48069-1 F	RH-01							
Sample Date: 10-C						İ		
Matrix: OIL								
Maurix. OIL								
	244 222 227 2							
	C11-C60 GC/FID Sc	an	See attached			28-OCT-01	28-OCT-01	MRH
	Soil Sterilant Screen							
	Tebuthiuron		<0.0005	0.0005	mg/kg		09-NOV-01	NM
	Bromacil		<0.0005	0.0005	mg/kg		09-NOV-01	NM
	Simazine		<0.0005	0.0005	mg/kg		09-NOV-01	NM
	DCPMU		<0.0005	0.0005	mg/kg		09-NOV-01	NM
	Atrazine		<0.0005	0.0005	mg/kg		09-NOV-01	NM
	Diuron		<0.0005	0.0005	mg/kg		09-NOV-01	NM
	PCBs							
	All Aroclors		<2	2	mg/kg	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	TMS
Surrogate:	Decachlorobiphenyl		80	64-138	%	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	TMS
	OC Screen GC/ECD		1 : :	•				
	p,p'-DDD		<0.001	0.001	mg/kg		04-NOV-01	LPC
	p,p'-DDE		<0.001	0.001	mg/kg		04-NOV-01	LPC
	p,p'-DDT		<0.001	0.001	mg/kg		04-NOV-01	LPC
	Aldrin		<0.001	0.001	mg/kg		04-NOV-01	LPC
	alpha-BHC		<0.001	0.001	mg/kg		04-NOV-01	LPC
	beta-BHC		<0.001	0.001	mg/kg		04-NOV-01	LPC
	gamma-BHC (Lindan	e)	<0.001	0.001	mg/kg		04-NOV-01	LPC
	Quintozine (PCNB)		<0.001	0.001	mg/kg		04-NOV-01	LPC
	cis-Chlordane		<0.001	0.001	mg/kg		04-NOV-01	LPC
	trans-Chlordane		<0.001	0.001	mg/kg		04-NOV-01	LPC
	Dieldrin		<0.001	0.001	mg/kg		04-NOV-01	LPC
	Endosulfan I		<0.001	0.001	mg/kg		04-NOV-01	LPC
	Endosulfan II		<0.001	0.001	mg/kg		04-NOV-01	LPC
	Endrin		<0.001	0.001	mg/kg		04-NOV-01	LPC
	Heptachlor		<0.001	0.001	mg/kg		04-NOV-01	LPC
	Methoxychlor		<0.002	0.002	mg/kg		04-NOV-01	LPC
	Mirex		<0.001	0.001	mg/kg		04-NOV-01	LPC
	Nonachlor		<0.001	0.001	mg/kg		04-NOV-01	LPC
	Oxychiordane		<0.001	0.001	mg/kg		04-NOV-01	LPC
	Metals							
	Silver (Ag)		<1	1	mg/kg		30-OCT-01	EC
	Barium (Ba)		<0.5	0.5	mg/kg		30-OCT-01	EC
	Beryllium (Be)		<1	1	mg/kg		30-OCT-01	EC
	Cadmium (Cd)		0.9	0.5	mg/kg		30-OCT-01	EC
	Cobalt (Co)		<1	1	mg/kg		30-OCT-01	EC
	Chromium (Cr)		<0.5	0.5	mg/kg		30-OCT-01	EC
	Copper (Cu) Molybdenum (Mo)		3	1	mg/kg		30-OCT-01	EC
			<1	1	mg/kg		30-OCT-01	EC
	Nickel (Ni)		2	2	mg/kg		30-OCT-01	EC
	Lead (Pb) Tin (Sn)		<5 .F	5	mg/kg		30-OCT-01	EC
	Strontium (Sr)		<5	5	mg/kg		30-OCT-01	EC
	Thallium (TI)		8	1	mg/kg		30-OCT-01	EC EC
	Vanadium (V)		<1 <1	1	mg/kg		30-OCT-01	EC
	Zinc (Zn)		508	1 0.5	mg/kg		30-OCT-01	EC
			506	0.5	mg/kg		30-001-01	EU
	EPA Volatile Organics Dichlorodifluorometha	.no	2.5	0.45		10.007.00	10.007.01	
	Chloromethane	u i <del>e</del>	<0.5	0.45	mg/kg	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	MAA
	Chiorometriane		<2	1.5	mg/kg	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	MAA
-					<u> </u>			Rev# 1.00

### **ENVIRO-TEST CHEMICAL ANALYSIS REPORT**


Lab ID	Sample ID Tes	st Description	Result	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Ву
L48069-1 R	H-01							
Sample Date: 10-O	CT-01							
Matrix: OIL								
	EDA Valatila Organia							
	EPA Volatile Organics Vinyl chloride		<0.3	0.3	mg/kg	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	MAA
	Bromomethane		<2	1.5	mg/kg	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	MAA
	Chloroethane		<2	1.5	mg/kg	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	MAA
	1,1-Dichloroethane		<0.2	0.15	mg/kg	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	MAA
	Ethanol		<50	45	mg/kg	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	MAA
	Trichlorofluoromethane		<0.2	0.15	mg/kg	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	MAA
	Acrolein		<20	15	mg/kg	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	MAA
	Acetone		<20	15	mg/kg	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01 .	MAA
	1,1-Dichloroethene		<0.2	0.15	mg/kg	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	MAA
	Iodomethane		<0.2	0.15	mg/kg	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	MAA
	Carbon disulfide		<0.2	0.15	mg/kg	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	MAA
	Methylene chloride		<0.2	0.15	mg/kg	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	MAA
	Acrylonitrile		<20	15	mg/kg	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	MAA
	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene		<0.2	0.15	mg/kg	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	MAA
	Chloroform		<0.2	0.15	mg/kg	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	MAA
	1,2-Dichloroethane		<0.3	0.3	mg/kg	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	MAA
	Vinyl acetate		<20	15	mg/kg	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	MAA
	2-Butanone (MEK)		<80	75	mg/kg	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	MAA
	1,1,1-Trichloroethane		<0.2	0.15	mg/kg	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	MAA
	Carbon tetrachloride		<0.2	0.15	mg/kg	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	MAA
	Benzene		8.6	0.15	mg/kg	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	MAA
	Trichloroethene		<0.2	0.15	mg/kg	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	MAA
	1,2-Dichloropropane		<0.3	0.3	mg/kg	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	MAA
	Bromodichloromethane		<0.2	0.15	mg/kg	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	MAA
	Dibromomethane		<0.5	0.45	mg/kg	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	MAA
	2-Chloroethylvinylether		<2	1.5	mg/kg	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	MAA
	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene		<0.2	0.15	mg/kg	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	MAA
	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene		<0.2	0.15	mg/kg	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	MAA
	1,1,2-Trichloroethane		<0.3	0.3	mg/kg	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	MAA MAA
	Dibromochloromethane		<0.5	0.45	mg/kg	18-OCT-01 18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01 19-OCT-01	MAA
	1,2-Dibromoethane		<0.5	0.45	mg/kg	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	MAA
	cis-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene Bromoform		<2 <0.5	1.5 0.45	mg/kg	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	MAA
	trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-buten	Δ.	<0.5	1.5	mg/kg mg/kg	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	MAA
	4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MII		<2	1.5	mg/kg	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	MAA
	Toluene	<u>,</u>	39.5	0.15	mg/kg	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	MAA
	Ethyl methacrylate		<2	1.5	mg/kg	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	MAA
	2-Hexanone		<2	1.5	mg/kg	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	MAA
	Tetrachloroethylene		<0.2	0.15	mg/kg	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	MAA
	Chlorobenzene		<0.2	0.15	mg/kg	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	MAA
	Ethylbenzene		6.5	0.15	mg/kg	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	MAA
	m+p-Xylenes		25	0.15	mg/kg	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	MAA
	o-Xylene		7.2	0.15	mg/kg	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	MAA
	Styrene		<0.2	0.15	mg/kg	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	MAA
	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane		<3	3	mg/kg	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	MAA
	1,2,3-Trichloropropane		<0.8	0.75	mg/kg	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	MAA
	1,3-Dichlorobenzene		<0.2	0.15	mg/kg	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	MAA
	1,4-Dichlorobenzene		<0.2	0.15	mg/kg	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	MAA
	1,2-Dichlorobenzene		<0.2	0.15	mg/kg	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	MAA
Surrogate:	1,2-Dichloroethane d4		100	90-110	%	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	MAA

### **ENVIRO-TEST CHEMICAL ANALYSIS REPORT**

Lab ID	Sample ID	Test Description	Result	D.L.	Units	Extracted	Analyzed	Ву
	RH-01							
Sample Date: 10-C Matrix: OIL	JC1-01							
- · <del>-</del>								
Surrogate:	EPA Volatile Organic Toluene d8	s	100	89-114	%	18-OCT-01	19-OCT-01	MAA
Surrogate:	4-Bromofluorobenz	ene	103 98	81-109	%	18-OCT-01	19-001-01 19-00T-01	MAA
		•	!					
			1					
			:					
								·
		,						
							,	
		***************************************	.1.		1		! R	ev# 1.00

# **Methodology Reference**

ETL Test Code	Test Description	Methodology Reference (In-House Standard Operating Procedures which Generally Follow:)
HIS-C11/C60-CL	C11-C60 GC/FID Scan	EPA 3580/8000-GC-FID
METAL-ED	Metals	SW 846 - 3051/6010-ICP-OES
OCSCREEN-ED	OC Screen GC/ECD	GC/ECD-
PCB-ED	PCBs	EPA 8082-GC-ECD
SOIL STER-ED	Soil Sterilant Screen	-HPLC/UV
VOC-EPA-ED	EPA Volatile Organics	SW 846 8240-GC-MS



Page 1 of 7



#### **ENVIRO-TEST QC REPORT**

Workorder: L48069

Client:

D.I.A.N.D. CONTAMINATES

345 300 MAIN ST

WHITEHORSE YT Y1A 2B5

Contact:

WERNER LIEBON

Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
PCB-ED	Product							
Batch R59866								
WG49472-2 DUP		L48070-1						
All Aroclors		<2	<2	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	30	19-OCT-01
WG49472-1 MB All Aroclors			<2		mg/kg		2	19-OCT-01
METAL-ED	<u>Soil</u>							
Batch R60956								
WG49326-3 DUP		L48069-1	0.5			A1/A	07	
Barium (Ba)		<0.5	<0.5	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	27	30-OCT-01
Beryllium (Be)		<1	<1	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	13	30-OCT-01
Cadmium (Cd)		0.9	1.1		mg/kg	13	27	30-OCT-01
Chromium (Cr)		<0.5	<0.5	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	30-OCT-01
Cobalt (Co)		<1	<1	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	13	30-OCT-01
Copper (Cu)		3	. 5	D	mg/kg	44	29	30-OCT-01
Lead (Pb)		<5	<5	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	23	30-OCT-01
Molybdenum (Mo)		<1	<1	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	40	30-OCT-01
Nickel (Ni)		2	2		mg/kg	1.3	23	30-OCT-01
Silver (Ag)		<1	<1	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	15	30-OCT-01
Strontium (Sr)		8	9		mg/kg	6.2	17	30-OCT-01
Thallium (TI)		<1	<1 -	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	20	30-OCT-01
Tin (Sn)		<5	<5	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	41	30-OCT-01
Vanadium (V)		<1	<1	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	24	30-OCT-01
Zinc (Zn)		508	542		mg/kg	6.4	27	30-OCT-01
WG49326-1 MB Barium (Ba)			<0.5		mg/kg		2.5	30-OCT-01
Beryllium (Be)			<1		mg/kg		5	30-OCT-01
Cadmium (Cd)			<0.5		mg/kg		2.5	30-OCT-01
Chromium (Cr)			<0.5		mg/kg		2.5	30-OCT-01
Cobalt (Co)			<1		mg/kg		5	30-OCT-01
Copper (Cu)			<1	•	mg/kg		5	30-OCT-01
Lead (Pb)			<5		mg/kg		25	30-OCT-01
Molybdenum (Mo)			<1		mg/kg		5	30-OCT-01
Nickel (Ni)			<2		mg/kg		10	30-OCT-01
Silver (Ag)			<1		mg/kg		5	30-OCT-01
Strontium (Sr)			<1		mg/kg		5	30-OCT-01
Thallium (TI)			<1					30-OCT-01

Page 2 of 7

## **ENVIRO-TEST QC REPORT**

Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
METAL-ED	<u>Soil</u>	7.01-20-01.		•	···			
Batch R60956						•		
WG49326-1 MB Thallium (TI)			<1		mg/kg		5	30-OCT-01
Tin (Sn)			<5		mg/kg		25	30-OCT-01
Vanadium (V)			<1		mg/kg		5	30-OCT-01
Zinc (Zn)			1.7		mg/kg		2.5	30-OCT-01
WG49326-4 MS Barium (Ba)		L48069-1	103		%		90-112	30-OCT-01
Beryllium (Be)			108		%		94-112	
Cadmium (Cd)			106		%		92-115	
Chromium (Cr)			103		%		92-111	
Cobalt (Co)			104		%		89-114	
Copper (Cu)			104		%		87-124	
Lead (Pb)			104		%		89-117	
Molybdenum (Mo)			102		%		93-111	
Nickel (Ni)			121	н	%		85-115	30-OCT-01
Silver (Ag)			101		%		90-111	30-OCT-01
Strontium (Sr)			101		%		90-110	30-OCT-01
Thallium (TI)			109		%		83-133	30-OCT-01
Tin (Sn)			103		%		89-113	30-OCT-01
Vanadium (V)			103		%		91-114	30-OCT-01
Zinc (Zn)			106		%		86-124	30-OCT-01
SOIL STER-ED	Soil							
Batch R63003								
WG52282-1 MS		L48069-1+						
Atrazine			130		%		2-1	09-NOV-01
Bromacil			50		%		2-1	09-NOV-01
DCPMU Diuron			50		%		2-1	09-NOV-01
Simazine			130		%		2-1	09-NOV-01
Tebuthiuron			62 50		% %		2-1	09-NOV-01 09-NOV-01
VOC-EPA-ED	<u>Soil</u>		JU		/0		2-1	08-1404-01
Batch R59646	2011							
WG49267-2 DUP		<b>L47904-1</b> <0.01	<0.01	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	18-OCT-01
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroetha	ne	<0.2	<0.2	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	18-OCT-01
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	<del>.</del>	<0.02	<0.02	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	18-OCT-01
, , , =		<0.01	<0.02	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	18-OCT-01
1,1-Dichloroethane		~0.01	~0.01	HED-MA	····g/rvg	13/73	50	10-001-01
1,1-Dichloroethane 1,1-Dichloroethene		<0.01	<0.01	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	18-OCT-01

Page 3 of 7

## **ENVIRO-TEST QC REPORT**

Test Ma	atrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
VOC-EPA-ED	Soil							
Batch R59646								
WG49267-2 DUP 1,2-Dichlorobenzene		<b>L47904-1</b> <0.01	<0.01	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	18-OCT-01
1,2-Dichloroethane		<0.02	<0.02	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	18-OCT-01
1,2-Dichloropropane		<0.02	<0.02	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	18-OCT-01
1,3-Dichlorobenzene		<0.01	<0.01	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	18-OCT-01
1,4-Dichlorobenzene		<0.01	<0.01	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	18-OCT-01
2-Butanone (MEK)		<1	<1	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	18-OCT-01
2-Chloroethylvinylether		<0.1	<0.1	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	18-OCT-01
2-Hexanone		<0.1	<0.1	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	18-OCT-01
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIB	BK)	<0.1	<0.1	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	18-OCT-01
Acetone		<1	<1	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	18-OCT-01
Acrolein		<1	<1	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	18-OCT-01
Acrylonitrile		<1	<1	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	18-OCT-01
Benzene		<0.01	<0.01	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	18-OCT-01
Bromodichloromethane		<0.01	<0.01	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	18-OCT-01
Bromoform		<0.03	<0.03	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	18-OCT-01
Bromomethane		<0.1	<0.1	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	18-OCT-01
Carbon disulfide		<0.01	<0.01	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	18-OCT-01
Carbon tetrachioride		<0.01	<0.01	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	18-OCT-01
Chlorobenzene		<0.01	<0.01	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	18-OCT-01
Dibromochloromethane		<0.03	<0.03	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	18-OCT-01
Chloroethane		<0.1	<0.1	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	18-OCT-01
Chloroform		<0.01	<0.01	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	18-OCT-01
Chloromethane		<0.1	<0.1	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	18-OCT-01
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene		<0.01	<0.01	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	18-OCT-01
cis-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene		<0.1	<0.1	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	18-OCT-01
Dibromomethane		<0.03	<0.03	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	18-OCT-01
Dichlorodifluoromethane		<0.03	<0.03	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	18-OCT-01
Ethanol		<3	<3	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	18-OCT-01
Ethyl methacrylate		<0.1	<0.1	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	18-OCT-01
Ethylbenzene		<0.01	<0.01	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	18-OCT-01
1,2-Dibromoethane		<0.01	<0.01	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	18-OCT-01
lodomethane		<0.01	<0.01	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	18-OCT-01
m+p-Xylenes		<0.01	<0.01	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	18-OCT-01
Methylene chloride		<0.01	<0.01	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	18-OCT-01
o-Xylene		<0.01	<0.01	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	18-OCT-01
Styrene		<0.01	<0.01	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	18-OCT-01
Tetrachloroethylene		<0.01	<0.01	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	18-OCT-01
Toluene		<0.01	<0.01	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	18-OCT-01

Page 4 of 7

## **ENVIRO-TEST QC REPORT**

Test Matri	x Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
VOC-EPA-ED Soil				· .			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Batch R59646							
WG49267-2 DUP trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	<b>L47904-1</b> <0.01	<0.01	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	18-OCT-01
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	<0.01	<0.01	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	18-OCT-01
trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene	<0.1	<0.1	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	18-OCT-01
Trichloroethene	<0.01	<0.01	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	18-OCT-01
Trichlorofluoromethane	<0.01	<0.01	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	18-OCT-01
Vinyl acetate	<1	<1	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	18-OCT-01
Vinyl chloride	<0.02	<0.02	RPD-NA	mg/kg	N/A	38	18-OCT-01
WG49267-1 MB 1,1,1-Trichloroethane		<0.01		mg/kg		0.01	18-OCT-01
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane		<0.2		mg/kg		0.2	18-OCT-01
1,1,2-Trichloroethane		<0.02		mg/kg		0.02	18-OCT-01
1,1-Dichloroethane		<0.01		mg/kg		0.01	18-OCT-01
1,1-Dichloroethene		<0.01		mg/kg		0.01	18-OCT-01
1,2,3-Trichloropropane		<0.05		mg/kg		0.05	18-OCT-01
1,2-Dichlorobenzene		<0.01		mg/kg		0.01	18-OCT-01
1,2-Dichloroethane		<0.02		mg/kg		0.02	18-OCT-01
1,2-Dichloropropane		<0.02		mg/kg		0.02	18-OCT-01
1,3-Dichlorobenzene		<0.01		mg/kg		0.01	18-OCT-01
1,4-Dichlorobenzene		<0.01		mg/kg		0.01	18-OCT-01
2-Butanone (MEK)		<1		mg/kg		1	18-OCT-01
2-Chloroethylvinylether		<0.1		mg/kg		0.1	18-OCT-01
2-Hexanone		<0.1		mg/kg		0.1	18-OCT-01
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)		<0.1		mg/kg		0.1	18-OCT-01
Acetone		<1		mg/kg		1	18-OCT-01
Acrolein		<1		mg/kg		1	18-OCT-01
Acrylonitrile		<1		mg/kg		. 1	18-OCT-01
Benzene		<0.01		mg/kg		0.01	18-OCT-01
Bromodichloromethane		<0.01		mg/kg		0.01	18-OCT-01
Bromoform		<0.03		mg/kg		0.03	18-OCT-01
Bromomethane		<0.1		mg/kg	*	0.1	18-OCT-01
Carbon disulfide		<0.01		mg/kg		0.01	18-OCT-01
Carbon tetrachloride		<0.01		mg/kg		0.01	18-OCT-01
Chlorobenzene		<0.01		mg/kg		0.01	18-OCT-01
Dibromochloromethane		<0.03		mg/kg		0.03	18-OCT-01
Chloroethane		<0.1		mg/kg		0.1	18-OCT-01
Chloroform		<0.01		mg/kg		0.01	18-OCT-01
Chloromethane		<0.1		mg/kg		0.1	18-OCT-01
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene		<0.01		mg/kg		0.01	18-OCT-01

Page 5 of 7

#### **ENVIRO-TEST QC REPORT**

Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed
OC-EPA-ED	Soil		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				W-70-20-1	
Batch R5964	16							
WG49267-1 M cis-1,4-Dichloro-2-I							0.4	
Dibromomethane	outerre		<0.1		mg/kg		0.1	18-OCT-01
Dichlorodifluorome	thana		<0.03		mg/kg		0.03	18-OCT-01
Ethanol	mane		<0.03		mg/kg		0.03	18-OCT-01
Ethyl methacrylate			<3		mg/kg		3	18-OCT-01
Ethylbenzene			<0.1		mg/kg		0.1	18-OCT-01
1,2-Dibromoethane			<0.01		mg/kg		0.01	18-OCT-01
lodomethane			<0.01		mg/kg		0.01	18-OCT-01
m+p-Xylenes			<0.01		mg/kg		0.01	18-OCT-01
Methylene chloride			<0.01		mg/kg		0.01	18-OCT-01
o-Xylene			<0.01		mg/kg		0.01	18-OCT-01
Styrene			<0.01		mg/kg		0.01	18-OCT-01
Tetrachloroethylene	,		<0.01		mg/kg		0.01	18-OCT-01
Toluene	7		<0.01 <0.01		mg/kg		0.01 0.01	18-OCT-01
trans-1,2-Dichloroe	thene		<0.01		mg/kg		0.01	18-OCT-01
trans-1,3-Dichlorop			<0.01		mg/kg		0.01	18-OCT-01
trans-1,4-Dichloro-2	•		<0.1		mg/kg mg/kg		0.01	18-OCT-01
Trichloroethene	Daterio		<0.01		mg/kg		0.01	18-OCT-01
Trichlorofluorometh	ane		<0.01		mg/kg		0.01	18-OCT-01
Vinyl acetate	uno		<1				1	18-OCT-01
Vinyl chloride			<0.02		mg/kg mg/kg		0.02	18-OCT-01
WG49267-5 M	2	L47924-1	₹0.02		шуку		0.02	18-OCT-01
1,1,1-Trichloroethar		£47924-1	105		%		81-123	19-OCT-01
1,1-Dichloroethene			108		%		66-125	
1,2-Dichloroethane			106		%		83-111	
1,4-Dichlorobenzen	е		69		%		57-124	
Benzene			83		%		79-119	19-OCT-01
Bromodichlorometh	ane		87		%		76-120	
Bromoform			82		%		68-122	19-OCT-01
Carbon tetrachloride	e		102		%		71-127	
Dibromochlorometh	ane		85		%		70-123	19-OCT-01
Chloroform			82	•	%		79-113	19-OCT-01
Ethylbenzene			89		%		55-123	19-OCT-01
m+p-Xylenes			82 -		%		58-111	19-OCT-01
o-Xylene			83		%		58-111	19-OCT-01
Toluene			90		%		65-123	19-OCT-01
Trichloroethene			99		%		72-120	19-OCT-01
Vinyl chloride			109		%		68-123	19-OCT-01

Page 6 of 7

## **ENVIRO-TEST QC REPORT**

Test	Matrix	Reference	Result	Qualifier	Units	RPD	Limit	Analyzed			
Product - Batch and Sample Number Relations:											
HIS-C11/C60-CL	15										
R61958		L48069-1									
PCB-ED	15										
R59866		L48069-1									
METAL-ED	2										
R60956		L48069-1									
OCSCREEN-ED	2										
R61801		L48069-1									
SOIL STER-ED	2										
R63003		L48069-1									
VOC-EPA-ED	2										
R59646		L48069-1									

#### **ENVIRO-TEST QC REPORT**


Workorder # L48069

#### Legend:

Limit	95% Confidence Interval (Laboratory Warning Limits)
DUP	Duplicate
RPD	Relative Percent Difference ((higher result-lower result)/Average, expressed as %)
N/A	Not Available
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample
SRM	Standard Reference Materials
MS	Matrix Spike
MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate
ADE	Average Desorption Efficiency
MB	Method Blank
IRM	Internal Reference Material
CRM	Certified Reference Material

#### Qualifier:

HPU-NA	helative Percent Dillerence Not Available due to result(s) being less than detection limit.
Α	Method blank exceeds acceptance limit. Blank correction applied, where appropriate.
В	Method blank result exceeds acceptance limit, however, it is less than 5% of sample concentration.
	Blank correction not applied.
D	Duplicate result may exceed limit due to increased variability for low level samples.
Ε	Matrix spike recovery falls outside the acceptance limits due to high sample background.
F	Silver recovery low, likely due to elevated choride levels in sample.
G	Outlier - No assignable cause for nonconformity has been determined.
Н	Result falls within the 99% Confidence Interval (Laboratory Control Limits)



```
Data File Name
                 : C:\HPCHEM\3\DATA\HIS1028\033F0101.D
Operator
                 : MRH / LS
                                                 Page Number
                                                 Vial Number
Instrument
                 : HP-3
                                                                   : 33
Sample Name
                 : L48069-1
                               Ρ.
                                                 Injection Number: 1
Run Time Bar Code:
                                                 Sequence Line
                                                                  : 1
Acquired on
                 : 29 Oct 01
                               12:32 PM
                                                 Instrument Method: HIS0121.MTH
Report Created on: 08 Nov 01
                               11:41 AM
                                                 Analysis Method : HFLABLE2.MTH
Last Recalib on : ######
                                                 Sample Amount
                                                                   : 0
Multiplier
                                                 ISTD Amount
```

C1-C60 HISTOGRAM

	ETL SAMP	LE ID	L48069-1				
Carbon No.	mass fr.	Carbon No.	mass fr.	Carbon No.	mass fr.	Carbon No.	mass fr.
C1	0.000	C16	0.004	C31	0.067	C46	0.008
C2	0.000	C17	0.003	C32	0.056	C47	0.007
C3	0.000	C18	0.003	C33	0.045	C48	0.007
C4	0.000	C19	0.004	C34	0.039	C49	0.007
C5	0.000	C20	0.008	C35	0.037	C50	0.007
C6	0.000	C21	0.011	C36	0.034	C51	0.006
<b>C7</b>	0.000	C22	0.016	C37	0.026	C52	0.006
C8	0.000	C23	0.021	C38	0.023	C53	0.006
C9	0.000	C24	0.028	C39	0.019	C54	0.006
C10	0.000	C25	0.033	C40	0.016	C55	0.006
C11	0.002	C26	0.042	C41	0.012	C56	0.006
C12	0.005	C27	0.054	C42	0.011	C57	0.006

**RH-01** 

**CLIENT SAMPLE ID** 

C13

C14

C15

0.007

0.010

0.007

C28

C29

C30

Total 1.000

C43

C44

C45

0.010

0.009

0.008

C58

C59

C60

0.006


0.006

0.007

0.070

0.081

0.082



#### WHITE - Report Copy PINK - File Copy YELLOW - Customer Copy Revised Ang 2000 NOTE: Failure to properly complete all portions of this form may delay analysis. JOOKT-LAB SAMPLE NO. TIME DATE TIME ETL LAB: RECEIVED BY: All hazardous samples submitted must be labeled to comply with WHMIS and TDG regulations. This must include the nature of the hazard, as well as a contact name & phone number that the Lab can contact for further information. ANALYSIS REQUESTED: AMBIENT SAMPLE CONDITION UPON RECEIP" OTHER: (BREAKAGE, LEAKAGE, ETC.) TIME COLD RELINQUISHED BY FROZEN: SAMPLE TYPE FILTERED ETL ENVIROTEST CHAIN OF CUSTODY / ANALYTICAL REQUEST FORM 710 PHONE: (867) 667-3272 867) 667-327 SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS / REGS CCME Fax: (780) 437-2311 Fax: 1-800-286-7319 Fax: (403) 291-0298 Fax: (780) 513-2191 Fax: (306) 668-8383 Fax: (204) 945-0763 Fax: (807) 623-7598 Turnaround times will vary dependant on complexity of analysis & Lab workload at time of submission. Please contact the Lab to confirm SAMPLING METHOD PRESERVED (CIRCLE ONE) AB MUST LOCATION OF SAMPLING Telephone: 1-800-668-9878 Telephone: (403) 291-9897 Telephone: (306) 539-5196 Telephone: (306) 668-8370 Telephone: (204) 945-3705 Telephone: (807) 623-6463 Telephone: (780) 413-5220 NO. BOTTLES SUBMITTED: BC MELP OTHER LANDSITE NO. REPORT ADDRESS: 345-300 How Street QUOTE NO. SAMPLED BY / DATE / TIME JOB NO.: P.O. NO. 100 mg 9505 - 111" Street, Grande Prairie, Alberia T8V 5W1 General Purpose Bladg, 124 Veterinary Road, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan S7N 5E3 745 Logan Avenue, Winnipeg, Manitoba R3E 315. 1081 Barton Street, Thunder Bay, Ontario P7B 5N3 EMERGENCY (100% SURCHARGE) NOTE: Shaded areas MUST be completed in full by client for sample processing to occur. turnaround times Whitehorse, YT YIA 2BS CLIENT: DIAND - Waste Mout. CONTACT: Werner Lieban ĭ. K above \_DATE REQUIRED: 1313 - 44th Avenue N.E., Calgary, Alberta T2E 6L5 Quote number must be provided to 9936 - 67th Avenue, Edmonton, Alberta T6E 0P5 Edmonton Toll Free Line PRIORITY (50% SURCHARGE) Š 次年 - 01 NOTES & CONDITIONS ensure proper pricing. SERVICE REQUESTED: SAMPLE ID DATE: 04,10/01 BILLING ADDRESS: REGULAR

# APPENDIX B: GROUNDWATER LEVELS AS RECORDED WITH THE SOLINST AUTOMATED LEVELOGGER

Appendix B.1: Groundwater Levels at MW19 Recorded with a Solinst Automated Continuous Levelogger

Start	8/23/9	7 12:00 PM		Location	RAINY H	OLLOW	
Stop		00 12:00 PM		Instr.nr.	00-03313	1water level	
Reference	910			Master level	-238.5		
Date	Level cm	Date	Level cm	Date	Level cm	Date	Level cm
08/23/97	979.5	10/04/97	1237	11/15/97	1002.5	12/27/97	993
08/24/97	1248	10/05/97	1235	11/16/97	994	12/28/97	981
08/25/97	1252.5	10/06/97	1243	11/17/97	988	12/29/97	991.5
08/26/97	1250	10/07/97	1243	11/18/97	990.5	12/30/97	983
08/27/97	1246	10/08/97	1246	11/19/97	986.5	12/31/97	994
08/28/97	1248.5	10/09/97	1239	11/20/97	987.5	01/01/98	987.5
08/29/97	1254	10/10/97	1233.5	11/21/97	989	01/02/98	985.5
08/30/97	986.5	10/11/97	1237.5	11/22/97	979.5	01/03/98	980.5
08/31/97	1248.5	10/12/97	1231	11/23/97	968	01/04/98	983.5
09/01/97	1243	10/13/97	1217	11/24/97	986.5	01/05/98	986.5
09/02/97	1243	10/14/97	1213.5	11/25/97	993	01/06/98	989
09/03/97	1247	10/15/97	1194	11/26/97	983.5	01/07/98	994.5
09/04/97	1245	10/16/97	1195	11/27/97	975.5	01/08/98	994
09/05/97	1241.5	10/17/97	1195	11/28/97	988	01/09/98	991.5
09/06/97	1239.5	10/18/97	1198	11/29/97	990.5	01/10/98	983.5
09/07/97	1242.5	10/19/97	1183	11/30/97	994	01/11/98	979.5
09/08/97	1240.5	10/20/97	1170.5	12/01/97	989	01/12/98	974.5
09/09/97	1240.5	10/21/97	1149	12/02/97	1037.5	01/13/98	968
09/10/97	1236.5	10/22/97	1128.5	12/03/97	1024.5	01/14/98	971.5
09/11/97	1233.5	10/23/97	1113.5	12/04/97	1023	01/15/98	981.5
09/12/97	1237.5	10/24/97	1080.5	12/05/97	1004	01/16/98	973.5
09/13/97	1236.5	10/25/97	1050.5	12/06/97	991.5	01/17/98	980.5
09/14/97	1232	10/26/97	1041	12/07/97	985	01/18/98	987.5
09/15/97	1224	10/27/97	1043.5	12/08/97	989	01/19/98	987.5
09/16/97	1221	10/28/97	1022	12/09/97	991.5	01/20/98	987.5
09/17/97	1225.5	10/29/97	1001.5	12/10/97	988.5	01/21/98	985
09/18/97	1219.5	10/30/97	993.5	12/11/97	991.5	01/22/98	986.5
09/19/97	1220	10/31/97	1010.5	12/12/97	987.5	01/23/98	972.5
09/20/97	1229.5	11/01/97	1019	12/13/97	978	01/24/98	971
09/21/97	1223.5	11/02/97	1009.5	12/14/97	982	01/25/98	981
09/22/97	1209	11/03/97	1003	12/15/97	979.5	01/26/98	976.5
09/23/97	1209.5	11/04/97	991.5	12/16/97	983.5	01/27/98	989
09/24/97	1243	11/05/97	1005.5	12/17/97	997	01/28/98	981.5
09/25/97	1252.5	11/06/97	1009.5	12/18/97	996	01/29/98	972.5
09/26/97	1256.5	11/07/97	1009.5	12/19/97	979.5	01/30/98	990.5
09/27/97	1267.5	11/08/97	1001	12/20/97	989	01/31/98	987.5
09/28/97	1266.5	11/09/97	986.5	12/21/97	991.5	02/01/98	992
09/29/97	1267.5	11/10/97	983.5	12/22/97	972	02/02/98	994.5
09/30/97	1251.5	11/11/97	994.5	12/23/97	993	02/03/98	997
10/01/97	1247	11/12/97	1010	12/24/97	996	02/04/98	996
10/02/97	1237.5	11/13/97	1004	12/25/97	982	02/05/98	994
10/03/97	1241.5	11/14/97	1004.5	12/26/97	987.5	02/06/98	987.5

Appendix B.1: Groundwater Levels at MW19 Recorded with a Solinst Automated Continuous Levelogger

Start	8/23/9	7 12:00 PM		Location	RAINY H		
Stop	10/27/0	00 12:00 PM		Instr.nr.	00-03313	1water level	
Reference	910			Master level	-238.5		
Date	Level cm	Date	Level cm	Date	Level cm	Date	Level cm
02/07/98	983.5	03/21/98	982	05/02/98	994.5	06/13/98	973.5
02/08/98	983.5	03/22/98	978	05/03/98	998.5	06/14/98	962
02/09/98	984.5	03/23/98	975.5	05/04/98	994.5	06/15/98	973.5
02/10/98	979.5	03/24/98	972.5	05/05/98	987.5	06/16/98	972.5
02/11/98	983.5	03/25/98	966	05/06/98	984	06/17/98	968
02/12/98	968.5	03/26/98	974	05/07/98	994.5	06/18/98	970
02/13/98	972.5	03/27/98	989	05/08/98	998	06/19/98	971
02/14/98	982.5	03/28/98	992	05/09/98	995.5	06/20/98	971.5
02/15/98	985	03/29/98	976.5	05/10/98	986.5	06/21/98	964.5
02/16/98	990.5	03/30/98	983.5	05/11/98	990.5	06/22/98	961.5
02/17/98	989	03/31/98	994.5	05/12/98	986.5	06/23/98	956
02/18/98	983.5	04/01/98	986	05/13/98	979.5	06/24/98	955
02/19/98	979.5	04/02/98	995	05/14/98	985.5	06/25/98	959
02/20/98	979.5	04/03/98	996	05/15/98	996	06/26/98	964.5
02/21/98	973.5	04/04/98	986.5	05/16/98	991.5	06/27/98	970
02/22/98	989	04/05/98	978	05/17/98	989	06/28/98	971
02/23/98	997	04/06/98	982	05/18/98	990.5	06/29/98	970
02/24/98	986.5	04/07/98	991.5	05/19/98	990.5	06/30/98	968
02/25/98	997	04/08/98	1004	05/20/98	986.5	07/01/98	969
02/26/98	1002.5	04/09/98	1008	05/21/98	984	07/02/98	968.5
02/27/98	1000	04/10/98	996	05/22/98	990.5	07/03/98	963
02/28/98	991.5	04/11/98	986.5	05/23/98	989	07/04/98	959
03/01/98	992	04/12/98	989	05/24/98	987.5	07/05/98	959
03/02/98	994.5	04/13/98	1000	05/25/98	991.5	07/06/98	964.5
03/03/98	996	04/14/98	996	05/26/98	987.5	07/07/98	969
03/04/98	996	04/15/98	991.5	05/27/98	982	07/08/98	968.5
03/05/98	987.5	04/16/98	991.5	05/28/98	983.5	07/09/98	964.5
03/06/98	983	04/17/98	994.5	05/29/98	980	07/10/98	959
03/07/98	983.5	04/18/98	1035.5	05/30/98	979.5	07/11/98	961.5
03/08/98	983.5	04/19/98	1047	05/31/98	982	07/12/98	963
03/09/98	985	04/20/98	1031.5	06/01/98	984	07/13/98	964.5
03/10/98	975.5	04/21/98	1016.5	06/02/98	976.5	07/14/98	968.5
03/11/98	978	04/22/98	1013.5	06/03/98	974	07/15/98	966
03/12/98	983.5	04/23/98	1009.5	06/04/98	973.5	07/16/98	970
03/13/98	981	04/24/98	1011	06/05/98	978	07/17/98	972.5
03/14/98	979.5	04/25/98	1013.5	06/06/98	978.5	07/18/98	971
03/15/98	986.5	04/26/98	1012	06/07/98	973.5	07/19/98	968.5
03/16/98	992.5	04/27/98	1017.5	06/08/98	968.5	07/20/98	979.5
03/17/98	987.5	04/28/98	1008	06/09/98	967	07/21/98	976.5
03/18/98	987.5	04/29/98	1006	06/10/98	972.5	07/22/98	972.5
03/19/98	990.5	04/30/98	1002.5	06/11/98	978	07/23/98	971.5
03/20/98	986.5	05/01/98	994.5	06/12/98	975.5	07/24/98	972.5

Appendix B.1: Groundwater Levels at MW19 Recorded with a Solinst Automated Continuous Levelogger

Start	8/23/97	7 12:00 PM		Location	RAINY HO	DLLOW	
Stop	10/27/0	0 12:00 PM		Instr.nr.	00-03313	1water level	
Reference	910			Master level	-238.5		
Date	Level cm	Date	Level cm	Date	Level cm	Date	Level cm
07/25/98	983.5	09/05/98	996	10/17/98	982.5	11/28/98	964
07/26/98	986.5	09/06/98	979.5	10/18/98	980.5	11/29/98	959
07/27/98	982	09/07/98	985	10/19/98	985	11/30/98	959
07/28/98	975.5	09/08/98	1005.5	10/20/98	1038	12/01/98	949.5
07/29/98	974	09/09/98	1003	10/21/98	1052.5	12/02/98	955.5
07/30/98	975.5	09/10/98	996	10/22/98	1035	12/03/98	972.5
07/31/98	974	09/11/98	995.5	10/23/98	1026	12/04/98	965.5
08/01/98	985	09/12/98	995.5	10/24/98	1026	12/05/98	957.5
08/02/98	986.5	09/13/98	1001.5	10/25/98	1044	12/06/98	966
08/03/98	977.5	09/14/98	1000	10/26/98	1068	12/07/98	953.5
08/04/98	975.5	09/15/98	998.5	10/27/98	1038.5	12/08/98	963
08/05/98	973	09/16/98	991.5	10/28/98	1037	12/09/98	960.5
08/06/98	972.5	09/17/98	985	10/29/98	1037	12/10/98	951
08/07/98	967	09/18/98	981	10/30/98	1020.5	12/11/98	953.5
08/08/98	969	09/19/98	987.5	10/31/98	1011	12/12/98	952
08/09/98	970	09/20/98	981.5	11/01/98	1013.5	12/13/98	965
08/10/98	978	09/21/98	991.5	11/02/98	1004	12/14/98	971
08/11/98	981	09/22/98	991	11/03/98	994.5	12/15/98	970
08/12/98	986.5	09/23/98	979.5	11/04/98	981.5	12/16/98	974
08/13/98	986.5	09/24/98	974	11/05/98	986.5	12/17/98	1005.5
08/14/98	976.5	09/25/98	982	11/06/98	985	12/18/98	1008
08/15/98	976.5	09/26/98	981.5	11/07/98	981	12/19/98	991.5
08/16/98	977.5	09/27/98	984	11/08/98	982	12/20/98	982.5
08/17/98	974	09/28/98	988.5	11/09/98	972.5	12/21/98	982.5
08/18/98	981	09/29/98	982	11/10/98	968.5	12/22/98	979.5
08/19/98	987.5	09/30/98	973	11/11/98	963	12/23/98	966
08/20/98	981	10/01/98	982	11/12/98	963.5	12/24/98	968.5
08/21/98	981	10/02/98	989	11/13/98	971	12/25/98	970
08/22/98	981.5	10/03/98	987.5	11/14/98	968	12/26/98	967
08/23/98	980	10/04/98	986.5	11/15/98	965	12/27/98	961.5
08/24/98	972.5	10/05/98	981	11/16/98	970	12/28/98	969
08/25/98	975.5	10/06/98	984	11/17/98	969.5	12/29/98	967.5
08/26/98	974	10/07/98	983.5	11/18/98	972.5	12/30/98	970
08/27/98	977.5	10/08/98	994.5	11/19/98	957.5	12/31/98	963
08/28/98	971.5	10/09/98	997	11/20/98	942.5	01/01/99	984
08/29/98	983	10/10/98	996	11/21/98	944	01/02/99	981
08/30/98	999	10/11/98	991.5	11/22/98	953.5	01/03/99	972.5
08/31/98	979.5	10/12/98	976.5	11/23/98	957	01/04/99	975.5
09/01/98	987.5	10/13/98	974.5	11/24/98	956	01/05/99	991.5
09/02/98	983.5	10/14/98	985	11/25/98	952	01/06/99	977
09/03/98	994.5	10/15/98	983.5	11/26/98	950.5	01/07/99	978
09/04/98	1001.5	10/16/98	974	11/27/98	968.5	01/08/99	972.5
09/04/98	1001.5	10/16/98	974	11/27/98	968.5	01/08/99	972.5

Appendix B.1: Groundwater Levels at MW19 Recorded with a Solinst Automated Continuous Levelogger

Start	8/23/97 12:00 PM		Location		RAINY H	OLLOW	
Stop	10/27/00	12:00 PM		Instr.nr.	00-03313	1water level	
Reference	910			Master level	-238.5		
Date	Level cm	Date	Level cm	Date	Level cm	Date	Level cm
01/09/99	976	02/20/99	993	04/03/99	998.5	05/15/99	1042.5
01/10/99	958.5	02/21/99	975.5	04/04/99	989.5	05/16/99	1038
01/11/99	964.5	02/22/99	967	04/05/99	986.5	05/17/99	1031.5
01/12/99	961.5	02/23/99	972.5	04/06/99	970.5	05/18/99	1024.5
01/13/99	946.5	02/24/99	971	04/07/99	978	05/19/99	1027
01/14/99	942.5	02/25/99	975.5	04/08/99	986.5	05/20/99	1020.5
01/15/99	964.5	02/26/99	991.5	04/09/99	982	05/21/99	1015
01/16/99	970	02/27/99	979.5	04/10/99	981	05/22/99	1016.5
01/17/99	974	02/28/99	977.5	04/11/99	964	05/23/99	1009.5
01/18/99	969	03/01/99	988.5	04/12/99	974	05/24/99	998.5
01/19/99	979.5	03/02/99	994.5	04/13/99	1000	05/25/99	1000
01/20/99	982	03/03/99	983.5	04/14/99	1002.5	05/26/99	999
01/21/99	989	03/04/99	987.5	04/15/99	988.5	05/27/99	993
01/22/99	997	03/05/99	998	04/16/99	991.5	05/28/99	999
01/23/99	986.5	03/06/99	994.5	04/17/99	982.5	05/29/99	1000
01/24/99	971	03/07/99	981	04/18/99	984	05/30/99	986.5
01/25/99	976.5	03/08/99	972.5	04/19/99	985	05/31/99	985
01/26/99	966	03/09/99	970	04/20/99	990	06/01/99	987.5
01/27/99	960.5	03/10/99	978	04/21/99	1002.5	06/02/99	980
01/28/99	972.5	03/11/99	968.5	04/22/99	1004	06/03/99	979.5
01/29/99	974	03/12/99	970	04/23/99	1004	06/04/99	977
01/30/99	967	03/13/99	980	04/24/99	994.5	06/05/99	983.5
01/31/99	960.5	03/14/99	978	04/25/99	998	06/06/99	990.5
02/01/99	972.5	03/15/99	974	04/26/99	1008	06/07/99	986.5
02/02/99	964.5	03/16/99	981	04/27/99	1012.5	06/08/99	981
02/03/99	971	03/17/99	986.5	04/28/99	1013.5	06/09/99	981.5
02/04/99	967	03/18/99	986.5	04/29/99	1006.5	06/10/99	977.5
02/05/99	945.5	03/19/99	982.5	04/30/99	996	06/11/99	975.5
02/06/99	957.5	03/20/99	982	05/01/99	982	06/12/99	972.5
02/07/99	966	03/21/99	981	05/02/99	988	06/13/99	971
02/08/99	972.5	03/22/99	978	05/03/99	994.5	06/14/99	970
02/09/99	983.5	03/23/99	978	05/04/99	1004	06/15/99	964.5
02/10/99	991.5	03/24/99	979.5	05/05/99	1011	06/16/99	959.5
02/11/99	979.5	03/25/99	970	05/06/99	1000	06/17/99	967
02/12/99	978	03/26/99	971.5	05/07/99	1008	06/18/99	964.5
02/13/99	975.5	03/27/99	983.5	05/08/99	1019	06/19/99	967
02/14/99	990.5	03/28/99	987	05/09/99	1023	06/20/99	964.5
02/15/99	982	03/29/99	985	05/10/99	1022	06/21/99	963
02/16/99	971.5	03/30/99	986.5	05/11/99	1015	06/22/99	964.5
02/17/99	972.5	03/31/99	989	05/12/99	1024.5	06/23/99	967
02/18/99	984	04/01/99	977	05/13/99	1030	06/24/99	964.5
02/19/99	988.5	04/02/99	982.5	05/14/99	1037	06/25/99	961.5

Appendix B.1: Groundwater Levels at MW19 Recorded with a Solinst Automated Continuous Levelogger

Start	8/23/97	12:00 PM		Location	RAINY H		
Stop	10/27/0	0 12:00 PM		Instr.nr.		1water level	
Reference	910			Master level	-238.5		
Date	Level cm	Date	Level cm	Date	Level cm	Date	Level cm
06/26/99	963.5	08/07/99	952	09/18/99	975.5	10/30/99	993
06/27/99	960.5	08/08/99	952	09/19/99	978	10/31/99	997
06/28/99	953.5	08/09/99	959	09/20/99	986.5	11/01/99	989
06/29/99	955.5	08/10/99	960.5	09/21/99	989	11/02/99	991.5
06/30/99	956	08/11/99	961.5	09/22/99	966	11/03/99	986.5
07/01/99	960.5	08/12/99	961.5	09/23/99	1020.5	11/04/99	986.5
07/02/99	958.5	08/13/99	955	09/24/99	1028.5	11/05/99	980
07/03/99	956	08/14/99	956	09/25/99	1026	11/06/99	975.5
07/04/99	956	08/15/99	956	09/26/99	1023	11/07/99	971
07/05/99	961.5	08/16/99	963	09/27/99	1015	11/08/99	968.5
07/06/99	959	08/17/99	961.5	09/28/99	993.5	11/09/99	966
07/07/99	952	08/18/99	967	09/29/99	1003	11/10/99	972.5
07/08/99	960.5	08/19/99	964.5	09/30/99	1005.5	11/11/99	978
07/09/99	959	08/20/99	967	10/01/99	1000	11/12/99	981
07/10/99	956	08/21/99	964.5	10/02/99	988.5	11/13/99	974
07/11/99	956	08/22/99	967	10/03/99	985	11/14/99	976.5
07/12/99	963	08/23/99	967	10/04/99	987.5	11/15/99	974.5
07/13/99	966	08/24/99	957.5	10/05/99	976.5	11/16/99	963.5
07/14/99	964.5	08/25/99	961.5	10/06/99	971	11/17/99	973
07/15/99	963	08/26/99	970.5	10/07/99	981	11/18/99	973
07/16/99	959	08/27/99	971	10/08/99	992	11/19/99	955
07/17/99	959	08/28/99	959	10/09/99	997	11/20/99	963
07/18/99	961.5	08/29/99	976.5	10/10/99	994.5	11/21/99	966
07/19/99	956	08/30/99	979.5	10/11/99	990.5	11/22/99	966
07/20/99	953.5	08/31/99	982	10/12/99	985	11/23/99	968.5
07/21/99	947	09/01/99	984	10/13/99	993	11/24/99	950.5
07/22/99	952	09/02/99	981	10/14/99	1005	11/25/99	966
07/23/99	960.5	09/03/99	972.5	10/15/99	1004	11/26/99	970
07/24/99	959	09/04/99	972.5	10/16/99	979.5	11/27/99	972.5
07/25/99	957.5	09/05/99	968.5	10/17/99	1002.5	11/28/99	970
07/26/99	956	09/06/99	976.5	10/18/99	996	11/29/99	965
07/27/99	949	09/07/99	981	10/19/99	994.5	11/30/99	964.5
07/28/99	950.5	09/08/99	975.5	10/20/99	1000.5	12/01/99	948
07/29/99	966	09/09/99	975.5	10/21/99	976.5	12/02/99	961.5
07/30/99	970	09/10/99	990.5	10/22/99	996	12/03/99	967
07/31/99	968	09/11/99	991.5	10/23/99	986.5	12/04/99	963
08/01/99	964.5	09/12/99	990.5	10/24/99	997	12/05/99	956
08/02/99	967	09/13/99	983.5	10/25/99	982	12/06/99	952
08/03/99	969.5	09/14/99	979	10/26/99	1006	12/07/99	967
08/04/99	968.5	09/15/99	971	10/27/99	1000.5	12/08/99	961.5
08/05/99	963.5	09/16/99	982.5	10/28/99	986.5	12/09/99	960.5
08/06/99	960.5	09/17/99	982	10/29/99	987.5	12/10/99	962.5

Appendix B.1: Groundwater Levels at MW19 Recorded with a Solinst Automated Continuous Levelogger

Start	8/23/97 12:00 PM			Location	RAINY HO		
Stop	10/27/00	0 12:00 PM		Instr.nr.	00-03313 1water level		
Reference	910			Master level	-238.5		
Date	Level cm	Date	Level cm	Date	Level cm	Date	Level cm
12/11/99	960.5	01/22/00	968	03/04/00	968.5	04/15/00	971
12/12/99	956	01/23/00	972.5	03/05/00	978	04/16/00	974
12/13/99	963	01/24/00	968.5	03/06/00	972.5	04/17/00	967.5
12/14/99	966.5	01/25/00	960.5	03/07/00	975.5	04/18/00	962.5
12/15/99	947.5	01/26/00	959	03/08/00	975.5	04/19/00	975.5
12/16/99	962.5	01/27/00	943	03/09/00	974	04/20/00	967
12/17/99	969.5	01/28/00	961.5	03/10/00	976.5	04/21/00	975.5
12/18/99	986.5	01/29/00	952	03/11/00	974	04/22/00	989.5
12/19/99	971	01/30/00	950.5	03/12/00	974	04/23/00	1002.5
12/20/99	982	01/31/00	943	03/13/00	970	04/24/00	1011
12/21/99	984	02/01/00	956	03/14/00	968	04/25/00	1004
12/22/99	980	02/02/00	981	03/15/00	966	04/26/00	1005.5
12/23/99	970	02/03/00	976.5	03/16/00	957.5	04/27/00	993
12/24/99	994.5	02/04/00	964.5	03/17/00	964.5	04/28/00	987.5
12/25/99	998.5	02/05/00	964.5	03/18/00	957	04/29/00	997
12/26/99	1001.5	02/06/00	967	03/19/00	976.5	04/30/00	995.5
12/27/99	1020.5	02/07/00	958.5	03/20/00	965.5	05/01/00	1009.5
12/28/99	1016.5	02/08/00	964.5	03/21/00	968	05/02/00	1011
12/29/99	1008	02/09/00	970	03/22/00	970	05/03/00	1009.5
12/30/99	996.5	02/10/00	968.5	03/23/00	972.5	05/04/00	1019
12/31/99	986.5	02/11/00	964.5	03/24/00	965	05/05/00	1026
01/01/00	986.5	02/12/00	960.5	03/25/00	968	05/06/00	1028.5
01/02/00	994.5	02/13/00	960.5	03/26/00	963	05/07/00	1032.5
01/03/00	986.5	02/14/00	956	03/27/00	960.5	05/08/00	1030
01/04/00	985	02/15/00	964.5	03/28/00	978.5	05/09/00	1024.5
01/05/00	996	02/16/00	970	03/29/00	981	05/10/00	1024.5
01/06/00	973.5	02/17/00	967	03/30/00	994.5	05/11/00	1031.5
01/07/00	979	02/18/00	959	03/31/00	987.5	05/12/00	1030
01/08/00	974	02/19/00	946.5	04/01/00	996	05/13/00	1021
01/09/00	977.5	02/20/00	946.5	04/02/00	982	05/14/00	1010
01/10/00	983.5	02/21/00	949.5	04/03/00	978.5	05/15/00	1009.5
01/11/00	985	02/22/00	948	04/04/00	975.5	05/16/00	1002.5
01/12/00	993	02/23/00	950.5	04/05/00	971	05/17/00	996
01/13/00	999.5	02/24/00	953	04/06/00	974	05/18/00	998
01/14/00	986.5	02/25/00	953.5	04/07/00	973.5	05/19/00	990.5
01/15/00	978	02/26/00	960.5	04/08/00	988.5	05/20/00	990.5
01/16/00	979.5	02/27/00	963	04/09/00	990.5	05/21/00	975.5
01/17/00	978	02/28/00	957.5	04/10/00	989	05/22/00	983.5
01/18/00	979.5	02/29/00	956	04/11/00	983	05/23/00	991.5
01/19/00	978	03/01/00	955	04/12/00	984	05/24/00	990.5
01/20/00	966	03/02/00	957.5	04/13/00	987.5	05/25/00	985
01/21/00	967	03/03/00	956	04/14/00	975.5	05/26/00	980.5

Appendix B.1: Groundwater Levels at MW19 Recorded with a Solinst Automated Continuous Levelogger

Start	8/23/97 12:00 PM		Location		RAINY HOLLOW		
Stop	10/27/00	0 12:00 PM	]	Instr.nr.	00-03313	1water level	
Reference	910		]	Master level	-238.5		
Date	Level cm	Date	Level cm	Date	Level cm	Date	Level cm
05/27/00	976.5	07/08/00	935.5	08/19/00	937.5		
05/28/00	981	07/09/00	933	08/20/00	937		
05/29/00	990	07/10/00	931.5	08/21/00	935.5		
05/30/00	991	07/11/00	931.5	08/22/00	937		
05/31/00	986.5	07/12/00	930	08/23/00	949.5		
06/01/00	983.5	07/13/00	930	08/24/00	948.5		
06/02/00	978.5	07/14/00	933	08/25/00	943		
06/03/00	978	07/15/00	937	08/26/00	949.5		
06/04/00	974	07/16/00	934.5	08/27/00	953		
06/05/00	969	07/17/00	927.5	08/28/00	952		
06/06/00	976.5	07/18/00	927.5	08/29/00	956		
06/07/00	976.5	07/19/00	926	08/30/00	950.5		
06/08/00	972.5	07/20/00	928	08/31/00	960.5		
06/09/00	969.5	07/21/00	927.5	09/01/00	960.5		
06/10/00	972.5	07/22/00	926	09/02/00	957.5		
06/11/00	967	07/23/00	929	09/03/00	959		
06/12/00	963.5	07/24/00	926	09/04/00	946.5		
06/13/00	970	07/25/00	924	09/05/00	958.5		
06/14/00	971	07/26/00	920.5	09/06/00	940		
06/15/00	980	07/27/00	918	09/07/00	942.5		
06/16/00	966	07/28/00	922	09/08/00	945.5		
06/17/00	959	07/29/00	931.5	09/09/00	953.5		
06/18/00	960.5	07/30/00	929	09/10/00	962		
06/19/00	963.5	07/31/00	931.5	09/11/00	953.5		
06/20/00	961	08/01/00	941	09/12/00	967		
06/21/00	963	08/02/00	942.5	09/13/00	964.5		
06/22/00	965	08/03/00	940	09/14/00	959.5		
06/23/00	964.5	08/04/00	938				
06/24/00	967	08/05/00	938.5				
06/25/00	967	08/06/00	937				
06/26/00	963	08/07/00	937.5				
06/27/00	957	08/08/00	935.5				
06/28/00	947.5	08/09/00	938				
06/29/00	942.5	08/10/00	939				
06/30/00	939	08/11/00	940				
07/01/00	948	08/12/00	942.5				
07/02/00	947	08/13/00	942.5				
07/03/00	941.5	08/14/00	935.5				
07/04/00	940	08/15/00	937				
07/05/00	935.5	08/16/00	945.5				
07/06/00	934.5	08/17/00	941				
07/07/00	935	08/18/00	940				

Appendix B.2: Groundwater Levels at MW16 Recorded with a Solinst Automated Continuous Levelogger

Start	9/28/99 4:20 PM Location			RAINY HOLLOW						
Stop	8/28/00 4	:20 PM		Instr.nr.	00-03313 1water level					
Reference	11800			Master leve	-1857cm					
Date	Level cm	Date	Level cm	Date	Level cm	Date	Level cm	Date	Level cm	
09/28/99	1187.5	11/08/99	1201.9	12/19/99	1195.4	01/29/00	1196.8	03/10/00	1192.6	
09/29/99	1200.1	11/09/99	1201.2	12/20/99	1196.4	01/30/00	1195.8	03/11/00	1192.5	
09/30/99	1200.8	11/10/99	1201.5	12/21/99	1196.4	01/31/00	1195.2	03/12/00	1192.3	
10/01/99	1200.5	11/11/99	1201.8	12/22/99	1195.8	02/01/00	1196.6	03/13/00	1191.7	
10/02/99	1199.3	11/12/99	1202.0	12/23/99	1194.7	02/02/00	1198.6	03/14/00	1191.8	
10/03/99	1199.0	11/13/99	1200.9	12/24/99	1196.3	02/03/00	1197.7	03/15/00	1190.9	
10/04/99	1199.0	11/14/99	1201.3	12/25/99	1196.2	02/04/00	1196.7	03/16/00	1190.2	
10/05/99	1197.7	11/15/99	1200.7	12/26/99	1196.6	02/05/00	1196.4	03/17/00	1190.7	
10/06/99	1196.8	11/16/99	1199.4	12/27/99	1197.2	02/06/00	1196.6	03/18/00	1189.9	
10/07/99	1197.1	11/17/99	1200.3	12/28/99	1196.8	02/07/00	1195.4	03/19/00	1191.4	
10/08/99	1197.9	11/18/99	1199.8	12/29/99	1198.2	02/08/00	1195.8	03/20/00	1190.1	
10/09/99	1198.5	11/19/99	1197.8	12/30/99	1199.1	02/09/00	1196.3	03/21/00	1190.6	
10/10/99	1198.5	11/20/99	1198.5	12/31/99	1200.1	02/10/00	1196.0	03/22/00	1190.6	
10/11/99	1197.9	11/21/99	1198.6	01/01/00	1202.0	02/11/00	1195.4	03/23/00	1190.6	
10/12/99	1196.8	11/22/99	1198.5	01/02/00	1203.2	02/12/00	1194.8	03/24/00	1189.8	
10/13/99	1197.5	11/23/99	1198.5	01/03/00	1202.6	02/13/00	1194.5	03/25/00	1189.9	
10/14/99	1199.2	11/24/99	1196.7	01/04/00	1202.8	02/14/00	1194.0	03/26/00	1189.2	
10/15/99	1199.6	11/25/99	1198.1	01/05/00	1203.4	02/15/00	1194.9	03/27/00	1188.8	
10/16/99	1198.2	11/26/99	1198.3	01/06/00	1201.2	02/16/00	1195.2	03/28/00	1190.4	
10/17/99	1200.4	11/27/99	1198.5	01/07/00	1201.1	02/17/00	1194.7	03/29/00	1190.5	
10/18/99	1199.6	11/28/99	1197.7	01/08/00	1200.8	02/18/00	1193.5	03/30/00	1192.0	
10/19/99	1199.0	11/29/99	1197.2	01/09/00	1200.8	02/19/00	1192.4	03/31/00	1191.4	
10/20/99	1200.3	11/30/99	1197.0	01/10/00	1201.2	02/20/00	1192.1	04/01/00	1192.3	
10/21/99	1198.4	12/01/99	1195.3	01/11/00	1201.6	02/21/00	1192.3	04/02/00	1191.0	
10/22/99	1199.8	12/02/99	1196.6	01/12/00	1202.4	02/22/00	1191.8	04/03/00	1190.9	
10/23/99	1199.0	12/03/99	1196.6	01/13/00	1203.2	02/23/00	1192.0	04/04/00	1190.5	
10/24/99	1201.1	12/04/99	1196.0	01/14/00	1201.9	02/24/00	1192.1	04/05/00	1189.9	
10/25/99	1200.4	12/05/99	1195.0	01/15/00	1201.5	02/25/00	1192.0	04/06/00	1190.3	
10/26/99	1203.1	12/06/99	1195.0	01/16/00	1201.5	02/26/00	1192.5	04/07/00	1190.3	
10/27/99	1203.5	12/07/99	1196.2	01/17/00	1201.4	02/27/00	1192.5	04/08/00	1191.7	
10/28/99	1203.6	12/08/99	1195.3	01/18/00	1201.5	02/28/00	1191.7	04/09/00	1192.1	
10/29/99	1204.0	12/09/99	1195.2	01/19/00	1201.1	02/29/00	1191.5	04/10/00	1192.1	
10/30/99	1205.7	12/10/99	1195.1	01/20/00	1199.8	03/01/00	1191.1	04/11/00	1191.7	
10/31/99		12/11/99	1194.9	01/21/00	1199.9	03/02/00	1191.1	04/12/00	1192.0	
11/01/99		12/12/99	1194.4	01/22/00	1199.8	03/03/00	1191.3	04/13/00	1192.2	
11/02/99		12/13/99	1195.1	01/23/00	1200.0	03/04/00	1192.2	04/14/00	1191.0	
11/03/99		12/14/99	1195.1	01/24/00	1199.3	03/05/00	1193.0	04/15/00	1190.6	
11/04/99		12/15/99	1192.8	01/25/00	1197.9	03/06/00	1192.4	04/16/00	1190.7	
11/05/99		12/16/99	1194.5			03/07/00	1192.8	04/17/00	1190.1	
11/06/99		12/17/99	1194.9			03/08/00	1192.7	04/18/00	1189.5	
11/07/99		12/18/99	1196.6			03/09/00	1192.5		1190.8	

Appendix B.2: Groundwater Levels at MW16 Recorded with a Solinst Automated Continuous Levelogger

Start	9/28/99	4:20 PM		Location	RAINY HO	OLI OW			7
Stop	8/28/00 4:20 PM			Instr.nr.	00-03313		ava1		
Reference	11800	1 171		Master leve		ıwater i	C 1 C 1		
Date	Level cm	Date	Level cm	Date	Level cm	Date	Level cm	Date	Level cm
04/20/00	1189.6	05/31/00	1230.0	07/11/00		08/21/00	1193.8	Date	Level CIN
04/21/00	1190.1	06/01/00	1230.0	07/12/00		08/22/00	1193.8		
04/22/00	1191.1	06/02/00	1230.0	07/13/00		08/23/00	1195.1		
04/23/00	1192.1	06/03/00	1230.0	07/14/00		08/24/00	1194.7		
04/24/00	1193.3	06/04/00	1230.0	07/15/00		08/25/00	1194.1		
04/25/00	1193.2	06/05/00	1230.0	07/16/00		08/26/00	1194.5		
04/26/00	1194.0	06/06/00	1230.0	07/17/00		08/27/00	1194.5		
04/27/00	1193.2	06/07/00	1230.0	07/18/00		08/28/00	1194.4		
04/28/00	1193.2	06/08/00	1230.0	07/19/00		08/29/00	1194.5		
04/29/00	1194.0	06/09/00	1230.0	07/20/00	1200.5	08/30/00	1194.0		
04/30/00	1194.0	06/10/00	1230.0	07/21/00	1200.2	08/31/00	1194.8		
05/01/00	1195.5	06/11/00	1228.6	07/22/00	1199.8	09/01/00	1194.5		
05/02/00	1195.8	06/12/00	1227.2	07/23/00	1200.0	09/02/00	1194.1		
05/03/00	1196.0	06/13/00	1226.5	07/24/00	1199.3	09/03/00	1194.0		
05/04/00	1197.6	06/14/00	1225.7	07/25/00	1198.8	09/04/00	1192.5		
05/05/00	1199.2	06/15/00	1225.4	07/26/00	1198.3	09/05/00	1193.7		
05/06/00	1201.2	06/16/00	1222.8	07/27/00	1197.9	09/06/00	1191.4		
05/07/00	1203.4	06/17/00	1220.8	07/28/00	1198.3	09/07/00	1191.5		
05/08/00	1205.4	06/18/00	1219.5	07/29/00	1198.8	09/08/00	1191.4		
05/09/00	1207.8	06/19/00	1218.5	07/30/00	1198.3	09/09/00	1192.2		
05/10/00	1210.7	06/20/00	1217.0	07/31/00	1198.5	09/10/00	1192.5		
05/11/00	1214.0	06/21/00	1215.8	08/01/00	1199.0	09/11/00	1191.9		
05/12/00	1217.4	06/22/00	1214.8	08/02/00	1198.9	09/12/00	1192.9		
05/13/00	1220.2	06/23/00	1213.7	08/03/00	1198.5	09/13/00	1187.1		
05/14/00	1222.3	06/24/00	1213.0	08/04/00	1198.1	09/14/00	1186.8		
05/15/00	1224.0	06/25/00	1212.2	08/05/00	1197.9				
05/16/00	1224.4	06/26/00	1211.0	08/06/00	1197.5				
05/17/00		06/27/00	1209.6	08/07/00	1197.4				
05/18/00	1225.4	06/28/00	1208.0	08/08/00	1197.0				
05/19/00	1225.4	06/29/00	1207.2	08/09/00	1197.1				
05/20/00	1226.1	06/30/00	1206.4	08/10/00	1196.9				
05/21/00	1225.8	07/01/00	1206.6	08/11/00	1196.8				
05/22/00	1227.0	07/02/00	1206.1	08/12/00	1196.8				
05/23/00	1228.1	07/03/00	1205.3	08/13/00	1196.6				
05/24/00	1228.6	07/04/00	1204.8	08/14/00	1195.8				
05/25/00	1228.5	07/05/00	1204.0	08/15/00	1196.0				
05/26/00	1228.1	07/06/00	1203.9	08/16/00	1196.0				
05/27/00	1227.8	07/07/00	1203.8	08/17/00	1195.4				
05/28/00	1228.8	07/08/00	1203.5	08/18/00	1194.8				
05/29/00	1230.0	07/09/00	1203.1	08/19/00	1194.7				
05/30/00	1230.0	07/10/00	1202.8	08/20/00	1194.5				