Phase III ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT PESO MINE SITE

Final Report

Prepared for:

Action on Waste Program
Indian and Northern Affairs Canada

Prepared by:

Environmental Services
Public Works and Government Services Canada

June 1998

Executive Summary

The Peso exploration site is located at 64° 00' 38" N, 135° 57' 56" W, approximately 48 km north of Mayo. Phase III assessment was carried out by Environmental Services for Indian and Northern Affairs Canada to a) identify the potential for waste rock slope failure; b) identify appropriate stabilization options for prevention or mitigation of ARD from the waste rock disposal area, and c) identify seasonal variations in contaminant loadings in Secret Creek upstream and downstream of the waste rock disposal area. Major findings of this assessment are summarised below:

Summary of Concerns at Peso Mine Site

| Site Assessment Component | Concern |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Waste rock dump slope stability | Waste rock dump is not considered unstable and future failures are unlikely. |
| Acid rock drainage | Waste rock piles are potentially acid-generating. Stressed vegetation downslope of Peso site indicates impact from waste rock runoff. However, there is no consistent elevation of metals in Secret Creek downstream from Peso which implicates surface drainage as a significant metals source. |

Recommendation 1. Prevent further leaching and transport of metals from waste rock by constructing a containment and isolation or migration control system. If a geomembrane cover with rock anchor is planned, conduct a geological assessment to identify a suitable source and volume of non-acid generating anchor rock.

Recommendation 2. Site drainage (i.e. seasonal snowmelt) and Secret Creek water quality should be sampled in the spring, summer and fall for a period of five years or for one year after construction of a containment and isolation or migration control system.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 1. | | | tion | |
|------|--|-------------------------------|---|--------|
| 2. | PUR | POS | E AND SCOPE OF WORK2 | - |
| 3. | SITE 3.1 3.2 3.3 | Assu Asse | 1 | - |
| 4. | ENV 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5 | Mine Hydr Clim Fishe | IMENTAL SETTING - 4 ralization - 4 ology - 5 ate - 5 eries Resources - 5 Topography and Soils - 6 | - - |
| 5. | 5.1 5.2 | Surfa Was | ESSMENT RESULTS - 6 ace Water Quality - 6 te Rock Geochemical Assessment - 8 te Rock Geotechnical Assessment - 9 | - |
| 6. | 6.1 | Pesc | SIONS | - |
| 7. | REC | OMN | IENDATIONS10 | - |
| Refe | erence | es | 11 | - |
| Арр | endix endix endix | В | Detailed Geochemical Assessment of Waste Rock and Surface Water Peso Mine Site Site Photographs Analytical Results | 9 |
| | endix | | Geotechnical Services, Yukon Abandoned Mine Site, Peso Mine Site north of Mayo Yukon | ١, |

Phase III Environmental Assessment Peso Mine Site Final Report

1. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

In 1993, initial assessments of 49 abandoned Yukon mine exploration and development sites were completed under the Arctic Environmental Strategy - Action on Waste program by DIAND Technical Services. These aô£Xssessments predia) a general overview of historical activities, b) described site infrastructure, workings and wastes, c) summarized existing environmental or safety concerns on each site, and d) provided general recommendations for remediation or mitigation work. No rock, soil or water samples were collected for these assessments.

GeoViro Engineering Limited conducted a Phase II environmental assessment of the abandoned Peso mine site to a) identify specific environmental and human safety risks, describe aesthetic concerns, and recommend remediation or mitigation measures. The Peso mine site was inspected by Geoviro on 12 September, 1996.

GeoViro identified a high potential for acid rock drainage emanating from waste rock located on a hillside above Secret Creek. Low paste pH results and signs of phytotoxicity on the slope below the waste rock pile indicated acid drainage conditions.

Background aluminum and copper concentrations exceeded the CCME criterion for protection of freshwater aquatic life. However, surface water from Secret Creek (a water body below the waste rock disposal area potentially affected by ARD) was not sampled.

In light of these findings, a Phase III assessment of the lower Peso site was completed to definitively characterize the environmental risk associated with the waste rock disposal area. The assessment involved site visits by Environmental Services on 20 August and 18 October, 1997 and 23 April, 1998.

1.1 Location

The Peso exploration site is located at 64° 00' 38" N, 135° 57' 56" W, approximately 48 km north of the village of Mayo. The site is located approximately 5 km west of Dublin Gulch at an elevation of about 1300 m.

2. PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF WORK

This Phase III assessment was carried out by Environmental Services for Indian and Northern Affairs Canada to a) identify the potential for waste rock slope failure; b) identify appropriate stabilization options for prevention or mitigation of ARD from the waste rock disposal area, and c) identify seasonal variations in contaminant loadings in Secret Creek upstream and downstream of the waste rock disposal area. Accordingly, the following assessment activities were completed:

- Geotechnical inspection of the waste rock disposal area
- Photo documentation of relevant site features
- Sampling of waste rock disposal area and surface water (including waste rock seeps and receiving waters)
- Identification of environmental pathways and receptors for site contaminants
- Assessment of metal loadings from acid rock drainage at the waste rock disposal area

Recommendations and preliminary cost estimates were then generated to meet the following remediation/mitigation requirements:

- Physical stabilization of waste rock disposal areas
- Chemical stabilization of waste rock disposal areas, taking into account onsite resources and accessibility

3. SITE ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

3.1 Assumptions

At the Peso mine site, the assessment was limited to the area specifically developed or occupied for mine exploration or mining purposes and off-site environmental resources potentially affected by mine exploration or development activities.

3.2 Assessment Criteria

CCME Criteria

The Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (CCME) Interim Canadian Environmental Quality Criteria for Contaminated Sites are numerical limits for contaminants in soil and water intended to protect, maintain or improve environmental quality and human health at contaminated sites in general. For this Phase III mine assessment, Freshwater Aquatic Life criteria were used to assess surface water quality.

Mine Reclamation in Northwest Territories and Yukon (INAC, 1992)

This report defines factors which are to be considered in reclamation of abandoned mine sites operating in northern climates. Factors include:

- · open pit and underground mines
- waste rock and tailings disposal
- · acid generation and leaching, and
- estimating cleanup costs.

3.3 Methods

3.3.1 Site Assessment Components

The Phase III assessment included the following components:

A professional geologist assessed the acid rock drainage and metal leaching potential of the waste rock disposal area by:

- Confirming variations in rock type, mineralization and alteration noted in the Phase II assessment;
- Laboratory leach testing of selected archived waste rock samples (originally collected during the 1996 site visit).

A professional geotechnical engineer was retained to inspect the waste rock disposal area to identify:

- Site-specific factor(s) involved in past and possible future slumping of the waste rock disposal area;
- Methods and preliminary costs for physical stabilization of the waste rock disposal area;
- Location, accessibility, and approximate quantity of suitable borrow materials in the vicinity of the Peso site. Borrow materials would be used for future stabilization/reclamation of the waste rock disposal area, should that work be deemed necessary.

3.3.2 Sampling Methods and Quality Assurance

Water Sampling

Samples were collected from Secret Creek upstream and downstream of the waste rock disposal area. Field pH and conductivity measurements were recorded during the summer sampling period. Samples were collected on 20 August and 18 October, 1997 and 23 April 1998 to measure contaminant concentrations during the summer, fall, and spring seasons respectively.

250 ml water samples were collected by hand, facing upstream, ensuring that the sample was not contaminated by disturbed sediment, debris and other floating materials. Sample bottles were rinsed three times with water from the sample stream prior to collecting the sample. 2 ml of HNO₃ were immediately added to water samples intended for metals analyses. For analyses of non-metallic parameters, water samples were brim-filled to minimise head space, placed in a cooler, and maintained at 4° C until delivery to the laboratory.

Quality Assurance

Quality Assurance (QA) is a set of procedures for ensuring that the results of chemical analyses are (and can be shown to be) accurately representative of field conditions. A complete QA program includes both a field component and a laboratory component.

In addition to the standard sample collection methods outlined above, the field QA measures that were implemented for this assessment study include:

- chain of custody procedures and forms;
- a sample labelling and sample location identification scheme;
- laboratory preparation of all sampling containers;
- laboratory defined sample preservation and shipping procedures; and
- regular maintenance (including re-calibration) and cleaning of field equipment.

4. ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

4.1 Mineralization

The commodities of interest at the Peso site on Galena Hill are silver, gold, lead and zinc. The Keno Hill-Galena Hill silver-lead ores occur in erratic shoots and lenses lying in vein faults that cut fine-bedded to massive quartzite, intercalated with greenstone sills and lenses, and various

schistose rocks.

The Peso veins are composed of siderite (FeCO₃) with variable, but small, amounts of quartz, sphalerite ((Zn,Fe)S), galena (PbS) and freiberigite (silver-bearing tetrahedrite ((Cu,Fe)₁₂Sb₄S₁₃)). The cubiform galena occurs as clots and clusters rarely more than a few centimetres in size. The vein has been affected by post-mineralization fault movement, as well as intense weathering. Siderite (FeCO₃) and galena are commonly weathered to limonite and anglesite. The footwall is greenstone and the hangingwall is graphite schist which is highly brecciated within a few metres of the vein.

4.2 Hydrology

Drainage from the waste rock disposal area flows toward Secret Creek, a third-order stream which joins Haggert Creek and ultimately the South McQueston River. The waste rock disposal area is approximately 1 km in distance and 400m in elevation above Secret Creek. No surface water bodies cross the Peso mine site.

Hydrological/water quality records were not available for Secret Creek. During the 20 August site visit, Secret Creek discharge was measured at 0.117 m³/second below the waste rock disposal area.

4.3 Climate

Temperature and precipitation data for Mayo, provided by Environment Canada Climatic Data Services, have been used for the Peso Site. The climate of the area is characterised by moderate rainfall and seasonal temperature extremes. Average monthly temperatures vary from -26.9° C in January to 15.6° C in July. Since the site is at a higher elevation than Mayo, the mean temperatures at the site may be slightly different, i.e. slightly lower average in the summer. The average annual precipitation for Mayo is 318 mm. Based on our experience at other sites in this area, precipitation will vary with elevation. The Peso site will likely experience 500 to 600 mm of precipitation. Over 50% falls during the months of June, July, August and September. The four driest months are January to April.

4.4 Fisheries Resources

No fisheries data for Secret Creek were available from Yukon Renewable Resources. However, Secret Creek flows into Haggert Creek, which is known to contain arctic grayling and northern pike.

4.5 Site Topography and Soils

The main portion of the site lies within the watershed of Secret Creek and its tributaries. Secret Creek is located approximately 1 km west of the site and approximately 400 m lower in elevation. The main mine area is located along the top and west flank of a north south ridge. The site slopes moderately to steeply to the west towards Secret Creek.

The general area was extensively glaciated during the Pleistocene period. Thus, the majority of the site is mantled with till or till-like material comprised of silt to gravel and rock. The depth of the unconsolidated material is unknown and likely varies from a few metres to several metres as evident in many of the trenches. A small exploration excavation was completed at the northeast portion of the exploration area at a lower elevation and in the immediate vicinity of a creek tributary. This area is infilled with unconsolidated glacial and alluvial deposits.

5. SITE ASSESSMENT RESULTS

5.1 Surface Water Quality

During the 1996 visit, much of the waste rock outside the main adit at the Peso site was found to be acidic. Runoff from the waste had impacted vegetation on a long slope immediately below the waste rock. The main concern was determining of acidic runoff on the Secret Creek water quality.

GeoViro's August 1996 water samples were collected from the drainage area to the north the Peso mine site and Secret Creek. However, the waste rock located within the Secret Creek drainage area is generating acid, and therefore Secret Creek (and not the unconnected northern drainage area) was sampled by PWGSC Environmental Services. No comparison of water quality is made between the GeoViro and PWGSC sampling programs.

The distance between the base of the waste dumps and the stream is approximately 1 kilometre. No adit drainage or seeps were seen on the exploration site. It appears that the most water at the site is discharged as runoff. Two water samples were collected from Secret Creek during the 20 August and 18 October, 1997 and 23 April, 1998 sampling events. Sample Peso-WQ-St1-1 was collected about 200 m downstream of the drainage path from the waste rock disposal area. Sample Peso-WQ-St1-2 was collected from Secret Creek approximately 900 m upstream from Peso-WQ-St1-1, at a point unaffected by drainage from the Peso mine site. The flow in Secret Creek in August 1997 was 0.1 m3/s.

Complete analytical results are provided in Appendix B; significant results are summarized in Table 5.1. The samples all had near neutral pH values and low conductivities (range=149 µS/cm to 169

μS/cm). In August, metals concentrations were generally higher upstream of the site, with aluminum, chromium, copper and zinc above the CCME FAL criteria. No parameters exceeded the FAL downstream of the site. In October, there were no exceedances upstream of the site, and only selenium exceeded the FAL criteria downstream. In April, aluminum and iron exceeded the FAL in upstream and downstream samples. Due to laboratory error, detection limits for selenium were greater than CCME FAL criteria for the August and October 1997 sampling periods.

Other than the April sampling results, there was no discernable correlation between upstream and downstream water quality. Upstream water quality in August 1997 displayed more impairment than downstream quality, but in October 1997, water quality for upstream and downstream samples appeared relatively unimpaired. The summer and fall results indicate an upstream contaminant source or sources with noticeable dilution in the 900 metres between the two sampling points. The selenium exceedance noted in the October downstream sample may be attributable to an input from the Peso waste rock piles; however, the poor detection limits for the August and October selenium analyses preclude any observations regarding upstream selenium levels, (other than they were lower than the measured downstream level).

Secret Creek was almost completely ice-covered on April 23, 1998. In samples gathered on this date, aluminum and iron concentrations in upstream and downstream samples were elevated and roughly equivalent. All other measured parameters were similarly equivalent, suggesting a) little or no dilution in spring over the 900 meter distance between the upstream and downstream sampling points, and b) no contaminant input from the Peso waste rock disposal area.

Table 5.1 Significant Results - Peso Surface Water Samples

| Sample ID | Sample Location | Sample Date | Нq | Conductivity (μS/cm) | Parameters > CCME FAL Criteria |
|---------------|--|----------------|--------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|
| PESO-WQ-ST1-1 | Secret Creek 200 m downstream from waste rock dump drainage path | 20 Aug 1997 | 7.4 (6.7) | 151 (151) | |
| | | 18 Oct 1997 | 7.4 | 163 | Se |
| | | 23 Apr 1998 | 7 | 169 | Al, Fe |
| PESO-WQ-ST1-2 | Secret Creek 700 m upstream from waste rock dump drainage path | 20 Aug 1997 | 7.1 (6.7) | 149 (132) | Al, Cr, Cu, Zn |
| | | 18 Oct 1997 | 7.1 | 161 | |
| | | 23 Apr 1998 | 6.9 | 160 | Al, Fe |

Note: pH and conductivity readings in brackets are field measurements; unbracketed values are lab measurements. Field conductivity results reported as microsiemens. FAL = Freshwater Aquatic Life.

5.2 Waste Rock Geochemical Assessment

None of the waste rock samples collected in 1996 had been analysed for metals concentrations. Therefore, samples GV206PADI-3 (shown as sample location 3 on Figure 4, Appendix A) and GV206PAD-16 (shown as sample location 16 on Figure 4, Appendix A) collected in 1996 from the waste rock piles outside of the portal were analytes.

Metals concentrations were high in both samples. Sample GV206PADI-3 contained greater than 6900 ppm arsenic, 253 ppm copper, 2690 ppm lead, 1790 ppm antimony, and 719 ppm zinc. Concentrations of these elements in Sample GV206PAD-16 were less than a quarter of those measured in Sample GV206PADI-3.

Bottle roll tests were conducted on samples GV206PADI-3 and GV206PAD-16. The laboratory paste pH values of Sample 3 and Sample 16 were 3.0 and 4.0, respectively. The NP/AP ratios were less than 0.1 for both samples.

pH values of the slurry samples were similar to the paste pH values throughout 72 hours of testing. The final conductivities were 1560 μ S/cm and 420 μ S/cm for GV206PADI-3 and GV206PAD-16, respectively. The presence of some alkalinity in the filtered leachate of GV206PAD-16 indicates that this sample is being buffered. Sulphate concentrations in both samples were similar to their conductivities.

Soluble metals concentrations were high in the leachates from both samples, but particularly high in the GV206PADI-3 leachate which contained 67 mg/L aluminum, 2.6 mg/L copper, 431 mg/L iron, 0.32 mg/L nickel, and 58 mg/L zinc. This sample also contained high concentrations of arsenic and cadmium. In October 1997, selenium exceeding the CCME FAL criterion was found in the downstream sample taken from Secret Creek; however, there is insufficient information regarding upstream concentrations to implicate Peso waste rock as the source.

Table 5.2 Waste Rock Sample Locations and Summarized ABA Test Results - Peso Mine Site

| Sample ID | Sample Location | Summary of ABA Test Results |
|-------------|---|--|
| GV206PADI-3 | South edge of waste rock near portal | Potentially acid generating (NP:AP= <0.1), with high metal concentrations: 6900 ppm As, 253 ppm Cu, 2690 ppm Pb, 719 ppm Zn. |
| GV206PAD-16 | South edge, easternmost lobe of waste rock below portal | Potentially acid generating (NP:AP=<0.1) |

5.3 Waste Rock Geotechnical Assessment

The waste rock pile encompassed a 50 m by 70 m area, and existed as 3 lifts ranging in thickness from 2 - 6 m. Each lift consisted of a flat bench area ranging in width between 2 m and 15 m and having a side slope angle of approximately 30° to 32°. Natural ground surrounding the waste rock pile consisted of a west facing slope an angle of approximately 22° to 23°. This area was well vegetated with semi-mature to mature spruce trees. Near-surface soils were of a thin veneer (0.05-0.08 m) of organics underlain by dense glacial till and a heterogeneous mix of silt, sand and gravel.

Waste rock consisted primarily of a platy schist material with grain sizes ranging from silt sizes (<0.08 mm) up to cobbles in size (>75 mm diameter). Since the waste material is composed primarily of sand and gravel, it is considered relatively stable due to the high internal angle of friction and the low pore water pressure which is common for these materials.

The waste rock has been in its current state for over 30 years, and signs of slope instability (due to significant changes in the moisture regime) would likely have become apparent by now. However, there was no evidence of slope failures throughout the waste rock mass with the exception of some minor slumping at the south edge of the waste rock pile. It does not appear that the existing positional structure of the waste rock pile will lead to slope failures within the waste rock mass.

6. CONCLUSIONS

Existing or potential environmental concerns associated with the Peso mine site are summarized in Table 6.1, and are examined more fully in the following sections.

Table 6.0 Summary of Concerns at Peso Mine Site

| Site Assessment Component | Concern |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Waste rock dump slope stability | Waste rock dump is not considered unstable and future failures are unlikely. |
| Acid rock drainage | Waste rock piles are potentially acid-generating. Stressed vegetation downslope of Peso site indicates impact from waste rock runoff. However, there is no consistent elevation of metals in Secret Creek downstream from Peso which implicates surface drainage as a significant metals source. |

6.1 Peso Waste Rock Dump Slope Stability

The Peso waste rock dump is not considered unstable and future failures are unlikely. Because of the low probability of slope failure, the costs of further stabilization work are probably not justified.

6.2 Acid Rock Drainage

The stressed vegetation below the Peso Mine site indicates that runoff from the waste rock piles has affected the slope below the waste piles. However, there are no consistent patterns of increased metals in Secret Creek downstream of the site which would implicate site drainage as a continuing, significant metals source. Elevated selenium was found in the October 1997 downstream sample, suggesting an input from upstream of the sampling point; unfortunately, the detection limits for upstream samples are too high to definitively determine whether the waste rock or some other upstream source or sources are responsible. Any inputs to Secret Creek from Peso waste rock are likely intermittent and correlated with major rainfall events.

To prevent further transport of ARD from the waste rock would require a containment and isolation or migration control system. A low-permeability cover would limit mobilization of oxidation products by reducing infiltration of precipitation and surface runoff into the waste rock.

There is no suitable borrow source within an economical distance from the Peso site which could be used for construction of a low-permeability soil cover. The containment remediation system could instead use a high density polyethylene (HDPE) geomembrane liner as a low permeability cover. Construction of the cover system would require grading the waste rock dump to reduce the existing surface area and prepare an acceptable surface for placement of the liner. After grading, the waste rock would be allowed to settle; the liner could then be installed and covered with rock obtained from the vicinity of the waste rock dump.

7. RECOMMENDATIONS

Recommended remediation and management actions are compliant with applicable federal or territorial regulations and criteria, rely upon available technology, and intended to be appropriate for local conditions and sensitivities.

Recommendation 1. Prevent further leaching and transport of metals from waste rock by constructing a containment and isolation or migration control system. If a geomembrane cover with rock anchor is planned, conduct a geological assessment to identify a suitable source and volume of non-acid generating anchor rock.

Recommendation 2. Site drainage (i.e. seasonal snowmelt) and Secret Creek water quality should be sampled in the spring, summer and fall for a period of five years or for one year after construction of a containment and isolation or migration control system.

References

- Canada, Atmospheric Environment Service, Climatological Studies No. 24.
- Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment. 1991. Interim Canadian Environmental Quality Criteria for Contaminated Sites. Report CCME EPC-CS34, September 1991.
- EBA Engineering Consultants Limited. 1997. Final Report, Geotechnical Services, Yukon Abandoned Mine Sites. Peso Mine Site north of Mayo, Yukon. Prepared for PWGSC Environmental Services, October 1997.
- GeoViro Engineering Limited. 1995. Assessment of Abandoned Yukon Mine Sites Peso #62. Prepared for Public Works and Government Services Canada, February 1997.
- Indian and Northern Affairs Canada. "Mine Reclamation in Northwest Territories and Yukon".

 Prepared by Steffen, Robertson and Kirsten (B.C.) Inc. for DIAND Northern Affairs

 Program, April 1992.
- Indian and Northern Affairs Canada. "Yukon Abandoned Mines Assessment. Assessment Report 106D-04-1 Peso". Prepared by DIAND Technical Services, February 1994.

Appendix A

Detailed Geochemical Assessment of Waste Rock and Surface Water Peso Mine Site

Extracts from:

Yukon Abandoned Mine Sites Assessment
Report on 1997 Followup

Prepared by SRK Steffen Robertson & Kirsten (1998)

PESO

1. BACKGROUND

During the 1996 visit, much of the waste rock outside the main adit at the Peso site was found to be acidic. Runoff from the waste had impacted vegetation immediately below the waste rock. The main concern at this site was determining what impact the acidic waste was having on the Secret Creek.

Water quality samples collected in August 1996 were collected on the drainage to the north of Secret Creek. However, since the waste located in the Secret Creek drainage is generating acid, samples were collected from this creek in 1997. No sample was collected from the northern drainage in 1997. Therefore, no comparison of water quality is made between the two sampling programs.

2. OBSERVATIONS

At the Peso site, additional mapping was conducted around Adit 1 (Figure 4). The most significant observation was that the vegetation stress that was noted below the waste rock piles at Adit 1 during the 1996 visit, continues down slope for at least 200 m, and possibly to the stream below.

3. WATER QUALITY

The flow in Secret Creek in August 1997 was 0.1 m3/s. Two water quality samples were collected in the creek in August and October 1997. Samples PESO-WQ-ST1-2 and PESO-WQ-ST1-1 were collected upstream and downstream, respectively, of the approximate location of any discharge from the Peso site. The distance between the base of the waste dumps and the stream is approximately 1 kilometre. No adit drainage or seeps were seen on the exploration site. It appears that the most water at the site is discharged as runoff.

Results of analysis of the creek water samples are listed in Table 7. The samples all had near neutral pH values and low conductivities (range=149 μ S/cm to 163 μ S/cm). In August, metals concentrations generally increased past the site, with aluminum (0.125 mg/L), chromium (0.00216 mg/L), copper (0.0223 mg/L), and zinc (0.0235 mg/L) above the CCME guidelines. In October, there was little change in metals concentrations downstream of the site, and concentrations of all constituents were below the guidelines. The reason for the high metal values in August is unclear but could be attributed to the period of high rainfall that preceded that field program, swelling many of the local rivers. A high precipitation event could have washed unusually high amounts of soluble metals from the waste rock piles.

4. METALS CONCENTRATIONS

None of the waste rock samples collected in 1996 were analysed for metals concentrations during the 1996 program. Therefore, samples GV206PADI-3 (Sample 3) and GV206PAD-16 (Sample 16) collected in 1996 from the waste rock piles outside of the main adit (Figure 4) were analytes. The results are presented in Table 4.

Metals concentrations were high in both samples. Sample 3 contained greater than 6900 ppm arsenic, 253 ppm copper, 2690 ppm lead, 1790 ppm antimony, and 719 ppm zinc. Concentrations of these elements in Sample 16 were less than a quarter of those measured in Sample 3.

5. SOLUBLE METALS CONCENTRATIONS

Bottle roll tests were conducted on samples GV206PADI-3 (Sample 3) and GV206PAD-16 (Sample 16). The laboratory paste pH values of Sample 3 and Sample 16 were 3.0 and 4.0, respectively. The NP/AP ratios were less than 0.1 for both samples.

Results of bottle roll tests are shown in Tables 1 and 2. The pH values of the slurry samples were similar to the paste pH values throughout 72 hours of testing. The final conductivities were 1560 μ S/cm and 420 μ S/cm for Sample 3 and Sample 16, respectively. The presence of some alkalinity in the filtered leachate of Sample 16 indicates that this sample is being buffered. Sulphate concentrations in both samples were similar to their conductivities.

Soluble metals concentrations were high in the leachates from both samples, but particularly high in the Sample 3 leachate which contained 67 mg/L aluminum, 2.6 mg/L copper, 431 mg/L iron, 0.32 mg/L nickel, and 58 mg/L zinc. This sample also contained high concentrations of arsenic and cadmium. Many of these metals were found in elevated concentrations in Secret Creek, including aluminum, copper, nickel, and zinc, suggesting that the waste rock could be the source of the metals.

6. DISCUSSION

The stressed vegetation down slope of the Peso Mine site indicates that runoff from the waste rock piles is affecting the area around the waste. Increases in aluminum, chromium, copper, nickel, and zinc concentrations downstream of the site in August 1997 may indicate that drainage from the site is also impacting the quality of Secret Creek. During the dryer period of October 1997 no increase in metals concentrations were seen, suggesting that the impact on the creek may be seasonal or may occur only in periods of high rainfall.

7. RECOMMENDATIONS

Secret Creek should be monitored during the spring freshet, but because of the remoteness of the site, no other action is recommended.

Table 1 Water Quality Data, Peso Mine

| PARAMETER Sampling Event | SLINO | D.L.* | PESO-WQ-ST1-1 Aug-97 | PESO-WQ-ST1-1 PESO-WQ-ST1-1 Aug-97 Oct-97 | PESO-WQ-ST1-1 Apr-98 | PESO-WQ-ST1-2 Aug-97 | PESO-WQ-ST1-2 Oct-97 | PESO-WQ-ST1-2 Apr-98 | CCME |
|-----------------------------|-------|---------|-------------------------|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|-------------------------|------------|
| | | | | 7 | | 7 | | -1 | Criteria** |
| Location | | | 200 | zou m downstream from waste rock drainage path | waste | 707 | 700 m upstream from waste rock drainage path | vaste | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Hd | | 0.1 | 7.36 | 7.43 | 96.9 | 7.14 | 7.13 | 6.91 | |
| Electrical conductivity | uS/cm | 0.1 | 151 | 163 | 169 | 149 | 161 | 160 | |
| Hardness | mg/L | 0.7 | 8.69 | 83.5 | 71.5 | 68.4 | 81.2 | 74.4 | |
| Alkalinity | mg/L | - | 24 | 27 | 56 | 23 | 24 | 29 | |
| Sulphate | mg/L | 0.3 | <0.5 | 9.09 | 47.9 | 49.5 | 59.2 | 51.8 | |
| Aluminum | mg/L | 0.00005 | 0.053 | 0.0382 | 0.265 | 0.125 | 0.0289 | 0.220 | 0.1 |
| Antimony | mg/L | 0.005 | <0.005 | <0.005 | 1 | <0.005 | <0.005 | ı | |
| Arsenic | mg/L | 0.04 | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 | 0.05 |
| Cadmium | mg/L | 0.00006 | 0.00011 | 0.00010 | 0.0002 | 0.00048 | 0.00010 | 0.00021 | 0.0018 |
| Calcium | mg/L | 0.002 | 15.8 | 17.8 | ı | 25.3 | 17.3 | ı | |
| Chromium | mg/L | 0.00006 | <0.00006 | 0.00018 | <0.0008 | 0.00216 | 0.00011 | <0.0008 | 0.002 |
| Cobalt | mg/L | 0.00003 | 0.00068 | 0.00056 | 1 | 0.00163 | 0.00067 | 1 | |
| Copper | mg/L | 0.00003 | 0.00178 | 0.00051 | 0.001 | 0.0223 | 0.00033 | <0.001 | 0.004 |
| Iron | mg/L | 0.003 | 0.125 | 0.1100 | 0.44 | 0.205 | 0.0581 | 0.392 | 0.3 |
| Lead | mg/L | 0.0003 | <0.0003 | <0.0003 | <0.0003 | 0.0068 | <0.0003 | <0.0003 | 0.007 |
| Lithium | mg/L | 0.00006 | 0.00718 | 0.00894 | : | 0.0129 | 0.00883 | I | |
| Magnesium | mg/L | 0.005 | | 7.38 | 1 | 10.1 | 7.11 | ì | |
| Manganese | mg/L | 0.00002 | | 0.0580 | | 0.138 | 0.0641 | I | |
| Mercury | mg/L | 0.0001 | | <0.0001 | <0.00001 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | <0.00001 | 0.0001 |
| Molybdenum | mg/L | 0.00007 | | <0.00007 | 1 | 0.00108 | <0.00007 | 1 | |
| Nickel | mg/L | 0.0001 | 0.0056 | 0.0054 | 0.009 | 0.0196 | 0.0054 | 0.009 | 0.15 |
| Selenium | mg/L | 0.003 | | 0.004 | <0.0001 | <0.003 | <0.003 | <0.0001 | 0.001 |
| Silver | mg/L | 0.00005 | <0.00005 | <0.00005 | <0.00005 | <0.00005 | <0.00005 | <0.00005 | 0.0001 |
| Zinc | mg/L | 0.0002 | 0.0091 | 0.0176 | 0.0207 | 0.235 | 0.0182 | 0.0229 | 0.03 |

⁺ 1996 water quality samples were collected on another stream and are not included here.

Exceeds CCME FAL criteria

⁻ not analyzed for this parameter.

^{*} Detection Limit for analyses of 1997 samples.

^{**} Criteria values for cadmium, copper, lead, nickel and zinc adjusted for extreme water hardness in accordance with Canadian Water Quality Guidelines CCME FAL= Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment criteria for protection of Freshwater Aquatic Life

Table 2
ABA Results and Metals Concentrations, Peso Samples

| | | GV206 | GV206 | Lower |
|-----------------------------------|---------|--------|---------|----------|
| Element | Unit | PADI-3 | PADI-16 | 1 |
| | | | | Limit |
| Paste pH | | 2.97 | 4.01 | |
| | | | | |
| Paste Conductivity | (uS/cm) | | | |
| S(T) | % | 1.38 | 0.17 | |
| S(SO ₄ ²⁻) | % | 0.46 | <1 | |
| S(S ²⁻)* | % | 0.92 | 0.17 | |
| AP | ,• | 28.8 | 5.3 | |
| NP | | -9.1 | -1 | |
| NET NP | | 38 | -6.3 | |
| NP/AP | | <1 | <1 | |
| THE PAI | | - • | • • | |
| Aluminum | % | 0.30 | 0.77 | 0.01 |
| Antimony | ppm | 1790 | 252 | 1 |
| Arsenic | ppm | 6969 | 512 | 1 |
| Barium | ppm | 41 | 87 | 1 |
| Beryllium | ppm | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Bismuth | ppm | 174 | 14 | 1 |
| Cadmium | ppm | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Calcium | % | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.01 |
| Chromium | ppm | 80 | 164 | 1 |
| Cobalt | ppm | 3 | 8 | <u>i</u> |
| Copper | ppm | 253 | 83 | 1 |
| Gallium | ppm | 5 | 4 | 1 |
| Iron | % | 5.15 | 3.26 | 0.01 |
| Lead | ppm | 2690 | 622 | 1 |
| Lithium | ppm | 1 | 9 | 1 |
| Magnesium | % | 0.01 | 0.25 | 0.01 |
| Manganese | ppm | 201 | 305 | 1 |
| Molybdenum | ppm | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| Nickel | ppm | 8 | 21 | 1 |
| Phosphorus | ppm | 810 | 480 | 10 |
| Potassium | % | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.01 |
| Silver | ppm | 48.7 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| Sodium | % | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| Strontium | ppm | 197 | 16 | 1 |
| Thallium | ppm | 28 | 21 | 1 |
| Tin | ppm | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| Titanium | % | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| Tungsten | ppm | 12 | 3 | 1 |
| Uranium | ppm | 16 | 10 | 1 |
| Vanadium | ppm | 17.3 | 22.5 | 1 |
| Zinc | ppm | 719 | 130 | 1 |

^{*} Calculated: S(T) - S(S2-)

^{-- =} not analyzed.

Table 3 Results of Physical Parameters in Bottle Roll Test Leachates, Peso Mine

•••••••••••••••••••

| | RIDE | Ţ | 60 | ~ |
|-------------------|---|---------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| | CHLO | | æ | 9.5 |
| | CARBONATE | (3,8,11) | ۲ | ₹ |
| | ACIDITY ALKALINITY SULPHATE BICARBONATE CARBONATE CHLORIDE DH 4.5 (mg/l.) CaCO3 (mg/l.) mg/l. | (3,8,11) | 51 | ⊽ |
| CHATE | SULPHATE (ma/L) | À | 208 | 1710 |
| FILTERED LEACHATE | ALKALINITY DH 4.5 | (mg/L CaCO3) (mg/L CaCO3) | 10.0 | 0.0 |
| | ACIDITY PH 8.3 | CaCO3) | 31.0 | 1500.0 |
| | PH 4 | (mg/L | 0.0 | 315.0 |
| | REDOX POT. | (m) | 387 | 375 |
| | COND. | | 623 | 2400 |
| | pH COND. REDOX (uS/cm) POT | | 5.02 | 3.10 |
| | | 216 | ; | : |
| | nS/cu | 44 | 1 | ; |
| | CTIVITY (Hours) | 120 | 1 | ; |
| | CONDUCTIVITY (uS/cm) (Hours) | 48 72 120 144 | 420 | 1560 |
| SLURRY | Ö | | 375 | 1520 |
| SLL | | 48 72 120 144 216 | : | |
| | _ | 4 | : | : |
| | pH (Hours) | 120 | 1 | 1 |
| | | 72 | 5 4.7 | 2.9 |
| | 0 | 48 | <0.1 4.5 | <0.1 2.9 2.9 |
| | , NP/AI | | <0.1 | ×0.1 |
| SOLID | Conductivity (#S/cm) | | : | : |
| | 표 | | 4 | ო |
| | SAMPLE | | GV206PAD1-3* | GV206PAD1-16 |

*500 g sample was used with 500 ml of distilled solution. — = not analyzed for this element.

 Table 4

 Dissolved Metals Concentrations in Bottle Roll Test Leachates, Peso Mine

| Sample No. | | GV206PADI-3 | V206PADI-16 |
|------------------|------|-------------|-------------|
| Lab. Samples No. | | 4072 | 4073 |
| Aluminum | mg/L | 8,1 | 67.2 |
| Antimony | mg/L | 0.3 | 0.7 |
| Arsenic | mg/L | 0.129 | 3.4 |
| Barium | mg/L | 60.0 | 0.03 |
| Beryllium | mg/L | <0.005 | 0.015 |
| Bismuth | mg/L | <0.1 | 0.1 |
| Boron | mg/L | 0.4 | 2.0 |
| Cadmium | mg/L | <0.01 | 0.421 |
| Calcium | mg/L | 13 | 33.3 |
| Chromium | mg/L | <0.01 | 0.02 |
| Cobalt | mg/L | 0.11 | 0.12 |
| Copper | mg/L | 0.23 | 2.57 |
| Iron | mg/L | 1.58 | 431 |
| Lead | mg/L | 0.10 | 0:30 |
| Lithium | mg/L | 20.0 | 0.12 |
| Magnesium | ma/L | 7.08 | 17.0 |
| Manganese | mg/L | 7.57 | 19.2 |
| Mercury | mg/L | 0.0001 | <0.00005 |
| Molybdenum | mg/L | <0.03 | <0.03 |
| Nickel | mg/L | 0.03 | 0.32 |
| Phosphorus | mg/L | <0.3 | <0.3 |
| Potassium | mg/L | 28 | 7 |
| Selenium | mg/L | <0.2 | <0.2 |
| Silicon | mg/L | 52.1 | 66.2 |
| Silver | mg/L | <0.01 | <0.01 |
| Sodium | mg/L | 82 | 99 |
| Strontium | mg/L | 0.077 | 1.04 |
| Thallium | mg/L | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| ᆵ | mg/L | <0.03 | <0.03 |
| Titanium | mg/L | 0.02 | <0.01 |
| Uranium | mg/L | 0.0005 | 0.0062 |
| Vanadium | mg/L | <0.03 | <0.03 |
| Zinc | mg/L | 0.686 | 57.7 |

Appendix B

Site Photographs



Photo 1. Peso mine waste rock dump. Note trail of distressed vegetation leading from waste rock.




Photo 2. Aerial view of distressed vegetation seen as a greyish line between the waste rock and Secret Creek channel.

Appendix C

Analytical Results



DATE 28 AUG 97 08:39

P.O. NO.

w.o. no. 3 138240

PAGE 9

PUBLIC WORKS CANADA ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES 1000, 9700 JASPER AVE EDMONTON, AB

T5J 4E2

YUKON PH3

WATER ANALYSIS REPORT

| SAMPLE | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 13 | 14 | 15 |
|------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|
| | | STUMP MINE | PESO NO CEL 1 | PESO NO CEL 3 |
| | | STU-WQ-AT1-1 | PESO-WQ-ST1-1 | PESO-WQ-ST1-2 |
| TOTAL METALS | | | | |
| SELENIUM | mg/L | 0.0002 | <0.0001 | 0.0002 |
| ROUTINE WATER | | | | |
| pН | | 7.91 | 7.36 | 7.14 |
| ELECTRICAL COND | us/cm | 762 | 151 | 149 |
| CALCIUM | mg/L | 78.8 | 16.0 | 16.1 |
| MAGNESIUM | mg/L | 72.8 | 7.2 | 6.9 |
| SODIUM | mg/L | 11.3 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| POTASSIUM | mg/L | 5.11 | 1.40 | 1.40 |
| IRON | mg/L | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.05 |
| MANGANESE | mg/L | <0.003 | 0.041 | 0.072 |
| SULPHATE | mg/L | 111 | 49.0 | 49.5 |
| CHLORIDE | mg/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | · 0.8 |
| BICARBONATE | mg/L | 468 | 30 | 28 |
| T ALKALINITY | mg/L | 384 | 24 | 23 |
| HARDNESS | mg/L | 497 | 69.8 | 68.4 |
| T DIS SOLIDS | mg/L | 510 | 90 | 90 |
| IONIC BALANCE | % | ~106 | ~98.7 | 94.7 |
| WATER NUTRIENTS | | | | |
| NO2&NO3-N | mg/L | <0.05 | <0.05 | 0.64 |
| TOTAL, COLD VAPO | | | | |
| MERCURY | mg/L | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 |
| TRACE ICP, TOTAL | | | | |
| ALUMINUM | mg/L | 0.068 | 0.053 | 0.125 |
| ANTIMONY | mg/L | 0.107 | <0.005 | <0.005 |
| ARSENIC | mg/L | 0.05 | <0.01 | <0.01 |
| BARIUM | mg/L | 0.0254 | 0.0307 | 0.0692 |
| BERYLLIUM | mg/L | <0.00001 | <0.00001 | <0.00001 |
| BISMUTH | mg/L | <0.0004 | <0.0004 | <0.0004 |
| BORON | mg/L | 0.075 | <0.002 | 0.017 |
| CADMIUM | mg/L | <0.00006 | 0.00011 | 0.00048 |
| CALCIUM | mg/L | 79.6 | 15.8 | 25.3 |
| CHROMIUM | mg/L | <0.00006 | <0.00006 | 0.00216 |
| | | | (0.00000 | |

Lab Manager:

JA12 20 70 0 01 00.0.

P.O. NO.

w.o. no. 3 138240

PAGE 10

PUBLIC WORKS CANADA ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES 1000, 9700 JASPER AVE EDMONTON, AB

T5J 4E2

YUKON PH3

WATER ANALYSIS REPORT

| SAMPLE | | 13 | 14 | 15 |
|------------------|------|--------------|---------------|---------------|
| | | STUMP MINE | PESO | PESO |
| | | STU-WQ-AT1-1 | PESO-WQ-ST1-1 | PESO-WQ-ST1-2 |
| TRACE ICP, TOTAL | | | | |
| COBALT | mg/L | 0.00044 | 0.00068 | 0.00163 |
| COPPER | mg/L | 0.00776 | 0.00178 | 0.0223 |
| IRON | mg/L | 0.413 | 0.125 | 0.205 |
| LEAD | mg/L | 0.0456 | <0.0003 | 0.0068 |
| LITHIUM | mg/L | 0.0361 | 0.00718 | 0.0129 |
| MANGANESE | mg/L | 0.0350 | 0.0730 | 0.138 |
| MAGNESIUM | mg/L | 67.2 | 6.63 | 10.1 |
| MOLYBDENUM | mg/L | 0.00048 | 0.00020 | 0.00108 |
| NICKEL | mg/L | 0.0027 | 0.0056 | 0.0196 |
| PHOSPHORUS | mg/L | <0.006 | <0.006 | <0.006 |
| POTASSIUM | mg/L | 7.79 | <0.60 | 0.72 |
| SILVER | mg/L | 0.00039 | <0.00005 | <0.00005 |
| SELENIUM | mg/L | 0.005 | <0.003 | <0.003 |
| SILICON | mg/L | 2.71 | 6.50 | 9.98 |
| STRONTIUM | mg/L | 1.18 | 0.0645 | 0.108 |
| SODIUM | mg/L | 11.8 | 1.41 | 2.44 |
| THALLIUM | mg/L | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| SULPHUR | mg/L | 37.8 | 16.0 | 25.0 |
| TITANIUM | mg/L | 0.00043 | 0.00042 | 0.00277 |
| TIN | mg/L | 0.0003 | <0.0002 | 0.0010 |
| VANADIUM | mg/L | <0.00003 | <0.00003 | 0.00013 |
| ZINC | mg/L | 0.0131 | 0.0091 | 0.235 |
| | - | | | |

Lab Manager:

Demaslus.



28 AUG 97 08:39 DATE

P.O. NO.

w.o. no. 3 138240

12 PAGE

PUBLIC WORKS CANADA ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES 1000, 9700 JASPER AVE EDMONTON, AB

YUKON PH3

WATER ANALYSIS REPORT

note* NO2&NO3-N

T5J 4E2

note* pH lacksquare note* ELECTRICAL COND 'ELECTRICAL COND' (EC) is in microsiemens/cm and is a measure of solids in solution

E.C. CORRECTED TO 25C

T ALKALINITY 'ALKALINITY' is CARBONATE/BICARBONATE expressed as CALCIUM CARBONATE

note HARDNESS 'HARDNESS' is calcium and magnesium expressed as CALCIUM CARBONATE
note NO2&NO3-N is expressed as nitrogen

PH REPORTED AT ROOM TEMP



22 FAX (403) 438-0396 22 FAX (403) 291-2021 44 FAX (604) 534-996 66 FAX (403) 327-8527 30 FAX (204) 275-6019 DATE 28 AUG 97 08:39

P.O. NO.

w.o. no. 3 138240

PAGE 13

PUBLIC WORKS CANADA
ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES
1000, 9700 JASPER AVE
EDMONTON, AB
T5J 4E2

WATER ANALYSIS REPORT

YUKON PH3

| PARAMETER | DATE OF- | ANALYZED BY | PARAMETER | DATE OF- | ANALYZED BY |
|----------------|----------|-----------------|---------------|----------|-----------------|
| | ANALYSIS | | | ANALYSIS | |
| | | | | | |
| ELENIUM | 27Aug97 | LANG QUE TRAN | pH | 26Aug97 | DARREN CRICHTON |
| LECTRICAL COND | 26Aug97 | DARREN CRICHTON | CALCIUM | 27Aug97 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| AGNESIUM | 27Aug97 | LANG QUE TRAN | SODIUM | 27Aug97 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| POTASSIUM | 27Aug97 | LANG QUE TRAN | IRON | 27Aug97 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| MANGANESE | 27Aug97 | LANG QUE TRAN | SULPHATE | 27Aug97 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| HLORIDE | 27Aug97 | THERESA LIEU | BICARBONATE | 26Aug97 | DARREN CRICHTON |
| ALKALINITY | 26Aug97 | DARREN CRICHTON | HARDNESS | 0 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| DIS SOLIDS | 0 | LANG QUE TRAN | IONIC BALANCE | 0 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| 02 ano 3 - n | 27Aug97 | THERESA LIEU | MERCURY | 26Aug97 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| ALUMINUM | 27Aug97 | LANG QUE TRAN | ANTIMONY | 26Aug97 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| ARSENIC | 26Aug97 | LANG QUE TRAN | BARIUM | 27Aug97 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| BERYLLIUM | 27Aug97 | LANG QUE TRAN | BISMUTH | 27Aug97 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| ORON | 26Aug97 | LANG QUE TRAN | CADMIUM | 27Aug97 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| ALCIUM | 26Aug97 | LANG QUE TRAN | CHROMIUM | 27Aug97 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| OBALT | 27Aug97 | LANG QUE TRAN | COPPER | 27Aug97 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| I RON | 26Aug97 | LANG QUE TRAN | LEAD | 27Aug97 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| LITHIUM | 26Aug97 | LANG QUE TRAN | MANGANESE | 27Aug97 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| MAGNESIUM | 26Aug97 | LANG QUE TRAN | MOLYBDENUM | 27Aug97 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| RICKEL | 27Aug97 | LANG QUE TRAN | PHOSPHORUS | 26Aug97 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| OTASSIUM | 26Aug97 | LANG QUE TRAN | SILVER | 27Aug97 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| ELENIUM | 26Aug97 | LANG QUE TRAN | SILICON | 26Aug97 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| TRONTIUM | 27Aug97 | LANG QUE TRAN | SODIUM | 26Aug97 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| THALLIUM | 27Aug97 | LANG QUE TRAN | SULPHUR | 26Aug97 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| TITANIUM | 27Aug97 | LANG QUE TRAN | TIN | 27Aug97 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| ANADIUM | 27Aug97 | LANG QUE TRAN | ZINC | 27Aug97 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| | | _ | | - | |



F

DATE 28 AUG 97 08:39

P.O. NO.

w.o. no. 3 138240

PAGE 14

PUBLIC WORKS CANADA
ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES
1000, 9700 JASPER AVE
EDMONTON, AB

T5J 4E2

WATER ANALYSIS REPORT

YUKON PH3

e following published METHODS OF ANALYSIS were used: acid to pH 4.5 & pH 8.3. Report as CaCO3 011 SELENIUM Total, perchloric acid digest, automated Ref. APHA 2320 B hydride atomic absorption spectroscopy. 10602 HARDNESS Calculation from 2.5*Ca + 4.1*Mg Ref. APHA 3114 C **301L** Reported as CaCO3 pН Ref. APHA 2340 B Electrometric (pH meter) 00203 T DIS SOLIDS Ref. APHA 4500-H+ 2041L ELECTRICAL COND SUM OF IONS CALCULATION Ca + Mg + K + Na + SO4 + Cl + 0.6*T Alk Conductance meter Ref. APHA 1030 F Ref. APHA 2510 B IONIC BALANCE **9**103 NWL4994 CALCIUM 00100 IONIC BALANCE 2 ICP spectroscopy @ 317.9 nm Ref. APHA 3120 B *Diff=(Sum Cations-Sum Anions)/ ₽102L MAGNESTUM (Sum Cations+Sum Anions)*100 Ref. APHA 1030 F ICP spectroscopy @ 285.2 nm 07105L NO2 & NO3 - N Ref. APHA 3120 B 1102L Automated colorimetry Cadmium reduction SODIUM Ref. APHA 4500-NO3-,F **9**111 POTASSIUM Diss., ICP Spectroscopy, Ref. APHA 3120 B 26304L IRON 6306L SULPHATE ICP spectroscopy @ 180.7 nm Ref. APHA 3120 B 7203L CHLORIDE Automated colorimetry, Thiocyanate Ref. APHA 4500 Cl-, E 6201L BICARBONATE Potentiometric titration with standard acid to pH 8.3 and pH 4.5 Ref. APHA 2320 B 0101 T ALKALINITY Potentiometric titration with standard Method References: Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, . APHA American Public Health Assoc., 17th ed. P. EPA a. Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods SW-846, 3rd ed., US EPA, 1986 b. Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastewater, US EPA, 1983 Manual on Soil Sampling and Methods of Analysis, Cdn. Soc. of MSS Soil Science, J. A. McKeague, 2nd ed.

Lab Manager:

lacksquare norwest soil research LtD has been accredited by the STANDARDS COUNCIL of CANADA

• for specific tests registered with the COUNCIL.



EDMONTON CALGARY LANGLEY LETHBRIDGE WINNIPEG PH. (403) 438-5522 PH. (403) 291-2022 PH. (604) 530-4344 PH. (403) 329-9266 PH. (204) 982-8630 FAX (403) 438-0396 FAX (403) 291-2021 FAX (604) 534-9996 FAX (403) 327-8527 FAX (204) 275-6019 DATE 23 OCT 97 07:58

P.O. NO.

w.o. no. 3 143128

PAGE 3

PUBLIC WORKS CANADA ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES 1000, 9700 JASPER AVE EDMONTON, AB T5J 4E2

MIKE NAHIR

WATER ANALYSIS REPORT

| SAMPLE | | 4 FO-WQ-ST1-2 | 5 FO-WQ-ST1-3 | 6 PESO-WQ-ST1-1 |
|-------------------|-------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| | | FO-WQ-311-2 | FO-MQ-211-3 | FESO-WQ-511-1 |
| ROUTINE WATER | | | | |
| рн | | 8.02 | 8.05 | 7.43 |
| ELECTRICAL COND | us/cm | 560 | 564 | 163 |
| CALCIUM | mg/L | 113 | 113 | 19.4 |
| MAGNESIUM | mg/L | 23.0 | 23.1 | 8.5 |
| SODIUM | mg/L | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.8 |
| POTASSIUM | mg/L | 0.81 | <0.60 | 2.13 |
| SULPHATE | mg/L | 216 | 216 | 60.6 |
| CHLORIDE | mg/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 |
| BICARBONATE | mg/L | 175 | 175 | 33 |
| T ALKALINITY | mg/L | 143 | 144 | . 27 |
| HARDNESS | mg/L | 378 | 376 | 83.5 |
| T DIS SOLIDS | mg/L | 442 | 442 | 110 |
| IONIC BALANCE | % | ~104 | ~103 | ~101 |
| WATER NUTRIENTS | | | | |
| NO2&NO3-N | mg/L | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.08 |
| ICP METALS, EXTR | | | | |
| IRON | mg/L | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.12 |
| MANGANESE | mg/L | 0.148 | 0.137 | 0.063 |
| | | | | |
| DISS, COLD VAPOR | | | | |
| MERCURY | mg/L | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 |
| METALS, DISS, AAS | | | | |
| SELENIUM | mg/L | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 |
| TRACE ICP, DISS | | | | |
| IRON | mg/L | 0.0700 | 0.0632 | 0.1100 |
| ALUMINUM | mg/L | 0.00694 | 0.00365 | 0.0382 |
| ANTIMONY | mg/L | <0.005 | <0.005 | <0.005 |
| ARSENIC | mg/L | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 |
| BARIUM | mg/L | 0.0523 | 0.0519 | 0.0304 |
| BERYLLIUM | mg/L | <0.00001 | <0.00001 | <0.00001 |
| BISMUTH | mg/L | <0.0004 | <0.0004 | <0.0004 |
| BORON | mg/L | <0.002 | <0.002 | <0.002 |
| d. | | | . – | |

Lab Manager:



EDMONTON CALGARY LANGLEY LETHBRIDGE WINNIPEG PH. (403) 438-5522 PH. (403) 291-2022 PH. (604) 530-4344 PH. (403) 329-9266 PH. (204) 982-8630 FAX (403) 438-0396 FAX (403) 291-2021 FAX (604) 534-9996 FAX (403) 327-8527 FAX (204) 275-6019 DATE 23 OCT 97 07:58

P.O. NO.

w.o. no. 3 143128

PAGE 4

PUBLIC WORKS CANADA ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES 1000, 9700 JASPER AVE EDMONTON, AB

T5J 4E2

MIKE NAHIR

WATER ANALYSIS REPORT

| SAMPLE | | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|-----------------|------|-------------|-------------|---------------|
|) | | FO-WQ-ST1-2 | FO-WQ-ST1-3 | PESO-WQ-ST1-1 |
| TRACE ICP, DISS | | | | |
| CADMIUM | mg/L | 0.00152 | 0.00140 | 0.00010 |
| CALCIUM | mg/L | 105 | 104 | 17.8 |
| CHROMIUM | mg/L | 0.00019 | 0.00018 | 0.00018 |
| COBALT | mg/L | 0.00014 | 0.00014 | 0.00056 |
| COPPER | mg/L | 0.00041 | 0.00028 | 0.00051 |
| LEAD | mg/L | 0.0006 | 0.0003 | <0.0003 |
| LITHIUM | mg/L | 0.00798 | 0.00788 | 0.00894 |
| MANGANESE | mg/L | 0.140 | 0.132 | 0.0580 |
| MAGNESIUM | mg/L | 19.7 | 19.5 | 7.38 |
| MOLYBDENUM | mg/L | 0.00021 | 0.00021 | <0.00007 |
| NICKEL | mg/L | 0.0010 | 0.0011 | 0.0054 |
| PHOSPHORUS | mg/L | <0.006 | <0.006 | <0.006 |
| POTASSIUM | mg/L | 0.81 | <0.60 | 2.13 |
| SILVER | mg/L | <0.00005 | <0.00005 | <0.00005 |
| SELENIUM | mg/L | <0.003 | <0.003 | 0.004 |
| SILICON | mg/L | 3.32 | 3.31 | 6.77 |
| STRONTIUM | mg/L | 0.218 | 0.220 | 0.0654 |
| SODIUM | mg/L | 1.41 | 1.39 | 1.41 |
| THALLIUM | mg/L | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| SULPHUR | mg/L | 67.1 | 67.1 | 19.2 |
| TITANIUM | mg/L | <0.00002 | <0.00002 | <0.00002 |
| TIN | mg/L | 0.0017 | 0.0015 | 0.0003 |
| URANIUM | mg/L | 0.0037 | 0.0063 | <0.0009 |
| VANADIUM | mg/L | <0.00003 | <0.00003 | <0.00003 |
| ZINC | mg/L | 0.199 | 0.201 | 0.0176 |
| ZIRCONIUM | mg/L | 0.00013 | <0.00004 | <0.00004 |
| | | | | |

Lab Manager:

147



23 OCT 97 07:58 DATE

P.O. NO.

w.o. no. 3 143128

5 PAGE

PUBLIC WORKS CANADA

MIKE NAHIR

ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES • 1000, 9700 JASPER AVE ■ EDMONTON, AB

| TE I | A 0 | = 2 |
|------|-----|-----|
| 100 | 41 | -4 |

WATER ANALYSIS REPORT

| SAMPLE | | 7 | 8 | 9 |
|-------------------|-------|---------------|--------------|--------------|
| | | PESO-WQ-ST1-2 | PAD-WA-ST1-1 | PAD-WQ-ST1-2 |
| DOLLET LIAMED | | | | |
| ROUTINE WATER | | | | |
| рн | | 7.13 | 7.99 | 8.05 |
| ELECTRICAL COND | uS/cm | 161 | 561 | 560 |
| CALCIUM | mg/L | 18.6 | 114 | 113 |
| MAGNESIUM | mg/L | 8.4 | 23.4 | 23.4 |
| SODIUM | mg/L | 2.5 | 2.3 | 2.0 |
| POTASSIUM | mg/L | 0.94 | 1.04 | 0.90 |
| SULPHATE | mg/L | 59.2 | 220 | 218 |
| CHLORIDE | mg/L | <0.5 | 0.5 | <0.5 |
| BICARBONATE | mg/L | 30 | 170 | 174 |
| T ALKALINITY | mg/L | 24 | 140 | 142 |
| HARDNESS | mg/L | 81.2 | 381 | 379 |
| T DIS SOLIDS | mg/L | 105 | 445 | 443 |
| IONIC BALANCE | % | ~101 | 105 | ~104 |
| WATER NUTRIENTS | | | | |
| NO2&NO3-N | mg/L | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.10 |
| ICP METALS, EXTR | | | | |
| n IRON | mg/L | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 |
| MANGANESE | mg/L | 0.070 | 0.128 | 0.122 |
| DISS, COLD VAPOR | | | | |
| MERCURY | mg/L | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 |
| METALS, DISS, AAS | | | | |
| SELENIUM | mg/L | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 |
| TRACE ICP, DISS | | | | |
| IRON | mg/L | 0.0581 | 0.0540 | 0.0529 |
| ALUMINUM | mg/L | 0.0289 | 0.00408 | 0.00394 |
| ANTIMONY | mg/L | <0.005 | <0.005 | <0.005 |
| ARSENIC | mg/L | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 |
| BARIUM | mg/L | 0.0297 | 0.0495 | 0.0489 |
| BERYLLIUM | mg/L | <0.00001 | <0.00001 | <0.00001 |
| BISMUTH | mg/L | 0.0005 | <0.0004 | <0.0004 |
| BORON | mg/L | <0.002 | <0.002 | <0.002 |
| | / | (0.002 | \U.U2 | \U.UUZ |

Lab Manager:



DATE 23 OCT 97 07:58

P.O. NO.

w.o. no. 3 143128

PAGE 6

PUBLIC WORKS CANADA ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES 1000, 9700 JASPER AVE EDMONTON, AB

T5J 4E2

MIKE NAHIR

WATER ANALYSIS REPORT

| SAMPLE | | 7 | 8 | 9 |
|-----------------|------|---------------|--------------|--------------|
| | | PESO-WQ-ST1-2 | PAD-WA-ST1-1 | PAD-WQ-ST1-2 |
| TRACE ICP, DISS | | | | |
| CADMIUM | mg/L | 0.00010 | 0.00212 | 0.00209 |
| CALCIUM | mg/L | 17.3 | 103 | 104 |
| CHROMIUM | mg/L | 0.00011 | 0.00014 | 0.00019 |
| COBALT | mg/L | 0.00067 | <0.00003 | 0.00011 |
| COPPER | mg/L | 0.00033 | 0.00027 | 0.00033 |
| LEAD | mg/L | <0.0003 | 0.0009 | 0.0009 |
| LITHIUM | mg/L | 0.00883 | 0.00787 | 0.00800 |
| MANGANESE | mg/L | 0.0641 | 0.122 | 0.116 |
| MAGNESIUM | mg/L | 7.11 | 19.2 | 19.3 |
| MOLYBDENUM | mg/L | <0.00007 | 0.00022 | 0.00023 |
| NICKEL | mg/L | 0.0054 | 0.0011 | 0.0011 |
| PHOSPHORUS | mg/L | <0.006 | <0.006 | <0.006 |
| POTASSIUM | mg/L | 0.94 | 1.04 | 0.90 |
| SILVER | mg/L | <0.00005 | <0.00005 | <0.00005 |
| SELENIUM | mg/L | <0.003 | <0.003 | <0.003 |
| SILICON | mg/L | 6.79 | 3.28 | 3.27 |
| STRONTIUM | mg/L | 0.0635 | 0.213 | 0.213 |
| SODIUM | mg/L | 1.38 | 1.37 | 1.38 |
| THALLIUM | mg/L | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| SULPHUR | mg/L | 19.5 | 68.4 | 67.4 |
| TITANIUM | mg/L | <0.00002 | <0.00002 | <0.00002 |
| TIN | mg/L | 0.0005 | 0.0014 | 0.0014 |
| URANIUM | mg/L | 0.0013 | 0.0020 | 0.0037 |
| VANADIUM | mg/L | <0.00003 | <0.00003 | <0.00003 |
| ZINC | mg/L | 0.0182 | 0.258 | 0.258 |
| ZIRCONIUM | mg/L | <0.00004 | <0.00004 | <0.00004 |
| | | | | |

Lab Manager:

14.7



PH. (403) 438-5522 FAX (403) 438-039
PH. (403) 291-2022 FAX (403) 291-202
PH. (403) 329-9266 FAX (403) 327-852
PH. (204) 982-8630 FAX (204) 275-601

DATE 23 OCT 97 07:58

P.O. NO.

w.o. no. 3 143128

PAGE 11

PUBLIC WORKS CANADA ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES 1000, 9700 JASPER AVE EDMONTON, AB T5J 4E2

WATER ANALYSIS REPORT

MIKE NAHIR

note pH

PH REPORTED AT ROOM TEMP

note ELECTRICAL COND 'ELECTRICAL COND' (EC) is in microsiemens/cm and is a measure of solids in solution

E.C. CORRECTED TO 25C

note T ALKALINITY
note HARDNESS

'ALKALINITY' is CARBONATE/BICARBONATE expressed as CALCIUM CARBONATE 'HARDNESS' is calcium and magnesium expressed as CALCIUM CARBONATE

note NO2&NO3-N

is expressed as nitrogen



PH. (403) 438-5522 FAX (403) 438-03 PH. (403) 291-2022 FAX (403) 291-20 PH. (604) 530-4344 FAX (604) 534-99 PH. (403) 329-9266 FAX (403) 327-85 PH. (204) 982-8630 FAX (204) 275-60 DATE 23 OCT 97 07:58

P.O. NO.

w.o. no. 3 143128

PAGE 12

PUBLIC WORKS CANADA ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES 1000, 9700 JASPER AVE EDMONTON, AB T5J 4E2

WATER ANALYSIS REPORT

MIKE NAHIR

| | PARAMETER | DATE OF- | ANALYZED BY | PARAMETER | DATE OF- | ANALYZED BY |
|---|---------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|
| | | ANALYSIS | | | ANALYSIS | |
| | | | | | | |
| | рН | 210ct97 | DARREN CRICHTON | ELECTRICAL COND | 210ct97 | DARREN CRICHTON |
| | CALCIUM | 220ct97 | LANG QUE TRAN | MAGNESIUM | 220ct97 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| , | SODIUM | 220ct97 | LANG QUE TRAN | POTASSIUM | 220ct97 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| | SULPHATE | 220ct97 | LANG QUE TRAN | CHLORIDE | 210ct97 | THERESA LIEU |
| | CARBONATE | 210ct97 | DARREN CRICHTON | BICARBONATE | 210ct97 | DARREN CRICHTON |
| | P ALKALINITY | 210ct97 | DARREN CRICHTON | T ALKALINITY | 210ct97 | DARREN CRICHTON |
| | HARDNESS | 0 | LANG QUE TRAN | T DIS SOLIDS | 0 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| | IONIC BALANCE | 0 | LANG QUE TRAN | NO2&NO3-N | 210ct97 | THERESA LIEU |
| | IRON | 210ct97 | LANG QUE TRAN | MANGANESE | 210ct97 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| | MERCURY | 230ct97 | LANG QUE TRAN | SELENIUM | 220ct97 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| | IRON | 220ct97 | LANG QUE TRAN | ALUMINUM | 220ct97 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| | ANTIMONY | 220ct97 | LANG QUE TRAN | ARSENIC | 220ct97 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| | BARIUM | 220ct97 | LANG QUE TRAN | BERYLLIUM | 220ct97 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| | BISMUTH | 220ct97 | LANG QUE TRAN | BORON | 220ct97 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| | CADMIUM | 220ct97 | LANG QUE TRAN | CALCIUM | 220ct97 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| | CHROMIUM | 220ct97 | LANG QUE TRAN | COBALT | 220ct97 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| | COPPER | 220ct97 | LANG QUE TRAN | LEAD | 220ct97 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| | LITHIUM | 220ct97 | LANG QUE TRAN | MANGANESE | 220ct97 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| | MAGNESIUM | 220ct97 | LANG QUE TRAN | MOLYBDENUM | 220ct97 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| | NICKEL | 220ct97 | LANG QUE TRAN | PHOSPHORUS | 220ct97 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| | POTASSIUM | 220ct97 | LANG QUE TRAN | SILVER | 220ct97 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| | SELENIUM | 220ct97 | LANG QUE TRAN | SILICON | 220ct97 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| | STRONTIUM | 220ct97 | LANG QUE TRAN | SODIUM | 220ct97 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| | THALLIUM | 220ct97 | LANG QUE TRAN | SULPHUR | 220ct97 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| | TITANIUM | 220ct97 | LANG QUE TRAN | TIN | 220ct97 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| | URANIUM | 220ct97 | LANG QUE TRAN | VANADIUM | 220ct97 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| | ZINC | 220ct97 | LANG QUE TRAN | ZIRCONIUM | 220ct97 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| | | | - · | | | |

| Lab Manager: | | |
|--------------|--|--|



The following published METHODS OF ANALYSIS were used:

FAX (403) 438-0396 FAX (403) 291-2021 FAX (604) 534-9996 FAX (403) 327-8527 DATE 23 OCT 97 07:58

P.O. NO.

w.o. no. 3 143128

PAGE 13

PUBLIC WORKS CANADA ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES 1000, 9700 JASPER AVE EDMONTON, AB T5J 4E2

MIKE NAHIR

| 1 | y | Ü | ø | ē | Ü | 3 | d | F | ś | F | ì | ٥ | ï | P | J | 1 | ۵ | 1 | | ٧ | ŧ. | 3 | 1 | 5 | × | I | 7 | ı | - | ŝ | J | Y | ١ | В | a | Г |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| 10301L | рн | | Kei. APHA 2320 B |
|----------|---|--------------|---|
| | Electrometric (pH meter) | 10101 | T ALKALINITY |
| | Ref. APHA 4500-H+ | | Potentiometric titration with standard |
| 02041L | ELECTRICAL COND | | acid to pH 4.5 & pH 8.3. Report as CaCO |
| | Conductance meter | | Ref. APHA 2320 B |
| | Ref. APHA 2510 B | 10602 | HARDNESS |
| 20103 | CALCIUM | | Calculation from 2.5*Ca + 4.1*Mg |
| | ICP spectroscopy @ 317.9 nm | | Reported as CaCO3 |
| | Ref. APHA 3120 B | | Ref. APHA 2340 B |
| 12102L | MAGNESIUM | 00203 | T DIS SOLIDS |
| | ICP spectroscopy @ 285.2 nm | | SUM OF IONS CALCULATION |
| | Ref. APHA 3120 B | | Ca + Mg + K + Na + SO4 + Cl + 0.6*T Alk |
| 11102L | SODIUM | | Ref. APHA 1030 F |
| 19111 | POTASSIUM | NWL4994 | IONIC BALANCE |
| | Diss., ICP Spectroscopy, Ref. APHA 3120 B | 00100 | IONIC BALANCE 2 |
| 16306L | SULPHATE | | %Diff=(Sum Cations-Sum Anions)/ |
| | ICP spectroscopy @ 180.7 nm | | (Sum Cations+Sum Anions)*100 |
| | Ref. APHA 3120 B | | Ref. APHA 1030 F |
| 17203L | CHLORIDE | 07105L | NO2&NO3-N |
| | Automated colorimetry, Thiocyanate | | Automated colorimetry Cadmium reduction |
| | Ref. APHA 4500 Cl-,E | | Ref. APHA 4500-NO3-,F |
| 06301L | CARBONATE | 26321 | IRON |
| | Potentiometric titration with standard | | Acid extr., ICP Spectro. Ref. APHA 3120 B |
| | acid to pH 8.3 and pH 4.5 | 25321 | MANGANESE |
| | Ref. APHA 2320 B | | Acid extr., ICP Spectro. Ref. APHA 3120 B |
| 06201L | BICARBONATE | 80016 | MERCURY |
| | Potentiometric titration with standard | | Dissolved, cold vapor atomic absorption |
| | acid to pH 8.3 and pH 4.5 | | spectroscopy, with H2SO4/K2S2O8 digest |
| | Ref. APHA 2320 B | | Ref. EPA 245.2 |
| 10151 | P ALKALINITY | 34102 | SELENIUM |
| | Potentiometric titration with standard | | Dissolved, perchloric acid digest, auto. |
| | acid to pH 8.3. Report as CaCO3 | | hydride atomic absorption spectroscopy |
| Method I | References: | | |
| 1. APHA | Standard Methods for the Examination of W | ater and Was | tewater, |
| | American Public Health Assoc., 17th ed. | | |
| 2. EPA | a. Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Wast | e, Physical/ | 'Chemical |
| | Methods SW-846, 3rd ed., US EPA, 1986 | | |
| | b. Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water | and Wastewa | ter, US EPA, 1983 |
| 3. MSS | Manual on Soil Sampling and Methods of An | | |
| | Soil Science, J. A. McKeague, 2nd ed. | | |

Lab Manager:

* NORWEST SOIL RESEARCH LTD has been accredited by the STANDARDS COUNCIL of CANADA

for specific tests registered with the COUNCIL.



(403) 438-0396 (403) 291-2021 (604) 534-9996 (403) 327-8527 23 OCT 97 07:58

P.O. NO.

DATE

w.o. no. 3 143128

PAGE 14

PUBLIC WORKS CANADA ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES 1000, 9700 JASPER AVE EDMONTON, AB T5J 4E2 MIKE NAHIR

WATER ANALYSIS REPORT

Ref. APHA 3114 C

Method References:

- APHA Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, American Public Health Assoc., 17th ed.
- EPA a. Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods SW-846, 3rd ed., US EPA, 1986
 - b. Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastewater, US EPA, 1983
- 3. MSS Manual on Soil Sampling and Methods of Analysis, Cdn. Soc. of Soil Science, J. A. McKeague, 2nd ed.
- * NORWEST SOIL RESEARCH LTD has been accredited by the STANDARDS COUNCIL of CANADA for specific tests registered with the COUNCIL.



02 JUN 98 09:24 DATE

P.O. NO.

2 152540 W.O. NO.

1 PAGE

PUBLIC WORKS CANADA **ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES** 1000, 9700 JASPER AVE EDMONTON, AB T5J 4E2

MIKE NAHIR YUKON MINES III-**PESO** 23 04 98

WATER ANALYSIS REPORT

| SAMPLE | | 1 | 2 | |
|------------------|-------|---------------|---------------|--|
|) | | PESO-WQ-ST1-1 | PESO-WQ-ST1-2 | |
| | | | | |
| TOTAL METALS | | | | |
| SELENIUM | mg/L | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | |
| ROUTINE WATER | | | | |
| рH | | 6.96 | 6.91 | |
| ELECTRICAL COND | uS/cm | 169 | 160 | |
| CALCIUM | mg/L | 16.7 | 17.4 | |
| MAGNESIUM | mg/L | 7.2 | 7.5 | |
| SULPHATE | mg/L | 47.9 | 51.8 | |
| BICARBONATE | mg/L | 31 | 35 | |
| T ALKALINITY | mg/L | 26 | 29 | |
| HARDNESS | mg/L | 71.5 | 74.4 | |
| LOW LEVEL HG TOT | | | | |
| MERCURY | mg/L | <0.00001 | <0.00001 | |
| TRACE ICP, TOTAL | | | | |
| ALUMINUM | mg/L | 0.265 | 0.220 | |
| ARSENIC | mg/L | <0.01 | <0.01 | |
| CADMIUM | mg/L | 0.00020 | 0.00021 | |
| CHROMIUM | mg/L | <0.0008 | <0.0008 | |
| COPPER | mg/L | 0.001 | <0.001 | |
| IRON | mg/L | 0.440 | 0.392 | |
| LEAD | mg/L | <0.0003 | <0.0003 | |
| NICKEL | mg/L | 0.009 | 0.009 | |
| SILVER | mg/L | <0.00005 | <0.00005 | |
| ZINC | mg/L | 0.0207 | 0.0229 | |

Lab Manager:



02 JUN 98 09:24

P.O. NO.

DATE

w.o. no. 2 152540

PAGE

PUBLIC WORKS CANADA **ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES** 1000, 9700 JASPER AVE EDMONTON, AB T5J 4E2

MIKE NAHIR YUKON MINES III-**PESO** 23 04 98

WATER ANALYSIS REPORT

note

PH REPORTED AT ROOM TEMP

note

ELECTRICAL COND 'ELECTRICAL COND' (EC) is in microsiemens/cm and is a measure of solids in

solution

E.C. CORRECTED TO 25C

note T ALKALINITY

HARDNESS

'ALKALINITY' is CARBONATE/BICARBONATE expressed as CALCIUM CARBONATE

'HARDNESS' is calcium and magnesium expressed as CALCIUM CARBONATE



02 JUN 98 09:24 DATE

P.O. NO.

w.o. no. 2 152540

3 PAGE

PUBLIC WORKS CANADA **ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES** 1000, 9700 JASPER AVE EDMONTON, AB T5J 4E2

MIKE NAHIR YUKON MINES III-**PESO** 23 04 98

| į | PORT |
|---|------|

| PARAMETER | DATE OF- ANALYSIS | ANALYZED BY | PARAMETER | DATE OF- ANALYSIS | ANALYZED BY |
|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------|--------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| SELENIUM | 30Apr98 | LANG QUE TRAN | рН | 29May98 | DARREN CRICHTON |
| ELECTRICAL COND | 29May98 | DARREN CRICHTON | CALCIUM | 29May98 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| MAGNESIUM | 29May98 | LANG QUE TRAN | SULPHATE | 29May98 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| BICARBONATE | 01Jun98 | DARREN CRICHTON | T ALKALINITY | 01Jun98 | DARREN CRICHTON |
| HARDNESS | 29May98 | LANG QUE TRAN | MERCURY | 28Apr98 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| ALUMINUM | 02Jun98 | LANG QUE TRAN | ARSENIC | 28Apr98 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| CADMIUM | 29Apr98 | LANG QUE TRAN | CHROMIUM | 28Apr98 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| COPPER | 28Apr98 | LANG QUE TRAN | IRON | 28Apr98 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| LEAD | 29Apr98 | LANG QUE TRAN | NICKEL | 28Apr98 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| SILVER | 29Apr98 | LANG QUE TRAN | ZINC | 28Apr98 | LANG QUE TRAN |
| | | | | | |



EDMONTON CALGARY LANGLEY LETHBRIDGE WINNIPEG PH. (403) 438-5522 PH. (403) 291-2022 PH. (604) 530-4344 PH. (403) 329-9266 PH. (204) 982-8630 FAX (403) 438-0396 FAX (403) 291-202 FAX (604) 534-9996 FAX (403) 327-852 FAX (204) 275-6019 10 NO

02 JUN 98 09:24

P.O. NO.

DATE

w.o. no. 2 152540

PAGE 4

PUBLIC WORKS CANADA ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES 1000, 9700 JASPER AVE EDMONTON, AB

T5J 4E2

MIKE NAHIR YUKON MINES III-PESO 23 04 98

WATER ANALYSIS REPORT

The following published METHODS OF ANALYSIS were used: **9**34011 SELENIUM Total, perchloric acid digest, automated hydride atomic absorption spectroscopy. Ref. APHA 3114 C 10301L pН Electrometric (pH meter) Ref. APHA 4500-H+ **02041L** ELECTRICAL COND Conductance meter Ref. APHA 2510 B 20103 CALCIUM ICP spectroscopy @ 317.9 nm Ref. APHA 3120 B **●12102L** MAGNESIUM ICP spectroscopy @ 285.2 nm Ref. APHA 3120 B 16306L SULPHATE ICP spectroscopy @ 180.7 nm Ref. APHA 3120 B 06201L BICARBONATE Potentiometric titration with standard acid to pH 8.3 and pH 4.5 Ref. APHA 2320 B 10101 T ALKALINITY

Potentiometric titration with standard acid to pH 4.5 & pH 8.3. Report as CaCO3

Ref. APHA 2320 B

10602 HARDNESS

Calculation from 2.5*Ca + 4.1*Mg

Reported as CaCO3 Ref. APHA 2340 B

Method References:

EPA

APHA Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, American Public Health Assoc., 17th ed.

a. Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods SW-846, 3rd ed., US EPA, 1986

b. Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastewater, US EPA, 1983

. MSS Manual on Soil Sampling and Methods of Analysis, Cdn. Soc. of

Soil Science, J. A. McKeague, 2nd ed.

* NORWEST SOIL RESEARCH LTD has been accredited by the STANDARDS COUNCIL of CANADA for specific tests registered with the COUNCIL.

| Lab Manager: | |
|--------------|--|
| | |

Appendix D

Geotechnical Services
Yukon Abandoned Mine Site
Peso Mine Site
north of Mayo, Yukon

prepared by EBA Engineering Consultants Ltd. (1997)



GEOTECHNICAL SERVICES YUKON ABANDONED MINE SITES

Peso Mine Site north of Mayo, Yukon

submitted to:

Public Works and Government Services Canada, Environmental Services

prepared by:

EBA Engineering Consultants Ltd.
Whitehorse, Yukon

0201-97-12953.3

October, 1997

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Exe | cutive Summary |
|------|--|
| Tabl | e of Contentsii |
| 1.0 | INTRODUCTION |
| 2.0 | BACKGROUND INFORMATION |
| 3.0 | SITE CONDITIONS |
| 4.0 | SITE SPECIFIC SLOPE STABILIZATION OPTIONS 5 4.1 Description of Proposed System 5 4.2 Class "D" Cost Estimate 8 |
| 5.0 | FINE GRAINED BORROW SOURCE9 |
| 6.0 | CONCLUSIONS |
| 7.0 | RECOMMENDATIONS |
| 8.0 | LIMITATIONS |
| 9.0 | REPORT CLOSURE |
| | |

Cover photo:

A photograph taken by flashlight of three underground miners in the Elsa Mine [1926-1930's]. Yukon Archives. Hare Collection #6957



1.0 INTRODUCTION

Public Works and Government Services Canada (PWGSC), Environmental Services retained EBA Engineering Consultants Ltd. (EBA) to perform geotechnical services in relation to a study focussed on the restoration of five abandoned mines near the communities of Elsa and Carcross, Yukon. The property which is considered in this report is known as the Peso Mine Site and is located approximately 48 km north of the community of Mayo, YT. The site is in the general vicinity of the Dublin Gulch and Haggart Creek placer mines.

The terms and conditions of the agreement for the project, including the scope of work and the basis for payment, was outlined in the fax by Tim Sackmann of PWGSC dated August 11, 1997. In brief, the geotechnical aspect of the restoration study involved a field work component and a terrain analysis component. The purpose of the field work program was to visually observe and assess slope conditions at the site and to provide information for site specific slope stabilization options. The purpose of the terrain analysis work was to perform the necessary air photo interpretation and review of existing borehole data in order to identify potential sources of fine grained borrow material for site restoration purposes.

It is understood that this report was intended to cover strictly the geotechnical aspects of the restoration work and as such, that it would be appended to a comprehensive document describing the overall restoration study. Therefore, to avoid redundancies, the writer has not described in detail the location, site access, past mining activities, climate, or other extraneous details of each site. Rather, the report contains background information which is related to geotechnical considerations, the results of the field work program, the slope stabilization options with cost estimates, and the results of the terrain analysis. Brief conclusions and recommendations have also been prepared in consideration of the findings.



2.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Various background information was provided by PWGSC for the Peso mine site. Prior to initiating the field work program, the information was reviewed in order to identify specific geotechnical concerns which were raised and to incorporate these concerns into the site inspection work. This section briefly describes the relevant geotechnical background information.

An evaluation of the Peso mine site was prepared by GeoViro Engineering Ltd. (Report No. GV206.01, February, 1997) for PWGSC. From this study, there was no evidence of slope failure or other geotechnical concerns associated with the waste rock pile near the adit. There was ravelling noted along the surface of the waste rock pile but this was not considered to compromise the stability of the mass.

Geotechnical considerations at the site were primarily related to the remediation recommendations to deal with acidic seepage deriving from the waste rock pile. This included providing cursory recommendations for either capping the waste rock pile with a synthetic liner or by slightly modifying the existing waste rock pile in order to reduce infiltration.



3.0 SITE CONDITIONS

The main development area where the adit and waste rock pile occur at the Peso Mine Site was inspected for slope stability considerations. The relevant site features noted at the time of the site visit were essentially as described on the site plan enclosed as Drawing 12953-9 and as seen in Photos PE1 and PE2. The waste rock pile encompassed a 50 m by 70 m area and occurred as 3 lifts ranging in thickness of between 2 m and 6 m. Each lift consisted of a flat bench area ranging in width between 2 m and 15 m and a side slope at an angle of approximately 30° to 32°. The waste rock consisted primarily of a platy schist material with grain sizes ranging from silt sizes (<0.08 mm) up to cobbles in size (>75 mm dia). Sample Peso 1 was retrieved from the waste rock pile at the location depicted in Drawing 12953-9 and analysed for moisture content and particle size distribution. As seen in the lab results in the Figures section of the report, the waste rock appears to resemble a silty, gravelly sand in particle size, with a moisture content of 11%. The waste material is composed primarily of sand and gravel sizes and therefore it is considered relatively stable due to the high internal angle of friction and the low pore water pressures which are common for this type of material. This is reinforced by the fact that the waste rock has been in its current state for over 30 years and that significant changes to the moisture regime which would impact the slope stability most likely would have occurred by this time.

There was no evidence of slope failures throughout the waste rock mass with the exception of some minor slumping at the south edge of the waste rock pile. It does not appear that the existing depositional structure of the waste rock pile is prone to slope failures within the waste rock mass itself. The natural ground surface surrounding the waste rock pile consisted of a west facing slope with an angle of approximately 22° to 23°. The area was well vegetated with semi-mature to mature spruce trees and the near surface soil profile consisted of a thin veneer (0.05-0.08 m) of organics underlain by dense glacial till soil, a heterogeneous mixture of silt, sand, and gravel. Sample Peso 4 was retrieved from the native soil material and analysed for grain size and moisture content. As seen in Appendix C, sample Peso 4 consisted of a silty sand, some gravel with a moisture content of 11%.








Photo #PE2: Looking north at top of lower bench slope . Note schistose rock, relatively fine grained. Note stressed vegetation.

Photo #PE1: Looking east at waste rock pile near adit and stressed vegetation along slope. Note benched tailings.

With a noticeable presence of fractured schist rock within the near surface soil profile, it is anticipated that the till soil does not extend more than one metre before bedrock is encountered. This was apparent in the previous shallow trenching work completed to the south of the waste rock pile and in the hand dug excavations which exposed primarily fragmented rock.

From the information gained in the site visit, it appears that the waste rock resembles a gravelly silty sand in grain size distribution and that there is not an existing or a perceived threat of mass wasting within the waste rock pile itself. In relation to slope failures occurring within the underlying till soil, this is not considered likely due to the dense and relatively coarse grained nature of the till soil, the shallow depth to bedrock, and the existing moderate slope.



4.0 SITE SPECIFIC SLOPE STABILIZATION OPTIONS

4.1 Description of Proposed System

As described above, the existing waste rock dump is not considered unstable and future failures are unlikely. The cost associated with performing site restoration activities aimed strictly at stabilizing the waste rock dump is not worth the added certainty against the already improbable occurrence of slope failure.

However, it does appear that this site may require restoration work in consideration of the potential adverse impacts deriving from acid rock drainage (ARD) of the waste rock pile. Although it was not within the scope of services for EBA to conduct an ARD analysis at this site, there was considerable visual evidence of potential ARD affects. This includes extensive stressed vegetation down slope of the waste rock pile. As seen on Photo #PE1, the stressed vegetation continued approximately 500 m down slope of the waste rock pile to Secret Creek. Following discussions with Tim Sackmann, it was agreed that the Class "D" cost estimate should deal with the ARD concern as apposed to the slope stability concern.

The most suitable remediation approach would be a containment and isolation or migration control system. This involves limiting the mobility of oxidation products by reducing the infiltration into the waste rock pile through the use of a low permeability cover. As discussed in Section 5.0 of this report, there is no fine grained borrow source within the study area which could be used for this purpose. As such, the most suitable cover would be a manufactured geomembrane such as a high density polyethylene liner (HDPE). Due to the extreme temperature variations and anticipated long term design life which it would have to endure, a 100 mil HDPE liner would be required (information obtained from Layfield Plastics Inc.).

Prior to placement, some grading of the material would be necessary to prepare a suitable surface on which to place the liner. The waste dump would be configured with a 3H:1V grade along the majority of the area and then a 1.5H:1V grade along the side slopes. These side slopes are the



maximum possible given the type of waste rock material (the side slopes are currently at a 1.5H:1V grade) and the restrictions from the liner manufacturer for site welding requirements. This type of configuration is considered the most efficient to minimize the surface area of the pile while maintaining stable conditions within the waste rock material. If the liner was placed immediately following grading activities, it is possible that movements within the waste rock pile such as settlement could cause the liner to fail. As such, it would be prudent to wait approximately 2 to 3 years for the disturbed material to stabilize and reach a new equilibrium so that any consolidation or creep within the waste rock pile occurs prior to placement of the geomembrane liner. In order to confirm that the waste rock dump was configured properly and to establish control points for monitoring purposes, a survey would be performed immediately following grading activities. This would involve establishing single point monuments such as settlement rods and control stakes for future monitoring of lateral and vertical movements throughout the waste rock pile. Once it is confident that an equilibrium has been established within the waste rock pile, the liner placement could proceed.

The liner would be site welded to cover a total estimated area of 4000 m². The majority of this surface area (70%-75%) would cover the 3H:1V side slopes. For the long term stability of the liner, a cover would be required to avoid decay from exposure to ultra-violet radiation. The most suitable cover would be rock material from the immediate area since it would be close to the site and could withstand the relatively steep side slopes without erosion or slumping. The rock material could be mechanically placed over the 3H:1V slope and hand placed over the 1.5H:1V side slopes. The section of liner along the side slopes would be manufactured with a textured surface so that the rock would not slide off.

In regards to a rock borrow source, there is extensive exposed bedrock at the top of the slope above the waste rock pile. This rock is either naturally outcropping or has been exposed from trenching, open pit mining, or other human disturbances. It would be necessary to engage in a geological assessment of the surrounding rock material to identify a source for the cover material. This source must be confirmed as being non-acid generating and as being of suitable quality and quantity for the



intended purpose. It is envisioned that the rock could be ripped with a D9 dozer or equivalent and then hauled and placed on the HDPE liner using dump trucks along the existing access road.

In order to restrict migration of water from entering the waste rock pile, the up slope side would be designed with a trench to divert surface runoff around the pile. This diversion trench is considered particularly necessary due to the location of the waste rock pile within a natural drainage course (as observed during the air photo review) and the high capacity for direct runoff given the dense colluvial/till soils and shallow bedrock at the study area.

4.2 Class "D" Cost Estimate

The Class "D" cost estimate to implement the restoration program described above has been detailed under separate cover.



5.0 FINE GRAINED BORROW SOURCE

Aerial photography of the study area with an approximate scale of 1:40,000 was reviewed for terrain analysis considerations in order to determine the location of a fine grained borrow source. The immediate area of the Peso Mine site is characterized by extensive bedrock outcroppings as noted on Drawing 12953-8. The surficial soils consist of a thin veneer (less than 1.0 m) of colluvium or till over bedrock. The till material is a heterogeneous mixture of sand, silt, and gravel with bedrock detritus intermixed as a result of down slope movement. The topography appears to conform with the subjacent bedrock with relatively steep slopes terminating sharply at valley bottoms. The thin colluvium along the slope near the Peso Mine Site would not be suitable as fine grained borrow material. Further, there was no evidence of sizable morainic or glaciofluvial deposits within the valley of Secret Creek of sufficient quantity for borrow source development purposes.

Haggart Creek valley where more sizable fluvial and alluvial deposits are located. As indicated on Drawing 12953-8, sand and gravel alluvial deposits are present near the confluence of Secret Creek and Haggart Creek which could be used for borrow purposes. Borehole data from a previous investigation is available along the access road for the Haggart Creek and Dublin Gulch mines. The subsurface soil profile consists of a fine to medium sand, with some gravel and silt and is free of permafrost. The grainsize distribution for this sand material is enclosed in the figure section of the report. Although this could be used as borrow material, it would not be suitable as a low permeability cover for the waste rock pile due to the relatively high intrinsic permeability which could be expected from such an alluvial deposit. Further, this area is approximately a 7 km to 8 km distance along a rough trail and a difference in elevation of approximately 400 m from the Peso Mine Site. Hauling costs for this distance would be prohibitively expensive. For these reasons, it is not considered feasible to develop a fine grained borrow source for use in site restoration purposes at the Peso Mine.

¹ EBA Engineering Consultants Ltd. 1996. Dublin Gulch Access Road. Unpublished Contract Report 0201-96-12289 produced for Yukon Engineering Services Ltd.



6.0 CONCLUSIONS

The following conclusions are drawn from this study:

- The existing waste rock dump is not considered unstable and future failures are unlikely. The
 cost associated with performing site restoration activities aimed strictly at stabilizing the
 waste rock dump is not worth the added certainty against the already improbable occurrence
 of slope failure.
- 2. This site may require restoration work in consideration of the potential adverse impacts deriving from acid rock drainage (ARD) of the waste rock pile. There was considerable evidence of stressed vegetation as a result of the waste rock pile.
- 3. The most suitable remediation approach would be a containment and isolation or migration control system which involves limiting the mobility of oxidation products by reducing the infiltration into the waste rock pile through the use of a low permeability cover.
- 4. The surficial soils consist of a thin veneer (less than 1.0 m) of colluvium or till over bedrock. The veneer of colluvium along the slope near the Peso Mine Site would not be suitable as fine grained borrow material. Further, there was no evidence of sizable morainic or glaciofluvial deposits within the valley of Secret Creek of sufficient quantity for borrow source development purposes. From this, it does not appear that there is a suitable borrow source within an economical distance from the Peso site which could be used as a low permeability cover.
- The containment remediation system could involve the use of a high density polyethylene (HDPE) geomembrane liner as a low permeability cover. This would involve grading the waste rock dump to reduce the existing surface area and to prepare an acceptable surface on which the liner could be placed. Following a stabilization period for settlement considerations, the liner could be placed and subsequently covered with rock material deriving from the immediate area of the waste rock dump. A geological assessment would be required to identify a suitable rock source of appropriate quality (non-acid generating) and quantity for the intended purpose. The Class "D" cost estimate for the proposed restoration system is provided under separate cover.



7.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

The following recommendations have been formulated in consideration of the objectives and results of this study:

- 1. It is not recommended to proceed with a restoration program aimed at reconfiguring the waste rock dump strictly for slope stability considerations. This is due to the relatively stable conditions observed during the site visit and the low probability for failure in the future.
- 2. If it is the intention to remediate this site for ARD considerations, it is recommended to perform the necessary measures to develop a containment and isolation approach as previously discussed. This would include conducting an initial geological assessment to identify a suitable rock borrow source, performing the design and developing construction specifications for the remediation system, and engaging in the actual construction.
- 3. It is not recommended to proceed with a geotechnical investigation for borrow source development as there were no fine grained sources identified in the terrain analysis within an economical distance from the Peso site.



8.0 LIMITATIONS

Recommendations presented herein are based on a geotechnical evaluation following the scope of work described in Section 1.0 of this report. The conditions encountered during the field work are considered to be reasonably representative of the site. If, however, conditions other than those reported be noted during subsequent construction work, monitoring activities or otherwise, EBA should be notified and given the opportunity to review our current recommendations in light of new findings.

This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of Public Works and Government Services Canada, Environmental Services for the specific application described in Section 1.0 of this report. It has been prepared in accordance with generally accepted geotechnical engineering practices. Engineering judgement has been applied in developing the recommendations in this report, in an attempt to strike a reasonable balance between risk of failure and economic factors. No other warranty is made, either expressed or implied. For further limitations on the use of this report, reference should be made to the General Conditions enclosed immediately following the text of this report.



9.0 REPORT CLOSURE

We trust this report meets your present requirements. We would be pleased to provide any further services needed for the design and to advise on the geotechnical aspects of specifications for inclusion in contract documents. Should you require any additional information, please do not hesitate to contact our office.

Respectfully submitted,

EBA Engineering Consultants Ltd.



Michael E. Billowits, M.Sc., P.Eng. Project Engineer



J. Richard Trimble, M.Sc., P.Eng. Project Director, Yukon Region

MEB/meb



EBA ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS LTD. GEOTECHNICAL REPORT GENERAL CONDITIONS

A.1 USE OF REPORT AND OWNERSHIP

This geotechnical report pertains to a specific site and development. It is not applicable to adjacent sites nor is it valid for types of development other than that to which it refers. Any variation from the site, or development, necessitates a geotechnical review in order to determine the validity of the design concepts evolved herein.

This report is not to be reproduced in part or in whole without consent in writing from EBA Engineering Consultants Ltd. (EBA). Additional copies of the report, if required, may be obtained upon request. Isolated information, logs of borings, or profiles are not to be reproduced, copied or transferred.

A.2 NATURE AND EXACTNESS OF SOIL DESCRIPTION

Classification and identification of soils are based upon commonly accepted methods employed in professional geotechnical practice. This report contains descriptions of the systems and methods used. Where deviations from the system prevail, they are specifically mentioned.

Classification and identification of soil and geologic units are judgmental in nature as to both type and condition. EBA does not warrant conditions represented herein as exact, but infers accuracy only to the extent that is common in practice.

A.3 LOGS OF BORINGS

The boring logs are a compilation of conditions and classification of soils as obtained from field observations and laboratory testing of selected samples. Soil zones have been interpreted. Change from one geologic zone to the other, indicated on the logs as a distinct line, is in fact, transitional. The extent of transition is interpretive. Any circumstance which requires precise definition of soil zone transition elevations may require special evaluation.

A.4 STRATIGRAPHIC AND GEOLOGIC SECTIONS


The stratigraphic and geologic sections indicated on drawings contained in this report are evolved from logs of borings. Stratigraphy is known precisely only at the locations of the borings. Actual geology and stratigraphy between borings may vary from that shown on these drawings. Natural variations in geologic conditions are inherent and a function of historic environment. EBA does not represent the conditions illustrated as exact but recognizes that variations will exist. Where knowledge of exact locations of geologic units is necessary, it is cautioned that such determination requires special attention.


A.5 GROUNDWATER CONDITIONS

Groundwater conditions represented in this report refer only to those observed at the times recorded on logs of borings, and/or within the text of this These conditions vary with geologic detail between borings; annual, seasonal and special meteorologic conditions; and with construction activity. Where instruments have been established to record groundwater variations on an ongoing basis, the records will be specifically referred to. Interpretation of groundwater conditions from observations and records is judgmental and constitutes an evaluation of circumstances as influenced by geology, meteorology and construction activity. Deviations from these observations, may occur. No other warranty, express, or implied, is made by EBA.


A.6 PROTECTION OF EXPOSED GROUND

Excavation and construction operations expose geologic materials to meteorological elements. Many geologic materials deteriorate rapidly upon exposure to climatic elements. Severe deterioration of materials may be caused by precipitation and/or the action of frost on exposures. Unless otherwise specifically indicated in this report, walls and floors of excavations must be protected from elements, particularly all forms of moisture, desiccation from arid conditions and frost action.





EBA Engineering




Data presented hereon is for the sole use of the stipulated client. EBA is not responsible, nor can be held liable, for use made of this report by any other party, with or without the knowledge of EBA

Tested in accordance with ASTM D422 unless otherwise noted.

The testing services reported herein have been performed by an EBA technician to recognized industry standards, unless otherwise noted. No other warranty is made. These data do not include or represent any interpretation or opinion of specification compliance or material suitability. Should engineering interpretation be required, EBA will provide it upon written request.



EBA Engineering




Data presented hereon is for the sole use of the stipulated client. EBA is not responsible, nor can be held liable, for use made of this report by any other party, with or without the knowledge of EBA

The testing services reported herein have been performed by an EBA technician to recognized industry standards, unless otherwise noted. No other warranty is made. These data do not include or represent any interpretation or opinion of specification compliance or material suitability. Should engineering interpretation be required, EBA will provide it upon written request.



EBA Engineering



Data presented hereon is for the sole use of the stipulated client. EBA is not responsible, nor can be held liable, for use made of this report by any other party, with or without the knowledge of EBA Tested in accordance with ASTM D422 unless otherwise noted.

The testing services reported herein have been performed by an EBA technician to recognized industry standards, unless otherwise noted. No other warranty is made. These data do not include or represent any interpretation or opinion of specification compliance or material suitability. Should engineering interpretation be required, EBA will provide it upon written request.

AGGREGATE ANALYSIS REPORT

| Sample Number: 3702 Sample Location: SECRET CRE | EK TAILINGS STOCKPILE |
|---|--|
| | Temp: |
| Date Tested: 96/11/08 | By: RY |
| Natural Moisture Content: 0.1 | % |
| Crushed Faces: | Faces: |
| | |
| LUMPS & ORGANIX FIBRES | |
| E FOR SIEVE ANALYSIS) | |
| H MATERIALS IS 34.9% LOSS. | |
| AR A SPEC. BAND ALSO SHOW | YN |
| | Time: Date Tested: 96/11/08 Natural Moisture Content: 0.1 Crushed Faces: LUMPS & ORGANIX FIBRES FOR SIEVE ANALYSIS) H MATERIALS IS 34.9% LOSS. |

SIEVE SIZES (mm)

| Sieve | | SIEVE SIZES (mm) | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|-----------|------------------|-------------|------|------|------------|-----|----------|-------|---------|------------|-------|
| | % Passing | 100 | ජ ර ජ | 0.16 | 0.25 | 6.4 | 0.8 | 1.25 | 7 | 10 | 10 12.5 | 20 22 |
| | <u> </u> | .′ | | | | | | | | | | 1/ |
| 7 | | 90 | | | | | | | ····· | <u></u> | , | 7 |
| 40 | 100.0 | 80 | | | | | | | | - , | | 4 |
| 25 | 97.8 | 70 | | | | | | | | | // | · |
| 20 | 92.0 | 70 | | | | | | | | 1 | // | |
| 12.5 | 75.5 | S 60 | ļ | | | | | | | · | | |
| 10 | 59.7 | PERCENT PASSING | | | | | | | 1 | | // | |
| 5 | 36.9 | ENI 50 | | | | | | | | // | | ····· |
| 2 | 24.0 | PERC 49 | | | | | | | | <i></i> | | |
| 1.25 | 15.6 | _ | | | | | | | | | | - |
| 8.0 | 12.0 | 30 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0.4 | 9.2 | 20 | | | - | | | | // | | | |
| 0.25 | 7.2 | 20 | | | | | | | / | | | |
| 0.16 | 5.5 | 10 | | | | | | <u> </u> | | | | |
| 0.063 | 5.5 | | | | | | | | | | | |

Data presented hereon is for the sole use of the stipulated client. EBA is not responsible, nor can be held liable, for use made of this report by any other party, with or without the knowledge of EBA

Reviewed By: Mules Web Comments of the testing services reported herein have been performed by an EBA technician to recognized industry standards, unless otherwise noted. No other warranty is made. These data do not include or represent any interpretation or opinion of specification compliance or material suitability. Should engineering interpretation be required, EBA will provide it upon written request.

