

Policies to Promote Wind Energy Development in Northern Communities

And

Activities of CanWEA Small Wind Committee

John F. Maissan, P.Eng.



Leading Edge Projects Inc.

Northern Realities

- **Small widely spaced communities**
- **High transportation costs due to isolation**
- **Extreme winter weather conditions, icing in some locations**
- **Power line costs limit distance one can go from community to find best wind resource**
- **Lack of technical support close at hand in order to deal with problems encountered**

Northern Realities

- **No economies of scale in appropriate size of wind turbines for projects**
- **No economies of scale in appropriate number of wind turbines for projects**
- **Small electrical loads, for example:**
 - **Destruction Bay / Burwash Landing load 125 kW to 250 kW, 1.5 GWh/yr, wind 6 m/s**
 - **Old Crow load 115 kW to 350 kW, 1.75 GWh/yr, higher wind, severe rime icing**

Wind is not Magic Solution

- **Do not use wind energy as an alternative to energy efficiency and conservation**
- **Energy efficiency and conservation probably cheaper than wind and applicable everywhere**
- **Allow only most efficient appliances and equipment into remote communities**
- **Consider technology development such as LED lighting**
- **Use “OFF” switch liberally**

Northern Wind Energy Costs

- **Capital costs are two to ten or more times that of larger scale wind farms in southern Canada**
- **Operating and maintenance costs are two to ten or more times that of larger southern projects**
- **Overall power costs are 2 to 10 or 15 times higher than wind farms in southern Canada**

What is Needed for Technical Successes?

- **A market place with several up to date wind turbine models in the 50 to 300 kW range, that can operate in low temperatures**
- **Wind generators that are designed for low wind speeds**
- **Taller, guyed, winch-up towers that are simpler to install with local equipment**
- **Simplified “cookie cutter” turbine foundation systems**
- **Cookie cutter, reliable, low maintenance, modular wind-diesel integration systems**

How Do We Get There from Here?

- **Chicken and egg situation: economic projects vs. mature, reliable, cost competitive equipment**
- **Need pilot / demonstration wind-diesel projects in most appropriate locations as first phase to breaking cycle**

Criteria for Pilot / Demo Project Site

- 1. Proponent must be determined to overcome bugs and have resources to make the project work**
- 2. Community desire to make the project work – local champions needed**
- 3. Good transportation access**
- 4. Good access to technical support**
- 5. Reasonable size community**
- 6. Reasonable wind resource**

Second Stage Project Site Criteria

- 1. Replicate pilot / demo project as much as possible**
- 2. Local champions**
- 3. Good wind resource**
- 4. Good access to technical support**
- 5. Good transportation access**

Yukon Examples

Destruction Bay

- On Alaska Highway
- Close to Whitehorse
- Site 1 km from power lines
- Wind 6 m/s at 30 m
- No icing concerns
- Diesel fuel \$0.20 to \$0.25 per kWh

Old Crow

- Air access only
- 1 day return air travel time from Whitehorse
- Site 6 km from community
- Much better wind resource but severe rime icing
- Diesel fuel \$0.35 to \$0.45 per kWh

Author's Conclusions

Destruction Bay much better pilot / demonstration project site for Yukon for familiarization with technologies, installation experience, overcoming bugs, and training of staff.

The site would also minimize the capital cost of a first wind-diesel project in Yukon.

Author's Prefeasibility Analysis of Possible Destruction Bay Project

- Entegriety 50 kW EW 15 (25 meter tower) used in analyses; capital at 8% over 20 years
- Low penetration 1 turbine project cost about \$450,000; useful energy 109,000 kWh per year; cost of energy about \$0.55 / kWh
- Medium penetration 3 turbine project cost about \$735,000; useful energy 299,000 kWh per year; cost of energy about \$0.44 / kWh
- High penetration 5 turbine project cost about \$1,875,000; useful energy 439,000 kWh per year; cost of energy about \$0.55 / kWh

Author's Prefeasibility Analysis of Possible Project

- Same turbine at 50 meters hub height would result in energy costs of about \$0.42, \$0.34, and \$0.44 per kWh for low, medium and high penetration respectively
- A 15% saving in capital on medium penetration (use single large turbine?) would reduce the cost of energy to \$0.30 per kWh
- Medium penetration may be best in small communities (use low-load diesels)
- Closer to economic but not yet without government support

What Policies Would be of Benefit?

- **WPPI in proportion to cost of energy from wind relative to southern Canada**
- **R&D funding support for suitable turbines, taller towers, and costly components – permafrost foundations and wind-diesel integration equipment**
- **Increase market for similar turbines through net metering**
- **A “pre-fabricated” Green Energy sales scheme and GHG credit sales**

Summary

- **The north has no economies of scale in wind project development**
- **Further development of wind turbine and wind-diesel integration equipment still needed**
- **Pilot / demonstration projects needed to break “chicken and egg” cycle – to create a market resulting in equipment improvement and cost reductions**
- **Federal and provincial/territorial governments, with policy support, can significantly influence wind energy development in the north**

**Canadian
Wind Energy
Association**



**Association
Canadienne de
l'Énergie Éolienne**



CanWEA's Work on Small Wind

John Maissan P.Eng.

Leading Edge Projects Inc.

Member of CanWEA's Small Wind Committee

CanWEA's Work on Small Wind

- **CanWEA's strategy to promote small wind:**
 - **Education:**
 - Knowledge and tools to inform and guide decision-making
 - E.g. On-line info, siting guidelines, decision-making roadmap
 - **Legislation:**
 - Enabling legislation facilitates installation of small wind
 - E.g. Net metering, pro-small wind municipal zoning bylaws
 - **Incentives:**
 - Incentive to recognise benefits of small wind
 - E.g. Rebates and buydowns, production incentives, advanced renewable tariffs, tax incentives



CanWEA's Work on Small Wind

- **CanWEA's Small Wind Committee:**
 - 21 members of CanWEA representing full range of interests
- **Education:**
 - Developed small wind website (French and English)
 - Developing “Small Wind Siting Guidelines” (Best Practice)
 - Answer requests for information (1000 to 1500 per year!)
- **Legislation:**
 - Encouraging “pro-small wind” net metering legislation
 - Developing model municipal zoning bylaws
- **Incentives:**
 - Proposing Small Wind Energy Incentive Program (SWEIP)
 - Proposing expanded WPPI for remote communities



CanWEA's Work on Small Wind

- **Small Wind Energy Incentive Program design:**
 - **Target:** Farms, businesses, institutional, on-grid residential.
 - **Funding:** \$10 million per year for three years (pilot program)
 - **Incentive level:** Scaled from 50% for all parties, 60% for farms and 70% for schools with wind curriculum.
 - **Eligibility:** the turbine displaces grid or stand-alone power.
 - **Administration:** Could be run as extension of REDI
- **Anticipated Impacts:**
 - **Industrial development:** Stimulate Canada's niche manufacturing in 20 kW to 100 kW range through increased demand in farms, commercial (estimated increase in sales from 10 to 160 per year)
 - **Climate change:** Contribute to Kyoto commitments; way for individuals and businesses to get involved in the "One Tonne Challenge"; reduce GHG emissions by over 14 kilotonnes CO₂e
 - **Energy security:** Increase in distributed generation helps owners and increases grid stability



CanWEA's Work on Small Wind

- **Expanded WPPI for remote communities**
 - Rationale:
 - Wind energy developments in remote parts of Canada deserve the same relative WPPI support as grid served areas of Canada.
 - Need expanded WPPI that recognises added costs for smaller scale, transportation, installation, O&M, climatic conditions.
 - Incentive levels:
 - Small grids and large communities: \$0.02 to \$0.03 per kWh
 - Diesel served communities that are road or year-round water accessible: \$0.05 to \$0.10 per kWh
 - Diesel served communities that are accessed only by air, or seasonally by water or winter road: \$0.10 to \$0.15 per kWh
 - Impacts:
 - Develop Canadian niche
 - Lower electricity price for residents
 - GHG emission reductions

In Summary

- **CanWEA believes that small wind can play a key role in meeting Canada's economic development and environmental objectives**
- **Need balanced policy emphasising Education, Legislation and Incentives**
- **Join us!**
 - Lots of work to do, and the opportunity is here now
 - CanWEA's small wind committee always accepting new members
 - An effective way to let your voice be heard

