

Corwin, W. Mond

93-112

Oct 8/93

## Summary Report

1150/12 sixty mile R.

The target area prospected this summer was up the 60 mile river of the Dawson area between 5 mile and 10 mile creeks approximately <sup>LAT.</sup>  $63^{\circ}48'$  to  $63^{\circ}55'$  X Longitude  $139^{\circ}34'$  to  $30'$ . I was hoping to do some hard rock prospecting as well as placer but time simply did not allow it. In this area there are three small creeks fairly evenly spaced about a mile apart which I concentrated on with a fourth pup close to 10 mile. In all I started over 2 dozen holes. I also panned dozens of pans along the 60 mile river itself and as well as the creeks. For 2 or 3 days I sluiced along the 60 mile using a  $2\frac{1}{2}$ " pump and  $10' \times 1'$  steel sluice box. The samples taken off the pup were panned by me and showed very disappointing results and I did not submit <sup>some</sup> for assaying. The Eastern most creek as well as the other 2 were difficult digging as the ground was frozen for the main most part. I did not use any other method than shuffling. My partner was my son Robin Metro, a very efficient, hard working young man who never complained about the mosquitoes or the blisters on his hands. Prospecting was done in the months of June and July and 4 days in August. In all I submitted 19 samples for assaying, many of the holes had a sample taken either near the top, or middle and near bedrock if it was reached. From the results of the samples it seems that the greater quantities of gold are not found near bedrock but further up, mind you there are some holes I sensed were good but I could not reach bottom. Most of the results of the assays

are quite low and disappointing. Next year I'll be going over 5 mile creek from top to bottom, finishing off old holes and bring in a steamer and prospect this creek to its full potential. I was tipped off about the creek by a fellow who works 10 mile creek and I do believe there is gold of mining quantity.

I cannot give you the accurate results of the assays right now because Northern Analytical Labs faxed me the results and as you can see I cannot tell exactly what is what due to the inking. They should be sending me the results by mail and I'll send them down. However I can guess pretty accurate and the results are not impressive. This is all very fine gold and one would have to push a lot of gravel to make ends meet. Luckily the overburden is no more than ~~2~~ anywhere I prospected. I hope this report is enough. I'm heading into the bush now for the winter.

Sincerely  
Erwin Sumner



30-Sep-93date

Assay Certificate

Page1

Cor Guimond

WO 00321

Sample	Au ppb
#1 RIFFLE	46
TEST RUN BTWN 1-2	22
#2 - 5'	17
#2 - BEDROCK 8'	8
#5 - 1'	8
#5 - BEDROCK 2.5'	10
#6	30
#7	8
#8 - SURFACE	22
#8 - BEDROCK	16
#10	13
#11	12
#11 - NEAR SURFACE	9
#11 - BEDROCK	8
#9 - 5'	10
#13	5
#14 - 3'	25
#14 - NEAR BEDROCK	6
no label	5

Certified by 



93-112 - subm w  
3rd claim



x = holes  
x - pans



Prospect #	Location / Notes
940 1	P38588
940 2	P38589
940 3	P38590
940 4	P38591
940 5	P38592
940 6	P38593
940 7	P38594
940 8	P38595
940 9	P38596
940 10	P38597
940 11	P38598
940 12	P38599
940 13	P38600
940 14	P38601
940 15	P38602
940 16	P38603
940 17	P38604
940 18	P38605
940 19	P38606
940 20	P38607
940 21	P38608
940 22	P38609
940 23	P38610
940 24	P38611
940 25	P38612
940 26	P38613
940 27	P38614
940 28	P38615
940 29	P38616
940 30	P38617
940 31	P38618
940 32	P38619
940 33	P38620
940 34	P38621
940 35	P38622
940 36	P38623
940 37	P38624
940 38	P38625
940 39	P38626
940 40	P38627
940 41	P38628
940 42	P38629
940 43	P38630
940 44	P38631
940 45	P38632
940 46	P38633
940 47	P38634
940 48	P38635
940 49	P38636
940 50	P38637