YEIP 2010 -016

LASKEY CREEK PLACER PROJECT

Yukon Territory, Canada Map Sheet 115 - O - 10h

FINAL YMIP REPORT - 2010

D.R. (Bud) Davis La Tierra Resources Ltd.

Information and Data Base Sources;

Yukon Mining Recorder, Dawson Yukon Geological Survey La Tierra Resources Ltd. Arctic Geophysics Inc.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	ТАВ
Table of Contents	1
YMIP 10 – 016 Final Submission Form Final Invoice & Receipt Copies Financial summary	2
Laskey Creek Placer Project	3
 Location, Access Permitting Previous Work 2010 Work Program Summary & Conclusions Claim Documents (Oops, Las 1 & 2, Documents not yet received) Claim Location Map 	
Maps and Photographs	4
 Bedrock geology Surficial geology Magnetics – First Vertical Derivative Work & Surficial Geology Photographs 	
2D Resistivity and Induced Polarity Geophysical Survey Arctic Geophysics Inc., Dawson City	5





NAME AND ADDRESS

YUKON MINING INCENTIVES PROGRAM (YMIP)

FINAL SUBMISSION FORM

Submit completed form by March 31st to:

Yukon Mining Incentives Program Energy, Mines and Resources Government of the Yukon 102 - 300 Main Street Box 2703 (K102), Whitehorse, Yukon, Y1A 2C6 E-mail: <u>ymip@gov.yk.ca</u>

YMIP# 10-616

PROJECT NAME:	LASKEY CREEK
	PLACER PROJECT

Please indicate any changes or omissions

E-mail:

Correct e-mail if it has changed:_

SUMMARY OR TECHNICAL REPORT CHECKLIST

- Please check ✓ appropriate section.
- · MUST be completed and submitted with your final report.
- · Ensure all required information is attached to prevent delays in processing your claim

INFORMATION 1. Description/implementation of work 2. Location map(s) of completed work 3. Colored maps at adequate scale showing - Geology - Geophysics Geochemistry	INCLUDED	NOT APPLICABLE
4 Results		
 Prill core assays Geochemistry data Geophysical data Drill collar location map(s) Drill hole sections Typewritten drill logs Longitudinal Section(s) Recommendations Future Plans Detailed list of project expenditures Copies of receipts Final submission form signed and dated Hardcopy of report with maps and data 	FILE WHEN COMPLETE X X X X X X X X X X	

Access to Information and Protection of Privacy Act

The information requested on this form is collected under the authority of and used for the purpose of administering the Yukon Mining Incentives Program. Questions about the collection and use of this information can be directed to the Mineral Development Geologist, Department of Energy, Mines and Resources, Yukon Government, Box 2703 (K102), Whitehorse, Yukon Territory, Y1A 2C6 (867) 456-3828.

The Department of Energy, Mines and Resources may verify all statements related to and made on this form, in any previously submitted reports, interim claims and in the Summary or Technical Report which accompanies it I certify that;						
1. I am the person, or the representative of the company or partnership, named in the Application for Funding and in the Contribution Agreement under the Yukon Mining Incentives Program.						
 I am a person who is nineteen years of age or older, and of the said program. 	I have co	mplied with	all the requi	irements		
 I hereby apply for the final payment of a contribution und (YMIP) and declare the information contained within the Summary Report to be true and accurate. 	 I hereby apply for the final payment of a contribution under the Yukon Mining Incentives Program (YMIP) and declare the information contained within the Summary or Technical Report and the Financial Summary Report to be true and accurate. 					
Signature of Applicant)ate <u>54</u>	EPT. 2	21 2010	1		
Name (print)						
Your opinions are requested to help evaluate the formal objectives administration and delivery and to determine if any changes or im	of the proprovement	ogram, clier ts are indica	t satisfaction	n with regard to it	.S	
 Have you previously applied for financial assistance through Y. a. If YES, proceed to 'Question 2'. 	MIP? <	YES N	O			
 b. If NO, what was your reason for not applying: Desire Moral Though Not aw To mute Other 	to maintai objection to at it was a are of YN ch work to	n confident to YMIP hardrock pr fIP apply	iality ogram			
2. How important was YMIP funding to your decision to undertak	e the prop	osed projec	t? Somewhat	Strongly		
	Agree	Agree	Disagree	Disagree		
	Com					
a. Without YMIP the project would not have gone ahead. b. The project would have gone ahead, but on a reduced scale.						
a. Without YMIP the project would not have gone ahead.b. The project would have gone ahead, but on a reduced scale.c. The project would have gone ahead with or without YMIP.						
a. Without YMIP the project would not have gone ahead.b. The project would have gone ahead, but on a reduced scale.c. The project would have gone ahead with or without YMIP.<i>Comments:</i>						
 a. Without YMIP the project would not have gone ahead. b. The project would have gone ahead, but on a reduced scale. c. The project would have gone ahead with or without YMIP. <i>Comments:</i> 3. Did YMIP help to lever additional funding and/or secure an optimal secure and the sec	ion deal?	TES N				
 a. Without YMIP the project would not have gone ahead. b. The project would have gone ahead, but on a reduced scale. c. The project would have gone ahead with or without YMIP. <i>Comments:</i> 3. Did YMIP help to lever additional funding and/or secure an opting of YES, please provide details: YMIP FUNDING. 	ion deal?	TES NO	0 0 0 0 0	D D FREST		
 a. Without YMIP the project would not have gone ahead. b. The project would have gone ahead, but on a reduced scale. c. The project would have gone ahead with or without YMIP. <i>Comments:</i> 3. Did YMIP help to lever additional funding and/or secure an opting of YES, please provide details: <u>YMIP FUNDING</u> 3. SP PARTY FINA FUCIAL BACKING 	ion deal? HELI For	THIS ST	0 0 1 NTE 1 AGE 01	B B F E EXPLOATI	ok),	
 a. Without YMIP the project would not have gone ahead. b. The project would have gone ahead, but on a reduced scale. c. The project would have gone ahead with or without YMIP. <i>Comments:</i> 3. Did YMIP help to lever additional funding and/or secure an opting YES, please provide details: <u>YMIP FUNDING</u> 3. PARTY FINA FUCIAL BACKING 4. Regarding the YMIP application/approval process, please indic following statements: 	ion deale \underline{HELT} For an are your a	THIS ST	D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D	\mathbb{E}_{EST} $\mathbb{E}_{EXP_{k} \in \mathcal{A}TT}$ Int with the	or,	
 a. Without YMIP the project would not have gone ahead. b. The project would have gone ahead, but on a reduced scale. c. The project would have gone ahead with or without YMIP. Comments:	ion deale HELT For ate your a Strongly	THIS Somewhat	0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	CEST EXPLORATION Int with the Strongly	ok),	
 a. Without YMIP the project would not have gone ahead. b. The project would have gone ahead, but on a reduced scale. c. The project would have gone ahead with or without YMIP. <i>Comments:</i> 3. Did YMIP help to lever additional funding and/or secure an opting YES, please provide details: <u>YMIP FUNDING</u> 3. Size Party FINA FUCIAL BACKING 4. Regarding the YMIP application/approval process, please indic following statements: 	ion dealer HELT For P ate your a Strongly Agree	THIS ST Somewhat Agree	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	$\frac{\Box}{\Box}$	ok),	
 a. Without YMIP the project would not have gone ahead. b. The project would have gone ahead, but on a reduced scale. c. The project would have gone ahead with or without YMIP. Comments: 3. Did YMIP help to lever additional funding and/or secure an opting of the provide details: JMIP FUNDING 3. PARTY FINA POCIAL BACKING 4. Regarding the YMIP application/approval process, please indic following statements: a. Written program information and forms were clear. b. Questions and inquiries were answered promptly. 	ion deal? \underline{HEL} For 2 ate your a Strongly Agree	THIS ST greement or Somewhat Agree	C) C) C) C) C) C) C) C) C) C) C) C) C) C		'0,L),	
 a. Without YMIP the project would not have gone ahead. b. The project would have gone ahead, but on a reduced scale. c. The project would have gone ahead with or without YMIP. Comments:	ion dealer HELT For ate your ap Strongly Agree	THIS ST greement or Somewhat Agree	0 <i>a i N</i> ^T <i>e</i> <i>d i sagreemen</i> Somewhat Disagree a a a a		σk),	
 a. Without YMIP the project would not have gone ahead. b. The project would have gone ahead, but on a reduced scale. c. The project would have gone ahead with or without YMIP. Comments: 3. Did YMIP help to lever additional funding and/or secure an opting YES, please provide details: YMIP FUNDING 3. PARTY FINA FUCIAL BACKING 4. Regarding the YMIP application/approval process, please indic following statements: a. Written program information and forms were clear. b. Questions and inquiries were answered promptly. c. Applications were fairly and consistently handled d. Project evaluations were done in a timely manner 	ion dealer \overline{HELT} For 2 ate your a Strongly Agree \overline{B} \overline{B}	THIS ST Somewhat Agree a a a a a	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C		σj,	
 a. Without YMIP the project would not have gone ahead. b. The project would have gone ahead, but on a reduced scale. c. The project would have gone ahead with or without YMIP. Comments: 3. Did YMIP help to lever additional funding and/or secure an opting of the provide details: YMIP FUNDING 3. PARTY FINATUCIAL BACKING 4. Regarding the YMIP application/approval process, please indic following statements: a. Written program information and forms were clear. b. Questions and inquiries were answered promptly. c. Applications were fairly and consistently handled d. Project evaluations were done in a timely manner e. Interim claims and payments were processed on time 	ion deal? High L Far L ate your a Strongly Agree B B B B B B B B	THIS ST greement or Somewhat Agree	0 <i>a i N</i> ^T <i>c</i> ² <i>d i</i> sagreement Somewhat Disagree a a a a a a a a a a a a a	CEEST CEXPLEATION Int with the Strongly Disagree I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	OK,	
 a. Without YMIP the project would not have gone ahead. b. The project would have gone ahead, but on a reduced scale. c. The project would have gone ahead with or without YMIP. Comments: 3. Did YMIP help to lever additional funding and/or secure an opting of the provide details:	ion dealer HELT Far Far Strongly Agree B D D D D D D D D	THIS ST Somewhat Agree Conter adding	CO CO CO CO CO CO CO CO CO CO		σk),	
 a. Without YMIP the project would not have gone ahead. b. The project would have gone ahead, but on a reduced scale. c. The project would have gone ahead with or without YMIP. Comments: 3. Did YMIP help to lever additional funding and/or secure an opting of the provide details: <u>YMIP FUNDING</u> 3. PARTY FINATUCIAL RACKING 4. Regarding the YMIP application/approval process, please indic following statements: a. Written program information and forms were clear. b. Questions and inquiries were answered promptly. c. Applications were fairly and consistently handled d. Project evaluations were done in a timely manner e. Interim claims and payments were processed on time 5. If you have any suggestions for improvements or changes to YI comments, please include them below. <u>KEEP TME INDEPENDANT Prosp</u> <i>A</i> PIRORITY STATUS RECARDING to the project status of the prospinal status of the prospinal status of the prospinal status of the prospinal status of the project status of the prospinal status of the pros	ion dealer HELT Faz ate your approximate your appro	TES Ne TED T THIS ST greement or Somewhat Agree $C = C + TC$ $C = C + TC$	CO CO CO CO CO CO CO CO CO CO		σk),	

YMIP Expense Claim Form - Client copy

YMIP no:	10- ⁰¹⁶	project name:	Laskey	/ Creek	Applicant name	La Tierra Resources Ltd
Expense Claim no:	02	program pla type:	acer		program module: ta	rget evaluation
date submitted	21-Sep-10	phone:	(867) 33	4-5641	email:	bud.latierra@gmail.com
address		Box	304 - 211 Elli	iott Street, Whi	itehorse, Yukor	1 Y1A 2A1
Start/ end dates of fieldwork for this claim:			07-Sep-10	no of field days/ this claim:	14	
eligible expenses item	Please refe	er to rate guidel	lines. Provide p	photocopy of rece unit/days	aipts. Amounts to e	exclude GST total (no GST)
daily field expenses	no person	is: 2		14	\$100/day	\$1,400.00
	Name (sup	oply statemen	t of qualificat	ions)		
	D.R.(Bud)	Davis Prospec	tor, equip. op	7	350	\$2,450.00
Personnel	Peter Jacob	Peter Jacobs, Equipment op & technician			350	\$2,450.00
equipment (rental) private or commercial		unit/days	rate	total		
Sam	sung 300 ex	cavator	commercial	14	3500/wk	\$7,000.00
4 i	nch Honda ı	pump	commercial	14	200/wk	\$400.00
2 i	nch Honda p	pump	commercial	14	125/wk	\$250.00
	ATV		commercial	14	600/wk	\$1,200.00
	ATV traile	r	commercial	14	100/wk	\$200.00
	chainsaw	1	commercial	14	150/wk	\$300.00
	2kw gense	et	commercial	14	120/wk	\$240.00
	5kw gense	et	commercial	14	125/wk	\$250.00
			private			
			private			
			private			
other			please provi	ide details		
Transport	Excavator L	askey to Wht			2732.50	\$2,732.50
travel l	∟askey to W	hitehorse			0.59	\$336.30
				Grand	total this claim:	\$19,208.80

INVOICE DATE SEPT 15/2010 INVOICE # 09-174 PO# GST# 85823 2499

867-633-4967

INVOICE

LA TIERRA RESOURCES LTD BOX 304 - 211 ELLIOT STREET WHITEHORSE, YUKON Y1A 2A1

DESCRIPTION	QTY	PRICE	TOTAL
RENTAL OF SAMSUN AUG 25 THRU SEPT 7	G SE 280 EXCAVATOR	L .	
		SUB TOTAL	\$ 7000.00
		GST	350.00
		TOTAL	\$ 7350.00

INVOICE DATE SEPT 15/2010 INVOICE # 09-175 PO# GST# 85823 2499

867-633-4967

INVOICE

LA TIERRA RESOURCES LTD BOX 304 - 211 ELLIOT STREET WHITEHORSE, YUKON Y1A 2A1

DESCRIPTION	QTY	PRICE	TOTAL
RENTAL OF EQUIPMENT AUG 25 THRU SEPT 7/10			
4" PUMP 2" PUMP ATV TRAILER CHAINSAW HONDA GEN SET 2000 HONDA GEN SET 5000			\$ 400.00 \$ 250.00 \$ 1200.00 \$ 200.00 \$ 300.00 \$ 240.00 \$ 250.00
		SUB TOTAL	\$ 2,840.00
		GST	142.00
		TOTAL	\$ 2982.00

INVOICE DATE SEPT 15/2010 INVOICE # 09-176 PO# GST# 85823 2499

867-633-4967

INVOICE

LA TIERRA RESOURCES LTD BOX 304 - 211 ELLIOT STREET WHITEHORSE, YUKON Y1A 2A1

DESCRIPTION	QTY	PRICE	TOTAL
TRANSPORT COST FO FROM DAWSON BACK	R SAMSUNG SE-28 X TO WHITEHORSE	0	
		SUB TOTAL	\$ 2,732.50
		GST	136.63
		TOTAL	\$ 2869.13

INVOICE DATE SEPT 15/2010 INVOICE # 09-177 PO# GST# 85823 2499

867-633-4967

INVOICE

LA TIERRA RESOURCES LTD BOX 304 - 211 ELLIOT STREET WHITEHORSE, YUKON Y1A 2A1

DESCRIPTION	QTY	PRICE	TOTAL
7 DAYS EQUIPMENT OF	PERATING 7@\$	350.00 =	\$ 2,450.00
		SUB TOTAL	\$ 2,450.00
		GST	122.50
		TOTAL	\$ 2,572.50

Invoice # 2010 - 02

Date: September 10, 2010

To: La Tierra Resources Ltd. Box 304 – 211 Elliott street Whitehorse, Yukon Y1A 2A1

From: D.R. (Bud) Davis, Prospector Box 304 – 211 Elliott Street Whitehorse, Yukon Y1A 2A1

Re: Laskey Creek Placer Exploration Project.

Description: Prospecting and Equipment Operator,

Period: August 25, 2010 to September 7, 2010

7 days at \$ 350.00 per day..... \$ 2,450.00

Thank you,

Ma=

D.R. (Bud) Davis

	Remit To: AFD Yukon 44 MacDonald Road					INVOIC Dat Due Dat	E: 57155 e: 08/31/10 e: 09/30/10	
	Whitehorse	, YT	,	Y1A 4L2		Order Cust Po Del Ticke Del Dat	#: 59268 D: t: 6068083 e: 08/31/10	
	BILL TO: 80291-0 LA TIERRA RESOURCES Attn: VISA FOR CL ON BOX 304 211 ELLIOTT ST. WHITEHORSE, YT Y1A	LTD. ILY 2A1			~	SHIP TO: 8 LA TIERRA R Attn: VISA BOX 304 211 ELLIOTT WHITEHORSE,	0291-0 ESOURCES LTD. FOR CL ONLY ST. YT Y1A 2A1	
Product	Description	U	PC	Container	Quantity	Unit Price	Deposits	Total
LSD	Ultra Low Sulphur BOL #: TRACY JACO	Diesel 4 3S	05936		2861.00000	0.85700	0.00	2451.88
					Sub T GST	otal: AFD# 129183166RT		2,451.88 122.59
						Total D	ue:	2,574.47
	Tax Code Description	PRO	IDUCT Tax	ТАХ SU кТуре	M M A R Y Base Qty	 Rate Tax Am	ount	
	0102 Federal Excise I	Diesel	Pei	r Liter	2,861.00000 0.0	40000 114	.44	
	Total Taxes					114	.44	

Invcice Total Due No Later Than09/30/10\$2574.47Net Payment\$2574.47If Payment Received After09/30/10

	Remit To: AFD Yukon 44 MacDonald Road				INVOICE: Date:	57103 08/13/10	
	Whitehorse , YI	,	Y1A 4L2		Order #:	59203	
					Cust PO: Del Ticket: Del Date:	6068021 08/13/10	
	BILL TO: 80291-0 LA TIERRA RESOURCES LTD. Attn: VISA FOR CL ONLY BOX 304 211 ELLIOTT ST. WHITEHORSE, YT Y1A 2A1				SHIP TO: 802 LA TIERRA RES Attn: VISA FO BOX 304 211 ELLIOTT S WHITEHORSE, Y	91-0 OURCES LTD. R CL ONLY T. T Y1A 2A1	
Product	Description	UPC	Container	Quantity	Unit Price	Deposits	Total
lsd	Ultra Low Sulphur Diesel	405936		1924.00000	0.89000	0.00	1712.36
				SUB TO GST A	tal: FD# 129183166RT		1,712.36 85.62
					Total Due	:	1,797.98
	P F	RODUCT	TAX SU	JMMARY		-	
	Tax code bescription	14	к туре				
	0102 Federal Excise Diesel	Pei	- Liter	1,924.00000 0.04	0000 76.9		
	Total Taxes				76.9	96	

.

Invoice Total Due No Later Than 09/12/10 \$1797.98 Net Payment \$1797.98 If Payment Received After 09/12/10

-

~

La Tierra Resources Ltd.	Laskey Creek Placer Project
2010 Financial Summary	

Field season 38 days, daily expences	8,600.00
Personnel – Prospector & Equipment Operator	10,850.00
Equipment Operator & Technician	10,850.00
Labour	6,600.00
Equipment Rentals	
Samsung 300 Excavator	19,000.00
4 inch pump & hoses	1,100.00
2 inch pump & hoses	630.00
ATV	3,000.00
ATV Trailer	550.00
Chainsaw	900.00
2,000 watt Generator	520.00
5,000 watt Generator	670.00
Transport Excavator Whitehorse to Laskey and return	5,465.00
Travel Whitehorse – Laskey –return 1140km @ 0.59	672.60
Fuel – Diesel 4,500 litres	4,500.00
Gasoline 409 litres	360.68
	275 225 255 455 456 456 156 156 156 156 156 156 156 156 156 1

Total

\$ 74,268.28



LASKEY CREEK PLACER PROJECT

LOCATION & ACCESS

The Laskey group of placer claims are located on Laskey Creek, a right limit tributary of Gold Run Creek. Laskey Creek enters Gold Run Creek about six kilometres upstream from the confluence of Gold Run and Dominion Creeks.

Dawson Mining District, placer claim map sheet, NTS 115-O-10h.

The lowest downstream claim "OOPS " Post # 1 is located at the following map co-ordinates;

North Latitude 63 degrees, 43 minutes, 28.5 seconds West Longitude 138 degrees, 41 minutes, 27.8 seconds

Access is via the Klondike paved highway, then Yukon secondary gravel roads up Hunker Creek and down Dominion Creek to where it joins the Gold Run Creek road. Then via miner's roads up Gold Run creek (6 km +/-) to the Laskey Creek turnoff. The total road distance from Dawson City to the placer claims is approximately 75 kilometres.

PERMITTING

A Class "B" Water Use Licence for Placer Mining (PM09-664) and a Class 4 Mining Land Use Permit (AP09664) is in place for claims Mule 4 through Mule 11

Work was also carried out on three additional staked Laskey Creek claims and two staked bench claims to Gold Run creek, under Class 1 placer work criteria. In total thirteen (13) placer claims currently make up the Laskey Creek group of claims.

All 13 claims have been grouped for the purpose of exploration work credits and an amendment to PM09-664 and AP09664 to include the five new claims has been submitted to YESAB and the Yukon Water Board.

A Class 3 Water Use Permit is in place for exploration work carried out on the five additional new claims, during the 2010 field season.

PREVIOUS WORK

The Laskey group of claims have not been developed through modern mechanical mining methods. There has been a few exploration auger holes drilled by others, but the results of that drilling has not been made available to La Tierra Resources.

There is evidence of old timer's workings and shafting, which probably date back about 80 to 100 years. The 2010 work season has so far discovered 9 old shaft locations on the right limit of Laskey Creek near its confluence with Gold Run Creek. Production from these old workings seems to be of a limited nature. An old ladder about 18 feet long, showed a possible depth for some of these shafts.

In September of 2009, La Tierra Resources carried out a 2D resistivity and induced polarization geophysical survey to provide bedrock profiles across 3 lines on claims Mule 4 & 5. The survey was contracted to Arctic Geophysics of Dawson City.

2010 FIELD SEASON

The 2010 exploration program of La Tierra Resources on Laskey Creek began with mobilization to the property on July 28 and work was completed on September 7. A tent camp was established on the lowest downstream claim on Laskey Creek.

Crew: D.R.(Bud) Davis - prospector & equipment operator Peter Jacobs – equipment operator & technician Jake Jacobs – labourer

Main equipment: - Samsung 300 excavator

- D6M Caterpillar dozer
- 4' x 20' trammel, classifying to minus ¹/₄ inch and equipped with New Zealand style water riffles.
- 1' x 3' testing trommel classifying to minus ¼ inch and equipped with expanded metal & nomad matting in sluice run. This unit was on loan from the Yukon Geological Survey.

Auxiliary and some of the other support equipment utilized includes: 3 ATVs, 4 water pumps and their hose lines, 3 phase genset for large trommel, 2 gensets 2kw & 5kw, ATV trailer.

Fuel – Gasoline and diesel for the project were supplied through AFD in 200 litre drums.

2010 EXPLORTION WORK

Work commenced on the lowest downstream claim "Oops" and followed on Las 1, Mule 2, 3, 4, and 5. Initial work was the stripping of selected areas to allow for ground thawing. Permafrost and permanently frozen seasonal frost was encountered at most locations. On south facing slopes, areas were stripped that were not frozen.

Surface cover consisted of mosses, willows, spruce & poplar trees of various sizes. The total stripping of overburden black muck and trenching on all claims was measured at 10,949 cubic yards.

An auger drill program was not carried out due to the unavailability of a commercial driller. We are currently looking to after season or next spring auger drilling, depending on a drill unit availability and scheduling.

Trenching was carried out as follows;

- Oops claim 4 locations with a maximum depth reached of 12 feet. Material - black muck with sand/gravel layers up to 10 inches thick Fine gold was panned from these seams in all trenches. Selected seam material was run through the YGS test trommel to collect a concentrate for panning. The best results were 50 to 70 gold grains from 100 litres of material, taken from about 8 feet deep. Fine grained magnetite was common in all samples.
- Las 1 3 locations in the vicinity of the old timers shafts which were frozen with silt, black muck and raw ice. The deepest trenches at these locations were only 5 & 6.5 feet. No samples were taken from this area
- Mule 2 3 locations, with the deepest trench reaching about 8.5 feet. The 4' diameter trommel was run at one of these trenches with a small amount of black muck being processed. Poor results were obtained due to the frozen material not breaking up in the trommel, again fine grained magnetite from the black muck was encountered. Less than 0.1 grams of fine gold was recovered from the concentrate.
- Mule 3 only one trench dug to a depth of 5 feet in frozen black muck. Panning only a couple of colours with a minor amount of magnetite.

 Mule 4 – Black muck with various levels of sand/gravel layers were run through both the large trommel and the YGS small test trommel. Stripping and bulk sampling were located over Line Profile # 1 from the 2009 geophysical survey. Bedrock was not reached due to frozen material. Maximum depth reached was about 10 feet.

> Most trommel runs consisted of black muck and near surface gravel seams which was colluvium in nature and fragmented. No large rocks were encountered. The gravel seams within the black muck contained considerable amounts of quartz, up to 3 or 4 % in some cases. Both quartz and bedrock schist rocks were angular and edged, showing little evidence of long transport. Many types of quartz are present, clear, milky, rose, smokey and citroen. All concentrates had fine to coarse grain magnetite, whether from the black muck or the gravel seams. Small amounts of pyrite are also present.

Concentrate samples have been selected for analysis at a certified laboratory and results will be added to this report, when completed.

Mule 5 - 2 trenches were excavated along geophysical Line Profile # 3. The bench trenches (Line # 3 @ 190 metre station) along the left limit of Laskey Creek turned out to be disappointing, in that they exposed bedrock as opposed to colluvium or gravels. The lower trench exposed a grey/green cloritic silt/clay layer of various thicknesses, which is frozen. Maximum depth for these trenches was 7 feet. Further to the south and into the valley, trenching and stripping was carried out and encountered black muck. Further thawing will be needed before excavating to lower gravels.

SUMMARY & CONCLUSIONS

The 2010 field work carried out on the Laskey Creek claims has shown that there is locally deposited fine grain gold, most probably derived from the chlorite mica Klondike schist rock unit, which has been intruded with quartz veining. The quartz content of some of the trommel tailings was in the 3 to 4 percent range.

Although it was disappointing not to reach bedrock due to frozen ground conditions, the sandy quartz rich gravel layers contain fine grain gold and fine to coarse grained magnetite in the black muck. This shows that future stripping and materials should be set aside for sluicing, selectively up to 50 percent of the black muck could be stockpiled for economic sluicing.

This confirms some of Teck's earlier reports that they sometimes recovered more gold from the black muck on Gold Run Creek in the vicinity of Laskey Creek, than in the gravels laying on bedrock.

Much of the coarser grained magnetite was crystalline in nature. Magnetite quantity ranged from less than 1 % and up to 3 or 4 % in some concentrates. The gold grains were generally flat and slightly elongated, with some grains having a distinct orange or coppery colour. A few were in the 1 mm range.

Further exploration needs to be done to assess the bedrock gravels after thawing allows for deeper trenching, especially in the areas of the old timers workings. Selective auger drilling is also recommended when a drill unit can be located and mobilized to the property. Normal evolution of slope derived deposits such as appear to be developed on Laskey Creek, should have a greater concentration of gold at depth. An economic decision regarding the Laskey Creek group of claims cannot be made at this time.

D.R. (Bud) Davis President, La Tierra Resources Ltd.





GRANT FOR PLACER MINING Form 3, Section 27 PLACER MINING ACT

Mule 2 Laskey Creek 500 Feet 115O10h

Dawson Mining District	Date Claim Located:	Date Claim Recorded:
5	09 September 2009	10 September 2009

In consideration of the payment of \$10.00 being the fee prescribed by Schedule 2 of the Placer Mining Act by Bud Davis accompanying his/her application dated 10 September 2009, for a Mining Claim, the Minister of Energy, Mines and Resources hereby grants the above claim, to the said Pamela Daffe for a term of 1 year to 10 September 2010 for: the miner-like working thereof and the construction and maintenance of structures, including a residence, that are required for the miner-like working thereof, and the exclusive right to all the proceeds realized therefrom, on which, however the royalty prescribed by the Placer Mining Act shall be paid.

Subject to the Yukon Waters Act, the grantee shall be entitled to the use of so much of the water naturally flowing through or past his/their claim and not already lawfully appropriated, as shall be necessary for the due working thereof, and to drain his/their claim, free of charge.

This grant does not convey to the grantee any right of ownership in the soil covered by the said claim, and the said grant shall lapse and be forfeited unless the provisions of section 41 of the Placer Mining Act are strictly complied with.

The rights hereby granted are those laid down in the Placer Mining Act and no more, and subject to all provisions of that Act, whether they are expressed herein or not.

General Receipt Number:	Claim Effective to:	Kathryn Perry
MRDA023	10 September 2010	Dawson Mining Recorder



GRANT FOR PLACER MINING Form 3, Section 27 PLACER MINING ACT

Mule 3 Laskey Creek 500 Feet 115O10h

Dawson Mining District	Date Claim Located:	Date Claim Recorded:
C C	09 September 2009	10 September 2009

In consideration of the payment of \$10.00 being the fee prescribed by Schedule 2 of the Placer Mining Act by Bud Davis accompanying his/her application dated 10 September 2009, for a Mining Claim, the Minister of Energy, Mines and Resources hereby grants the above claim, to the said Bud Davis for a term of 1 year to 10 September 2010 for: the miner-like working thereof and the construction and maintenance of structures, including a residence, that are required for the miner-like working thereof, and the exclusive right to all the proceeds realized therefrom, on which, however the royalty prescribed by the Placer Mining Act shall be paid.

Subject to the Yukon Waters Act, the grantee shall be entitled to the use of so much of the water naturally flowing through or past his/their claim and not already lawfully appropriated, as shall be necessary for the due working thereof, and to drain his/their claim, free of charge.

This grant does not convey to the grantee any right of ownership in the soil covered by the said claim, and the said grant shall lapse and be forfeited unless the provisions of section 41 of the Placer Mining Act are strictly complied with.

The rights hereby granted are those laid down in the Placer Mining Act and no more, and subject to all provisions of that Act, whether they are expressed herein or not.

General Receipt Number:	Claim Effective to:	Kathryn Perry
MRDA023	10 September 2010	Dawson Mining Recorder



GRANT FOR PLACER MINING Form 3, Section 27 PLACER MINING ACT

Mule 4 UNLLT Laskey Creek 500 Feet 115O10h

Dawson Mining District	Date Claim Located:	Date Claim Recorded:
C	28 September 2009	29 September 2009

In consideration of the payment of \$10.00 being the fee prescribed by Schedule 2 of the Placer Mining Act by La Tierra Resources Ltd. accompanying his/her application dated 29 September 2009, for a Mining Claim, the Minister of Energy, Mines and Resources hereby grants the above claim, to the said La Tierra Resources Ltd. for a term of 1 year to 29 September 2010 for: the miner-like working thereof and the construction and maintenance of structures, including a residence, that are required for the miner-like working thereof, and the exclusive right to all the proceeds realized therefrom, on which, however the royalty prescribed by the Placer Mining Act shall be paid.

Subject to the Yukon Waters Act, the grantee shall be entitled to the use of so much of the water naturally flowing through or past his/their claim and not already lawfully appropriated, as shall be necessary for the due working thereof, and to drain his/their claim, free of charge.

This grant does not convey to the grantee any right of ownership in the soil covered by the said claim, and the said grant shall lapse and be forfeited unless the provisions of section 41 of the Placer Mining Act are strictly complied with.

The rights hereby granted are those laid down in the Placer Mining Act and no more, and subject to all provisions of that Act, whether they are expressed herein or not.

General Receipt Number:	Claim Effective to:	Kathryn Perry
MRDA025	29 September 2010	Dawson Mining Recorder



GRANT FOR PLACER MINING Form 3, Section 27 PLACER MINING ACT

Mule 5 UNLLT Laskey Creek 500 Feet 115O10h

Dawson Mining District	Date Claim Located:	Date Claim Recorded:
C	28 September 2009	29 September 2009

In consideration of the payment of \$10.00 being the fee prescribed by Schedule 2 of the Placer Mining Act by La Tierra Resources Ltd. accompanying his/her application dated 29 September 2009, for a Mining Claim, the Minister of Energy, Mines and Resources hereby grants the above claim, to the said La Tierra Resources Ltd. for a term of 1 year to 29 September 2010 for: the miner-like working thereof and the construction and maintenance of structures, including a residence, that are required for the miner-like working thereof, and the exclusive right to all the proceeds realized therefrom, on which, however the royalty prescribed by the Placer Mining Act shall be paid.

Subject to the Yukon Waters Act, the grantee shall be entitled to the use of so much of the water naturally flowing through or past his/their claim and not already lawfully appropriated, as shall be necessary for the due working thereof, and to drain his/their claim, free of charge.

This grant does not convey to the grantee any right of ownership in the soil covered by the said claim, and the said grant shall lapse and be forfeited unless the provisions of section 41 of the Placer Mining Act are strictly complied with.

The rights hereby granted are those laid down in the Placer Mining Act and no more, and subject to all provisions of that Act, whether they are expressed herein or not.

General Receipt Number:	Claim Effective to:	Kathryn Perry
MRDA025	29 September 2010	Dawson Mining Recorder



GRANT FOR PLACER MINING Form 3, Section 27 PLACER MINING ACT

Mule 6 UNLLT Laskey Creek 500 Feet 115O10h

Dawson Mining District	Date Claim Located:	Date Claim Recorded:
C	28 September 2009	29 September 2009

In consideration of the payment of \$10.00 being the fee prescribed by Schedule 2 of the Placer Mining Act by La Tierra Resources Ltd. accompanying his/her application dated 29 September 2009, for a Mining Claim, the Minister of Energy, Mines and Resources hereby grants the above claim, to the said La Tierra Resources Ltd. for a term of 1 year to 29 September 2010 for: the miner-like working thereof and the construction and maintenance of structures, including a residence, that are required for the miner-like working thereof, and the exclusive right to all the proceeds realized therefrom, on which, however the royalty prescribed by the Placer Mining Act shall be paid.

Subject to the Yukon Waters Act, the grantee shall be entitled to the use of so much of the water naturally flowing through or past his/their claim and not already lawfully appropriated, as shall be necessary for the due working thereof, and to drain his/their claim, free of charge.

This grant does not convey to the grantee any right of ownership in the soil covered by the said claim, and the said grant shall lapse and be forfeited unless the provisions of section 41 of the Placer Mining Act are strictly complied with.

The rights hereby granted are those laid down in the Placer Mining Act and no more, and subject to all provisions of that Act, whether they are expressed herein or not.

General Receipt Number:	Claim Effective to:	Kathryn Perry
MRDA025	29 September 2010	Dawson Mining Recorder



GRANT FOR PLACER MINING Form 3, Section 27 PLACER MINING ACT

Mule 7 UNLLT Laskey Creek 500 Feet 115O10h

Dawson Mining District	Date Claim Located:	Date Claim Recorded:
, 2	28 September 2009	29 September 2009

In consideration of the payment of \$10.00 being the fee prescribed by Schedule 2 of the Placer Mining Act by La Tierra Resources Ltd. accompanying his/her application dated 29 September 2009, for a Mining Claim, the Minister of Energy, Mines and Resources hereby grants the above claim, to the said La Tierra Resources Ltd. for a term of 1 year to 29 September 2010 for: the miner-like working thereof and the construction and maintenance of structures, including a residence, that are required for the miner-like working thereof, and the exclusive right to all the proceeds realized therefrom, on which, however the royalty prescribed by the Placer Mining Act shall be paid.

Subject to the Yukon Waters Act, the grantee shall be entitled to the use of so much of the water naturally flowing through or past his/their claim and not already lawfully appropriated, as shall be necessary for the due working thereof, and to drain his/their claim, free of charge.

This grant does not convey to the grantee any right of ownership in the soil covered by the said claim, and the said grant shall lapse and be forfeited unless the provisions of section 41 of the Placer Mining Act are strictly complied with.

The rights hereby granted are those laid down in the Placer Mining Act and no more, and subject to all provisions of that Act, whether they are expressed herein or not.

General Receipt Number:	Claim Effective to:	Kathryn Perry
MRDA025	29 September 2010	Dawson Mining Recorder



GRANT FOR PLACER MINING Form 3, Section 27 PLACER MINING ACT

Mule 8 UNLLT Laskey Creek 500 Feet 115O10h

Dawson Mining District	Date Claim Located:	Date Claim Recorded:
2	28 September 2009	29 September 2009

In consideration of the payment of \$10.00 being the fee prescribed by Schedule 2 of the Placer Mining Act by La Tierra Resources Ltd. accompanying his/her application dated 29 September 2009, for a Mining Claim, the Minister of Energy, Mines and Resources hereby grants the above claim, to the said La Tierra Resources Ltd. for a term of 1 year to 29 September 2010 for: the miner-like working thereof and the construction and maintenance of structures, including a residence, that are required for the miner-like working thereof, and the exclusive right to all the proceeds realized therefrom, on which, however the royalty prescribed by the Placer Mining Act shall be paid.

Subject to the Yukon Waters Act, the grantee shall be entitled to the use of so much of the water naturally flowing through or past his/their claim and not already lawfully appropriated, as shall be necessary for the due working thereof, and to drain his/their claim, free of charge.

This grant does not convey to the grantee any right of ownership in the soil covered by the said claim, and the said grant shall lapse and be forfeited unless the provisions of section 41 of the Placer Mining Act are strictly complied with.

The rights hereby granted are those laid down in the Placer Mining Act and no more, and subject to all provisions of that Act, whether they are expressed herein or not.

General Receipt Number:	Claim Effective to:	Kathryn Perry
MRDA025	29 September 2010	Dawson Mining Recorder



GRANT FOR PLACER MINING Form 3, Section 27 PLACER MINING ACT

Mule 9 UNLLT Laskey Creek 500 Feet 115O10h

Dawson Mining District	Date Claim Located:	Date Claim Recorded:
	28 September 2009	29 September 2009

In consideration of the payment of \$10.00 being the fee prescribed by Schedule 2 of the Placer Mining Act by La Tierra Resources Ltd. accompanying his/her application dated 29 September 2009, for a Mining Claim, the Minister of Energy, Mines and Resources hereby grants the above claim, to the said La Tierra Resources Ltd. for a term of 1 year to 29 September 2010 for: the miner-like working thereof and the construction and maintenance of structures, including a residence, that are required for the miner-like working thereof, and the exclusive right to all the proceeds realized therefrom, on which, however the royalty prescribed by the Placer Mining Act shall be paid.

Subject to the Yukon Waters Act, the grantee shall be entitled to the use of so much of the water naturally flowing through or past his/their claim and not already lawfully appropriated, as shall be necessary for the due working thereof, and to drain his/their claim, free of charge.

This grant does not convey to the grantee any right of ownership in the soil covered by the said claim, and the said grant shall lapse and be forfeited unless the provisions of section 41 of the Placer Mining Act are strictly complied with.

The rights hereby granted are those laid down in the Placer Mining Act and no more, and subject to all provisions of that Act, whether they are expressed herein or not.

General Receipt Number:	Claim Effective to:	Kathryn Perry
MRDA025	29 September 2010	Dawson Mining Recorder



GRANT FOR PLACER MINING Form 3, Section 27 PLACER MINING ACT

Mule 10 UNLLT Laskey Creek 500 Feet 115O10h

Dawson Mining District	Date Claim Located:	Date Claim Recorded:	
ç	28 September 2009	29 September 2009	

In consideration of the payment of \$10.00 being the fee prescribed by Schedule 2 of the Placer Mining Act by La Tierra Resources Ltd. accompanying his/her application dated 29 September 2009, for a Mining Claim, the Minister of Energy, Mines and Resources hereby grants the above claim, to the said La Tierra Resources Ltd. for a term of 1 year to 29 September 2010 for: the miner-like working thereof and the construction and maintenance of structures, including a residence, that are required for the miner-like working thereof, and the exclusive right to all the proceeds realized therefrom, on which, however the royalty prescribed by the Placer Mining Act shall be paid.

Subject to the Yukon Waters Act, the grantee shall be entitled to the use of so much of the water naturally flowing through or past his/their claim and not already lawfully appropriated, as shall be necessary for the due working thereof, and to drain his/their claim, free of charge.

This grant does not convey to the grantee any right of ownership in the soil covered by the said claim, and the said grant shall lapse and be forfeited unless the provisions of section 41 of the Placer Mining Act are strictly complied with.

The rights hereby granted are those laid down in the Placer Mining Act and no more, and subject to all provisions of that Act, whether they are expressed herein or not.

General Receipt Number:	Claim Effective to:	Kathryn Perry
MRDA025	29 September 2010	Dawson Mining Recorder



GRANT FOR PLACER MINING Form 3, Section 27 PLACER MINING ACT

Mule 11 UNLLT Laskey Creek 500 Feet 115O10h

Dawson Mining District	Date Claim Located:	Date Claim Recorded:
C	28 September 2009	29 September 2009

In consideration of the payment of \$10.00 being the fee prescribed by Schedule 2 of the Placer Mining Act by La Tierra Resources Ltd. accompanying his/her application dated 29 September 2009, for a Mining Claim, the Minister of Energy, Mines and Resources hereby grants the above claim, to the said La Tierra Resources Ltd. for a term of 1 year to 29 September 2010 for: the miner-like working thereof and the construction and maintenance of structures, including a residence, that are required for the miner-like working thereof, and the exclusive right to all the proceeds realized therefrom, on which, however the royalty prescribed by the Placer Mining Act shall be paid.

Subject to the Yukon Waters Act, the grantee shall be entitled to the use of so much of the water naturally flowing through or past his/their claim and not already lawfully appropriated, as shall be necessary for the due working thereof, and to drain his/their claim, free of charge.

This grant does not convey to the grantee any right of ownership in the soil covered by the said claim, and the said grant shall lapse and be forfeited unless the provisions of section 41 of the Placer Mining Act are strictly complied with.

The rights hereby granted are those laid down in the Placer Mining Act and no more, and subject to all provisions of that Act, whether they are expressed herein or not.

General Receipt Number:	Claim Effective to:	Kathryn Perry
MRDA025	29 September 2010	Dawson Mining Recorder









Old Timers Steam Boiler - Located on the Right Limit of Laskey Creek

D6M Dozer - Stripping on Placer Claim "Oops"

Test Trench in Black Muck on Placer Claim "Oops"

Jake Jacobs feeding YGS small test Trommel

10 inch thick Quartz rich sand/gravel seam containing gold (just above water)

Bulk sampling Black Muck containing sand/gravel seams - Placer claim Mule 2

Bulk Sampling on Placer claim - Mule 4

In place bedrock - Geophysical Line Profile # 3 Placer claim Mule 5

Trenching on Geophysical Line Profile # 3 Placer claim Mule 5

Cloritic clay/silt layer - Geophysical Line Profile # 3 Placer claim Mule 5

GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY

LOCATION Laskey Creek, Yukon Prospecting Lease ID00829

METHODS 2D Resistivity and Induced Polarization

FOR La Tierra Resources Ltd.

AUTHORS Stefan Ostermaier Philipp Moll Arctic Geophysics Inc.

WORK PERFORMED September 25th – 27th 2009

> DATE OF REPORT October 28th 2009

Arctic Geophysics Inc. Box 747, Dawson City, Y.T. Y0B-1G0, Canada Phone: 867-993-3671 (Cell) info@arctic-geophysics.com www.arctic-geophysics.com

Index

1. Introduction
2. List of Claims / Prospecting Leases
3. Location
4. Access
5. Work Method and Instrumentation
6. Work performed
6.1 Prospecting Lease ID00829
Preliminary notes:
Map 115O10h
Profile01
Profile02
Profile03
7. Recommendations
8. Note
9. References
10. Qualification
11. Cost
12. Addendum
Profiles Large
GPS-Data
ID00829
Profile0119
Profile02
Profile0321

1. Introduction

This geophysical survey was conducted at Laskey Creek for the benefit of La Tierra Resources Ltd..

The survey consists of three lines done with 2D Resistivity. All three include IP-data to support the interpretation of the resistivity profile.

The purpose of the survey was to determine depth and topography of the bedrock.

2. List of Claims / Prospecting Leases

Grant Number	Owner
ID00829	La Tierra Resouces Ltd.

3. Location

The prospecting lease ID00829 is located at an unnamed tributary to Laskey Creek. The lease also encompasses a small portion of Laskey Creek itself, and is situated on Map 115O10h.

4. Access

The prospecting lease ID00829 can be accessed via the Sulfur-Dominion Loop, it can be reached equally well by either driving Sulfur Creek Road or Dominion Creek Road to Gold Run Creek. There is an access road at the old Teck mining camp which can be used as far as the confluence of Laskey Creek and Gold Run Creek. From there a trail has to be used.

5. Work Method and Instrumentation

For this survey 2D RESISTIVITY and INDUCED POLARIZATION (IP) was used.

The Resistivity/IP imaging system includes:

4POINT LIGHT RESISTIVITY METER¹ 75 ELECTRODE CONTROLER MODULES² 75 STAINLESS STEEL ELECTRODES³ 375m MULTICORE CABLE 75x5m⁴

⁴ Dito

¹ Constructed and produced by LGM (Germany)

² Dito

³ Constructed and produced by GPM (Germany)

The data acquisition is carried out by the automatic activation of 4-point-electrodes, several thousand measurements are taken, one every 1-2 seconds. The AC transmitter current of 0.26 to 30 Hz is amplified by the electrode control modules, up to a maximum of 100mA and 400V peak to peak. The voltage measured at the receiver electrodes (M, N) is also amplified. The system allows 2D measurements up to a depth of 65m.

In this geoelectrical survey the Schlumberger-array was used.

The measured resistivity - and IP data were then interpreted with the RES2DINV inversion program⁵. Details about the survey and interpretation method can be found in published papers by Keller and Frischknecht, (1966), Griffiths *et al.*, (1990), Griffiths and Barker, (1993), and Loke and Barker (1996).

To interpret the resistivity data, a 2D model for the subsurface is generated by the software. The software then calculates the resistivity so, that the calculated apparent resistivity and the measured apparent resistivity from the survey match.

The RES2DINV program automatically subdivides the subsurface into a certain number of blocks then it uses a least-squares inversion algorithm to determine the appropriate resistivity values for each block.

6. Work performed

6.1 Prospecting Lease ID00829

Preliminary notes:

The resistivity profile is the foundation for the interpretation of the subsurface conditions. In it the hypothetic layer interfaces are marked with a black line. The IP-profile (Induced Polarization), below the resistivity profile, is used to support the interpretation.

The profiles show ground-layers approximately 15% thicker than they are in reality. The thickening of the model layers is caused by the inversion software. A correction factor for the determination of the true layer thickness of 0.85 was determined by us on the basis of numerous geoelectrical profiles verified by drilling, trenching, and mining done by our customers.

The graphical markings of the layer interfaces in the profiles, using the black lines, are done according to the data structure in the profile itself. This means that the layers there will show up approximately 15% thicker than they are in reality.

In the interpretation text the layer thicknesses and depths have already been recalculated to the expected real values.

⁵ Constructed and produced by Geotomo Software (Malaysia)

Map 115O10h

Legend

Page 5 of 22

Profile01

Line:	Cross valley
View:	Upstream
Electrodes:	75, spacing 3m
Array:	Schlumberger
Location:	0m N63° 43.447' W138° 42.077'
	222m N63° 43.561' W138° 42.017'

The profile shows the in the area typical stratification of humus-muck-gravel on top of bedrock. The data points to schist as bedrock.

Underneath the left (north facing) slope and the bottom of the valley the ground is frozen; at the right (south facing) slope starting at 130m in the profile the material is thawed.

On the left slope the **bedrock** interface could be at either 4m or 10m, in reality. The turquoise colored area at the left limit of the profile from 15 to 30m could, according to its resistivity values, be either thawed colluvial gravel or thawed bedrock. The existence of the thawed gravel is not very probable; it is much more likely that it is bedrock which

would correspond with the resistivity values for bedrock on the opposite side of the valley. This second interpretation is supported by the IP values at this location.

At 65-85m in the profile there seems to be a **channel**. The depth to bedrock in this channel would be about 15m. Underneath this channel the resistivity values are significantly higher than elsewhere along the profile. The higher resistivity could be caused by larger amounts of ice filled pore volume, as a result of weathering.⁶ This shape of bedrock could be produced by localized water penetration followed by frost wedging. – The fragmentation of rocks followed by weathering could alternatively be caused by a fault. Then the existence of a channel would be unlikely, and the sediments would have sunk into the craggy porous bedrock which would show up as a channel-like structure in the profile.

In the middle of the profile, on the bottom of the valley, the depth to **bedrock** might be around 12m in reality.

Further uphill and to the right the **bedrock** seems to successively come up to about 6m.

The thickness of muck and gravel is changing along the length of the profile. The relation of muck and gravel seems to be about 60% to 40% on average (see profile).

⁶ When rocks start to weather the material is broken into smaller pieces. When frozen the resistivity of granulated/porous material increases more than that of solid rock, since the particles are insulated from each other by ice; in solid rock the mineral material stays in a stronger contact which reduces the increase in resistivity.

Profile02

Line:	Cross valley					
View:	Upstream					
Electrodes:	50, spacing 5m					
Array:	Schlumberger					
Location:	0m N63° 43.474' W138° 42.299					
	245m N63° 43.595' W138° 42.174					

The profile shows a peak-like bedrock topography (peak at 160m) with an asymmetrical layering of the sediments on both sides.

With the exception of the overlaying humus the ground is fully frozen.

On the left side till approximately 150m the profile shows a wedge shaped sedimentation. It looks like the Laskey Creek pouring in towards the right deposited a thick layer of gravel and muck at this location. On the right hand side the influence of Laskey Creek diminishes and the sediments should contain an increasing amount of gravel from the unnamed tributary, which runs perpendicular to the profile. At 80m the **bedrock** should, in reality, be in a depth of about 27m. From there it rises to the right and at 160m seems to be only at approximately 4m.

To the right of 160m a deposit of colluvial gravel, of uncertain depth, is expected. This gravel could have a layer thickness in the range of 10m or 16m. – Alternative to this interpretation the **bedrock peak** could represent a reef in this case there wouldn't be colluvium but river gravel with a depth of 16m on the right side of the peak.

The **bedrock** peak has a zone with high resistivity values in its center. The increase in the measured values could be caused by a dyke consisting of rock with poorer conductivity than the surrounding host rock. A dike consisting of harder rock with lower weathering (such as quartz or granite) than the surrounding host rock (we interpret as schist) would then be the reason for the existence of the bedrock peak.

Profile03

Line:	Cross v	alley
View:	Upstre	am
Electrodes:	75, spc	acing 3m
Array:	Schlun	nberger
Location:	0m	N63° 43.531' W138° 42.510'
	222m	N63° 43 620' W138° 42 330'

Profile03 also has a bedrock topography that declines to the left hand side, potentially there is a broad channel on the left side, filled with muck and gravel.

The ground here is also frozen, covered with a thin humus layer.

The **channel** suspected at 50-100m is suggested by the IP profile. While the resistivity profile shows a thin edge of material with low resistivity values at the lower left edge, which can easily be taken as a software caused fringe effect, the IP profile displays a pronounced trough-shaped structure, whose data points to bedrock. If the channel exists the depth to **bedrock** there would be about 30m in reality.

On the right hand side approximately at 160m in the profile the **bedrock** seems to ascent to a depth of only a few meters below the surface. The colluvium stated for the surface in the previous profile also appears in this one, with the same alternative interpretation.

7. Recommendations

We recommend the verification of the results of the interpretations by drilling or trenching.

The following table shows the suitable locations on the measuring lines for the verification of the depths to bedrock, shown in the profiles, with drilling or trenching.

Profile	Recommended places in the profile for verification by drilling or trenching
01	70m, 110m, 160m
02	90m, 160m, 205m
03	70m, 130m

8. Note

All these conclusions are based on the interpretation of the measured data.

9. References

Chesterman W. Ch. and Lowe K.E. Field Guide to Rocks and Minerals - North America, Chanticleer Press Inc. New York 2007

Evans A.M. Erzlagerstättenkunde, Ferdinand Enke Verlag Stuttgart (1992)

Griffiths, D.H., Turnbull, J. and Olayinka, A.I. Two dimensional resistivity mapping with a computer-controlled array, First Break 8: 121-129 (1990)

Griffiths, D.H. and Barker, R.D. Two-dimensional resistivity imaging and modeling in areas of complex geology. Journal of Applied Geophysics 29 : 211 - 226. (1993)

Keller, G.V.and Frischknecht, F.C. Electrical methods in geophysical prospecting. Oxford: Pergamon Press Inc. (1966)

Loke M.H. and Barker R.D. Rapid least-squares inversion of apparent resistivity pseudosections by a quasi-Newton method. Geophysical Prospecting 44: 131-152 (1996)

Press F., Siever R., Grotzinger J., Thomas H.J. Understanding Earth, W.H. Freeman and Company, New York (2004)

Robb L. Introducing to Ore-Forming Processes, Backwell Science Ltd., 2005

http://www.yukonminingrecorder.ca/PDFs/115/115O10h.pdf

Yukon Placer Database 2007

10. Qualification

Stefan Ostermaier

- Study of geology, University of Freiburg, Germany
- Geophysical lectures and field courses, University of Karlsruhe and University of Stuttgart, Germany
- Geological prospecting for precious metals and minerals in the Yukon and Alaska

since 2001

- Geophysical Surveying for Mining Exploration in the Yukon since 2005
- Study of computer science, University of Stuttgart, Germany

Step letan

Stefan Ostermaier

Philipp Moll

- Study of geology, University of Freiburg, Germany
- Geophysical lectures and field courses, University of Karlsruhe and University of Stuttgart, Germany
- Geological Prospecting for precious metals and minerals in the Yukon, NWTs, and

Alaska since 1989

- Geophysical surveying for Mining Exploration in the Yukon since 2005
- Study of biology and German language and literature, University of Freiburg, Germany

- Apprenticeship of precision mechanic, Tools Factory Hermann Bilz, Zell, Germany

Pr. more

Philipp Moll

12. Addendum

Profiles Large

GPS-Data

ID00829

Profile01

Electrode	m	Lat. Long.	Elevation	Electrode	m	Lat. Long.	Elevation
1	0	N63 43.447	16,76	26	75	N63 43.486	1,83
	0	W138 42.077			75	W138 42.056	
2	3	N63 43.448	16,76	27	79	N63 43.487	2,13
	3	W138 42.077			10	W138 42.056	
3	6	N63 43.450	15,85	28	91	N63 43.489	2,13
	0	W138 42.075			01	W138 42.054	
4	٥	N63 43.451	15,54	29	94	N63 43.491	0,61
	9	W138 42.074			04	W138 42.054	
5	12	N63 43.452	15,24	30	87	N63 43.492	0
	12	W138 42.074	e in Brokan ye in		07	W138 42.053	
6	15	N63 43.454	14,94	31	90	N63 43.493	0
	15	W138 42.073			30	W138 42.052	
7	18	N63 43.456	14,33	32	03	N63 43.495	0
	10	W138 42.072			55	W138 42.051	
8	21	N63 43.458	13,41	33	06	N63 43.497	0,61
	21	W138 42.071			30	W138 42.051	
9	24	N63 43.460	13,41	34	00	N63 43.499	0,3
	24	W138 42.070	1. A. S. A.		33	W138 42.050	
10	27	N63 43.462	12,8	35	102	N63 43.500	0,91
	21	W138 42.069			102	W138 42.050	
11	30	N63 43.463	12,19	36	105	N63 43.502	1,83
		W138 42.068			100	W138 42.049	
12	33	N63 43.465	11,28	37	108	N63 43.503	1,52
	00	W138 42.067			100	W138 42.048	
13	36	N63 43.467	10,97	38	111	N63 43.504	2,13
	00	W138 42.067				W138 42.047	
14	39	N63 43.468	10,06	39	114	N63 43.506	2,44
	00	W138 42.066				W138 42.046	
15	42	N63 43.470	9,14	40	117	N63 43.508	2,13
		W138 42.065				W138 42.045	
16	45	N63 43.471	8,23	41	120	N63 43.509	2,13
		W138 42.064		10		W138 42.044	0.40
17	48	N63 43.472	7,32	42	123	N63 43.510	2,13
40		W138 42.063		42		VV138 42.043	0.74
18	51	N63 43.473	6,4	43	126	NO3 43.512	2,74
40		VV138 42.062	5.40			VV138 42.042	0.74
19	54	NO3 43.475	5,49	44	129	NOJ 43.314	2,74
20		VV138 42.001	4.07	45		VV130 42.04 1	0 10
20	57	NO3 43.470	4,27	40	132	NOJ 43.313	2,13
24		VV138 42.001	2.66	46		VV130 42.040	2.25
21	60	NOJ 43.470	3,00	40	135	NOJ 43.317	3,35
22		VV130 42.000	2.74	47		NG2 42 510	2 25
22	63	NO3 43.479	2,74	41	138	103 43.319	3,35
22		VV130 42.009	2.74	49		NG2 42.039	2 25
23	66	1103 43.481	2,74	40	141	1103 43.520	3,35
24		VV130 42.050	2 74	40		VV130 42.039	2 66
24	69	NOJ 43.483	2,74	43	144	1103 43.322	3,00
07		VV138 42.058	0.74	50		VV13842.039	2.00
25	72	N63 43.485	2,/4	50	147	N63 43.524	3,66
12	W138 42.057				VV138 42.038		

Page 19 of 22

Electrode	m	Lat. Long.	Elevation	Electrode	m	Lat. Long.	Elevation
51	150	N63 43.526	3,66			W138 42.029	
	150	W138 42.037		64	100	N63 43.545	8,84
52	153	N63 43.527	4,27		189	W138 42.028	
	155	W138 42.036		65	100	N63 43.546	8,84
53	156	N63 43.529	4,27		192	W138 42.027	
	150	W138 42.035		66	105	N63 43.548	8,84
54	150	N63 43.531	4,88		195	W138 42.025	
	155	W138 42.035		67	100	N63 43.550	9,45
55	162	N63 43.532	4,27		190	W138 42.024	
	102	W138 42.034		68	201	N63 43.551	10,06
56	165	N63 43.534	5,18		201	W138 42.023	
	100	W138 42.033		69	204	N63 43.552	10,06
57	168	N63 43.535	5,18		204	W138 42.022	
e ja kili ku lege	100	W138 42.032	5.F	70	207	N63 43.554	10,67
58	171	N63 43.536	6,1		207	W138 42.021	
	17.1	W138 42.031		71	210	N63 43.555	10,97
59	174	N63 43.538	6,1		210	W138 42.020	
	1/7	W138 42.031		72	212	N63 43.557	11,58
60	177	N63 43.539	6,71		215	W138 42.019	
		W138 42.031		73	216	N63 43.558	12,19
61	180	N63 43.540	7,01		210	W138 42.018	
	100	W138 42.031		74	210	N63 43.560	12,5
62	183	N63 43.542	7,32		219	W138 42.017	
	100	W138 42.030		75	222	N63 43.561	13,11
63	186	N63 43.543	7,92		222	W138 42.017	

•

Profile02

Electrode	m	Lat. Long.	Elevation	Electrode	m	Lat. Long.	Elevation
26	0	N63 43.474 W138 42.299	1,83	38	60	N63 43.503 W138 42.263	0
27	5	N63 43.476 W138 42.296	1,22	39	65	N63 43.505 W138 42.261	0,61
28	10	N63 43.478 W138 42.293	1,22	40	70	N63 43.508 W138 42.258	0
29	15	N63 43.480 W138 42.289	1,22	41	75	N63 43.511 W138 42.255	0,3
30	20	N63 43.483 W138 42.286	1,83	42	80	N63 43.513 W138 42.252	0
31	25	N63 43.486 W138 42.283	1,22	43	85	N63 43.515 W138 42.249	0,3
32	30	N63 43.488 W138 42.280	0,91	44	90	N63 43.517 W138 42.247	0,3
33	35	N63 43.491 W138 42.277	0,61	45	95	N63 43.519 W138 42.244	0,3
34	40	N63 43.494 W138 42.274	0	46	100	N63 43.521 W138 42.242	0,3
35	45	N63 43.496 W138 42.272	0,61	47	105	N63 43.524 W138 42.240	0,3
36	50	N63 43.499 W138 42.269	0	48	110	N63 43.527 W138 42.237	0,61
37	55	N63 43.501 W138 42.266	0	49	115	N63 43.529 W138 42.235	0,3

Page **20** of **22**

Electrode	m	Lat. Long.	Elevation	Electrode	m	Lat. Long.	Elevation
50	120	N63 43.531 W138 42.233	0,3	63	185	N63 43.565 W138 42.202	5,18
51	125	N63 43.534 W138 42.230	0	64	190	N63 43.567 W138 42.201	6,4
52	130	N63 43.536 W138 42.227	0	65	195	N63 43.569 W138 42.199	7,01
53	135	N63 43.539 W138 42.223	0	66	200	N63 43.573 W138 42.195	7,92
54	140	N63 43.542 W138 42.222	0,3	67	205	N63 43.575 W138 42.193	8,53
55	145	N63 43.544 W138 42.219	0,3	68	210	N63 43.577 W138 42.191	10,06
56	150	N63 43.547 W138 42.218	0,3	69	215	N63 43.579 W138 42.190	10,97
57	155	N63 43.550 W138 42.215	0,91	70	220	N63 43.581 W138 42.187	11,58
58	160	N63 43.552 W138 42.213	1,22	71	225	N63 43.584 W138 42.186	12,8
59	165	N63 43.554 W138 42.210	1,52	72	230	N63 43.586 W138 42.184	12,8
60	170	N63 43.557 W138 42.210	1,83	73	235	N63 43.589 W138 42.181	14,02
61	175	N63 43.559 W138 42.208	2,13	74	240	N63 43.593 W138 42.178	14,63
62	180	N63 43.562 W138 42.205	3,96	75	245	N63 43.595 W138 42.174	14,94

Profile03

Electrode	m	Lat. Long.	Elevation	Electrode	m	Lat. Long.	Elevation
1	0	N63 43.531 W138 42.510	5,79	14	39	N63 43.547 W138 42.479	2,13
2	3	N63 43.532 W138 42.508	5,79	15	42	N63 43.548 W138 42.477	2,13
3	6	N63 43.534 W138 42.506	5,79	16	45	N63 43.550 W138 42.474	1,83
4	9	N63 43.534 W138 42.504	5,18	17	48	N63 43.551 W138 42.471	2,13
5	12	N63 43.536 W138 42.502	4,57	18	51	N63 43.552 W138 42.469	1,52
6	15	N63 43.537 W138 42.500	4,57	19	54	N63 43.553 W138 42.467	1,83
7	18	N63 43.539 W138 42.497	4,27	20	57	N63 43.554 W138 42.464	1,52
8	21	N63 43.540 W138 42.495	4,27	21	60	N63 43.555 W138 42.462	1,22
9	24	N63 43.541 W138 42.492	3,66	22	63	N63 43.556 W138 42.459	1,22
10	27	N63 43.542 W138 42.489	3,05	23	66	N63 43.558 W138 42.457	1,22
11	30	N63 43.543 W138 42.487	2,44	24	69	N63 43.559 W138 42.454	1,22
12	33	N63 43.545 W138 42.484	2,13	25	72	N63 43.560 W138 42.452	0,91
13	36	N63 43.546 W138 42.482	2,44	26	75	N63 43.562 W138 42.448	0,91

Electrode	m	Lat. Long.	Elevation	Electrode	m	Lat. Long.	Elevation
27	70	N63 43.563	0,91			W138 42.381	
	78	W138 42.446		55		N63 43 597	1.22
28		N63 43.564	0,61		162	W138 42 379	.,
	81	W138 42 444	-,	56		N63 43 598	1.83
29		N63 43 565	0.3		165	\N/138 A2 377	1,00
	84	W138 42 441	0,0	57		N63 43 500	1 52
30		N63 43 566	0.61	57	168	1103 43.335	1,52
00	87	100 40.000	0,01	50		VV130 42.374	2.44
24		NG2 42 500	0.61	50	171	NO3 43.001	2,44
31	90	1003 43.000	0,01			VV138 42.372	
20		VV130 42.430	0.0	59	174	N63 43.602	2,44
32	93	N63 43.569	0,3			W138 42.369	
		VV138 42.433		60	177	N63 43.603	2,74
33	96	N63 43.570	0			W138 42.366	
		W138 42.431		61	180	N63 43.604	2,74
34	99	N63 43.571	0,3		100	W138 42.363	
	00	W138 42.428		62	192	N63 43.606	3,66
35	102	N63 43.572	0,91		105	W138 42.361	
	102	W138 42.426		63	100	N63 43.607	4,57
36	105	N63 43.573	0,61		180	W138 42.359	
	105	W138 42.424		64	400	N63 43.608	5,18
37	100	N63 43.575	0		189	W138 42.357	
	108	W138 42.421		65		N63 43 609	64
38		N63 43.576	0.91		192	W138 42 354	0,1
	111	W138 42 419	-1	66		N63 43 610	7 01
39		N63 43 578	0.61		195	1000 40.0 10	7,01
	114	W138 42 416	0,01	67		N63 43 611	7 33
40		N63 43 579	0.61	07	198	103 43.011	1,52
40	117	100 40.070	0,01	69		NE2 42 612	0 50
44		NC2 42 500	0.61	00	201	NO3 43.013	0,00
-41	120	NOJ 43.300	0,01	co		VV138 42.340	0.44
40		VV130 42.411	0.61	09	204	N03 43.014	9,14
42	123	NO3 43.302	0,61			VV138 42.343	
40		VV138 42.409	0.04	70	207	N63 43.615	9,75
43	126	N63 43.583	0,61		201	W138 42.341	
		VV138 42.406		71	210	N63 43.616	10,67
44	129	N63 43.584	0,3		2.10	W138 42.339	
	120	W138 42.404		72	213	N63 43.617	10,97
45	132	N63 43.585	0		215	W138 42.337	
	102	W138 42.401		73	216	N63 43.618	11,28
46	135	N63 43.586	0,3		210	W138 42.335	
	155	W138 42.399		74	040	N63 43.619	11,58
47	120	N63 43.588	0		219	W138 42.332	
	130	W138 42.397		75		N63 43.620	12.19
48		N63 43.589	0		222	W138 42,330	, _, .
	141	W138 42.395					
49		N63 43 590	0.3				
	144	W138 42 392					
50		N63 43 592	0.3				
	147	M/138 42 390	0,0				
51		N63 43 502	0.61				
51	150	1103 -13.333	0,01				
F2		NG2 42 504	0.61				
52	153	NOJ 43.594	0,01				
		VV138 42.386	• • •				
53	156	N63 43.596	0,61				
		W138 42.383					
54	159	N63 43.596	1,22				

La Tierra Resources Ltd. Box 304 - 211 Elliott St. Whitehorse, Yukon Y1A 2A1

November 27, 2010

Daniele Heon Mineral Development Geologist Yukon Geological Survey

Re: Laskey Creek Placer Project, YMIP - 10-0016 Additional Data, as we discussed & for your Nov. 5 email

Daniele,

- 1. Attached please find a 2010 work area map showing trench and test pit locations. Their approximate average dimensions are listed below under item # 5.
- The proposed theory on the possibility of a paleo-channel following the dyke or veins remains partially untested, based on the shallow depths of our testing. The geophysical survey shows a profile on claim Mule 5, line 2, between 165 m and 220 m, that was interpreted by Arctic Geophysics as possibly being (a) colluvium (b) river gravel (c) bedrock. We of course centered our work on the (a) & (b) possibilities. From 190 m and uphill, only fractured broken in-place bedrock was exposed (photo in final report).

We trenched again on line 2, between stations 160 m & 165 m, and here we ran into a chloritic green/grey silt/clay layer that was frozen and we were not able to penetrate. The large vein or dyke showing on the resistivity profile and centred at about 160 metres, I believe tops at about 3 or 4 metres depth under this silt/clay layer. The area immediately laying along the northern side of this structure remains un-tested at depth. I cannot yet rule out the paleo-channel possibility, however it has become less likely. The bedrock schist where exposed in the upper trenching, shows no visual differences to other fragmented pieces of bedrock that we observed from other areas. The IP and resistivity value changes north of the structure remain un-explained, with one possibility being that it may represent a low angle NW/SE striking thrust zone, with the upper unit representing a geological unit with a different geophysical signature.

Note: In the final report... Mule 5 - Line profile # 3 (error) ... should read profile # 2 No work was carried out in the area of survey line # 3.

- 3. The main bulk samples were taken from the area immediately around gps coordinates Latitude 63' 43' 30.5" Longitude 138' 42' 03.2" on Mule # 4 where we set up the larger 4' diameter trammel.
- 4. Attached: Geophysical survey line profiles # 1 (Mule 4) and line # 2 (Mule 5) Showing the areas of trenching and test pits.

-

The area of larger bulk sampling carried out on Mule 4, was over line profile # 1. Trenching and test pits were developed between 115 m and 125 m along this survey line in order to try and reach through the black muck and gravels to bedrock. The survey indicates a depth to bedrock of about 12 metres (over 38 feet), between 115 m and 125 m. The ground was frozen and bedrock was not reached, max depth for a test pit in this area was estimated at 10 to 12 feet in black muck.

5. Bedrock was only reached on claim Mule # 5, survey line # 2 at trench GPS location, Latitude 63' 43' 34.1" Longitude 138' 42' 11.0"

Claim	Sample	Locations	
Name	Latitude	Longitude	Description (Dimensions are in Feet)

Oops 63 43' 29.5" 138 41' 28.8" Trench 12 x 10 x 7' deep. Light brown / yellowish colluvium. Panned heavy's from 15 litres - fine grain to crystalline magnetite up to 1 mm. No Au colours observed.

63 43' 32.2" 138 41' 31.0" Trench 15 x 18 x 8' deep. Colluvium material Panned heavy's from 15 litres – fine grain magnetite, est. > 100 mesh. No Au colours observed.

63 43' 28.6" 138 41' 36.1" Trench 16 x 28 x7.5' deep, Dug in black muck, ran 100 litres of material from a sandy gravel seam in the black muck that varied from 3 to 10 inches thick. The 100 litres were processed through the YGS test trammel. The results showed close to 70 Au colours from this material. The Au grains were slightly elongated with the largest being estimated at 1 mm. A few Au grains were crystalline in form. There was fine grain magnetite, with a few larger grains > 2 mm. In using the word "colour", La Tierra's definition is Au grains that are clearly identifiable as Au, without any visual enhancement or magnifications.

63 43' 28.1" 138 41' 35.0" Trench 15 x 22 x 12' deep, about a 100 litres of material was again processed from these sandy/gravel seams and run through the YGS trammel. Many of these seams contained up to 5 % quartz fragments. The black muck was frozen. 50 +/- Au grains were counted.

Mule 2 63 43' 28.8" 138 41' 38.8" 3 general samples from the black muck were taken around this gps location and run through a larger 4 foot diameter x 20 foot long trammel. Approximately 30 cubic yards were processed in total. The deepest trench 16 x 20 x 8.5' deep was dug through frozen black muck. Poor results were obtained from this muck, with an estimated result of less than 0.1 grams of gold or about 20 colours being observed.

1.

- Mule 3 63 43' 29.1" 138 41' 38.8 Trench 18 x 24 x 5' deep. Frozen black muck. Panned only a few colours and minor very fine grain magnetite from about 15 litres of the black muck. No sandy gravel seams encountered at this sallow depth.
- Mule 4 63 43' 30.5" 138 42' 03.2" Centre of main trenching area, Profile line # 1 trench 30 x 25 x 12' and centred along geophysical line # 1 from station, 115 metres to 125 metres. The maximum depth reached was about 12 feet or 4 metres. Frozen black muck, with a couple of sandy gravel seams ranging from a few inches to 15 inches in thickness, were encountered. Bedrock was not reached.

Approximately 200 cubic yards in total (batches of 40 cubic yards each), of black muck was run through the 4 foot diameter trammel. The concentrate from the large trammel was then processed through the YGS test trammel, with the reduced concentrate then being panned. All panned samples from this test pit were virtually the same ... fine grain magnetite and Au grain counts ranging from just a couple to 15.

63 43' 31.1" 138 42' 02.1" Pit sample from 5 foot depth. 15 litre volume Colluvium, fragmented schist within clayish material. Fine grain magnetite, a couple of Au grains observed. 2% +/- quartz.

63 43' 30.9" 138 42' 02.8" Pit sample from 7 foot depth. 15 litre volume Colluvium, fragmented schist, + sandy / gray fine gravel. Fine grain magnetite, no Au observed, but contained up to 3 or 4 percent quartz.

63 43' 31.0" 138 42' 03.5" Pit sample from 7 foot depth. 15 litre volume Colluvium, fragmented schist with an estimated 4% quartz. Sandy gravel seam material that has oxidized (rusty hematite ?) matrix. Fine to coarse grain magnetite and panned a couple of colours.

63 43' 31.5" 138 42' 04.1" Pit sample from 4 foot depth. 15 litre volume Colluvium, yellow/gray silty clay material. Very small amount of fine grain magnetite, with 8 Au grains observed.

Mule 5 63 43' 34.1" 138 42' 11.0" Trench/pit 12 x 12 x 7' deep on survey line Profile # 2. Fractured quartz, chlorite schist bedrock exposed. Item # 2 above.

63 43' 33.1" 138 42' 10.8" Trench on survey line # 2 exposing green/gray chloritic silt/clay layer. Item # 2 above.

Photos of these two trenches were included in the final report.

- 6. The geophysics proposed in the original planned budget were not carried out because they were going to be survey line extensions and in-fill lines, should trenching and/or auger drilling have exposed colluvium or creek gravels that were gold bearing. The trenching on survey line # 2 exposed only fractured in-place Klondike schist bedrock. Trenching was also carried along survey line # 1 (item 4, above)
- 7. I did not submit a pdf copy of my final report, hard copy only.

Regards,

D.R. (Bud) Davis La Tierra Resources Ltd.

Profile01

Line:	Cross valley				
View:	Upstream				
Electrodes:	75, spacing 3m				
Array:	Schlumberger				
Location:	0m N63° 43.447' W138° 42.077'				
	222m N63° 43.561' W138° 42.017'				

The profile shows the in the area typical stratification of humus-muck-gravel on top of bedrock. The data points to schist as bedrock.

Underneath the left (north facing) slope and the bottom of the valley the ground is frozen; at the right (south facing) slope starting at 130m in the profile the material is thawed.

On the left slope the **bedrock** interface could be at either 4m or 10m, in reality. The turquoise colored area at the left limit of the profile from 15 to 30m could, according to its resistivity values, be either thawed colluvial gravel or thawed bedrock. The existence of the thawed gravel is not very probable; it is much more likely that it is bedrock which

Profile02

Line:	Cross valley					
View:	Upstream					
Electrodes:	50, spacing 5m					
Array:	Schlumberger					
Location:	0m N63° 43.474' W138° 42.299'					
	245m N63° 43 595' W138° 42 174'					

The profile shows a peak-like bedrock topography (peak at 160m) with an asymmetrical layering of the sediments on both sides.

With the exception of the overlaying humus the ground is fully frozen.

On the left side till approximately 150m the profile shows a wedge shaped sedimentation. It looks like the Laskey Creek pouring in towards the right deposited a thick layer of gravel and muck at this location. On the right hand side the influence of Laskey Creek diminishes and the sediments should contain an increasing amount of gravel from the unnamed tributary, which runs perpendicular to the profile.