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## Introduction and Terms of Reference

Breakaway Exploration Management Inc. ("Breakaway") was engaged by Taku Gold. Corp. ("Taku") to complete and report on an electromagnetic survey followed by prospecting and sampling work at the Lions zone ("Lions") located on the Sulphur property ("Sulphur" or the "Property") in Yukon in 2015. The Lions zone is northwest-trending soil geochemical anomaly defined by elevated arsenic, gold, and silver values. The main goal of the work was to identify geophysical features that may outline gold-bearing bedrock structures that give rise to the Lions zone geochemical anomaly. This memorandum report was prepared to complete statutory assessment work filings, and is accompanied by "Statement of Work" forms, as required under the Yukon Quartz Mining Act. It is not intended to and does not fully comply with National Instrument 43-101. The work program was funded in part by Yukon Mining Exploration Program ("YMEP") Grant No. 15-070. The work done generally followed the original exploration proposal. However the prospecting and sampling part of the planned work was hampered by thick overburden and did not provide the expected number of samples.

## Location, Property Information and Access

The Sulphur property is located approximately 45km southeast of Dawson City in the Klondike gold camp of Yukon (Figure 1). Sulphur Creek is the most obvious topographical feature in the area. The approximate center of the Property is described by $138^{\circ} 49^{\prime}$ West Longitude and $63^{\circ} 42^{\prime}$ North Latitude on N.T.S. Sheets $115010 \& 115014$. The Property covers an approximate area of 11,344 hectares within the Dawson Mining Division, and includes 543 contiguous, un-surveyed mineral titles (Figure 2) listed in the following table.

Table 1 - List of Claims

| Claim Name | Tag No. | Expiry Date | $\#$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SU 1 to 452 | YD28201 to YD28652 | 14-Mar-19 | 452 |
| US 1 to 84 | YD06601 to YD06684 | 14-Mar-19 | 84 |
| US 85 to 91 | YD17723 to YD17729 | 14-Mart-18 | 7 |

Taku Gold Corp. holds a $100 \%$ interest in the claims subject only to a $2 \%$ Net Smelter Return royalty on all smeltable minerals or metals extracted from the claims payable to a local prospecting syndicate. Taku has the right to purchase one-half (or $1 \%$ ) of the royalty for $\$ 1,000,000$ cash.

The Sulphur Creek road provides relatively good summer access to the Property from Dawson City. There are a number of old cat trails that lead into the claims and provide limited access to heavy equipment. A helicopter however is required to reach most parts of the Property. The Property can be worked from Dawson City by truck or from an exploration camp set up on or near the Property. A camp can be supported from Dawson City, where services are limited, or from Whitehorse where a full range of services are available including line-cutting, geophysics, drilling, assaying, aircraft charters etc.

## Previous Work

There is an extensive history of placer mining on Sulphur Creek and there are at least four seasonal placer mines currently in operation. A review of the Yukon Geological Survey MINFILE database however shows that previous hard rock (or quartz) exploration work on the Property was very limited (Southam, 1995a and 1995b; Ouellette and Couttes 1987a and 1987b).

After staking Sulphur in 2010, Taku completed a multi-sensor airborne geophysical survey over the entire Property. A total of 1,292 line kilometers of data were collected at 100 m line spacing by Precision Geosurveys Inc. of Vancouver, British Columbia (Poon, 2010). In 2011, Taku collected a total of 6,408 soil samples with hand augers on predetermined GPS traverse lines and detailed grids (Fekete and Dubois, 2011). Five gold zones were defined from the grid sample results and trenching was done at three of the five zones. In 2012 a total of 1,033 metres of NQ diameter drilling was done in seven holes. Six holes were drilled in the Lions area and one in the Blues area (Fekete and Huber, 2012). Significant intersections are listed in Table 2 below:



## SULPHUR PROPERTY

Figure 2. CLAIM MAP

Table 2-2012 Significant Drill Intersections

| Hole | Zone | From (m) | Length (m) | Wt.Avg. (gpt Au) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SU12-01 | Lions | 50.0 | 2.0 | 0.31 |
| SU12-02 | Lions | 58.0 | 5.0 | 0.12 |
| SU12-04 | Lions | 75.0 | 2.0 | 0.14 |
| SU12-06 | Lions | 56.0 | 2.0 | 0.14 |
| SU12-07 | Blues Z1 | 39.0 | 3.0 | 0.32 |
|  | Blues Z2 | 50.0 | 6.0 | 0.19 |
|  | Blues Z3 | 72.0 | 1.0 | 0.72 |

In 2013 Taku completed High definition DC ("HRDC") induced polarization ("IP") and resistivity ("Res") surveys at the Blues zone followed by Geoprobe ${ }^{\circledR}$ geochemical sampling. Both of these methods were developed and are offered by Ground Truth Exploration Inc. of Dawson City, Yukon as a technique to upgrade soil geochemical anomalies to a high confidence drill targets. This approach was used successfully at the Blues zone in 2013 to identify three discreet target structures marked by resistivity contrasts and strongly anomalous Geoprobe ${ }^{\circledR}$ results (Fekete and Huber, 2014). However, the IP-Res survey in particular was found to be cost prohibitive at approximately $\$ 12,000$ per line kilometre. Since 2013 Taku has been looking for an alternative geophysical method to replace the HRDC IP-Res survey.

## Geological Context and Deposit Model

The Property lies within the Yukon-Tanana Terrane (Figure 3), where large areas have little to no bedrock exposure so consequently mapping of the area remains poorly understood. Generally it consists of several successions of layered sedimentary and volcanic rocks ranging from Late Proterozoic to Late Permian age that overlay the older Nisling Terrane. These complexly deformed layered rocks have been episodically intruded by various intrusive rocks in the Permian, Jurassic, Cretaceous and Tertiary periods. The intrusive events have been accompanied by volcanic activity especially in the Upper Jurassic to Lower Cretaceous. The Yukon-Tanana has been subjected to numerous prolonged deformational events including subduction and accretion that has led to significant structural thickening. Imbricated allochthonous terranes such as Slide Mountain Terrane are evidenced by altered ultramafic fragments.

The Property lies within the Klondike-White Gold district of the Stewart River area (Figure 4). The district has been interpreted to be underlain by the Klondike assemblage which is comprised of strongly deformed and altered mafic to felsic metavolcanic rocks and as well as deformed subvolcanic and plutonic equivalents, together with interlayered non-carbonaceous metasediments. This assemblage has been emplaced as a stack of three distinct thrust plates over rocks of the Late Devonian Early Mississippian Nasina assemblage (Mortensen, 1996).

According to the most recent mapping and compilation of the Stewart River area by Ryan and Gordy (2005), the majority of the Property is underlain by the Permian Klondike Schist (Pks) unit (Figure 5). This rock assemblage consists of muscovite-chlorite-quartz-feldspar schists and chlorite schists, phyllites and phyllonites. The northeastern parts of the Sulphur West block and most of the Sulphur East block are underlain by the Sulphur Creek Orthogneiss (Pogq) which consists of Permian orthogneiss derived from quartz monzonite.

The property lies within underexplored Klondike-White Gold district of the loosely defined Tintina Gold Belt. This metallurgical province has past production of 29.9 million ounces and 39.3 million ounces, for total gold resources of 69.2 million ounces. Notable gold deposits are Donlin Creek, Ft. Knox, Pogo and Brewery Creek. The Klondike-White Gold district lies within the larger Dawson Range area where a number of known gold and porphyry copper deposits show a wide range of styles, geological settings and geochemical associations. Taku's exploration effort at Sulphur is not adhering to any firm deposit model but is instead based on practical survey methods that generate drill targets and have led to discoveries by other groups working in the area.


Figure 3 - Yukon Tectonic Map
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## 2015 Exploration

The exploration work in 2015 was completed in two parts by geologists Mark Fekete and Marty Huber (the "Authors"). The first part of the work was completed over 10 days from May 15 To May 29 and included the electromagnetic survey. This work was done from a trailer camp set up just off the Property with travel by truck to and from the Lions zone each day. The second part of the work was completed over five days from July 15 to August 2. This work was done from a trailer camp set up at the Klondike River Campground with travel by truck to and from the Lions zone each day. A detailed "Statement of Work" form is included herein as Appendix A. The 2015 work (Figure 7) covered mineral claims US 1 to 23 (YD06601 to YD06623), US 27 (YD06627) and US 86 to 90 (YD17724 to YD177728).

It is the Authors' opinion that the sampling procedures, security measures, sample preparations and analytical methods applied to the rock samples were diligently followed and are adequate to meet industry standards commonly accepted for this level of exploration. The Authors have relied upon the adequacy and accuracy of the analytical results provided by the contracted laboratories. Independent verification of those results has not been undertaken. The Author's reconciled the field data with the analytical results and found no irregularities.

## VLF-Electromagnetic Survey

The Very Low Frequency Electromagnetic ("VLF-EM") method has been in use since the 1960's (Milsom, 1996). The VLF-EM method uses the signals broadcast from radio transmitters operating in $15-30 \mathrm{kHz}$ frequency bandwidth set up in different parts of the world for military communications and navigation systems. The signals broadcast from these remote stations consist of a vertical electric field component and a horizontal magnetic field component each perpendicular to the direction of propagation. The broadcast signals are very powerful and induce electric currents in conductive bodies thousands of kilometers away. The induced currents produce secondary magnetic fields that can be detected at the surface by instruments like the Geonics EM-16 that effectively measure the deviation of the measured signal from the normal level. This deviation is a direct result of subsurface geological features such as conductive bodies, faults etc. VLF works best where the "coupling" angle between the long axis of the subsurface feature and the remote transmitting station is zero. Due to the fixed location of the transmitters it is difficult to find a station that provides a perfect coupling angle. Often data from two stations is collected.

The Geonics EM-16 records the tilt angle of the in-phase and quadrature components of the secondary VLF field as percentages of the primary field. Conductors can be located when the sign of the tilt angle changes from positive to negative giving a "crossover" as the instrument passes over the conductor. Traditionally the in-phase and quadrature components were plotted on plan maps as stacked profiles of the tilt angle; and conductors were identified by connecting the crossovers from line to line. The asymmetry of tilt angle data makes it awkward to contour and visually difficult to interpret. VLF data also tends to be noisy with minor anomalies adding complications to interpretation. Maps are easier to understand visually if crossovers are converted to peaks and noise is smoothed out by using filtering equations that average adjacent data points. Filtered data is easy to contour and anomalies, positive or negative, are easy to identify. The most commonly used filtering equation was developed by Fraser (1969) as a measure of horizontal gradient.

A more complex filter was developed by Karous and Hjelt (1977) that gives apparent current flow densities at different depths with areas of strong current density corresponding to conductors. K-H filtered data can be plotted on "real" (in-phase) and "imaginary" (quadrature) pseudo-sections that can be used to estimate conductor depth and dip. Apparent resistivity can also be calculated. K-H filtering is difficult to plot without a microprocessor. Furthermore K-H pseudo-sections predict possible rather than actual current flow scenarios. Shaun Parent of Superior Exploration Adventure \& Climbing Co. Ltd. ("Superior") of Sault Ste. Marie, Ontario helped to develop EMTOMO VLF software with Fernando Santos of Lisbon Portugal. This software allows VLF data to be quickly plotted into profiles, Fraser filtered maps, and K-H pseudo-sections. More importantly the software allows one to produce a model response for a given transmitting station. The software also allows drill targets to be plotted on the K-H and modeled sections, and multiple sections can be viewed in 3-D. In summary it is a very powerful interpretative tool for defining prospecting, trenching and drill targets from VLF data.

The VLF-EM survey was completed on 25 predetermined GPS traverse lines spaced 100m apart and oriented at N090. Sample stations were spaced every 25 m . The survey lines varied from 900 to 200 metres long for a total surveyed length of 43.7 kilometres (Figure 7). HP iPAQ 200 series field computers running GeoInfoMobile and Tierra Mapper software paired with Holux GPS receivers in map datum UTM WGS84 Zone 7N were used for point-to-point navigation, and to record real-time GPS locations, VLF readings and other attribute data. Geonics EM-16 receivers were used to determine VLF-EM readings utilizing the signals from two stations (NPM Lualualei, Hawaii transmitting at 21.4 kHz , and NLK Seattle, Washington transmitting at 24.8 kHz ). On May 20 the NPM Hawaii station was not transmitting so no readings were obtained for the five lines at the north end of the survey area ( 3000 mN to 3400 mN inclusive). The survey is more fully described the report completed by Joel Dubé (Dubé) of Dynamic Discovery Geosciences Ltd. ("Dynamic") of Ottawa, Ontario attached as Appendix E. Mr. Dubé also re-interpreted the airborne magnetic and radiometric data (Figure 8) collected on the Property in 2010 Precision GeoSurveys Inc. (Poon, 2010).

Superior then used the VLF-EM data to plot K-H sections and resistivity profiles, and to prepare predictive models sections (Appendix E) relative to topography.

The primary goal of the survey was to identify geophysical features that may outline gold-bearing bedrock structures that give rise to the Lions zone geochemical anomaly. Integral to this goal is the generation of specific targets for prospecting and sampling, trenching and drilling.

## Prospecting and Sampling

Six man days were spent by the Authors prospecting and sampling along the length of the most prospective VLF-EM anomalies (VLF-05, 04, 08, 13 and 06 in order of priority) outlined on the Lions zone. This work was frustrated by an almost complete lack of outcrop. Only three rock samples were collected (Figure 7). Sample locations were tagged in the field and recorded with HP iPAQ 200 series field computers running GeoInfoMobile and Tierra Mapper software paired with Holux GPS receivers in map datum UTM WGS84 Zone 7N. Sample locations and descriptions are included as Appendix B. Samples were placed in heavyduty plastic bags with the appropriate sample numbers marked in indelible ink. Samples were then sealed in rice bags and delivered to Bourlamaque Analytical Laboratories Ltd. ("Bourlamaque") in Val-d'Or, Quebec for analysis. Samples were crushed, and 250 g split and pulverized to -200 mesh, and analyzed for gold by 30 gram fire assay with atomic absorption finish (Appendix C).

## Interpretation and Conclusions

Dubé interpreted 15 VLF-EM anomalies based on the strength of the VLF-EM conductor, its continuity over several lines, its association to a magnetic lineament or its location close to a structural feature possibly favourable to mineralisation. Each anomaly was assigned a priority number from 1 to 3 with 1 being the best. The Authors then examined the spatial association of each VLF-EM conductor with elevated gold and arsenic soil geochemical responses. The best conductors with respect to elevated gold and arsenic in soil are indicated in bold face in Table 3 below.

The most prospective VLF anomaly is VLF-05 which continues over a length of 1,300 metres and may extend an additional 600 m to the southeast as VLF-04. The axes of these two anomalies are roughly coincident with the ridgeline of a prominent north-trending ridge. It is important to mention that strong topographic features are known to affect the VLF-EM such that ridges may cause a response typical of a conductor. The ridge spatially related to the VLF-04 and 05 axes may contribute, at least in part, to the VLF-EM response of these geophysical features.

Prospecting and sampling over the best conductors was hindered by an almost complete lack of outcrop. None of the only three rock samples returned significant gold values (Appendix 3). However in the course of the prospecting it became apparent to the Authors that there is more downslope displacement in the soils than had been previously anticipated. It now appears certain that the trenching done in 2011 and the drilling done in 2012, both targeted directly over gold and arsenic anomalies in soil, did not adequately test prospective structures adjacent to the soil anomalies. Indeed it seems that all but one of the six drill holes in fact were drilled away from VLF-05.

At the south end of VLF-05 ( $1600 \mathrm{mN}, 1700 \mathrm{mN}$ and 1800 mN ) the gold-arsenic soil anomaly is displaced 200 m downslope to the east (Figures 10 and 11). In contrast further north ( 2200 mN ), the gold-arsenic soil anomaly is displaced 75 m downslope to the west. And again further north $(2800 \mathrm{mN})$ the gold-arsenic soil anomaly is displaced 50 m downslope to the west.

Table 3 - Interpreted VLF anomalies with respect to elevated Au-As in soil

| ID | Length (m) | Priority | Comments | Au-As Geochem Assoc. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VLF-01 | 100 | 3 | Moderate end of line anomaly (not well defined marginal). Possible cultural anomaly related to road. Open at both to north and south. | Weak |
| VLF-02 | 500 | N/A | Cultural anomaly caused by power line. | N/A |
| VLF-03 | 100 | 3 | Weak to moderate VLF-EM conductor. Associated to a weak magnetic high. | Weak |
| VLF-04 | 600 | 2 | Weak to moderate VLF-EM conductor. No clear magnetic expression. Associated to topographic ridge. Possible continuity of the VLF-5 conductor. Open to south. | Moderate: possible SE extension of VLF-05 |
| VLF-05 | 1,300 | 1 | Weak to strong VLF-EM conductor. Locally associated to strong magnetic high. Associated to topographic ridge. Possible continuity of the VLF-4 conductor. | Strong: south end downslope 200 m east; north end downslope 75m west |
| VLF-06 | 500 | 2 | Weak to moderate VLF-EM conductor. No clear magnetic expression. Open to south. | Moderate: not covered but possible south extent of VLF-08 |
| VLF-07 | 700 | 3 | Weak to moderate VLF-EM conductor. No clear magnetic expression. | Not covered |
| VLF-08 | 600 | 2 | Weak to moderate VLF-EM conductor. Locally associated to strong magnetic high. | Moderate: anomalies along entire length |
| VLF-09 | 300 | 2 | Moderate VLF-EM conductor. No clear magnetic expression. Possibly associated to the VLF-5 conductor. | Moderate: centered on anomaly (due to VLF05?) |
| VLF-10 | 200 | 2 | Weak to moderate VLF-EM conductor. No clear magnetic expression. Located near potassium anomaly. | Weak |
| VLF-11 | 1,200 | 2 | Weak to moderate VLF-EM conductor. No clear magnetic expression. Passes across potassium anomaly. | Moderate: spot anomaly at north end (due to VLF-05?) |
| VLF-12 | 200 | 2 | Weak to moderate VLF-EM conductor. No clear magnetic expression. | Weak |
| VLF-13 | 700 | 2 | Weak to moderate VLF-EM conductor. Locally associated to magnetic high. | Moderate: anomalies along entire length |
| VLF-14 | 300 | 2 | Weak to moderate VLF-EM conductor. No magnetic expression. | Moderate: spot anomaly at north end |
| VLF-15 | N/A | 3 | Moderate VLF-EM conductor. No magnetic expression. Open to north. | Weak |

The modelling done by Superior on VLF-05 (Appendix E) predicts strong current flow (i.e. conductivity) near ridgeline upslope from the gold-arsenic geochemical anomalies. Moreover the modelling predicts the strongest current flow at 50 to 75 m below surface. Also the apparent resistivity sections all show sharp drops moving from east to west over VLF-05. This interpretative work supports the idea that VLF-05 represents an untested bedrock structure that is prospective for gold mineralization.

The re-interpretation of the airborne magnetic and radiometric data by Dubé identifies two distinct families of magnetic lineaments in the area of the VLF-EM survey. The first strikes generally at N100E and is probably an expression of the bedrock stratigraphy mapped at this orientation by Ryan and Gordy (2005). The second family of magnetic lineaments trends generally at N170E subparallel to the best VLF-EM conductors (VLF-05, 04, 08, 13 and 06). The Authors propose that this second family of magnetic lineaments together with the best VLF-EM conductors is an expression of and is caused by a bedrock structural feature that cross cuts (and probably post-dates) the bedrock stratigraphy.






## Recommendations

The 2015 work met its primary goal of identifying a geophysical feature adjacent to the Lions zone soil geochemical anomaly that is the probable cause of the soil anomaly and is prospective as a gold-bearing bedrock structure. Moreover previous trenching and drilling appears to have focused directly over the soil anomaly and did not test the prospective geophysical feature (VLF-05). The results of the work described in this Report merit further work. Specifically it is recommended that VLF-05 be tested for gold mineralization by drilling. A total of 500 m in three holes are recommended with two holes on line 1700 mN and one hole on either 1600 mN or 1800 mN . Drilling in the Dawson area is relatively expensive due mainly to helicopter costs and water supply issues. It is estimated that the proposed 500 m drill program will cost $\$ 150,000$ based on all-in expenses of $\$ 300$ per metre.
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## Appendix A - Statement of Work Expenditures

APPLICATION FOR A CERTIFICATE OF WORK

Client I.D. Number: $\qquad$

1. I am the owner, or agent of the owner, of the mineral claim(s) to which reference is made herein.
2. I have done, or caused to be done, work, on the following mineral claim(s): (Here list claims on which work was actually done by number and name)

See attached schedule
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
situated at left limit of Sulphur Creek Claim sheet No. 115010 \& 115014
in the Dawson $\qquad$ Mining District, to the value of at least $\underline{22,082.54}$ dollars,
since the 15 th
day of May
2015 $\qquad$
to represent the following mineral claims under the authority of Grouping Certificate No. HD 03393
(Here list claims to be renewed in numerical order, by grant number and claim name, showing renewal period requested).

See attached schedule
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
3. The following is a detailed statement of such work: (Set out full particulars of the work done indicating dates work commenced and ended in the twelve months in which such work is required to be done as shown by Section 56).

Geophysics (VLF-EM) - 43.7 km completed over 10 day period from May 15 to 29, 2015
Prospecting - 5 days prospecting and sampling from July 15 to August 2, 2015
Report compilation and writing - 4 days in September 2005
(See attached Project Allocation Detail)

Sworn before me at ___ this day of ___ 20 .

## Taku Proj Mang

Project Allocation Detail

| Item | Date | Supplier | Invoice No. | Amount | Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lions Zone - Geophysics |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5250 Geophysics - Wages \& Contract |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 06-01-2013 | BXM | 1090 | \$7,000.00 |  |
|  | 07-30-2015 | Dynamic | 2015161 | \$2,550.00 |  |
|  | 09-03-2015 | Superior | 20150903 | \$2,162.50 |  |
| 5251 Geophysics - F\&L |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 06-01-2013 | BXM | 1090 | \$556.20 |  |
| 5253 Geophysics - Transport |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 06-01-2013 | BXM | 1090 | \$960.30 |  |
| 5254 Geophysics - Rentals |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 06-01-2013 | BXM | 1090 | \$1,370.00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | \$14,599.00 |
| Lions Zone - Prospecting \& Sampling |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5550 Prospecting - Wages and Contract |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 08-25-2015 | BXM | 1097 | \$3,500.00 |  |
| 5551 Prospecting - F\&L |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 08-25-2015 | BXM | 1097 | \$1,423.48 |  |
| 5553 Prospecting - Transport |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 08-25-2015 | BXM | 1097 | \$600.00 |  |
| 5554 Prospecting - Rentals |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 08-25-2015 | BXM | 1097 | \$350.00 |  |
| 5555 Prospecting - Drafting |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12-08-2015 | BXM | 1103 | \$1,538.84 |  |
| 5556 Prospecting - Assays |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 08-14-2015 | Bourlamaque | B15-0714 | \$71.22 |  |

Claim List for Cert of Work 2015 Sulphur

|  | Claim Information |  |  |  | Actual Work Done by Claim |  | Renewal |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type | Grant No. | Claim Name | Claim No. | Expiry Date | Geophysics | Prospect | Years |  | Fee |  |  |
| Quartz | YD06601 | US | 1 | 14-Mar-19 | \$503.41 | \$258.05 | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06602 | US | 2 | 14-Mar-19 | \$503.41 | \$258.05 | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06603 | US | 3 | 14-Mar-19 | \$503.41 | \$258.05 | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06604 | US | 4 | 14-Mar-19 | \$503.41 | \$258.05 | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06605 | US | 5 | 14-Mar-19 | \$503.41 | \$258.05 | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06606 | US | 6 | 14-Mar-19 | \$503.41 | \$258.05 | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06607 | US | 7 | 14-Mar-19 | \$503.41 | \$258.05 | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06608 | US | 8 | 14-Mar-19 | \$503.41 | \$258.05 | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06609 | US | 9 | 14-Mar-19 | \$503.41 | \$258.05 | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06610 | US | 10 | 14-Mar-19 | \$503.41 | \$258.05 | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06611 | US | 11 | 14-Mar-19 | \$503.41 | \$258.05 | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06612 | US | 12 | 14-Mar-19 | \$503.41 | \$258.05 | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06613 | US | 13 | 14-Mar-19 | \$503.41 | \$258.05 | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06614 | US | 14 | 14-Mar-19 | \$503.41 | \$258.05 | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06615 | US | 15 | 14-Mar-19 | \$503.41 | \$258.05 |  | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06616 | US | 16 | 14-Mar-19 | \$503.41 | \$258.05 | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06617 | US | 17 | 14-Mar-19 | \$503.41 | \$258.05 | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06618 | US | 18 | 14-Mar-19 | \$503.41 | \$258.05 | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06619 | US | 19 | 14-Mar-19 | \$503.41 | \$258.05 |  | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06620 | US | 20 | 14-Mar-19 | \$503.41 | \$258.05 | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06621 | US | 21 | 14-Mar-19 | \$503.41 | \$258.05 | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06622 | US | 22 | 14-Mar-19 | \$503.41 | \$258.05 | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06623 | US | 23 | 14-Mar-19 | \$503.41 | \$258.05 | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06624 | US | 24 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06625 | US | 25 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06626 | US | 26 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06627 | US | 27 | 14-Mar-19 | \$503.41 | \$258.05 | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06628 | US | 28 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06629 | US | 29 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06630 | US | 30 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06631 | US | 31 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06632 | US | 32 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06633 | US | 33 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06634 | US | 34 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06635 | US | 35 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06636 | US | 36 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06637 | US | 37 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06638 | US | 38 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06639 | US | 39 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06640 | US | 40 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06641 | US | 41 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06642 | US | 42 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06643 | US | 43 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06644 | US | 44 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06645 | US | 45 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06646 | US | 46 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06647 | US | 47 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06648 | US | 48 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06649 | US | 49 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06650 | US | 50 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06651 | US | 51 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06652 | US | 52 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06653 | US | 53 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06654 | US | 54 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06655 | US | 55 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06656 | US | 56 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06657 | US | 57 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06658 | US | 58 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06659 | US | 59 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06660 | US | 60 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06661 | US | 61 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06662 | US | 62 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06663 | US | 63 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06664 | US | 64 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06665 | US | 65 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06666 | US | 66 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06667 | US | 67 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06668 | US | 68 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06669 | US | 69 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06670 | US | 70 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06671 | US | 71 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06672 | US | 72 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06673 | US | 73 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06674 | US | 74 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06675 | US | 75 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06676 | US | 76 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06677 | US | 77 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06678 | US | 78 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06679 | US | 79 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |

Claim List for Cert of Work 2015 Sulphur

|  | Claim Information |  |  |  | Actual Work Done by Claim |  |  | Renewal |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type | Grant No. | Claim <br> Name | Claim No. | Expiry Date | Geophysics | Prospect |  | Years |  | Fee |  |  |
| Quartz | YD06680 | US | 80 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06681 | US | 81 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06682 | US | 82 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06683 | US | 83 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD06684 | US | 84 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD17723 | US | 85 | 14-Mar-18 |  |  |  | 2 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 10.00 |
| Quartz | YD17724 | US | 86 | 14-Mar-18 | \$503.41 | \$258.05 |  | 2 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 10.00 |
| Quartz | YD17725 | US | 87 | 14-Mar-18 | \$503.41 | \$258.05 |  | 2 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 10.00 |
| Quartz | YD17726 | US | 88 | 14-Mar-18 | \$503.41 | \$258.05 |  | 2 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 10.00 |
| Quartz | YD17727 | US | 89 | 14-Mar-18 | \$503.41 | \$258.05 |  | 2 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 10.00 |
| Quartz | YD17728 | US | 90 | 14-Mar-18 | \$503.41 | \$258.05 |  | 2 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 10.00 |
| Quartz | YD17729 | US | 91 | 14-Mar-18 |  |  |  | 2 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 10.00 |
| Quartz | YD28201 | SU | 1 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28202 | SU | 2 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28203 | SU | 3 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28204 | SU | 4 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28205 | SU | 5 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28206 | SU | 6 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28207 | SU | 7 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28208 | SU | 8 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28209 | SU | 9 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28210 | SU | 10 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28211 | SU | 11 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28212 | SU | 12 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28213 | SU | 13 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28214 | SU | 14 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28215 | SU | 15 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28216 | SU | 16 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28217 | SU | 17 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28218 | SU | 18 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28219 | SU | 19 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28220 | SU | 20 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28221 | SU | 21 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28222 | SU | 22 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28223 | SU | 23 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28224 | SU | 24 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28225 | SU | 25 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28226 | SU | 26 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28227 | SU | 27 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28228 | SU | 28 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28229 | SU | 29 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28230 | SU | 30 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28231 | SU | 31 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28232 | SU | 32 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28233 | SU | 33 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28234 | SU | 34 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28235 | SU | 35 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28236 | SU | 36 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28237 | SU | 37 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28238 | SU | 38 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28239 | SU | 39 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28240 | SU | 40 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28241 | SU | 41 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28242 | SU | 42 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28243 | SU | 43 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28244 | SU | 44 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28245 | SU | 45 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28246 | SU | 46 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28247 | SU | 47 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28248 | SU | 48 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28249 | SU | 49 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28250 | SU | 50 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28251 | SU | 51 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28252 | SU | 52 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28253 | SU | 53 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28254 | SU | 54 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28255 | SU | 55 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28256 | SU | 56 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28257 | SU | 57 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28258 | SU | 58 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28259 | SU | 59 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28260 | SU | 60 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28261 | SU | 61 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28262 | SU | 62 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28263 | SU | 63 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28264 | SU | 64 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28265 | SU | 65 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28266 | SU | 66 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28267 | SU | 67 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |

Claim List for Cert of Work 2015 Sulphur


Claim List for Cert of Work 2015 Sulphur

|  | Claim Information |  |  |  | Actual Work Done by Claim |  | Renewal |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type | Grant No. | Claim Name | Claim No. | Expiry Date | Geophysics | Prospect | Years |  | al Fee |  |  |
| Quartz | YD28347 | SU | 147 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28348 | SU | 148 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28349 | SU | 149 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28350 | SU | 150 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28351 | SU | 151 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28352 | SU | 152 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28353 | SU | 153 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28354 | SU | 154 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28355 | SU | 155 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28356 | SU | 156 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28357 | SU | 157 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28358 | SU | 158 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28359 | SU | 159 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28360 | SU | 160 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28361 | SU | 161 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28362 | SU | 162 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28363 | SU | 163 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28364 | SU | 164 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28365 | SU | 165 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28366 | SU | 166 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28367 | SU | 167 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28368 | SU | 168 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28369 | SU | 169 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28370 | SU | 170 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28371 | SU | 171 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28372 | SU | 172 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28373 | SU | 173 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28374 | SU | 174 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28375 | SU | 175 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28376 | SU | 176 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28377 | SU | 177 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28378 | SU | 178 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28379 | SU | 179 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28380 | SU | 180 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28381 | SU | 181 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28382 | SU | 182 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28383 | SU | 183 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28384 | SU | 184 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28385 | SU | 185 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28386 | SU | 186 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28387 | SU | 187 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28388 | SU | 188 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28389 | SU | 189 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28390 | SU | 190 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28391 | SU | 191 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28392 | SU | 192 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28393 | SU | 193 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28394 | SU | 194 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28395 | SU | 195 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28396 | SU | 196 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28397 | SU | 197 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28398 | SU | 198 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28399 | SU | 199 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28400 | SU | 200 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28401 | SU | 201 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28402 | SU | 202 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28403 | SU | 203 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28404 | SU | 204 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28405 | SU | 205 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28406 | SU | 206 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28407 | SU | 207 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28408 | SU | 208 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28409 | SU | 209 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28410 | SU | 210 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28411 | SU | 211 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28412 | SU | 212 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28413 | SU | 213 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28414 | SU | 214 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28415 | SU | 215 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28416 | SU | 216 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28417 | SU | 217 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28418 | SU | 218 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28419 | SU | 219 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28420 | SU | 220 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28421 | SU | 221 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28422 | SU | 222 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28423 | SU | 223 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28424 | SU | 224 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28425 | SU | 225 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |

Claim List for Cert of Work 2015 Sulphur

|  | Claim Information |  |  |  | Actual Work Done by Claim |  | Renewal |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type | Grant No. | Claim Name | Claim No. | Expiry Date | Geophysics | Prospect | Years |  | al Fee |  |  |
| Quartz | YD28426 | SU | 226 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28427 | SU | 227 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28428 | SU | 228 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28429 | SU | 229 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28430 | SU | 230 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28431 | SU | 231 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28432 | SU | 232 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28433 | SU | 233 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28434 | SU | 234 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28435 | SU | 235 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28436 | SU | 236 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28437 | SU | 237 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28438 | SU | 238 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28439 | SU | 239 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28440 | SU | 240 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28441 | SU | 241 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28442 | SU | 242 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28443 | SU | 243 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28444 | SU | 244 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28445 | SU | 245 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28446 | SU | 246 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28447 | SU | 247 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28448 | SU | 248 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28449 | SU | 249 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28450 | SU | 250 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28451 | SU | 251 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28452 | SU | 252 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28453 | SU | 253 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28454 | SU | 254 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28455 | SU | 255 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28456 | SU | 256 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28457 | SU | 257 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28458 | SU | 258 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28459 | SU | 259 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28460 | SU | 260 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28461 | SU | 261 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28462 | SU | 262 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28463 | SU | 263 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28464 | SU | 264 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28465 | SU | 265 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28466 | SU | 266 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28467 | SU | 267 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28468 | SU | 268 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28469 | SU | 269 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28470 | SU | 270 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28471 | SU | 271 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28472 | SU | 272 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28473 | SU | 273 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28474 | SU | 274 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28475 | SU | 275 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28476 | SU | 276 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28477 | SU | 277 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28478 | SU | 278 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28479 | SU | 279 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28480 | SU | 280 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28481 | SU | 281 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28482 | SU | 282 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28483 | SU | 283 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28484 | SU | 284 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28485 | SU | 285 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28486 | SU | 286 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28487 | SU | 287 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28488 | SU | 288 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28489 | SU | 289 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28490 | SU | 290 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28491 | SU | 291 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28492 | SU | 292 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28493 | SU | 293 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28494 | SU | 294 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28495 | SU | 295 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28496 | SU | 296 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28497 | SU | 297 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28498 | SU | 298 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28499 | SU | 299 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28500 | SU | 300 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28501 | SU | 301 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28502 | SU | 302 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28503 | SU | 303 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28504 | SU | 304 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |

Claim List for Cert of Work 2015 Sulphur

|  | Claim Information |  |  |  | Actual Work Done by Claim |  |  | Renewal |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type | Grant No. | Claim <br> Name | Claim No. | Expiry Date | Geophysics | Prospect |  | Years |  | al Fee |  |  |
| Quartz | YD28505 | SU | 305 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28506 | SU | 306 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28507 | SU | 307 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28508 | SU | 308 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28509 | SU | 309 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28510 | SU | 310 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28511 | SU | 311 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28512 | SU | 312 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28513 | SU | 313 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28514 | SU | 314 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28515 | SU | 315 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28516 | SU | 316 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28517 | SU | 317 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28518 | SU | 318 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28519 | SU | 319 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28520 | SU | 320 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28521 | SU | 321 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28522 | SU | 322 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28523 | SU | 323 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28524 | SU | 324 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28525 | SU | 325 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28526 | SU | 326 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28527 | SU | 327 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28528 | SU | 328 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28529 | SU | 329 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28530 | SU | 330 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28531 | SU | 331 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28532 | SU | 332 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28533 | SU | 333 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28534 | SU | 334 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28535 | SU | 335 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28536 | SU | 336 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28537 | SU | 337 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28538 | SU | 338 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28539 | SU | 339 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28540 | SU | 340 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28541 | SU | 341 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28542 | SU | 342 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28543 | SU | 343 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28544 | SU | 344 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28545 | SU | 345 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28546 | SU | 346 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28547 | SU | 347 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28548 | SU | 348 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28549 | SU | 349 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28550 | SU | 350 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28551 | SU | 351 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28552 | SU | 352 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28553 | SU | 353 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28554 | SU | 354 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28555 | SU | 355 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28556 | SU | 356 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28557 | SU | 357 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28558 | SU | 358 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28559 | SU | 359 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28560 | SU | 360 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28561 | SU | 361 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28562 | SU | 362 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28563 | SU | 363 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28564 | SU | 364 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28565 | SU | 365 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28566 | SU | 366 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28567 | SU | 367 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28568 | SU | 368 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28569 | SU | 369 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28570 | SU | 370 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28571 | SU | 371 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28572 | SU | 372 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28573 | SU | 373 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28574 | SU | 374 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28575 | SU | 375 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28576 | SU | 376 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28577 | SU | 377 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28578 | SU | 378 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28579 | SU | 379 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28580 | SU | 380 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28581 | SU | 381 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28582 | SU | 382 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28583 | SU | 383 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |

Claim List for Cert of Work 2015 Sulphur

|  | Claim Information |  |  |  | Actual Work Done by Claim |  |  | Renewal |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type | Grant No. | Claim <br> Name | Claim No. | Expiry Date | Geophysics | Prospect |  | Years |  | Fee |  | otal |
| Quartz | YD28584 | SU | 384 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28585 | SU | 385 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28586 | SU | 386 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28587 | SU | 387 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28588 | SU | 388 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | , | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28589 | SU | 389 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28590 | SU | 390 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28591 | SU | 391 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28592 | SU | 392 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28593 | SU | 393 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28594 | SU | 394 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28595 | SU | 395 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28596 | SU | 396 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28597 | SU | 397 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | + | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28598 | SU | 398 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28599 | SU | 399 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28600 | SU | 400 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28601 | SU | 401 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28602 | SU | 402 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28603 | SU | 403 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28604 | SU | 404 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28605 | SU | 405 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28606 | SU | 406 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28607 | SU | 407 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28608 | SU | 408 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28609 | SU | 409 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28610 | SU | 410 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28611 | SU | 411 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28612 | SU | 412 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28613 | SU | 413 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28614 | SU | 414 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28615 | SU | 415 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28616 | SU | 416 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28617 | SU | 417 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28618 | SU | 418 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28619 | SU | 419 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28620 | SU | 420 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28621 | SU | 421 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28622 | SU | 422 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28623 | SU | 423 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28624 | SU | 424 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28625 | SU | 425 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28626 | SU | 426 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28627 | SU | 427 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28628 | SU | 428 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28629 | SU | 429 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28630 | SU | 430 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28631 | SU | 431 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28632 | SU | 432 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 0 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | - |
| Quartz | YD28633 | SU | 433 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28634 | SU | 434 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28635 | SU | 435 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28636 | SU | 436 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28637 | SU | 437 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28638 | SU | 438 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28639 | SU | 439 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28640 | SU | 440 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28641 | SU | 441 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28642 | SU | 442 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28643 | SU | 443 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28644 | SU | 444 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28645 | SU | 445 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28646 | SU | 446 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28647 | SU | 447 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28648 | SU | 448 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28649 | SU | 449 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28650 | SU | 450 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28651 | SU | 451 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
| Quartz | YD28652 | SU | 452 | 14-Mar-19 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 5.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Columm Total | \$14,599.00 | \$7,483.54 | \$0.00 | 432 |  |  | \$ | 2,160.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Check Column total less Expenses |  |  |  | \$22,082.54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number of Claims Where work was done |  |  |  | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Expenses (from Project Management Sheet) x 2 |  |  |  | \$44,165.08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Work Required for requested renewal |  |  | \$43,200.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Surplus (Deficit) |  | \$965.08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Claim List for Cert of Work 2015 Sulphur

|  | Claim Information |  |  |  | Actual Work Done by Claim |  | Renewal |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type | Grant No. | Claim <br> Name | Claim No. | Expiry Date | Geophysics | Prospect | Years | Annual Fee | Total |
| Years to renew 418 claims $\times 1$ years +7 claims $\times 2$ year |  |  |  |  | 432.00 |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix B - Sample Descriptions

Rocks 2015 Report Sulphur

| Sample | Type | Project | Survey | UTMmE | UTMmN | Datum | Elevation | Lithology | Au_ppb |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 148960 | Rock | Sulphur | GPS | 611,539 | $7,064,772$ | UTMZ7N_WGS84 | 587.7 | VeinQuartz | 0.005 |
| 148961 | Rock | Sulphur | GPS | 611,137 | $7,065,645$ | UTMZ7N_WGS84 | 730.2 | VeinQuartz | 0.005 |
| 148962 | Rock | Sulphur | GPS | 611,134 | $7,065,677$ | UTMZ7N_WGS84 | 730.4 | VeinQuartz | 0.005 |

## Appendix C - Assay Certificates

## BOURLAMAQUE ASSAY LABORATORIES LTD.

## ANALYSIS REPORT

## B15-0714 Final

Client name:
Submitted by:
Attention:

## TAKU GOLD CORPORATION

Mark Fekete

Mark Fekete
203-680 3rd Avenue
Val-d'Or QC J9P 1S5
Canada

Roche / Rock
3
Sulphur
20150804
August 04, 2015
August 14, 2015
Code AU020 Au Pyroanalyse-SAA 30g

Total pages: 3 (including this page)

## BOURLAMAQUE ASSAY LABORATORIES LTD.

Client:
Project:
Sample type (s):
Submitted by:

Taku Gold Corporation
Sulphur
Roche / Rock
Mark Fekete

ANALYSIS CERTIFICATE
Report No. B15-0714
14-août-15

RESULTS

|  | Analyse Symbol | Au |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unit Symbol | ppm |
|  | Detection Limit | 0.01 |
|  | Analysis Method | Py-SAA Au |
| 1 | 148960 | $<0.01$ |
| 2 | 148961 | $<0.01$ |
| 3 | 148962 | $<0.01$ |

## BOURLAMAQUE ASSAY LABORATORIES LTD.

Client:
Project:
Sample type (s):
Submitted by:

Taku Gold Corporation
Sulphur
Roche / Rock
Mark Fekete
QUALITY CONTROL

| Au |
| ---: |
| ppm |
| 0.01 |
| Py-SAA Au |
| $<0.01$ |
| 7.34 |
| 7.68 |
| 2.31 |
| 2.37 |
| $<0.01$ |
| $<0.01$ |
| $<0.01$ |

## ANALYSIS METHODS

Method Code Description

Py-SAA Au Au


Linda Melnbardis BS
President
Quebec Order of Chemists 1982-119
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## I. INTRODUCTION

At the request of the mineral exploration company Taku Gold Corporation, the exploration services company Breakaway Exploration Management Inc. of Val-d'Or (QC) conducted a Very Low Frequency Electro-Magnetic (VLF-EM) survey on the Lions Zone of the Sulphur Project (Figure 1). The consulting firm Dynamic Discovery Geoscience Ltd. of Ottawa (ON) received the mandate to control the quality of the survey, to process the acquired data and to present and interpret these data in the current report.

Figure 1: General location of the Sulphur Project


The survey was conducted from May $17^{\text {th }}$ to $24^{\text {th }}, 2015$, under the supervision of Mr. Mark Fekete and Marty Huber, for a total of 43.7 linear km.

The goal of the survey was to characterize the sub-surface rocks with respect to their signature to the VLF-EM method, and to identify response possibly associated to mineralized occurrences. In order to provide assistance in the data interpretation process, airborne magnetic and radiometric data acquired in the area in 2010 (Poon, 2010) is also used.

## II. SULPHUR PROJECT, LIONS ZONE

The Sulphur Property consists of a block of 543 mineral claims located about 50 km southeast of Dawson City. This property is part of a constellation of properties owned by Taku Gold Corp. in the area, and shown in red in Figure 2.

Figure 2: Mineral properties south of Dawson City


Within the Sulphur Property, a subset of claims located at the eastern limit of the Property is characterized by $\mathrm{As}, \mathrm{Au}$ and Ag geochemical anomalies and is referred to as the Lions Zone. The Lions Zone has been the subject of the VLF-EM survey (Figure 3). This zone can be accessed in the summer via secondary roads connecting to Dawson City. The Sulphur Creek road runs along the valley south of the surveyed area.

Figure 3: Regional location of the Sulphur Property and Lions Zone surveyed area


The Property is located within NTS map sheet 115010. The survey grid consists of a network of 25 lines oriented N090 and spaced every 100 m . Survey lines vary from 900 to 2000 m in length, for a total survey production of 43.7 km . The survey was carried out through the bush with the help of real-time GPS navigation, which made line cutting and chaining unnecessary. Mining titles covered by the survey lines are shown in Figure 4, and all the Sulphur Property claims that have been at least partly covered by the survey are listed in Appendix A.

Figure 4: Survey lines and Sulphur-Lions mineral claims location


## III. TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS

## Field Operations

The VLF-EM survey, totalling 43.7 km , was carried out from May $17^{\text {th }}$ to $24^{\text {th }} 2015$, by Marty Huber and Mark Fekete of Breakaway Exploration Management. VLF-EM data were recorded every 25 m along the lines, for a total of 1772 data points collected. Technical supervision was provided by Joël Dubé, P.Eng. On top of data inspection performed on the field by the operators while conducting the survey and transferring the data to a computer, the data were transferred to Dynamic Discovery Geoscience's office in Ottawa to undergo full data QC. All data were verified in this manner.

## Survey Equipment

The equipment used for the VLF-EM survey consisted of an EM-16 device manufactured by Geonics. The EM-16 VLF system enables measurements of the vertical in-phase (P) and out-of-phase (Q) components expressed as \% of the VLF horizontal primary field, with a resolution of $1 \%$.

Two VLF transmitter antennae were used: NPM Lualualei, Hawaii, emitting at a frequency of 21.4 kHz and NLK Seattle, Washington, emitting at a frequency of 24.8 kHz . The Hawaii antenna is located about 5020 km from the survey block, at an azimuth of N203, while the Seattle antenna is at a distance of 2050 km in the N143 direction. This implies that conductors striking NNE-SSW are best coupled with the EM signal from the Hawaii antenna, while the Seattle antenna's signal is best coupled with NW-SE conductors. The 60 degrees difference between the primary field directions from both antennae ensures that no conductors are left undetected with this survey configuration. By convention, all VLF-EM measurements were made with the instrument facing north for proper polarity of the results.

A GPS unit was used both for navigation purposes along an ideal local grid (no lines were cut) and for recording of survey stations locations, with an absolute accuracy of 2 to 5 m .

## IV. DATA PROCESSING AND PRESENTATION

Data compilation including editing and filtering, quality control ( $Q C$ ), and final data processing was performed by Joël Dubé, P.Eng. Processing was performed on high performance computers optimized for quick daily QC and processing tasks. Geosoft software Oasis Montaj version 8.4 was used.

## VLF-EM data

The vertical in-phase and out-of-phase components are presented in profiles. The in-phase component was further processed with a Fraser filter which results in a signal with maximum amplitude at the inflexion point of the input signal. This parameter was interpolated onto a regular grid using a bi-directional gridding algorithm to create a twodimensional grid equally incremented in $x$ and $y$ directions. The final grids were created with 20 m grid cell size, appropriate for the survey lines spaced at 100 m , and were filtered with a $3 \times 3$ Hanning filter to reduce short wavelength noise in the grids. The Fraser filtered in-phase component effectively enables identification of the conductors in an intuitive way by looking at maximum amplitude lineaments on its contour map.

## Deliverables

The maps created to present the information extracted from the survey are summarized in Table 1. All maps are referred to NAD-83 in the UTM projection Zone 7 North, with coordinates in metres. Maps are at a 1:5,000 scale and are provided in PDF, PNG and Geosoft MAP formats.

## Table 1: Delivered maps

| No. | Nom | Description |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | DEM | Location of the survey lines and of the mineral claims |
| 2 | PQprof_Hawaii | VLF-EM in-phase \& out-of-phase profiles for Hawaii antenna |
| 3 | P-FRASERcont_Hawaii | Fraser filtered VLF-EM in-phase contours for Hawaii antenna |
| 4 | PQprof_Seattle | VLF-EM in-phase \& out-of-phase profiles for Seattle antenna |
| 5 | P-FRASERcont_Seattle | Fraser filtered VLF-EM in-phase contours for Seattle antenna |
| 6 | INTERPRETATION | Interpretation map with regional Residual Total Field |

Digital data are also supplied for all the parameters recorded during the survey. The database is delivered in the Geosoft GDB format. As well, data grids created for mapping purposes are included in the deliverables. They are referenced to NAD-83 in the UTM projection Zone 7 North, with coordinates in metres. Grids are provided in Geosoft GRD format, with a 20 m grid cell size. Finally, interpretation elements found on the interpretation map are supplied in the Esri SHP format.

## V. RESULTS INTERPRETATION AND DISCUSSION


#### Abstract

Airborne data

Although no magnetic data was acquired as part of this project, helicopter-borne magnetic data is presented here in an effort to support the interpretation process. The magnetic data used was acquired in the fall of 2010 by Precision GeoSurveys Inc. (Poon, 2010). The Total Magnetic Intensity (TMI) of the VLF-EM survey area, presented in Figure 5 together with interpreted features extracted from the interpretation map, is somewhat settled in the area, and varies only over 91 nT . The variability of the TMI signal within the block is summarized in Table 2, which present data statistics.


## Table 2: Total Magnetic Intensity statistics

| Statistic | TMI <br> (nT) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Minimum | 57372 |
| Maximum | 57463 |
| Median | 57415 |
| Mean | 57414 |
| Standard Deviation | 13.8 |

Several magnetic lineaments are found in the block. The strongest magnetic lineaments found in the surveyed area are organized in 2 compact families: a first is preferentially oriented N-S, while the second is rather striking WNW-ESE. Both families of strong lineaments are crossing each other in the center of the area. Several other weaker magnetic lineaments are also found in the area, and are not oriented in any dominant direction. Magnetic lineaments are caused by magnetite/pyrrhotite bearing structures, such as dykes, mafic intrusive and/or volcanic rocks or mineralized structures. Magnetic lineaments have been identified as thick red lines on the interpretation map and figures.

The radiometric data acquired in conjunction with the magnetic data as part of the 2010 airborne survey was also analyzed. As expected, the radiometric results (not shown here) are mostly controlled by topography in the area: lower values are generally found in valleys with more overburden and increased humidity, preventing gamma-rays to reach the aircraft detector, and higher values are rather found near topographic ridges, which are usually dryer and covered by sparser vegetation. However, the highest Potassium values are not directly located along ridges, and so they were chosen as interesting exploration features since gold mineralization is sometimes emplaced by mineralizing fluids also leading to potassic alteration as is the case at the Hemlo deposit for instance (Pemberton et al., 1984; Doyle, 1990; Manning at al., 1998), near Marathon in Ontario. The Potassium anomalies are shown as hatched blue polygons. The mineralizing fluids can also lead to destruction of magnetite minerals, which would be denoted by a combined Potassium high/magnetic low association. It is also of interest to note that the strongest magnetic anomaly of the surveyed area is partly overlapping with the northwestern most Potassium anomaly.

Figure 5: Total Magnetic Intensity and geophysical interpretation


## VLF-EM data

VLF-EM anomalies have been identified by looking at both the in-phase and out-of-phase components for typical cross-over patterns, in conjunction with the Fraser-filtered in-phase contours, which aim at making the cross-over detection easier. The Fraser-filtered data is shown on Figure 6 for the NPM Hawaii antenna and on Figure 7 for the NLK Seattle antenna. Note that on May $20^{\text {th }}$, the NPM Hawaii antenna was inactive, resulting in no data being available for this antenna from line 3000 N to 3400 N (inclusive). Fortunately, data from NLK Seattle could still be acquired, and this was considered sufficient to perform reliable interpretation of the conductors for those lines. The results are generally similar for both antennae in most areas (confirming that the results are of good quality), except for conductive features that are rather oriented WNW-ESE (poorly coupled to Hawaii antenna) or NE-SW (poorly coupled to Seattle antenna), which is expected when coupling between antennae used is at a high angle such as in this case. The interpretation of conductive axes has therefore been carried out looking at results for both antennae simultaneously.

Interpreted anomalies have been classified as weak (dotted black lines), moderate (dashed black lines) and strong (continuous black lines) based on the amplitude of the vertical components and the out-of-phase signal behaviour relative to the in-phase signal. For instance, strong conductors will generate an out-of-phase response that is opposite in sign to the in-phase component (reversed cross-over). Among the anomalies that have been outlined on the interpretation products, the few that were stronger and appearing related to possible mineralisation were identified with an ID number starting with the 'VLF' prefix. Based on the strength of the VLF-EM conductor, its continuity over several lines, its association to a magnetic lineament or its location close to a structural feature possibly favourable to mineralisation, a priority number (1 being prioritized) has been given to each VLF-EM conductor axis in order to guide follow-up efforts. This information, together with the approximate strike length and some comments for each conductive axis, are listed in Table 3. Out of the 15 VLF-EM conductors identified in the survey area, 1 is deemed of first priority and 9 of second priority.

It is important to mention that strong topographic features are known to affect the VLF-EM results (Nabighian, 1991). For instance, prominent ridges will cause a response typical of a conductor, while a deep valley will cause a reversed anomaly. However, these effects are dependent on the resistivity of the ground and cannot be corrected for since this parameter is unknown a priori. In the surveyed area of the Lions Zone, the N-S valley found in the eastern part of the area is clearly associated to a reversed VLF-EM anomaly. It is also possible that the N-S ridge associated to VLF-4 and 5 axes is contributing, at least partly, to generate these 2 anomalies. However, since it is very difficult to discriminate topographic effects from the effect of real conductors, it is still recommended to investigate these anomalies especially if they are associated to other exploration vectors. But, in the event that no conductors are found at these locations, the topographic effect will likely explain these anomalies.

Also, cultural effects have been noted in the south-western part of the survey. The VLF-2 conductive axis is clearly related to a power line, while the VLF-1 axis is likely related to road infrastructures. Both axes are oriented parallel to the Sulphur Creek valley along which cultural infrastructures are running.

The remaining VLF axes are mostly trending N-S, but can vary from NNW-SSE to NE-SW. It is interesting to note that conductive and magnetic anomalies are correlated only very locally. In fact, in many cases, conductive axes appear to highlight discontinuities in the magnetic signal. This suggests that some conductors may actually be associated to faults, fractures or shear zones which are offsetting observed magnetic lineaments and causing abrupt interruption or changes of the magnetic response. The overburden troughs, clay minerals or mineralization often found in association with fault structures can explain their conductive nature and hence their response to the VLF-EM method. Such structural features are known to enable the circulation and precipitation of mineralizing fluids. Consequently, VLF-EM axes that appear to denote such type of structure should definitely be investigated further.

Table 3: Interpreted VLF-EM anomalies

| ID | Length (m) | Priority | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VLF-1 | 100 | 3 | Moderate end of line anomaly (not well defined - marginal). Possible cultural anomaly related to road. Open both to north and south. |
| VLF-2 | 500 | N/A | Cultural anomaly caused by power line. |
| VLF-3 | 100 | 3 | Weak to moderate VLF-EM conductor. Associated to a weak magnetic high. |
| VLF-4 | 600 | 2 | Weak to moderate VLF-EM conductor. No clear magnetic expression. Associated to topographic ridge. Possible continuity of the VLF-5 conductor. Open to south. |
| VLF-5 | 1300 | 1 | Weak to strong VLF-EM conductor. Locally associated to strong magnetic high. Associated to topographic ridge. Possible continuity of the VLF-4 conductor. |
| VLF-6 | 500 | 2 | Weak to moderate VLF-EM conductor. No clear magnetic expression. Open to south. |
| VLF-7 | 700 | 3 | Weak to moderate VLF-EM conductor. No clear magnetic expression. |
| VLF-8 | 600 | 2 | Weak to moderate VLF-EM conductor. Locally associated to strong magnetic high. |
| VLF-9 | 300 | 2 | Moderate VLF-EM conductor. No clear magnetic expression. Possibly associated to the VLF-5 conductor. |
| VLF-10 | 200 | 2 | Weak to moderate VLF-EM conductor. No clear magnetic expression. Located near potassium anomaly. |
| VLF-11 | 1200 | 2 | Weak to moderate VLF-EM conductor. No clear magnetic expression. Passes across potassium anomaly. |
| VLF-12 | 200 | 2 | Weak to moderate VLF-EM conductor. No clear magnetic expression. |
| VLF-13 | 700 | 2 | Weak to moderate VLF-EM conductor. Locally associated to magnetic high. |
| VLF-14 | 300 | 2 | Weak to moderate VLF-EM conductor. No magnetic expression. |
| VLF-15 | N/A | 3 | Moderate VLF-EM conductor. No magnetic expression. Open to north. |

Figure 6: Hawaii Fraser filtered in-phase component and geophysical interpretation


Figure 7: Seattle Fraser filtered in-phase component and geophysical interpretation


## Recommendations

It is worth mentioning that the penetration of the VLF-EM method is relatively weak compared to other methods. It is estimated in the order of $40-60 \mathrm{~m}$ in resistive areas, but can go down to $4-5 \mathrm{~m}$ in very conductive environments. However, this limitation is greatly compensated for by the limited efforts and expenses that must be deployed to acquire the results, which makes it a very efficient reconnaissance tool. The limited penetration depth of the method also implies that simple ground prospection and stripping techniques are usually sufficient to perform follow-up and determine the nature of the sources.

It is therefore recommended to investigate the outlined anomalies by basic prospection methods. Areas where these VLF-EM conductors seem to cross-cut magnetic lineaments could relate to fault structures and should be paid particular attention since such features are sometimes related to gold mineralization. Likewise, areas with Potassium anomalies or where the strongest magnetic anomalies are crossing each other are deemed of interest. Prioritization of targets should be revisited in light of other geoscientific information such as geochemical and geological data.

Following a preliminary prospection phase, sources identified as promising for mineralization discoveries could then be the object of localized resistivity/IP surveys that can be efficiently used to penetrate the ground at further depth and better image the geometry of conductive and chargeable sources in preparation for drilling. This method has the advantage of responding to disseminated sulphide occurrences, to which gold mineralization is often associated.

## VI. CONCLUSION

The VLF-EM survey conducted in May 2015 by Breakaway Exploration Management on Taku Gold's Sulphur-Lions Property was successful in better characterising the physical properties distribution within the area, which could support a better understanding of the geological setting. In particular, several magnetic lineaments and conductors were interpreted based on the results. Some of the VLF-EM conductors interpreted were identified as potential exploration targets and prioritized for further investigation. The survey parameters used and the general data quality of the survey were adequate to meet these objectives.

Respectfully submitted,


Joël Dubé, P.Eng.
July $30^{\text {th }} 2015$
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## IX. Appendix A - Sulphur-Lions Property mineral claims covered

| NTS Map Sheet | Grant Number | Mineral Claim Tag |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 115010 | US 1 | YD06601 |
| 115010 | US 2 | YD06602 |
| 115010 | US 3 | YD06603 |
| 115010 | US 4 | YD06604 |
| 115010 | US 5 | YD06605 |
| 115010 | US 6 | YD06606 |
| 115010 | US 7 | YD06607 |
| 115010 | US 8 | YD06608 |
| 115010 | US 9 | YD06609 |
| 115010 | US 10 | YD06610 |
| 115010 | US 11 | YD06611 |
| 115010 | US 12 | YD06612 |
| 115010 | US 13 | YD06613 |
| 115010 | US 14 | YD06614 |
| 115010 | US 15 | YD06615 |
| 115010 | US 16 | YD06616 |
| 115010 | US 17 | YD06617 |
| 115010 | US 18 | YD06618 |
| 115010 | US 19 | YD06619 |
| 115010 | US 20 | YD06620 |
| 115010 | US 21 | YD06621 |
| 115010 | US 22 | YD06622 |
| 115010 | US 23 | YD06623 |
| 115010 | US 27 | YD06627 |
| 115010 | US 86 | YD17724 |
| 115010 | US 87 | YD17725 |
| 115010 | US 88 | YD17726 |
| 115010 | US 89 | YD17727 |
| 115010 | US 90 | YD17728 |

## Appendix E - Superior Profiles
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Line: Sulpher Project Line1600N


K-H Filter - Real Component Data (raw data)
Line: Sulpher Project Linelroon
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K-H Filter - Imaginary Component Data (raw data) Line: Sulpher Project Line1700N


$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { K-H Filter - Real Component Data (raw data) } \\
\text { Line: Sulpher Project Line1800N }
\end{gathered}
$$
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K-H Filter - Imaginary Component Data (raw data) Line: Sulpher Project Line1800N
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