

# Technical Report

YMEP 16-053

Eureka Creek (Upper Left Fork)

Dawson Mining District

NTS 115 O/10B & C

63 33' 44" N and 138 51' 27" W

January 30, 2016

Mike Heisey

Fine Gold Resources, Ltd.

# Table of Contents

• Work Conducted in 2016	3
○ Location of work programs	4
• Expenditures (Budget)	5
• Results Obtained	7
○ Gold Recovered	9
○ Gold in Quartz Nugget	10
○ Assay Report	11
○ Potential Bench Deposit	12
• Conclusions & Plans for 2017	13
• Appendix	14
○ Geophysical Report (15 pgs)	15
○ Auger Drilling Report (15 pgs)	30
○ Location under Eureka Dome	45
○ Topographical Map	46
○ Soil Samples	47
○ Driller at Work	48
○ Pit Stripped	49
○ Trench Dug	50
○ Test Plant	51
○ Rocks under Moss	52
○ Slide Rocks in Tailings	53
○ Colluvium Section	54
○ Hard Fractured Bedrock	55
○ Decomposed Bedrock	56
○ Depositional Cross Section	57
○ Old Timer's Cabin	58
○ Old Timer's Shaft	59

## Work Conducted in 2016

The work conducted in the 2016 exploration program included digging a large test pit and a trench deep into bedrock along the south side of the test pit for a drain and to observe the stratigraphy and composition of the bedrock.

After that work was completed, Sylvain Fleurant was hired to drill 31 holes from the top of the test pit all the way up the valley along the creek. Only about 1/3 of the holes found gold and only 1 hole had high grade of 25 mg with three small nuggets. Some of the holes were partially thawed and wet and it was difficult to obtain a quality sample. In other cases, the large boulders and slide rock made drilling difficult and samples may also have been lost while trying to drill in those difficult conditions.

Next, Ground Truth Exploration was brought in to run 3 Geophysical Survey lines across the valley to obtain a bedrock profile to make sure we were drilling in the proper place – i.e. to determine if the present creek location is the same as the lowest spot at bedrock. The profiles show the location of a channel on the right limit side of the valley, so the driller was called back out to drill into it. However, he was on another major job and could only give us one day which resulted in 5 additional holes in the direction of the channel. He found the best hole in this area which was 30 mg and smaller quantities in the other holes, but could not stay to continue the evaluation, so more drilling will have to be done there next year.

The photo on the next page shows where this work was performed. We did not have time in 2016 to work our way up the valley to the upper half where the excellent soil sample readings have been obtained. That will occur in 2017.

## Expenditures

The following page shows the preliminary budget which was submitted as well as the Actual dollars spent. The budget was overspent primarily due to extra machine rental cost. We were originally told that the valley could not be drilled due to the large boulders and slide rock, so we didn't include drilling costs in the original budget. However, we decided to try drilling and although he had a few unsuccessful holes it worked fairly well and provided important data.

## Results Obtained

The program was successful in finding gold, although it was not a very rich accumulation. Approximately 2,400 yards of material was run through a small test plant which yielded 9.1 ounces (see picture on the next page), with an assay of 73% purity (3<sup>rd</sup> page following). At \$1,600/oz that yield is equivalent to approximately \$4.50 per yard, which is not a sufficient grade to warrant gearing up to mine. However, one small test pit is not enough to fully evaluate a 2 ½ mile long valley.

Many of the drill holes yielded no gold, however 14 holes of the 36 holes had at least a trace of gold or better. The 2 best holes contained small nuggets which weighed 25 mg and 30 mg. It is possible that this valley contains mostly coarse gold due to the large amount of boulders and slide rock, in which case it is often difficult to obtain coarse gold with an auger drill. On the 2<sup>nd</sup> page following you can see additional evidence for coarse gold, which is a small nugget which is mostly quartz with gold growing out of it.

Ground Truth Exploration ran three Geophysical lines perpendicular to the creek, which yielded a surprising result. They found evidence of a right limit channel deposit which you can see in the report located in the Appendix, and a photo on the 4<sup>th</sup> page following. When we saw those pictures, we brought the driller back out and had him drill 5 more holes up the hill toward the bench. This is where he found the best gold (30 mg). The driller only had time to drill those 5 holes, so this channel area definitely needs additional evaluation in 2017 by drilling and a bulk test. The driller noted that he believed that the original creek years ago was probably in that location and now the creek has migrated over the years to its present position. This could explain the marginal gold recovery from a test pit in the current creek location.

## Geology:

As predicted, the section consisted mainly of colluvial material with prevalent bedrock talus (slide rock) throughout, from active slopes in the upper reaches of the valley on the side of the Eureka Dome.

Overburden varied from a low of 1 foot of black muck to as much as 20 feet on the right limit side of the valley away from the creek. Under the muck was a mixture of slide rock, gravel, and bedrock, which appeared to be poorly sorted. There was a wide range of coloration from black to red to green to white material obtained in the drill samples. The unit was mostly clast supported although some were matrix supported with sand and silt. Clasts were angular to subangular and consisted of 25% slide rock boulders, 50% cobbles, and 25% pebbles.

## Conclusions & Plans for 2017

The 2016 program was successful in finding gold in this virgin valley, however it was low grade and more exploration work is needed to try and find a more economical grade somewhere in the valley.

A major accomplishment of the 2016 exploration effort was the determination that there is a right limit channel, which could be the ancient stream bed. Four out of the five holes drilled in that area yielded gold, whereas less than 50% of the holes drilled in the creek yielded gold.

It was not possible to get to the upper reaches of the valley in 2016, which is where the extremely high soil sample readings are located. Hopefully the 2017 program will reach those areas.

The major objective for 2017 will be the exploration testing with additional drilling and the stripping of a test pit in the right limit channel area to see if that is where a better gold deposit is located.

## Appendix

(Reports and Pictures are labeled)



---

**Geophysical Field Report:  
High Resolution Resistivity Survey**

Located at:  
Eureka Creek &  
Upper Left Fork of Eureka Creek

Dawson Mining District  
NTS: 1150/10  
UTM Zone 7

Work Performed On: August 17<sup>th</sup> - 19<sup>th</sup>, 2016

FOR:  
Mike Heisey  
Fine Gold Resources

605000

610000

NTS Mapsheet:

GroundTruth Exploration Inc.  
Eureka: DC Resistivity  
Prepared By: I. Fage Date: Aug 16/16  
Scale: 1 : 50,000 Datum: NAD83 UTM Zone 7

Planned 4 profiles  
3 days, including travel

Fine Gold Camp  
608,450, 7,056,500


Arctic Geo approx.  
1 profile  
606,500, 7,053,300

Upper Left Fork Area  
3 Profiles  
606,700, 7,049,750

Upper Left Fork

Eureka

Qx



605000

610000

Table of Contents

**TABLE OF CONTENTS** .....3

**1 INTRODUCTION** .....4

**2 SURVEY** .....4

    2.1 PERSONNEL .....4

    2.2 SURVEY SPECIFICATIONS .....4

    2.3 FIELD SURVEY OPERATING PROCEDURES: .....5

    2.4 DATA PROCESSING .....5

**3 SURVEY RESULTS: EUREKA CREEK** .....6

    3.1 NOTE ON SCALE .....12

    3.2 RECOMMENDATIONS .....12

**4 SURVEY RESULTS: UPPER LEFT FORK OF EUREKA CREEK** .....13

    4.1 RECOMMENDATION .....16

**APPENDIX A: DESCRIPTION OF FILES AND FILE STRUCTURE** .....17

**APPENDIX B: EQUIPMENT SPECIFICATIONS** .....18

**Table of Figures**

Figure 1: Map of EURRES16-01 ..... 6

Figure 2: EURRES16-01 with interp. Smooth Model Inversion ..... 7

Figure 3: EURRES16-01 with Interpretation. Robust Model Inversion ..... 8

Figure 4: Parallel wall of pit ..... 9

Figure 5: Back wall of pit with measurements ..... 10

Figure 6: Comparison of inversion and measurements in pit ..... 11

Figure 7: Map of Upper Eureka Fork resistivity lines and bench channel limit ..... 13

Figure 8: EURRES16-02 with interpretation ..... 14

Figure 9: EURRES16-03 with interpretation ..... 15

Figure 10: EURRES16-04 with interpretation ..... 16

## 1 Introduction

Fine Gold Resources contracted GroundTruth Exploration Inc. to perform four resistivity surveys on its claim grouping on Eureka Creek. Eureka Creek is a tributary of the Indian River located 60km south-east of Dawson City. Lines were completed between August 17<sup>th</sup> and 19<sup>th</sup>, 2016, using Advanced Geosciences Inc. SuperSting Resistivity hardware.

Line 1 was completed over a previous traverse done by Arctic Geophysics in 2015. The goal of this line was to compare the AGI system with the system used by Arctic Geophysics to see if we could provide more detail than the previous surveys done. Lines 2-4 were completed on the Upper Left Fork of Eureka Creek to assess the depth to bedrock and location of possible paleo-channel or bench channels.

## 2 Survey

### 2.1 Personnel

The survey was conducted by the following GroundTruth Exploration personnel:

- |                    |  |
|--------------------|--|
| 1. Chad Cote       | Lead Geophysical Operator and Crew Chief |
| 2. Luke Severinsen | Geo Technician                           |
| 3. Phil Severinsen | Geo Technician                           |
| 4. Patrick Dunbar  | Geo Technician                           |
| 5. Norbert Kappa   | Geo Technician                           |

### 2.2 Survey Specifications

The line-cutting and High Resolution DC ("HRDC") Resistivity ("Res") surveys were conducted on August 17<sup>th</sup> and 19<sup>th</sup>, 2016 on Eureka Creek

~~Line 1 (EURRES16-01) is comprised of 84 stainless steel electrodes placed at 3m intervals for a total ground length of 415m. This configuration results in a maximum survey depth of 75m (246ft), and a functional depth of >50m (164ft) with a horizontal resolution of 2.5m. This line starts 10 meters from the edge of the road, across the ditch, and travels 415m up slope along the old cat-trail. (figure 1)~~

Lines 2-4 (EURRES16-02 to -04) are comprised of 84 stainless steel electrodes placed at 3m intervals for a total ground length of 249m (figure 7). This configuration results in a maximum survey depth of 45m (148ft), and a functional depth of >30m (98ft) with a horizontal resolution of 1.5m. All lines are placed perpendicular to the valley, with the midpoint on the cat-trail that runs up the valley. Line EURRES16-02 is located

approximately 50m upstream of the current workings, with subsequent lines separated by 300m up the valley. (figure 7)

Each traverse was surveyed using the Schlumberger Inverse sounding array. This array is optimal for delineating changes in resistivity with depth, so is ideal for mapping changes in creek sediments and the bedrock interface. Both Resistivity and IP effect were measured at each site. Resistivity is of primary interest, however IP can often offer valuable clues to the nature of sediments and bedrock that aid in the interpretation.

All traverses are surveyed with ProMark3 differential GPS unit to obtain accurate horizontal and vertical position. This data is used not only to map the lines, but also to create a terrain file that is vital for an accurate ground model and resulting interpretation.

### **2.3 Field Survey Operating Procedures:**

- A crew of 5 is utilized to run the resistivity survey.
- The line is located using a Garmin GPS and sighted in using cut stakes.
- Minimal brush is cut along line to sight pickets and lay cables
- Crew places electrode at 3 or 5m spacing with measuring rope
- Electrodes are hammered to a depth of 30-50cm (10% of electrode spacing)
- Calcium Chloride (25% solution) added to all electrodes.
- Cables are laid and attached to the electrodes
- Contact resistance test is conducted
- Extra electrodes added to high CR electrodes. CRT reread.
- With satisfactory Contact Resistance, Survey is Read.
- Operator surveys the traverse using DGPS and marks the traverse with pickets.
- Crew cuts and prepares the next line.

### **2.4 Data Processing**

The collected data is downloaded in the field after every array and checked for integrity. This allows any field errors to be identified before moving the equipment. The RES/IP data is processed daily by the lead operator using EarthImager2D software provided by Advanced Geosciences Inc. Resistivity data-misfits are removed and the cleaned data-set is inverted. The same process is done with the IP data. Terrain corrections collected using a differential GPS are applied to the inversions. The DGPS data is processed using GNSS Solutions software. A .csv is created containing the DGPS traverse points collected. All instrument raw data from the DGPS and SuperSting are archived.

An ESRI shape file is created containing the traverse points collected.

Pg. 6 - 12

deleted since

they pertain

to another area.

4 Survey Results: Upper Left Fork of Eureka Creek




Figure 7: Map of Upper Eureka Fork resistivity lines and bench channel limit

The plan map (figure 7) shows the location of electrodes along the traverse with corresponding meterage. The resistivity inversions are scaled horizontally with the electrode ID, and marked in the field as well with both electrode ID and line meterage. The northern limit of the predicted bench channel is traced on the map with a grey line. I also scaled the resistivity inversions along the lines and projected them in a plan view as a way to help visualize the data. Care must be taken to take measurements from the surveyed lines rather than the inversion pictures, as there is an offset to the images due to their representation in this way.




Figure 8: EURRES16-02 with interpretation

The predicted depth to bedrock indicated by both the Resistivity and IP averages about 5m (16ft) along line EURRES16-02. There appears to be a deeper channel down to 10m (33ft) directly below the cat trail, on the north flank of a fault that runs along the bottom of the valley and is seen in all three profiles. (Figure 8)



**EURRES16-03**




Figure 9: EURRES16-03 with interpretation

Line EURRES16-03 is 300m up valley from EURRES16-02. The valley is significantly wider at this point, and shows indication of a bench deposit. This is presented in both the topography, and the resistivity and IP inversions. (Figure 9)

The bench channel is interpreted by comparing both the resistivity and IP results in order to find an interpretation that matches both inversions. The estimated depth of this channel is 14m (46ft) by 48m (157ft) wide.

There is a possible channel that coincides with the north flank of the Fault zone again, as indicated in line EURRES16-02. This channel is estimated to be 16m (52ft) deep and just upslope from the existing cat-track.




Figure 10: EURRES16-04 with interpretation

Line EURRES16-04 is 300m up valley from EURRES16-03. This line shows very similar topography and inverted results as EURRES16-03, as well as the continuation of the Fault zone underlying the creek. (Figure 10)

The bench channel is interpreted by comparing both the resistivity and IP results in order to find an interpretation that matches both inversions. The estimated depth of this channel increases towards the hill with a range from 10m to 20m (33 to 66 ft) by 58m (190ft) wide.

There is a possible channel that coincides with the north flank of the Fault zone again, as indicated in lines EURRES16-02 & -03. This channel is estimated to be 20m (65ft) deep and 15m upslope from the existing cat-track.

#### 4.1 Recommendation

I would recommend drill testing or pit testing the depth of the bench channel, as well as testing the presence of the fault channel.

## Appendix A: Description of Files and File Structure

This explains what is in the project data, and how it is organized.

Every traverse has a unique **Line ID** created by combining the three letter project code for the property or zone, an IP or RES designation, the year the survey was read, and a sequential number indicating the number of traverses present on said property or zone.

Example: EURRES16-01

Each array measured has a unique **Data File ID**. This is determined by the date, the first letter of the array being used, and the number of times this array has been used that day.

Example: 151930S1

File Structure:

- **DATA**
  - └ **Line ID**
    - **Figures**
    - **RAW**
      - Trial##: data with RES data-misfits removed)
      - unprocessed data retrieved from SuperSting unit
    - **GPS**
      - DGPS data collected in the field
    - **Shp**
      - ESRI shape file of traverse points labeled with electrode address
    - **trn**
      - contains trn correction file

## Appendix B: Equipment Specifications

### SuperSting R1/IP technical specification

<b>Measurement modes</b>	Apparent resistivity, resistance, self potential (SP), induced polarization (IP), battery voltage
<b>Measurement range</b>	+/- 10V
<b>Measuring resolution</b>	Max 30 nV, depends on voltage level
<b>Screen resolution</b>	4 digits in engineering notation
<b>Output current</b>	1mA – 2 A continuous, measured to high accuracy
<b>Output voltage</b>	800 Vp-p, actual electrode voltage depends on transmitted current and ground resistivity
<b>Output power</b>	200 W
<b>Input gain ranging</b>	Automatic, always uses full dynamic range of receiver
<b>Input impedance</b>	>20 M $\Omega$
<b>SP compensation</b>	Automatic cancellation of SP voltages during resistivity measurement. Constant and linearly varying SP cancels completely.
<b>Type of IP measurement</b>	Time domain chargeability (M), six time slots measured and stored in memory
<b>IP current transmission</b>	ON+, OFF, ON-, OFF
<b>IP time cycles</b>	0.5, 1, 2, 4 and 8 seconds (combined resistivity/IP mode)
<b>Measure cycles</b>	Running average of measurement displayed after each cycle. Automatic cycle stop when reading errors fall below user set limit or user set max cycles are done.
<b>Resistivity time cycles</b>	Basic measure time is 0.4, 0.8, 1.2, 3.6, 7.2 or 14.4 seconds as selected by user via keyboard, autoranging and commutation adds about 1.4 s.
<b>Signal processing</b>	Continuous averaging after each complete cycle. Noise errors calculated and displayed as percentage of reading. Reading displayed as resistance ( $\Delta V/I$ ) and apparent resistivity ( $\Omega m$ ). Resistivity is calculated using user entered electrode array coordinates.
<b>Noise suppression</b>	Better than 100 dB at f>20 Hz Better than 120 dB at power line frequencies (16 2/3, 20, 50 and 60 Hz) for measure cycles of 1.2 s and above
<b>Total accuracy</b>	Better than 1% of reading in most cases (lab measurements). Field measurement accuracy depends on ground noise and resistivity. Instrument will calculate and display running estimate of measuring accuracy.
<b>System calibration</b>	Calibration is done digitally by the microprocessor based on correction values stored in memory.
<b>Supported manual</b>	Resistance, Schlumberger, Wenner, dipole-dipole, pole-dipole, pole-pole, SP-absolute, SP-gradient
<b>Operating system</b>	Stored in re-programmable flash memory. New version can be downloaded from our web site and stored in the flash memory.
<b>Data storage</b>	Full resolution reading average and error are stored along with user entered coordinates and time of day for each measurement. Storage is effected automatically in a job oriented file system
<b>Data display</b>	Apparent resistivity (Ohmmeter), injected current (mAmp) and measured voltage (mVolt) are displayed and stored in memory for each measurement
<b>Memory capacity</b>	The memory can store 24,468 measurements in Resistivity Mode and 14,966 measurements in combined Resistivity/IP Mode
<b>Data transmission</b>	RS-232C channel available to dump data from the instrument to a Windows type computer on user command.
<b>Automatic multi-electrodes</b>	The SuperSting is designed to run dipole-dipole, pole-dipole, pole-pole, Wenner and Schlumberger surveys including roll-along surveys completely automatic with the Swift Dual Mode Automatic Multi-electrode system (patent 6,404,203) or with switch box and passive cables. The SuperSting can run any other array by using user

---

	<p>programmed command files. These files are ASCII files and can be created using a regular text editor. The command files are downloaded to the SuperSting RAM memory and can at any time be recalled and run. Therefore there is no need for a fragile computer in the field.</p>
<b>Manual measurements</b>	<p>The instrument has four banana pole screws for connecting current and potential electrodes during manual measurements</p>
<b>User controls</b>	<p>20 key tactile, weather proof keyboard with alpha numeric entry keys and function keys.</p> <p>On/off switch.</p> <p>Measure button.</p> <p>LCD night light switch (push to light).</p>
<b>Display</b>	<p>Graphics LCD display (16 lines x 30 characters) with night light.</p>
<b>Power supply, field</b>	<p>12V or 2x12 V DC external power (one or two 12 V batteries), connector on front panel.</p>
<b>Power supply, office</b>	<p>DC power supply</p>
<b>Operating time</b>	<p>Depends on survey conditions and size of battery used. Internal circuitry in auto mode adjusts current to save energy</p>
<b>Operating temperature</b>	<p>-5 to +50°C</p>
<b>Weight</b>	<p>10.9 kg (24 lb.)</p>
<b>Dimensions</b>	<p>Width 184 mm (7.25"), length 406 mm (16") and height 273 mm (10.75")</p>

**GEOPHYSICAL SURVEYS - DC Resistivity Survey on Eureka Creek**

	Chargeout	Units	Costs		16-Aug	17-Aug	18-Aug	19-Aug
					Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday
<b>Wages</b>								
1 Geophysical Operator	\$ 550.00	3	\$ 1,650.00				1	1
1 Assistant Operator/DGPS Surveyor	\$ 440.00	3	\$ 1,320.00				1	1
Field Assistant(s)	\$ 385.00	6	\$ 2,310.00	\$ 5,280.00			2	2
<b>Program Prep, Mobe/Demobe Rate, Expediting</b>								
1 Geophysical Operator	\$ 412.50	0	\$ -					
1 Assistant Operator/DGPS Surveyor	\$ 330.00	0	\$ -					
Field Assistant(s)	\$ 288.75	0	\$ -					
Program Prep (per 25 man-days)	\$ 250.00	1	\$ 250.00					
Expediting (Grocery, gear resupply, sample shipping, etc. - per hr)	\$ 75.00	0	\$ -	\$ 250.00	1			
<b>IP-Res Survey Equipment</b>								
IP/Resistivity Meter: Supersting 8 Channel meter w/cables, 84 electrodes	\$ 600.00	3	\$ 1,800.00				1	1
Additional Cables/Switchboxes for 168 electrode survey configuration	\$ 300.00	0	\$ -					
Precision GPS: Ashtech Promark 100 differential GPS	\$ 50.00	3	\$ 150.00				1	1
Field Laptop/Software for nightly download	\$ 50.00	3	\$ 150.00				1	1
Data Processing in the field (per hr)	\$ 60.00	3	\$ 180.00				1	1
Iridium Sat Phone (per day)	\$ 35.00	3	\$ 105.00				1	1
Chainsaw for line clearing (per day)	\$ 50.00	3	\$ 150.00				1	1
Radios (per man-day)	\$ 5.00	12	\$ 60.00				4	4
Handheld data logger/GPS/Camera/InReach (per man-day)	\$ 25.00	0	\$ -	\$ 2,595.00				
<b>Consumable Supplies</b>								
Stainless Electrodes: wear & tear- 2 per profile, \$6 ea	\$ 6.00	8	\$ 48.00				2	2
Calcium Chloride: 4kg per profile, \$2/kg*	\$ 2.00	16	\$ 32.00				4	4
Pickets, 9 per profile, \$1/picket	\$ 1.00		\$ -					
Spray paint: 1/2 can per profile, \$10/can	\$ 10.00		\$ -	\$ 80.00				
<b>Additional Supplies and Support</b>								
Remote Camp Setup for Soil Crew (per man-day)	\$ 40.00	12	\$ 480.00				4	4
Food (per man-day)	\$ 50.00	12	\$ 600.00				4	4
Satellite Internet - per day (connected by Staff)	\$ 40.00	0	\$ -					
Mapping or Daily plotting (per hr)	\$ 75.00	0	\$ -	\$ 1,080.00				
<b>Transportation Support</b>								
1 Ton Diesel 4x4 Truck	\$ 150.00	1	\$ 150.00				1	
Fuel/Usage charge per km per vehicle @ \$0.70	\$ 0.70	60	\$ 42.00				60	
Truck Standby Rate	\$ 75.00	2	\$ 150.00					1
20ft Flat Deck Trailer (per day)	\$ 100.00		\$ -				1	
Trailer Standby Rate	\$ 50.00		\$ -	\$ 342.00				

**DC IP-Resistivity Survey Total \$ 9,627.00**




# Invoice # 9

Date 23-Jun-16

Bill TO: Fine Gold Resource L.T.D.  
Michael Heisey

Auger Drilling



Sylvain Fleurant  
P.O. Box 404  
Dawson City, YT Y0B 1G0  
Ph/Fx: (867)993-5488 Cell (867)993-3583  
Email:drillsf@hotmail.com

Eureka Creek ULF  
drill hole 16-42 to 16-72

Quantity	Description	Price	Amount
6 hour	walking the drill	\$220.00	\$1,320.00
506ft	of 6" auger drilling	\$15.00	\$7,590.00
5	Carbide tooth	\$12.00	\$60.00
42	welding rod Artec60	\$1.07	\$44.94
Subtotal			\$9,014.94
GST5%			\$450.74
Total			\$9,465.68

Auger Drilling Report


GST No: 123851651

Make Checks Payable to Sylvain Fleurant  
( payment due date of invoice)

Thank You For Your Business







Upper Left Fork Eureka  
 2016 Drilling  
 (31 Holes)  
 (\$9,465.68)

(Holes 16-42 to 16-72)  
 June 19-22, 2016

2<sup>ND</sup> Trip - SEPT 2016



1150-10C

Upper Left Fork - Right Limit  
2016 Drilling Channel

Sept 24, 25 2016

(5 holes)

(\$3,901.80)

Holes 16A1 - 16A5

# Upper Left Fork

## Placer DRILL LOG

Date: 19-Jun-16		Time:	Driller: Sylvain Fleurant	Helper:
Type of Drill: auger		Inside Diameter of Drill: 6 inch		
Location: Eureka Map;115-o-10c		Lease or Grant Numbers: Claim : p 34552 p34551		
Drill Hole Number	Total Footage	Remarks: samples/results		
16-42	18ft	10ft thawed muck 3ft frozen gravel 2ft soft gravel maybe bedrock water ( bedrock at 15ft ) 3ft soft bedrock red ( gold trace ) water bad recovery		
16-43	21ft	15ft thawed gravel muck mix ( bedrock at 15ft ) 6ft soft bedrock red brown water 50% recovery ( gold 0mg )		
16-44	21ft	12ft frozen muck 3ft medium hard gravel 2ft very hard bolder ( bedrock at 17ft ) 4ft medium hard bedrock red ( gold 0mg )		
16-45	23ft	16ft frozen muck 3ft medium hard gravel ( bedrock at 19ft ) 2ft soft bedrock 2ft medium hard bedrock ( gold 1mg )		
16-46	15ft	5ft frozen muck 5ft thawed muck water 2ft thawed gravel ( bedrock at 12ft ) 2ft soft bedrock red ( gold 0mg )		
16-47	14ft	7ft frozen muck 3ft soft gravel ( bedrock at 10ft ) 4ft soft bedrock red ( gold 25mg )		
16-48	19ft	8ft frozen muck 3ft gravel mediun hard 1ft very hard bolder ( bedrock maybe at 12ft or 15 ) 3ft hard cruchy bedrock 4ft soft bedrock red brown ( gold 0mg )		
total	131ft	Date: 19-Jun-16	Signed (Driller or Representative) 	

Placer DRILL LOG

Date: 20-Jun-16	Time:	Driller: Sylvain Fleurant	Helper:
Type of Drill: auger	Inside Diameter of Drill: 6 inch		
Location: Eureka Map;115-o-10c		Lease or Grant Numbers: Claim : p 34550 p34549	
Drill Hole Number	Total Footage	Remarks: samples/results	
16-49	14ft	5ft frozen muck 6ft medium hard crunchy gravel ( bedrock at 11ft ) 3ft soft bedrock green ( gold 0mg )	
16-50	17ft	4ft frozen muck 9ft medium hard gravel ( bedrock at 13ft ) 1ft soft yellow bedrock 3ft soft bedrock green blue white clay ( gold 0mg)	
16-51	18ft	6ft frozen muck 3ft medium hard gravel 4ft hard gravel ( bedrock at 13ft or 16ft ) 3ft soft broken bedrock slide 2ft soft bedrock green ( gold trace )	
16-52	21ft	4ft frozen muck 5ft soft gravel 5ft medium hard gravel 2ft soft gravel ( bedrock at 16ft ) 2ft soft bedrock red 3ft soft crunchy broken bedrock red ( gold 1mg )	
16-53	14ft	3ft frozen muck 3ft frozen hard bolder 6ft soft thawed gravel water ( bedrock at 12ft ) 2ft soft bedrock black ( gold 0mg ) water good recovery	
16-54	16ft	2ft frozen muck 2ft hard bolder 6ft medium hard gravel ( bedrock at 10ft ) 6ft soft bedrock red black ( gold 0mg )	
16-55	15ft	4ft frozen muck gravel mix 1ft bolder 3ft soft gravel 5ft hard gravel (bedrock maybe at12ft) 3ft soft black bedrock ( gold 0mg )	
16-56	15ft	11ft frozen soft gravel (bedrock maybe at11ft) 4ft soft black bedrock ( gold 2mg )	
total	130ft	Date: 20-Jun-16	Signed (Driller or Representative) <i>Sylvain Fleurant</i>

## Placer DRILL LOG

Date:	21-Jun-16	Time:		Driller:	Sylvain Fleurant	Helper:	
Type of Drill:	auger		Inside Diameter of Drill:	6 inch			
Location:	Eureka Map;115-o-10c		Lease or Grant Numbers:	Claim : p 34548 p34549			
Drill Hole Number	Total Footage	Remarks: samples/results					
16-57	14ft	3ft frozen muck 4ft soft gravel 4ft medium hard gravel( bedrock at 11ft ) 3ft soft bedrock green brown ( gold 0mg )					
16-58	16ft	5ft frozen muck 1ft hard gravel bolder 2ft soft gravel ( bedrock at 8ft ) 3ft soft bedrock mix 5ft soft bedrock black crunchy ( gold 2mg )					
16-59	17ft	4ft frozen muck 7ft thawed muck water 3ft gravel bolder ( bedrock at 14ft ) 3ft soft bedrock brown water bad recovery ( gold 0mg )					
16-60	15ft	8ft thawed muck water 7ft thawed gravel water bad recovery cancel					
16-61	16ft	3ft thawed muck ( bedrock at 3ft ) 13ft soft frozen bedrock brown this one was on the wall a cross the creek ( gold 0mg )					
16-62	9ft	5ft frozen hard broken bedrock gravel ( bedrock at 5ft ) 4ft soft bedrock damp (gold 0mg )					
16-63	14ft	3ft frozen muck 5ft medium hard broken bedrock gravel 5ft hard broken bedrock bolder ( bedrock at 13ft ) 1ft soft bedrock brown ( gold 0mg )					
16-64	14ft	8ft frozen medium hard gravel 2ft hard crunchy bolder (bedrock at 10ft) 4ft soft no crunch bedrock brown ( gold 1mg )					
16-65	17ft	10ft frozen medium hard bedrock slide gravel 5ft hard crunchy bedrock slide (bedrock at 15ft ) 2ft soft bedrock ( gold 1mg )					
total	132ft	Date:	21-Jun-16	Signed (Driller or Representative	<i>Sylvain Fleurant</i>		

Placer DRILL LOG

Date: 22-Jun-16		Time:	Driller: Sylvain Fleurant	Helper:
Type of Drill: auger		Inside Diameter of Drill: 6 inch		
Location: Eureka Map;115-o-10c		Lease or Grant Numbers: Claim : p 34547 p 31320		
Drill Hole Number	Total Footage	Remarks: samples/results		
16-66	14ft	12ft thawed bedrock slide gravel medium hard water ( bedrock at 12ft ) 2ft soft bedrock no crunch brown ( gold 0mg ) water bad recovery		
16-67	16ft	13ft thawed bedrock slide gravel medium hard water ( bedrock at 13ft ) 3ft soft bedrock little crunchy green ( gold trace ) water bad recovery		
16-68	20ft	12ft thawed bedrock slide gravel medium hard water ( bedrock at 12ft ) 8ft soft bedrock crunchy brown ( gold 1mg ) water good recovery		
16-69	18ft	14ft thawed bedrock slide gravel medium hard water ( bedrock at 14ft ) 5ft medium hard bedrock ( gold 0mg ) water bad recovery lost all		
16-70	20ft	1ft frozen muck 8ft frozen bedrock slide gravel medium hard 4ft thawed bedrock slide gravel medium hard water ( bedrock maybe at 13ft or 17ft ) 4ft very hard bedrock bolder slab 1ft soft bedrock 2ft hard bedrock ( gold 0mg ) little water good recovery		
16-71	18ft	2ft frozen muck 11ft thawed bedrock slide gravel medium hard water( bedrock at13ft) 5ft soft bedrock red ( gold 0mg) good rc		
16-72	9ft	5ft frozen very hard bedrock slide (bedrock a 5ft ) 4ft hard bedrock brown( gold 0mg )		
total	115ft	Date: 22-Jun-16	Signed (Driller or Representative) <i>Sylvain Fleurant</i>	

37

Placer DRILL LOG

Date: 24-Sep-16		Time:	Driller: Sylvain Fleurant	Helper:
Type of Drill: auger			Inside Diameter of Drill: 6 inch	
Location: Eureka Left Fork Map 115-o-10c		Lease or Grant Numbers: Claim : p 34549		
		<i>RIGHT LIMIT CHANNEL</i>		
Drill Hole Number	Total Footage	Remarks: samples/results		
16-A1	46ft	20ft frozen muck 2ft hard broken bedrock slide 11ft soft bedrock slide brown red ( bedrock maybe at 33ft ) 3ft medium hard broken bedrock more black less red oxidation 4ft soft bedrock 3ft hard crunchy bedrock 3ft soft bedrock ( gold 5mg- )		
16-A2	34ft	(beside pole eurr16-4-el 31/90m) 5ft thawed muck 15ft frozen muck 1ft hard crunchy bedrock slide 3ft soft bedrock slide 1ft hard bedrock slide 2ft soft bedrock slide no crunch 3ft hard crunchy bedrock slide ( bedrock maybe at 30ft ) 4ft soft bedrock more black ( gold 0mg )		
total	80ft	Date: 24-Sep-16	Signed (Driller or Representative) <i>Sylvain Fleurant</i>	





(31 holes drilled near creek)

115-0-10E

HOLE DFT	TOTAL DEPT	MUCK OVERBURN	GRAVEL	FROZEN	DEPT TO BEDROCK	BEDROCK DRILL	CLAIM WEIGHT		
EUREKA LEFT FORK UPPER									
16-42	18	10	5	WATER BASE RECOVER	15	3	P34550 TRACE	○	↓
16-43	21	15 MUCK GRMIX	9	WATER 50% R.C.	15	6	"	0M9	
16-44	21	12	5	FROZEN	17	4	"	0M9	

*Rite in the Rain*

115-0-100

HOLE	TOTAL DEPT	MUCK	GRAVEL	FROZEN	DEPT TO BEDROCK	CLAIM	weight	
Upper Left Fork (Cont'd)								
16-45	23	16	3	FROZEN	19	4	P34552	1mg (.)
16-46	15	5 FROZEN +5 THAWED	2	WATER good RC	12	3	P34551	0mg
16-47	14	7	3	FROZEN	10	4	11	25mg (.)
16-48	19	8	4	FROZEN	12 OR 15	7	11	0mg
16-49	14	5	6	FROZEN	11	3	P34550	0mg
16-50	17	4	9	FROZEN	13	4	11	0mg
16-51	18	6	7	FROZEN	13	5	11	TRACE (.)
16-52	21	4	12	FROZEN	16	5	11	1mg (.)
16-53	14	3	9	FROZEN	12	2	P34549	0mg
16-54	16	2	8	FROZEN	10	6	11	0mg
16-55	15	4	9	FROZEN	12	3	11	0mg

Rite in the Rain

41

HOLE TOTAL MUCK

GRAVEL FROZEN

DEPT TO BEDROCK

115-0-10c

CLAIM WEIGHT

DEPT

BEDROCK DRILL

Upper Left Fork (Cont'd)

HOLE	TOTAL MUCK DEPT	MUCK	GRAVEL FROZEN	DEPT TO BEDROCK	BEDROCK DRILL	CLAIM WEIGHT	WEIGHT	STATUS	
16-56	15 FT	0	11 FROZEN	11	4	P34549	2mg	○	
16-57	14	3	8 FROZEN	11	3	P34549	0mg		
16-58	16	5	3 FROZEN	8	8	11	2mg	○	
16-59	17	4	10 WATER BAD RECOVERY	14	3	17	0mg		
16-60	15	8	7 bolder THAWED WATER	CANCEL BAD RECOVERY				0mg	
16-61	16	3	0 FROZEN	3	13	P34548	0mg		
16-62	9	0	5 FROZEN	5	4	11	0mg		
16-63	14	3	10 FROZEN	13	1	11	0mg		
16-64	14	0	10 FROZEN	10	4	17	1mg	○	
16-65	17	0	15 FROZEN	15	2	11	1mg	○	
16-66	14		12 FROZEN	12	2	P34547	0mg		

Rite in the Rain

HOLE TOTAL MUCK DEPT  
 Upper Left Fork (Cont'd)

TRAVEL FROZEN DEPT TO BEDROCK CLAIM WEIGHT  
 BEDROCK DRILL




HOLE	TOTAL MUCK DEPT	MUCK	RECOVERY	TRAVEL FROZEN	DEPT TO BEDROCK DRILL	CLAIM WEIGHT	WEIGHT	NOTES
16-67	16	0	BAD RECOVERY 13	THAWED WATER	13	3	P34577	TRACE (..)
16-68	20	0	good RECOVERY 12	THAWED WATER	12	8	11	1 mg (..)
16-69	18	0	BAD RC LOST ALL 14	THAWED WATER	14	4	11	0 mg
16-70	20	1	good RC 12	THAWED WATER	13	7	P31320	0 mg
16-71	18	2	good RECOVERY 11	THAWED WATER	13	5	11	6 mg
16-72	9	0		FROZEN	5	4	11	0 mg

*Rate in the Rain.*

16 2016  
SEPT 24, 25

Eureka

Upper Left Fork  
(Right Limit Bench)

HOLE	TOTAL DEPTH	MUCK	GRAVEL OVERBURDEN	FROZEN	DEPTH TO BEDROCK	CLAIM	weight	
16-A1	46 FT	20	13	FROZEN	33 ?	13	P34549	5 mg 
16-A2 (16-4 EL 31/90m)	34	20	10	FROZEN	30 ?	4	"	0 mg
16-A3	29	10	9	FROZEN	19	10	P34550	0 mg
16-A4	29	8	15	CASING FROZEN	23	6	"	30 mg 
16-A5	19	9	4	FROZEN	13	6	P34553	1 mg 

(Right Limit Channel)

2016 Exploration Program

Eureka Upper Left Fork

Trench

Test Pit

up the valley

near the creek

Drilled 36 holes


across the valley

Ran 3 Geophysical surveys

Line 2

Line 3

Line 4



Fine Gold Resources, Ltd.  
2016 YMEP Placer Exploration  
Program Budget

Employees and Contractors	Rate	Subtotal	GST	Budget	Time Used Actual \$ Spent
Project Management (design trenching, testing, stripping and sluicing program)	45 days @\$350/day	\$15,750	\$788	\$16,538	25 days \$8,750.00
Equipment Operator #1	45 days @\$350/day	\$15,750	\$788	\$16,538	62 days \$21,700.00
Equipment Operator #2	45 days @\$350/day	\$15,750	\$788	\$16,538	38 days \$13,300.00
Geologist (photograph & analyze stratigraphy, mapping, sample collection)	7 days @\$500/day	\$3,500	\$175	\$3,675	0 days \$0.00
GroundTruth Exploration (drone survey, resistivity geophysics)	as per estimate	\$19,145	\$957	\$20,102	2 days \$10,108.35
Auger Drilling	Needed to collect add'l data	\$0	\$0	\$0	5 days \$13,367.48
Geologist (YMEP final report preparation)	6 days @\$500/day	\$3,000	\$150	\$3,150	0 days \$0.00
<b>Subtotal Employees and Contractors =</b>		<b>\$72,895</b>	<b>\$3,646</b>	<b>\$76,541</b>	<b>\$67,225.83</b>

Note: YMEP rates where applicable: self-owned heavy equipment @75% of commercial dry rates.

Equipment Costs	Rate	Subtotal	GST	Budget	Time Used Actual \$ Spent
Ford F350 crew cab, 4x4 pickup	45 days @\$50/day	\$2,250	\$113	\$2,363	62 days \$3,255.00
Tandem equipment trailer	45 days @\$15/day	\$675	\$34	\$709	10 days \$157.50
Arctic Cat ATV	45 days @\$45/day	\$1,800	\$90	1,890	62 days \$2,929.50
Hitachi EX400 excavator	200 hrs @\$260/hr x 75%	\$39,000	\$1,950	\$40,950	410 hrs \$86,100.00
Caterpillar D9 dozer	200 hrs @\$285/hr x 75%	\$42,750	\$2,138	\$44,888	335 hrs \$70,350.00
Test plant	100 hrs @\$50/hr	\$5,000	\$250	\$5,250	84 hrs \$4,410.00
<b>Subtotal Equipment Costs =</b>		<b>\$91,475</b>	<b>\$4,575</b>	<b>\$96,050</b>	<b>\$167,202.00</b>

Total 2016 Exploration Program Budget = **\$172,591**

Actual = **\$234,427.83**



Recovered from test - Upper Left Fork

9.1 ounces



Button melted for assay

9

Gold growing out of quartz

Nugget found in test program

Upper Left Fork Eureka

11


# Upper Left Fork Eureka Creek


Assay

Element	Results of analysis: (%)
79 Au	73.18
47 Ag	25.60
37 Rb	0.02
26 Fe	0.83
85 At	0.12
29 Cu	0.25

Meas. para. (foreground spectrum):  
 High voltage = 50 KV (875) Prim. Filter = Al1000  
 Collimator 1 = 1.00 Dm. Anode current 1000 uA  
 Meas. distance = 0.84 mm


Foreground: Measured spectrum  
 Scattering spectrum  
 Sum of spectra  
 Residual





Potential Bench Deposit

Current Creek



Eureka  
Excellent Soil Samples  
York  
West  
Upper

EUREKA DOME

"Granville"  
TOPO MAP 1:250,000  
1" = 50,000 Feet

EUREKA  
DOME

Valley  
To Be  
Tested

Upper Left Fork

Waste

Waste

Waste

(YUKON PL



47

Soil Samples - PPB

Upper left fork Eureka

75


900

15

2190

360

360



Driller - Sylvain Fleurant





Test Pit



Test Trench



Test Plant



25

Slide Rock under moss



Large slide rocks in tailings



54

Colluvium with slide rock



55

Hard fractured bedrock



Mix of hard & soft decomposed bedrock





75

Colluvium in trench wall



85

Old Timers Cabin



65

Old Timers Shaft



YMEP Expense Claim Form - Client Copy

YMEP no: 16-053	project name: Eureka Creek	Applicant name: Fine Gold Resources Ltd.		
Expense Claim no: 1	program type: Placer	program module: Target Evaluation		
date submitted	phone: 778-344-1295	email: hmh1@shaw.ca		
Winter address: 29360 Township Line Rd, Abbotsford, BC V4X1S1				
Start/ end dates of fieldwork for this claim:	May 16 Sept 20	no of field days/ this claim: 62		
eligible expenses Please refer to rate guidelines. Provide photocopy of receipts.				
item	unit/days	rate	total	
daily field expenses	no persons:			
Personnel	Name (supply statement of qualifications)			
	Dean Tasczak - Mgr.	25	\$350	\$8,750.00
	Wayne Tasczak - Operator	62	\$350	\$21,700.00
	Karl Knudson - Operator	38	\$350	\$13,300.00
equipment (rental)	private or commercial	unit/days	rate	total (Incl's GST)
F350 Truck	private	62 days	\$50	\$3,255.00
EQUIP. Trailer	private	10 days	\$15	\$157.50
ATV	private	62 days	\$45	\$2,929.50
Hitachi Excavator (400)	private	410 hrs	\$260	\$86,100.00
Cat D9 Dozer	private	335 hrs	\$285	\$70,350.00
Test Plant	private	84 hrs	\$50	\$4,410.00
	private			
	private			
	private			
	private			
other	please provide details			
Geophysical Survey	(as invoiced)			\$10,108.35
Auger Drilling	(as invoiced)			\$13,367.48
Grand total this claim:				\$234,427.83

(3 Invoices attached)

Please Remit \$40,000.00 Maximum

Fine Gold Resources, Ltd.  
2016 YMEP Placer Exploration  
Program Budget

Employees and Contractors	Rate	Subtotal	GST	Budget	Time Used	Actual \$ Spent
Project Management (design trenching, testing, stripping and sluicing program)	45 days @\$350/day	\$15,750	\$788	\$16,538	25 days	\$8,750.00
Equipment Operator #1	45 days @\$350/day	\$15,750	\$788	\$16,538	62 days	\$21,700.00
Equipment Operator #2	45 days @\$350/day	\$15,750	\$788	\$16,538	38 days	\$13,300.00
Geologist (photograph & analyze stratigraphy, mapping, sample collection)	7 days @\$500/day	\$3,500	\$175	\$3,675	0 days	\$0.00
GroundTruth Exploration (drone survey, resistivity geophysics)	as per estimate	\$19,145	\$957	\$20,102	2 days	\$10,108.35
Auger Drilling	Needed to collect add'l data	\$0	\$0	\$0	5 days	\$13,367.48
Geologist (YMEP final report preparation)	6 days @\$500/day	\$3,000	\$150	\$3,150	0 days	\$0.00
<b>Subtotal Employees and Contractors =</b>		<b>\$72,895</b>	<b>\$3,646</b>	<b>\$76,541</b>		<b>\$67,225.83</b>

Note: YMEP rates where applicable: self-owned heavy equipment @75% of commercial dry rates.

Equipment Costs	Rate	Subtotal	GST	Budget	Time Used	Actual \$ Spent
Ford F350 crew cab, 4x4 pickup	45 days @\$50/day	\$2,250	\$113	\$2,363	62 days	\$3,255.00
Tandem equipment trailer	45 days @\$15/day	\$675	\$34	\$709	10 days	\$157.50
Arctic Cat ATV	45 days @\$45/day	\$1,800	\$90	1,890	62 days	\$2,929.50
Hitachi EX400 excavator	200 hrs @\$260/hr x 75%	\$39,000	\$1,950	\$40,950	410 hrs	\$86,100.00
Caterpillar D9 dozer	200 hrs @\$285/hr x 75%	\$42,750	\$2,138	\$44,888	335 hrs	\$70,350.00
Test plant	100 hrs @\$50/hr	\$5,000	\$250	\$5,250	84 hrs	\$4,410.00
<b>Subtotal Equipment Costs =</b>		<b>\$91,475</b>	<b>\$4,575</b>	<b>\$96,050</b>		<b>\$167,202.00</b>

Total 2016 Exploration Program Budget = **\$172,591**

Actual = **\$234,427.83**

# Fine Gold Resources, Ltd.

▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▽

January 30, 2017

Invoice No. 013017

YMEP Exploration Program  
102-300 Main Street  
P.O. Box 27703, K-102  
Whitehorse, Yukon Y0B 1G0

To whom it may concern:

Please reimburse Fine Gold Resources for the maximum authorized amount of the following internally paid personnel wages and equipment rental utilized for the exploration work conducted for Eureka Creek YMEP placer module 16-053:

<u>Item Charged</u>	<u>Amount</u>
Dean Tosczak, Mgr (25 days @ \$350)	\$ 8,750.00
Wayne Tosczak, Operator (62 days @ \$350)	\$21,700.00
Karl Knudson, Operator (38 days @ \$350)	\$13,300.00
F350 Truck	\$ 3,255.00
Equipment Trailer	\$ 157.50
ATV	\$ 2,929.50
Hitachi EX400 Excavator	\$86,100.00
Caterpillar D9 Dozer	\$70,350.00
Test Plant	<u>\$ 4,410.00</u>
Total Invoice =	\$210,952.00

Note: Please remit payment to the winter address shown below.

---

Summer address: Box 147, Dawson City, Yukon Y0B 1G0 (778) 786-3692

Winter address: 29360 Townshipline Rd., Abbotsford, BC V4X 1S1 (604) 607-7250




# Invoice # 9

Date 23-Jun-16

Bill TO: Fine Gold Resource L.T.D.  
Michael Heisey

Auger Drilling



Sylvain Fleurant  
P.O. Box 404  
Dawson City, YT Y0B 1G0  
Ph/Fx: (867)993-5488 Cell (867)993-3583  
Email: drillsf@hotmail.com

Eureka Creek ULF  
drill hole 16-42 to 16-72

Quantity	Description	Price	Amount
6 hour	walking the drill	\$220.00	\$1,320.00
506ft	of 6"auger drilling	\$15.00	\$7,590.00
5	Carbide tooth	\$12.00	\$60.00
42	welding rod Artec60	\$1.07	\$44.94
Subtotal			\$9,014.94
GST5%			\$450.74
Total			\$9,465.68

GST No: 123851651

Make Checks Payable to Sylvain Fleurant  
( payment due date of invoice)

Thank You For Your Business




# Invoice #22

Date 26-Sept-16

Bill TO: Fine Gold Resource LTD

## Auger Drilling



Sylvain Fleurant  
 P.O. Box 404  
 Dawson City, YT Y0B 1G0  
 Ph/Fx: (867)993-5488 Cell (867)993-3583  
 Email: drillsf@hotmail.com

Eureka left fork  
 drill hole 16-A1 to 16-A5

Quantity	Description	Price	Amount
2.5 hours	trucking	\$220.00	\$550.00
3.5 hours	walking the drill	\$220.00	\$770.00
157ft	of 6 inch auger drilling	\$15.00	\$2,355.00
29ft	of casing the hole	\$1.00	\$29.00
1	carbide tooth	\$12.00	\$12.00
	Subtotal		\$3,716.00
		GST 5%	\$185.80
		<b>Total</b>	<b>\$3,901.80</b>

Pd 9/26  
 Ch # 2034

GST No: 123851651  
 Make Checks Payable to Sylvain Fleurant  
 ( payment due date of invoice)

Thank You For Your Business

YMEP FINAL SUBMISSION FORM

		Date submitted: <b>Jan. 30, 2017</b>	
submit by January 31st to: <small>(winter placer projects may submit at pre-approved date)</small>		YMEP- EMR/ YTG Street address: 102-300 Main Street Mailing address: Box 2703, K-102 Whitehorse, Yt, Y1A 2C6	
		YMEP@gov.yk .ca phone: 867-456-3828 fax: 867-667-3198	
<b>CONTACT INFO</b> <b>Fine Gold Resources, Ltd.</b>		<b>PROJECT INFO</b>	
Name:	<b>Mike Heisey</b>	YMEP no:	<b>16-053</b>
Address:	<b>29360 Townshipline Rd.</b>	Project name:	<b>Eureka Creek</b>
	<b>Abbotsford, BC V4X 1S1</b>	Project type:	<b>Placer</b>
email	<b>h.m.h.1@shaw.ca</b>	Project module:	<b>Target Evaluation</b>
Phone:	<b>778-344-1295</b>		
Is the final report enclosed?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> hard copy
		<input type="checkbox"/> no	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> pdf copy
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> digital spreadsheet of station location data
Comment:			
<b>PROJECT SUMMARY</b>			
Total project expenditures:	<b>\$234,427.83</b>		
Number of new claims since March 31st:	<b>0</b>		
Has an option resulted since March 31?	<input type="checkbox"/> yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> no	<input type="checkbox"/> in negotiation
Number of calendar field days:	<b>62</b>		
Number of person-days of employment:	<b>125</b> paid	<b>0</b> days of unpaid work	
Total no. of samples:	_____ rocks	_____ silts	_____ soils <b>2,000 yd. Bulk Test</b> <b>other</b>
Total length/volume of trenching/ shafting:	<b>15 x 300 meter trench</b>		
Total number of line-km of geophysics	<b>3 Lines-249 meters each = 747 meters total</b>		
Total meters drilled	_____ diamond drill	_____ RC drill	<b>663</b> auger/percussion drill
Other products (provide details):	<b>(36 holes)</b>		
<i>This is not an expense claim form. To request reimbursement of expenses, please submit a separate detailed expense claim form.</i>			
<b>FINANCIAL SUMMARY</b>			
Total daily field allowance	<b>0</b>	Total contractor costs	<b>0</b>
Total field air transportation costs (helicopter/plane)	<b>0</b>	Total excavating/ heavy equipment costs	<b>\$156,450.00</b>
Total truck/ mileage costs	<b>0</b>	Total assay/analyses costs	<b>0</b>
Total wages paid	<b>\$43,750.00</b>	Total reclamation costs	<b>0</b>
Total light equipment rental costs	<b>\$10,752.00</b>	Total report writing cost	<b>0</b>
Other (please specify) <b>Geophysical = \$10,108.35</b>		Total staking costs	<b>0</b>
Other (please specify) <b>Drilling = \$13,367.48</b>			

YMEP FINAL SUBMISSION FORM

Your feedback on any aspect of the program:

This is a valuable program to encourage exploration for new reserves. If possible, increase funding for placer. The system used to screen applications seems to be a good one.

The Department of Energy, Mines and Resources may verify all statements related to and made on this form, in any previously submitted reports, interim claims and in the Summary or Technical Report which accompanies it.

I certify that;

1. I am the person, or the representative of the company or partnership, named in the Application for Funding and in the Contribution Agreement under the Yukon Mining Incentives Program.
2. I am a person who is nineteen years of age or older, and I have complied with all the requirements of the said program.
3. I hereby apply for the final payment of a contribution under the Yukon Mineral Exploration Program (YMEP) and declare the information contained within the Summary or Technical Report and this form to be true and accurate.

Date Jan. 30, 2017

Signature of Applicant

Mike Heisey

Name (print)

Mike Heisey