Energy, Mines and Resources • Yukon Geological Survey • Energy, Mines and Resources • Yukon Geological Survey

Open File 2006-6

Mineral Assessment of the proposed Frances Lake Special Management Area, Yukon

A. Fonseca





Open File 2006-6

Mineral Assessment of the proposed Frances Lake Special Management Area, Yukon

A. Fonseca

Published under the authority of the Minister of Energy, Mines and Resources, Government of Yukon http://www.emr.gov.yk.ca Printed in Whitehorse, Yukon, 2006.

© Minister of Energy, Mines and Resources, Government of Yukon

This, and other Yukon Geological Survey publications, may be obtained from:

Geoscience and Information Sales c/o Whitehorse Mining Recorder 102-300 Main Street Box 2703 (K102) Whitehorse, Yukon, Canada Y1A 2C6 phone (867) 667-5200, fax (867) 667-5150

Visit the Yukon Geological Survey web site at www.geology.gov.yk.ca

In referring to this publication, please use the following citation: Fonseca, A., 2006. Mineral Assessment of the proposed Frances Lake Special Management Area, Yukon. Yukon Geological Survey, Open File 2006-6, 29 p.

Preface

This report summarizes results of geological fieldwork performed (2000, 2001) and the detailed mineral assessment in the proposed Frances Lake Special Management Area by the Mineral Resources Branch, Government of Yukon. The purpose of the study was to produce a guide to the mineral potential of the area to assist with proposed land planning in the area. The Yukon Geological Survey is pleased to release this information in this report.

The information is being released as originally prepared and may not conform to current Yukon Geological Survey publication standards. Please note that this report does not include information from any studies that may have been carried out in the area since the mineral assessment was conducted. Special Management Area boundaries and names may have changed since the study was completed. This report was not previously released to the public due to the confidential nature of the Land Claim negotiation processes.

Mineral Assessment of Frances Lake Area

Internal Report Prepared by A. Fonseca December, 2001

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	1
Introduction	2
Land Status	2
Access Considerations	2
Fieldwork	2
Regional Geology and Mineralization of Frances Lake Area	2
Core Area – Geology and Mineralization	5
Matt Berry deposit	5
Location and Access	5
Work History	5
Geology and Mineralization	5
Structural Geology	6
Ore Petrography	6
Findings and Deposit Model	6
Simpson Tower	7
Nipple Mountain	7
Cenozoic Basalts	7
Study Area – Geology and Mineralization	7
Maxi SEDEX prospect	7
Location and Access	7
Work History	7
Geology and Mineralization	7
Findings	11
Fluke skarn prospect	11
Mineral Showings Adjacent to the Study Area	11
Anderson Creek skarn	11
Detailed mineral assessment	11
Methodology	15
Limitations	15
Results and conclusions	15
Recommendations	15
Acknowledgements	17
References	18
Appendices	19
I – List of samples collected by YTG	
II – Simpson Tower sample location map	

III - Fluke sample location map

IV – Cenozoic basalt sample location map

V – Maxi and Anderson Creek sample location map

VI – ICP analyses of rush samples from Maxi prospect

V – Assay certificates for samples collected by YTG

VI – 1:50,000Compilation map for 105H/11

Executive Summary

Yukon Government, Liard First Nations, and Ross River Dena Council agreed to create a 1500.94 km² Special Management Area designated as Natural Environment Park over Frances Lake. A 1710.8 km² Study Area surrounding the Core Area was also agreed to, but its designation of protection is uncertain. The Frances Lake SMA covers an area of significant Pb-Zn-Cu mineralization and multi-element geochemical anomalies, abuts to Cominco's advanced Fin prospect, and encloses quartz claims over the Matt Berry deposit, owned by Barytex International.

Field work conducted in the summers of 2000 and 2001 by YTG Mineral Resources Branch showed that:

- Mineralization in the Matt Berry deposit is related to a previously unreported volcanic belt that extends over 30 km to the northwest;
- Matt Berry deposit has characteristics of VMS-style deposits, such as those in the Finlayson Lake Belt;
- The style of deformation interpreted for the Matt Berry suggests repetition of the mineralized unit at depth;
- Maxi prospect (in the proposed Study Area) is a significant exploration target, that deserved further exploration work;

A detailed mineral assessment of the area bounded by Robert Campbel Highway and Nahanni Range Road shows the highest mineral potential along a belt in the western part of the area, which coincides with the core of the proposed SMA. An examination of the detailed mineral potential map resulted in the following recommendations:

 The proposed protected area be shifted to the northeast, where there are large tracts of land with lower mineral potential, and equally easy road access;

YTG Mineral Resources Branch should carry further field work to map intrusive phases in the northern-most portion of Billings Batholith, and to establish the source of a pronounced geochemical anomaly south of Simpson Tower.

Introduction

This report summarizes the current land status of Frances Lake SMA, results of geological fieldwork performed in the proposed Frances Lake Special Management Area during the summers of 2000 and 2001.

LAND STATUS

Yukon Government, Liard First Nations, and Ross River Dena Council agreed to create a Special Management Area designated as a Natural Environment Park over Frances Lake (Figure 1). The Special Management Core Area consists of 1500.94 km² that are to be withdrawn from disposition upon finalization of the Liard First Nation and Ross River Dena Council Final Agreements. Interim protection may be installed previous to finalization of the Final Agreements. The core area is surrounded by a 1710.8 km² study area of uncertain level of protection. As of December, 2001, the YTG Land Claims negotiator in charge of Liard First Nation is considering extinguishing the study area. It is unclear whether this means that only the core area will be considered for the SMA, or if the current study area will be incorporated into a larger core area.

A map notation of a proposed Territorial Park Reserve including both arms of Frances Lake, Mt. Hunt, Simpson Tower, and Lackie Lake was created in December, 1972. The map notation enclosed quartz claims over the Matt Berry deposit and several other quartz claim blocks that expired since. The claims owned by Barytex Resources over the Matt Berry deposit are the only active mineral claims in the area, as of December, 2001. Cominco's Fin property is adjacent to the northwest corner of the Study area.

ACCESS CONSIDERATIONS

The SMA core area is bounded by the Robert Campbell Highway to the west, and the study area is bounded by the Nahanni Range Road to the south. The SMA also includes the Upper Canyon (105H-03-001) flooding notation, identified for future hydroelectric development.

FIELD WORK

Fieldwork towards a mineral resource assessment of Frances Lake SMA concentrated in

areas of most significant mineral occurrences within and adjacent to the core and study areas: Matt Berry deposit, Simpson Tower, Maxi showing, Fluke skarn, and Anderson Creek skarn.

Geological work involved mapping at 1:10,000 (Matt Berry), 1:20,000 (Simpson Tower contact aureole), 1:50,000 (Anderson Creek and Maxi areas) scales, field checks at 1:250,000 scale (Nipple Mountain and Cenozoic Basalts), and collection of samples for geochemical analyses (ICP-MS, W-assay, research-grade trace elements); radiometric analyses (Pb-Pb and U-Pb); conodont dating; and petrographic analyses.

Regional Geology and mineralization of Frances Lake_area

Frances Lake map sheet (105H) was mapped at 1:250,000 scale by Green and others (1966), and Blusson (1965). The maps are antiquated, and lack observations on the nature of geological contacts. Recent interpretations by Gordey and Makepeace (2000) (Figure 2) are based on Bluson's map, which has insufficient or faulty observations.

The Frances Lake SMA is located at the western edge of Selwyn Basin. Gordey (1992) defines Selwyn Basin as a topographic low that existed from late Precambrian through Middle Devonian time, bounded to the west by Pelly-Cassiar carbonate Platform, and to the east by Mackenzie Platform. More loosely, Selwyn Basin refers to the geographic area where siliciclastic and carbonate deposition took place under different tectonic environments. It includes Earn Group and Triassic syn-orogenic clastic sediments.

Throughout its existence, three main extensional events affected Selwyn Basin, producing down-dropped blocks and second order basins where black shale, chert, and black limestone commonly deposited. Late Proterozoic to Early Cambrian extension resulted in shedding of a thick (>2000 m) turbidite sequence (Yusezyu Formation) represented mainly by grits and limestone, but containing abundant shale beds. Cambro-Ordovician



_ _ _ _ _ _



MACKENZIE PLATFORM

LOWER CAMBRIAN



SEKWI: limestone, locally wavy bedded an nodular; limestone conglomerate slope breccia; massive grey dolostone; medium- to thick-bedded quartz sandstone; purple siltstone; bright orange weathering, fine crystalline dolostone

UPPER PROTEROZOIC TO LOWER CAMBRIAN



VAMPIRE: dark brown weathering, thin-bedded, argillaceous fine-grained sandstor and siltstone, minor interbedded medium- to coarse grained white to light grey orthoquartzite; phyllite, slate, and argillite

CASSIAR PLATFORM

SILURIAN TO MIDDLE DEVONIAN

MCEVOY: buff, platy siltstone (1) overlain by carbonate and quartzite (2)

MIDDLE SILURIAN TO MIDDLE DEVONIAN



SDM1

ASKIN: platy dolomitic siltstone (1) overlain by dolostone and orthoquartzite (2) with rare volcanics (3)

UPPER CAMBRIAN AND LOWER ORDOVICIAN



ST. CYR: poorly understood, fine clastic and carbonate assemblage, (1) to (5), with only general similarities to equivalent strata elsewhere in Cassiar Mountains; overlain by strata typical of Earn, Tay and Jones Lake assemblages elsewhere

UPPER CAMBRIAN AND LOWER ORDOVICIAN



KECHIKA: basinal fine grained calcareous pelitic strata (1) with locally intercalar mafic volcanics (2)

LOWER CAMBRIAN



ROSELLA: resistant, thick bedded to massive, limestone and argillaceous limestone local archaeocyathid buildups, trilobite fragments, oolites, and pisolites; pisolitic massive dolomite and limestone; marble, calc-silicate, calcareous phylite and minor schist

UPPER PROTEROZOIC TO LOWER CAMBRIAN



INGENIKA: consists upwards of coarse quartzose clastics overlain by fine clastics (1), a marble horizon (2), and fine clastic strata (3); laterally equivalent similar fine clastics (4) are mostly (?) correlative to the upper part of this succession

SLIDE MOUNTAIN TERRANE

CARBONIFEROUS TO PERMIAN



ANVIL: dominantly oceanic assemblage of mafic volcanics (1), ultramafics (4), chert and pelite (2), limestone (3) and gabbroic rocks (5)

YUKON-TANANA TERRANE

PROTEROZOIC AND PALEOZOIC



AMPHIBOLITE: metamorphosed matic rocks including amphibolite (1) and ultramafic rocks (2) of unknown association; i.e., may belong in part or entirely to Nisling, Nasina and Slide Mountain assemblages and (3), matic-ultramafic intrusions within Nasina assemblage

LATE DEVONIAN TO MISSISSIPPIAN



DMgPE PELLY GNEISS SUITE - NORTHEAST: variably deformed granitic rocks of predominantly felsic (q) to intermediate composition (g) northeast of Tintina Fault

DEVONIAN, MISSISSIPPIAN AND(?) OLDER



NASINA: graphitic quartzite and muscovite quartz-rich schist (1), (3)-(5), and(?) (6) with interspersed marble (2) and probable correlative successions (7) - (9); eclogite occurrences (10)

LATE PROTEROZOIC AND PALEOZOIC



NISLING: assemblage characterized by mica quartz feldspar schist (1) and abundant locally thick limestone members (2); (3) includes possibly equivalent strata northeast of Tintina Fault

QUATERNARY

Q

NORTH AMERICA

nic deposits

MAGMATIC ROCKS

MID-CRETACEOUS mKS

SELWYN SUITE: plutonic suite of intermediate (g) to syenitic (y) comp

CASSIAR SUITE: medium to coarse grained, equigranular to porphyritic rocks of largely felsic (q) composition; includes minor (?) amounts questionably of more intermediate composition (g)

QUATERNARY: unconsolidated glacial, glaciofluvial and glaciolacustrine deposits; gluviatile silt, sand, and gravel, and local volcanic ash, in part with cover of soft and

MID-CRETACEOUS

ITR1

SELWYN SUITE: plutonic suite of intermediate (g) to syenitic (y) compositions

EARN BASIN

DEVONIAN AND MISSISSIPPIAN



ORDOVICIAN TO LOWER DEVONIAN

ROAD RIVER: black shale and chert (1) overlain by orange siltstone (2) or buff platy limestone (3); locally contains beds as old as Middle Cambrian (4)



LOWER CAMBRIAN

ICG1 GULL LAKE: dominantly fine clastic assemblage (1) with local volcanic units (2)

UPPER PROTEROZOIC TO LOWER CAMBRIAN



HYLAND: consists upwards of coarse turbiditic clastics (1), limestone (2) and fine clastics typified by maroon and green shale (3); may include younger units (4) includes scattered mafic volcanic rocks (5)

ODR

UPPER CAMBRIAN AND ORDOVICIAN



extension is well documented in the Anvil district, where sub-basins contain the Faro, Grum, Vangorda, and Swim deposits. A regional, sub-Rabbitkettle Formation unconformity suggests that extension was widespread. Ordovician-Silurian extension lead to SEDEX mineralization in the Howards Pass area (Anniv and XY deposits). In Frances Lake map sheet, Ordovician to Silurian extension produced the Maxi prospect.

In Middle Devonian time, the source of clastic sediments changed drastically from westerly-(continent) derived to northerly- and northwesterly- derived, possibly in response to Ellesmerian orogeny. Earn Group turbidites flooded Selwyn Basin, Pelly-Cassiar Platform, and western Mackenzie Platform. Extension of Selwyn Basin during deposition of Earn Group sediments gave rise to SEDEX deposits in the Mac Pass area (Tom and Jason), and to the Matt Berry deposit in Frances Lake map sheet.

Siluro-Devonian rocks in the Frances Lake area have a different, shallower-water character from Road River Group rocks throughout the rest of Selwyn Basin. Siluro-Devonian limestone, calcareous shale, and possibly quartz-arenite are interpreted (Gordey and Makepeace, 2000) as McEvoy Platform – a high-standing block to the west of Selwyn Basin, and are correlated with Askin Group of Cassiar Platform.

Mafic and felsic volcanism occurred in Selwyn Basin during the deposition of Earn Group turbidites. The Marg VMS deposit and adjacent areas constitute the best documented volcanic district in Selwyn Basin, and is hosted in a thin felsic volcanic unit within a thicker, mafic sequence. The volcanic rocks are overlain by Keno Hill Quartzite. The entire sequence is intensely deformed and metamorphosed in the Tombstone strain zone (hanging-wall of Tombstone Thrust). Frances Lake SMA contains a Devono-Mississippian volcanic belt that is described in this report.

Mesozoic deformation started in Permo-Triassic time, and ended before emplacement of mid-Cretaceous granitic batholiths and plutons. Two, and locally three phases of penetrative regional deformation affected Selwyn Basin rocks in the Frances Lake map sheet. In mid-Cretaceous time, Billings Batholith and smaller granitic plutons and stocks of Tay River plutonic suite intruded Selwyn Basin strata, and produced large contact metamorphic aureoles and local skarn and vein prospects.

Cenozoic strike-slip movement along Tintina Fault juxtaposed metamorphic rocks of Yukon-Tanana Terrane (Finlayson Lake district) to those of Selwyn Basin. Cenozoic magmatism produced basaltic rocks that crop out in the southern area of Frances Lake SMA.

Core Area - Geology and Mineralization

Matt Berry deposit

The Matt Berry deposit is an unusually copperrich, small tonnage SEDEX deposit. Calculated reserves are 533,434 tonnes grading 6.1% Pb, 4.8% Zn, and 102.9 g/t Ag. No copper grades are quoted in the reserves.

LOCATION AND ACCESS

The Matt Berry deposit is located on a promontory the northeastern shore of the East Arm Frances Lake. Access is by float plane from Finlayson Lake, or by boat (approximately 60 km) from the Frances Lake Campground. Remains of an old exploration camp are located on the Thompson Creek promontory, immediately northwest of the deposit.

WORK HISTORY

Galena-sphalerite-rich float in the Thompson Creek area was first reported by Dawson (1887). The area was first staked in 1944. Between 1966 and 1969, Matt Berry Mines Limited carried out trenching, and EM surveys, and drilled 29 holes (2298 m). Between 1970 and 1971, the property was under option of Inco and Metallgesellschaft (now Inmet), which drilled 4 holes (426.7 m). In 1974, Anvil Mining Corporation conducted soil sampling and geophysical surveys (mag and gravity). In 1978 Welcome North Mines Limited conducted a pulse-EM survey. In 1979, Sovereign Metals Corporation in a joint venture with Cominco conducted trenching, geological mapping, geochemical surveys, and drilled 5 holes (1229 m). Sovereign changed name to Barvtex Resources. which currently owns the claims. Pulse Resources optioned the property in 1986; and conducted magnetic and geochemical surveys and staked the Beth claims (1987); cut baselines and staked the Binti claims (1988); conducted geological mapping, soil sampling, and geophysical surveys (1989); and drilled 4 holes (303 m, 1991). After Pulse Resources dropped the option, Barytex Resources Corporation cut 53 km of line (1993), and staked the Pat claims (1994), which lapsed since.

GEOLOGY AND MINERALIZATION

A trench exposes a 45 cm thick massive sulphide bed on the south shore of Thompson Creek (Plate 1). The sulphide bed consists of mainly galena, sphalerite and quartz, with local chalcopyrite and bornite. Mineralization thickens, and is open to the east, and is hosted in dark grey to black phyllite to carbonaceous phyllite of Earn Group. Sulphide-bearing quartz veins up to 1 m thick cross-cut carbonaceous phillites to the south of the deposit, along the eastern shore of Frances Lake.

An examination of drill core from Pulse Resources' 1991 exploration program revealed the existence of a previously unreported quartzsericite-augen schist unit (Plate 2). Abundant angular quartz grains (Plate 3) and quartz and sericite replacing elongate feldspar crystals (Plate 4) suggest that the protolith was a quartz and feldspar bearing felsic volcanic rock. SW-NE-oriented cross-sections show the felsic volcanic unit consistently underlying the mineralization (Figure 3). Trace element geochemical plots of the felsic volcanic unit suggest a withinplate, setting for their emplacement (Figure 4).

Two Pb-Pb analyses of galena from the Matt Berry deposit were used to constrain the "Shale Curve" of Godwin and Sinclair (1982) and yielded a Devonian age, suggesting that the mineralization is hosted in Earn Group. A U-Pb analysis from the felsic volcanic unit in drill core yielded an Ordovician age (~481 Ma, J. Mortensen, pers. comm.). Matt Berry constitutes the only record of Road River age volcanism in Selwyn Basin.

STRUCTURAL GEOLOGY

Easterly to east-northeasterly-directed thrusting brings the mineralized sequence closer to surface towards the east (Figure 3). The variable thickness of low-grade to barren phyllite between the quartz-eye felsic volcanic unit and the mineralization is interpreted as the result of folding along an approximately EW-axis. This interpretation implies repetition of the mineralization at greater depth, and is in line with structural observations recorded in the Matt Berry showing area.

Three foliations are observed in outcrop (Plate 5), suggesting that three phases of deformation affected the deposit. The earliest phase of deformation produced a moderately developed penetrative foliation (S_1) that is folded along NS-trending axes, crenulated, and kink-folded. The second phase of deformation produced NS-trending folds that affect the first phase foliation and bedding, and a very well developed penetrative foliation (S_2) that is crenulated. The third phase of deformation produced folding of S_0 , S_1 , and S_2 along NW-trending axes, ENE-directed thrusting, and a poorly developed spaced cleavage.

ORE PETROGRAPHY

A reflected light petrographic survey of ore from the Matt Berry showing demonstrated the copper-rich nature of the deposit. Locally, chalcopyrite makes up to 2% of the rock volume (Plate 6). Typical paragenesis in the showing is: quartz \rightarrow sphalerite \rightarrow quartz,chalcopyrite,

pyrite \rightarrow galena \rightarrow covelite, marcassite, quartz, sericite. Chalcopyrite also occurs as exholutions in sphalerite. Principal gangue minerals are quartz, carbonate, and sericite. Volcanic textures were also observed in the contacts of ore and host rock (Plate 7).

FINDINGS AND DEPOSIT MODEL

The previously unreported felsic volcanic unit that underlie the Matt Berry deposit may represent a new metallogenic district in western Selwyn Basin. The proximity to this volcanic unit, unusually high copper grades, and small tonnage suggests that Matt Berry represents a hybrid deposit type that has characteristics of SEDEX- and VMS-type mineralization. The Wolverine deposit in Yukon-Tanana Terrane is another hybrid SEDEX-VMS deposit (S. Piercey, pers. comm.). The volcanic unit underlying the Matt Berry deposit extends northwesterly for at least 30 km, and is exposed in Cominco's Quest and Fin SEDEX prospects (D. Rhodes, pers. comm). Proposed Pb-Pb analyses of galena in the ore and of pyrite in the volcanic unit will show conclusively whether or not there is a

٠ž.,



Plate 1. Matt Berry showing. Galena-sphalerite-chalcopyrite mineralization exposed in a trench.



Plate 3. Angular quartz forming eye in felsic metavolcanic rock. Field of view = 7.2 mm.



Plate 2. Strongly deformed, quartz-sericite-augen schist.



Plate 4. Quartz and sericite replacing elongated feldspar grains in felsic metavolcanic unit. Field of view = 7.2 mm.





Plate 5. Outcrop along East Arm Frances Lake shore, south of Matt Berry deposit. Second phase foliation is a folded and steeply dipping widely spaced cleavage. Third phase foliation is sub-horizontal, and sub-parallel to bedding. Field of view = approximately 15 metres.



Plate 7. Angular quartz along the contacts of the Matt Berry ore zone.



Plate 6. Large chalcopyrite crystal interstitial to pyrite, carbonate, quartz, and sphalerite. Field of view = 1.75 mm.

genetic relation between volcanism and SEDEX mineralization.

Simpson Tower

Recessive, medium grey limestone, shale, and calcareous shale correlated with McEvov Platform form sparse outcrops in the densely vegetated low elevations of Simpson Tower (Plate 8). The contact between McEvov crinoidal limestones and overlying dark grev chert-pebble-conglomerate is exposed at Maple Creek. Siliciclastic rocks forming the most extensive outcrops above tree-line show intense hornfels and calc-silicate alteration, and are folded along axes with seemingly random orientations and shallow plunge (Plate 9). Fold hinges are defined by segregation of quartzose, calc-silicate, and biotite layers. Folds are interpreted as resulting from the intrusion of a large magmatic body at depth. Two granite stocks are exposed in the central portion of Simpson Tower. Local sulphide mineralization (e.g., May occurrence MINFILE # 105H 019) includes py, po, and cp in intensely oxidized. calc-silicate altered rocks (Plate 10). Rare calcite-quartz veins reach up to 70 cm width. and are cross-cut by chalcedony stringers. Figure 5 is a geological map of the Simpson Tower area. Several samples of vein, hornfels, and skarn were collected for ICP analyses (Appendix II).

Nipple Mountain

A thick, intensely jointed, coarsely laminated, white, massive subangular to subrounded guartz-arenite forms a resistant cliff at the centre of Nipple Mountain. The outcrop area forms an anticline along a roughly NS-trending axis. To the south, quartz-arenite is overlain by recessive, medium grey limestone. The stratigraphic position of the guartz-arenite with respect to dark phylites (probably Earn Group) hosting the Matt Berry deposit is uncertain. The current interpretation (Gordey, 2000) is that rocks of Nipple Mountain are part of McEvoy Platform, and unconformably underlie Earn Group. Alternatively, if the arenite sits stratigraphically above Earn Group rocks, it may represent equivalent lithology to the Keno Hill Quartzite. Proposed conodont dating of limestone overlying the quartz-arenite could resolve this problem.

Cenozoic Basalts

Outcrops of mafic rocks in the southeastern part of the SMA core and study areas were interpreted by Gordey (2000) as a klippe of Slide Mountain Terrane. Field and petrographic observations show that the rocks are undeformed, autochtonous basalt to gabbro, likely of Cenozoic age. Similar rocks are exposed along the Robert Campbell Highway, by the Tuchitua Road Camp.

Study Area – Geology and Mineralization

Maxi sedex prospect

LOCATION AND ACCESS

Maxi showing is located on a tributary of Anderson Creek, approximately 2.5 km southeast of a lake where Utah Mines' exploration camp and core rack still stand. Access to the old Maxi camp is by float plane or helicopter to Maxi Lake. The showing is in a densely vegetated area, where helicopter access may be difficult. Figure 6 is a geological map of Maxi and Anderson Creek prospects. Field work in 2001 concentrated in verifying and following-up on mapping done by Utah Mines Ltd. in the 1970s.

WORK HISTORY

Maxi and Midi claims were staked in 1977 by Welcome North Mining Limited. Welcome North performed geological mapping at 1:10,000 scale, geochemical sampling, geophysical surveys (magnetics and EM), and trenching. Later in 1977, Vestor Exploration Limited and Pacific Cassiar Exploration Limited staked claim blocks surrounding the original Maxi claims. Between 1978 and 1979 Utah Mines Ltd. drilled a total of 2147 m in 15 diamond drill holes, and conducted geological mapping, geochemical and geophysical (magnetics, IP, and EM) surveys, and trenching. Utah Mines dropped the option in 1980. Currently there are no active claims in the area.

GEOLOGY AND MINERALIZATION

Exhalative pyrite, pyrrhotite, sphalerite and minor galena mineralization occurs along a steep creek canyon in interbedded black shale and black limestone (Plate 11). Rocks in the canyon dip steeply to the north. Pellitic rocks



Plate 8. Simpson Tower (looking west from Matt Berry deposit). Vegetated, lower areas have sparse outcrops of McEvoy platform silty limestone; higher elevations have well exposed shale, sandstone, limestone, and chert-pebble conglomerate of Earn Group.



Plate 9. Strongly oxidized, calc-silicate altered outcrop in Simpson Tower.



Plate 10. Ductile folds in calc-silicate altered quartz-arenite (Simpson Tower).



Figure 5. Geological map of Simpson Tower. 1:50 000 scale.

. . . _

34,

108 H/10

105 H/2

have a very developed phyllitic cleavage that is sub-parallel to bedding, and axial planar to second phase intrafolial folds. Foliaform pyrite and chalcopyrite is common in quartz-rich domains within black shales, and less common in gray shales and limestone. Quartz-carbonate veins contain pyrite, pyrrhotite, sphalerite, and galena. The sedimentary rocks are underlain by a fine- to medium-grained granitic intrusion, which is likely part of the Mt. Billings Batholith. Two Pb-Pb analyses of galena from the Maxi prospect were used to constrain the "Shale Curve" of Godwin and Sinclair (1982) and yielded a Silurian age. The Pb-Pb age is consistent with detailed mapping by Utah Mines which indicates that the host rock to Maxi prospect is equivalent to Gordey's (1993) Steele Formation.

An initial examination of the core drilled by Utah Mines, and stored in the old exploration camp (Plate 12) showed that core logging and sampling were inappropriate. Many mineralized intervals remain unsampled in the core boxes. Diamond drill hole XC-6 alone contains over 50 m of finely disseminated sulphide and carbonate. Four rush ICP analyses of core samples from Maxi prospect yielded Zn values between 0.03 and 0.11%.

FINDINGS

The Maxi prospect is the most significant mineral occurrence in the study area. Wide intervals of unsampled, mineralized core in the old camp suggests that the prospect has not been properly tested. Maps of the 1979 drill hole collars were not filed for assessment, and Utah Mines no longer has that data. Further research and analyses of core samples are required in order to reconstitute the geometry and extent of the mineralization.

Fluke skarn prospect

Blue-gray weathering, recrystallized limestone beds up to 15 m thick have skarn alteration adjacent to light tan weathering, coarse-grained dikes of granitic composition (Plates 13, 14). Macroscopic and microscopic alteration minerals include garnet, diopside, magnetite, pyrite, pyrrhotite, and minor sphalerite. Limestone constitutes a minor lithology within a thick sequence of strongly hornfelsed, predominantly medium- to dark-gray shales and siltstones. The siliciclastic rocks have a well developed, SW-dipping second phase foliation that is generally sub-parallel to bedding, and a poorly developed, folded first phase foliation. Gordey and Makepeace (2000) interpreted the pelitic rocks as Yusezyu Formation (Hyland Group). Alternatively, these rocks may be strongly altered Earn Group. Figure 7 is a geological map of the Fluke prospect.

Mineral showings adjacent to the study area

Anderson Creek Skarn

Garnet, diopside, wollastonite, and minor scheelite form brown, white, and green bands within 2-5 m of monzonitic dikes and stocks. A series of fine- to medium-grained dikes crosscuts and metamorphoses the sedimentary rocks. Skarn is developed in a thick, purplegray weathering, silty-banded limestone that resembles Rabbitkettle Formation (Plate 15), and is underlain (not clear if structurally or stratigraphically) by strongly hornfelsed siliciclastic rocks. The sequence dips steeply to the southwest, and is folded isoclinally (Plate 16) along northeast-trending axes. Fold vergence is to NW. A southwesterly- to westerlydipping foliation is poorly developed as a phyllitic cleavage in narrow pellitic bands, and as spaced cleavage in limestone and siliciclastic hornfels. Sulphides are restricted to narrow, rusty, silicified zones up to 25 cm wide. Sulphide-rich zones cross-cut bedding, and were only been observed within 1 m of dikes or intrusive margins. Small enclaves of siliciclastic rock in the intrusion are pervasively altered to a gneissic composition, but retain original bedding and foliation orientation.

Detailed mineral assessment

A detailed mineral assessment workshop took place in Whitehorse, in November, 2001. Figure 8 shows the resulting mineral potential map of Frances Lake area. Four mineral exploration geologists considered to have the largest experience and knowledge of the area and its mineral deposit types were invited to participate as expert mineral potential estimators. The experts were shown a MINFILE map with the 1972 Proposed Frances LakeTerritorial Park notation, and told that the mineral assessment was being carried out because of the existence



Figure 6. Geological map of Anderson Creek and Maxi prospects. 1:50 000 scale.

Topographic base produces by SURVEYS AND MAPPING BRANCH DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, MINES AND RESOLINCES Copyright Her Mejesty the Queer In Right of Canada ONE THOUSAND METRE Universal Transverse Mercator Grid ZONE 9 True No 0"13" 31*3 Use diagram to obtain numerical values APPROXIMATE MEAN DECLINATION 1985 FOR CENTRE OF MAP Annual change decreasing 8.5' 3/ 2 × × 105 H/13 105 H/14 105 H/15 106 H/12 106 H/10 MAP 106 H/11 105 H/5 105 H/6 105 H/7

Anderson Creek and Maxi Prospects - Frances Lake YUKON TERRITORY SCALE 1:50 000 Miles 1 0 1 Milles

CONTOUR INTERVAL 20 METRES levelons in makes above Mean Sea Level North American Detum 1983 Transvene Mercator Projection







°/ 3/



106 H/12	106 H/11	108 H/10
105 H/5	105 H/6	105 H/7 This Map
105 H/4	105 H/3	105 H/2



Use diagram to obtain numerical values APPROXIMATE MEAN DECLINATION 1985 FOR CENTRE OF Map Annual change decreasing 8.5'





Plate 11. Steep Canyon along a tributary of Anderson Creek, where the Maxi prospect is located.



Plate 13. Granitic dike (white) causes skarn alteration in the Fluke Prospect. Looking northeast.



Plate 12. Collapsed core rack at the old Utah Mines' Maxi camp.



Plate 14. Intense oxidation and garnet-skarn alteration in white weathering limestone, near dike contacts (Fluke prospect).



Plate 15. Silty-banded limestone, resembling Rabbitkettle Formation.



Plate 16. Tightly folded sedimentary sequence, looking southeast. Field of view approx. 50 m.

of a map notation in an ecoregion unrepresented by YPAS Goal 1 areas. They were not shown outlines of current park proposals, and are not aware of the SMA process.

Methodology

An area extending far beyond the northern and eastern limits of the study area was divided into 22 tracts, each representing a package of rocks that is either fault-bounded, or constitutes a unique domain with respect to lithological, geochemical, or geophysical characteristics. Paul Macrobbie and Bruce Mawer (from Cominco Ltd., now Teck-Cominco), Tony Hitchins (former Canada Amax geologist), and Greg Holland (former BHP-Utah Mines Ltd. geologist) participated as expert mineral potential estimators. The assessment workshop lasted three days. After examining and discussing all the geoscinetific information available for each tract, the panilists decided upon a list of deposit models pertinent to the tract, and filled in evaluation forms for likelihood of new discoveries of the median tonnage for each deposit type in the tract. The forms were utilized to maintain focus on mineral deposit models and explorability of the tract, and reduce personal biases. No statistical simulation was performed using the evaluation forms. At the end of the third day, the panelists ranked the tracts relative to each other unanimously, from highest to lowest mineral potential.

Limitations

Mineral potential maps portray the best estimation at the time of the assessment. Since we are assessing a hidden resource, it is important to realize that the geological knowledge base is in a constant state of growth, and mineral deposits may one day be found in rocks that we once thought to have lower potential.

Results and conclusions

The mineral potential map displays the relative mineral potential within the SMA. The mineral potential of the highest-ranking tracts is due to the presence of the Matt Berry deposit (open to the east), and the existence of drilled sedex prospects in three stratigraphic units: Road River Group – Duo Lake Formation (Matt Berry deposit); Steele Formation (Maxi Prospect); and Earn Group (Fin Prospect). The southeastern portion of the assessment area contains a large number of drilled sedex prospects that resulted in high potential evaluations. The western and southeastern portions of the assessed area have the highest mineral potential, are easily accessible, and if excluded from the proposed SMA may attract further exploration efforts. A northern area that contains numerous Mo- and W-skarn showings was considered a lower potential area, given the thorough level of exploration that those showings are perceived to have received, and the limited thickness of limestone units. The experts concluded that detailed mapping of intrusive phases should be carried out by YTG geologists if the area with the skarn showings is to be considered for protection.

Recommendations

The expert panelists formulated the following recommendations:

• Tracts in the two highest mineral potential categories be excluded from any protected area proposals;

• Road-based field work be carried out to follow-up on a multi-element geochemical anomaly in the western-most part of the assessment area (immediately east of Robert Campbel Highway and south of Simpson Tower);

• Helicopter-supported field work be carried out in the northern portion of the assessment area, to map in detail possible different intrusive phases, and to document the thickness, character, and abundance of limestone units;

Acknowledgements

Steve Piercey, Daniele Heon, Gary Stronghill and Scott Heffernan volunteered to assist in the fieldwork. Cominco geologists Bruce Mawer, Dereck Rhodes, and Paul MacRobbie provided maps and expert advice on the geology and mineralization in the Frances Lake area. Mike Burke assisted in obtaining information on the Maxi prospect. Don Murphy and Maurice Colpron submitted geochemical and geochronological samples to respective laboratories, and interpreted analytical results. JoAnne VanRanden provided information on the Land Claims negotiations and interim protected lands. Shirley Abercrombie and Monique Schoniker provided administrative support for the fieldwork.



.





.

	ç	3 8	8 8	8 8	3 8	3 8	3	3	2 9		
	0101		4920			4060			49600		
6844000											684400
6843000										an an An An Ang	684300
6842000											684200
6841000				AF-1	59						684100
6840000											684000
6839000							*AF = 1 •AF = 15 •AF = 15	*AF-158 55 153	10-		683900
6838000							~~AF "AF−1!	-1151 50			683800
837000					<u>989) (188</u> 1997 (188					3	683700
836000										• .	683600
	n de la composition de la comp		n an trainighteacha Tar Antar trainighteacha			"唐教帝"的"医子教"。 "他就是	ار این این این این است. این افزار مولی ایران ا				



Appendix VI - ICP analyses of rush samples from Maxi prospect

TITLE 01-11-00 14:00:58 V00-02040.0 M. BURKE 30/10/00 CLIENT GOV. OF CANADA -INDIAN & NORTHERN AFFAIRS -GEOLOGY PROJECT NONE GIVEN #SAMPLES: 4 SPECIAL VALUES **IS Insufficient Sample** -9 No Value Recorded Values above the upper limit are shown as +uplimt Values below the lower limit are shown as -lolmt (ie not detected) DETERMINATIONS ELNAME METHO ECO UNI #SAM LOLMT UPLIMT COMMENTS 01 Ag ICP EA5 PPM 4 6.5 500.0 Results Reported 02 Cu ICP EA5 PCT 4 0.01 15.00 Results Reported 03 Pb ICP EA5 PCT 4 0.01 15.00 Results Reported 04 Zn ICP EA5 PCT 4 0.01 15.00 Results Reported SAMPLE PREPS 40 SAMPLE TYPE=R ROCK 41 PA2= 4 CRUSH/SPLIT & PULV. **** FORMAT (1X,A8,3X,A1,3X,A1,3X,A20,1X,4(1X,A7,2X,A1,1X)) BEGIN Frac Sample ID Cu Туре Ag 20400001 R 2 XC6 30.5-31.5 -6.5 -0.01 20400002 R

Pb Zn 0.01 0.11 0.08 2 XC6 53-54 -6.5 -0.01 -0.01 20400003 R XC6 137-138 -6.5 -0.01 -0.01 0.03 2 20400004 R 2 XC6 145.5-147.3 -6.5 -0.01 -0.01 0.11 END

	ACME ANALY (ISO 9	TICAL		BOR edi	ATC		35 3.)	LTD		8	52 1	3.	ĤĀS	ŕIN	ics	⁻s'r¯	. VA	исю	UVE	่ง⊤ะ⊮่⊂ี		0A	186		- T	PHOI	4E) (6	04,		3-3	L	- []] FA	x 4 .		25	1	16
	AA										GE	:0C	HEN	410	IAI	, Al	NAL	YSI	S C	ER.			ATE	- -												A	
									Econ	<u>Yuk</u> omic C	ev.	Ge (F-3	<u>01(</u>), P	.0.,	<u>/ P</u> Whi	teho	JIA orse)	<u>m</u> 'T Y1.	F11 A 200	e i S	F A ubmit	ted	14⊃ by:	0 Anna	For	iseca										L.	
	SAMPLE#	Мо ррт	Cu ppm	Pb ppm	Zn ppm	Ag ppm	Ni ppm	Co ppm	Mn ppm	Fe لا	As ppm	U ppm	Au ppm p	Th opm p	Sr opm	Cd ppm	Sb ppm	Bi ppr	V I ppm	Ca %	P %	La ppm	Cr ppm	Mg %	Ba ppm	Ti %	B ppm	A1 %	Na %	K % I	W ppm p	Hg pm	Sc opm p	T1 pm	S G % pp	la Au om p	** pb
f;	AF - 74B AF - 76A AF - 83A AF - 87A AF - 88A	1.8 1.0 3.7 24.2 4.4	325 135 115 79 567	506 19 <2 2 <2	628 28 28 37 52	2.5 .5 .4 .3 .8	35 30 26 83 26	61 23 14 18 35	1586 77 105 126 123	10.80 4.37 2.81 3.74 9.46	1 <1 1 6 52	<1 1 12 1	<2 <2 <2 <2 <2	4 2 7 2 4 1 8 1	97 1 64 286 125 143	10.1 .4 <.2 <.2 .4	.6 <.5 3.1 1.4 2.5	68.7 .7 9.9 1.1 126.4	80 15 48 309 50	1.83 1.66 4.29 2.12 2.34	.019 .018 .043 .279 .041	13 4 14 18 13	19 14 53 40 69	.64 .47 .61 .83 1.20	20 60 143 150 30	.058 .045 .115 .221 .146	<1 1 <1 2 1 6 <1 2 <1 4	.03 .08 .45 .74 .65	.061 .062 .610 .146 .292	.08 .06 .38 .58 .82	1 1 4 6	<1 1 2 1 1	1.3 .6 4.8 4.4 7.3	<1 4. <1 2. <1 1. <1 1. 3 5.	06 55 05 1 05 58 1	6 5 14 9 13 2	16 3 3 5 00
	Scull AF-103A AF-106A AF-111A AF-119A	21.0 5.1 4.5 3.6 2.5	204 106 1444 67 465	1286 6 13 4 6	1070 13 54 20 30	2.5 .3 .5 <.1 .5	57 10 11 19 13	15 3 21 4 8	911 193 699 151 671	4.73 1.08 7.69 1.25 4.99	62 78 4 212 21	7. <1 <1 1 1	<2 <2 <2 <2 <2 <2 <2	4 2 13 11 6	184 12 182 15 130	7.0 .3 <.2 .6 <.2	3.1 1.7 <.5 .6 1.7	1.5 15.5 159.3 .5 3.3	87 4 14 6 42	2.58 .06 2.03 .14 1.28	.290 .025 .042 .012 .035	8 5 28 16 18	31 . 22 40 21 32	82 .02 .43 .17 .42	29 21 17 61 121	.004 .001 .052 .032 .087	5 2 <1 3 <1 1 2 2	. 69 . 14 . 46 . 04 . 39	.030 .007 .109 .023 .101	. 26 . 06 . 03 . 26 . 23	8 4 820 16 36	1 < <1 <1 <1 1	4.0 .3 2.5 1.0 3.9	1 3. <1 . 2 3. <1 . 1 .	14 02 67 1 15 22 1	2 1 1 10 1 3 10 1	<2 27 87 5 78
	AF - 120A AF - 121A AF - 123A AF - 136A AF - 147A	3.9 7.1 4.2 13.6 2.5	68 709 50 91 50	9 4 13 23 7	4 25 36 28 52	.8 .9 .2 1.0 .1	7 29 17 10 32	<1 12 5 <1 11	31 401 519 38 130	2.36 5.45 3.18 2.21 2.82	27442 50 484 25 64	1 2 1 5 2	10 2 <2 <2 <2 <2	9 11 3 7 13 15	5 323 7 62 20	<.2 <.2 <.2 .2 .2	223.9 3.1 4.4 3.3 1.7	9.7 221.1 2.6 <.5 .7	5 41 12 58 23	.01 3.97 .03 .13 .10	.006 .188 .012 .128 .027	19 18 15 47 29	18 45 23 21 25	.01 .36 .04 .37 .59	37 92 41 476 226	.003 .080 .001 .001 .026	<1 45 8 41 21	.22 .17 .44 .30 .31	.004 .233 .006 .011 .031	. 14 . 15 . 13 . 24 . 49	3 25 2 2 1	<1 2 <1 <1 <1	.8 3.5 1.5 2.6 2.7	<1 . 1 1. 1 . <1 . <1 .	23 < 76 1 02 05 58	1 95 5 18 1 4 5	89 95 63 5 3
	RE AF-147A AF-150C AF-151A AF-152A AF-152A AF-153A	2.5 3.1 4.1 3.3 1.1	49 115 118 47 26	6 3 7 2 2	53 34 55 53 <1	.1 .3 .3 .3 .4	31 22 21 22 6	11 15 12 13 4	124 446 267 126 184	2.82 2.79 2.89 2.02 .44	62 4 8 2 3	1 2 1 <1	<2 <2 <2 <2 <2 <2	14 13 2 19 3 12 3 3 8	19 209 329 388 373	2 < 2 < 2 < 2 < 2 < 2 < 2 < 2 < 2	1.6 2.4 2.0 3.7 6.7	<.5 <.5 <.5 7.8 <.5	22 28 57 44 6	.10 3.19 2.99 4.79 20.98	.027 .041 .040 .039 .038	28 39 52 34 11	25 35 54 45 6	. 57 . 47 . 64 . 36 . 08	220 88 43 16 4	.024 .127 .179 .172 .033	3 1 2 5 <1 4 4 5 6	. 27 . 05 . 21 . 47 . 94	. 030 . 126 . 144 . 186 . 087	.47 .11 .33 .09 .04	1 4 4 4 <1	<1 1 1 <1 <1	2.6 3.4 5.5 1.0 1.0	<1 <1 <1 <1 <1	56 66 1 37 1 54 1 04	4 3 2 5 3	12, <2 3 10 4
	AF - 154A AF - 155A AF - 158A AF - 159A AF - 159B	3.0 3.1 5.1 3.3 4.0	58 78 22 18 7	6 9 9 6 41	35 67 20 14 12	.3 .3 .2 .4 .1	17 23 3 6. 7	9 11 <1 1 2	377 227 176 214 274	1.95 4.34 1.95 1.56 1.24	1 3 <1 3 1	2 3 1 1 3	<2 <2 <2 <2 <2 <2	13 6 18 2 6 5 4 11	520 290 14 134 22	<.2 <.2 <.2 <.2 <.2	4.4 2.0 <.5 2.3 <.5	.6 <.5 <.5 <.5	26 54 69 34 2	6.81 3.36 .05 7.78 .35	.060 .058 .006 .040 .051	35 40 22 10 16	33 62 74 36 20	. 32 . 80 . 54 . 27 . 04	70 33 28 18 94	.139 .191 .022 .085 .001	4 5 3 5 <1 1 3 1 <1	. 18 . 43 . 17 . 18 . 45	. 402 . 248 . 030 . 058 . 053	.23 .29 .35 .08 .21	3 1 7 1 8	1 1 1 10 <1 5 <1 7 <1	1.2).3 5.9 3.7 .8	<1 . 1 . <1 . <1 . <1 .	43 1 75 1 33 10 53	3 5 6 5 1	2 6 7 7 7
	AF - 161A AF - 161B AF - 162A AF - 163A AF - 166A	.8 4.7 1.4 6.5 1.9	9 128 27 40 71	4 8 12 34 15	176 97 66 470 58	.4 .9 .5 .6	10 48 7 21 8	5 7 13 5 29	539 76 905 1165 2044	2.02 2.81 4.18 2.10 4.65	4 7 40 16 6	<1 5 1 1 <1	<2 <2 <2 <2 <2 <2	3 3 4 1 6 1 5 [.] <1	863 194 189 45 91	.8 .9 .3 1.7 .2	6.2 3.6 2.9 <.5 5.4	<.5 <.5 <.5 <.5 1.1	16 80 80 95 9	18.26 5.05 5.26 .99 16.78	.019 .900 .060 .078 .001	7 22 11 14 5	18 61 46 35 9	2.03 2.86 2.15 .48 .07	66 343 152 173 9	.011 .003 .001 .014 .006	3 1 <1 2 <1 1 2 <1	. 53 . 50 . 89 . 97 . 24	.008 .012 .006 .007 .004	.23 .28 .31 .21 .01	<1 2 <1 9 2	1 3 1 3 <1 10 <1 3 <1 4	3.7 3.4).7 9	<1 <. <1 . 1 . <1 . <1 .	01 03 51 17 48	3 5 5 3 2	6 14 11 <2 13
	AF - 166B AF - 168B. AF - CCR1 AF - CCR2 STANDARD C3/AU-R	2.5 2.0 2.4 10.0 27.0	4 39 117 69	9 7 368 22 38	81 11 477 211 169	.2 .1 .7 .5 5.7	19 5 10 41 36	14 1 13 10 12	291 115 1274 268 767	3.31 .46 4.04 5.14 3.27	1 4 20 71 58	1 <1 2 2 25	<2 <2 <2 <2 <2 <2	15 15 8 9 21	59 10 45 17 28 2	.2 <.2 3.4 1.0 4.9	2.1 <.5 .7 1.6 17.6	<.5 <.5 <.5 <.5 23.8	79 9 107 59 80	.93 .43 1.15 .10 .59	.053 .154 .091 .010 .093	20 10 22 32 18	33 26 20 21 176	.97 .07 1.55 .11 .62	275 37 504 668 156	.223 .041 .005 .002 .086	<12 1 62 3 191	. 79 . 46 . 32 . 56 . 87	. 192 . 079 . 053 . 044 . 042	.70 .15 .21 .21 .17	3 1 2 <1 15	1 1(<1 1 <1 11 <1 (2 4).1 3 0 5.3 3	1 <. <1 <. <1 . 3 . 1 .	01 01 27 23 03	9 2 8 3 1 8 47	3 4 7 14 78
	STANDARD G-2	1.5	3	3	_ 46	<.1	7	4	509	1.95	17	2	<2	4	73	<.2	<.5	<.5	41	.63	. 099	8	78	. 59	225	. 128	<1	. 91 .	077	. 49	3	1 2	.5	<] <,	01 !	5	-
	DATE RECE	GROUP UPPER ASSAY - SAMP Sample	1DX LIMI RECO PLE T es be	- 0.5 TS - MMEND YPE: ginni	50 GM AG, DED F ROCK	I SAM AU, OR R R15 <u>RE</u>	IPLE HG, IOCK IO 60 are	LEA W = AND OC <u>Rer</u>	CHED 100 CORI AL	WITH PPM; E SAMP J** GR and <u>'R</u> RT M	3 ML MO, C LES I OUP 3 <u>RE' e</u>	2-2- 30, 0 F CL 38 - <u>are F</u>	2 HC 2D, S J PB 30.0 Rejec	E-HI B, I ZN / DO GI	NO3- BI, AS > M SA <u>erun</u> / /	H20 TH, 1%, MPLE S.	AT 95 U&B AG > ANAL () ()つつ	DEG = 2, 30 f YSIS	C F ,000 PM & BY F	OR ON PPM; AU > A/ICF	е но си, 100	UR, I PB, 3 0 PPI	DILU ZN, B P	TED NI, I	ΤΟ 1 MN,	O ML, AS, V	, ANA /, LA	LYSE , CR	:D BY ₹ = 1	OPT 0,00	IMA 00 PP	IСР- М.	ES.	8 0	٥٥٩	AYES	
	All results ar	e cons	ider	ed th	ie co	nfic	lenti	ial i	prope	erty o	f the	cli	ent.	Acr	ne a	₽ ssum	es th	e lie	bili	ties	for a	actua	alco		of th	ne ar	alysi	is o	nly.	17.0	ч , С			Data	. J	FA	

(ISO 9002 Accr	edit	.ed	Co.)				GE	oc	HEN	1 IC	'AL	A	NAL	YS	IS	CE	RT:	CFI	CA'	re														A /
TT					Y Ec	ukc onom	n ic D	<u>Gec</u> ev. (10 F+3)	9 <u>7</u> , P	<u>Pr</u> .0.,	<u>rog</u> Whi	<u>ra</u> teho	<u>n</u> irse	Fi Yī Y	le 1A 2	# c6	A1(Sub)29 mitt	00 ed b	y: A	Pa nna l	ge Ions	1 eca											Ľ
SAMPLE#	Mo (ppm pj	Cu P pm pp	b Zr m ppr	n A n pp	g N n ppr	i Co n ppm	Mr ppn	Fe X	As As	U ppm	Au ppm	Th ppm	Sr ppm	Cd ppm	Sb ppm	Bi ppm	V ppm	Ca %	P X	La ppm	Cr ppm	Mg X	Ba ppm	Ti %	B ppm	A1 %	Na %	K X	W ppm	T1 ppm	Hg ppm	Au** ppt	* Ta > pp	.* W* xn ppr	r N
AF 3 AF 4A AF 7 AF 8 AF 10	2 7 1 12 1	27 5 7 1 52 1 46 81 20 1	5 728 6 76 4 54 1 344 5 83	3 . 5 <. 1 <. 1 10. 3 <.	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$) 11 1 9 2 11 3 <1 2 12	1810 1869 1420 175 268	2.13 2.53 3.87 2.34 4.32	19 41 6 929 2 12	<8 <8 <8 <8 <8	<2 <2 <2 <2 <2	4 3 7 15 10	316 24 369 40 14	9.7 .9 .8 9.3 .2	6 9 4 <3 <3	<3 4 <3 53 <3	12 15 23 10 19	8.09 4.49 20.81 .10 .15	.023 .026 .031 .029 .054	12 10 32 28 50	51 78 22 80 45	.45 .04 .77 .04 1.38	39< 56< 35< 58< 151	.01 .01 .01 .01 .01	3 <3 <3 <3	.34 .38 1.73 .55 2.55	.03 .01 .01 .04 .02	. 12 . 25 . 15 . 33 . 25	<2 3 <2 2 <2	<5 <5 <5 <5 <5	1 1 <1 1		$\frac{2}{7}$.	6 4 5 7 7 2 7 2	1 7 5 3
AF 11 AF 12 AF 14B AF 15 AF 15B	3 2 2 1 3	3 2 6 2 16 00 33 1	27 50 22 28 3 5 7 6 2 49) <. l <. l <. l <.	3 4 3 8 3 9 3 10 3 10	4 5 3 3 5 3 5 10 5 8	524 357 214 317 160	1.99 1.50 .81 3.24 1.41) <2) <2 <2 <2 <2	<8 <8 <8 30 <8	<2 <2 <2 <2 <2	15 9 10 11 10	11 22 574 191 536	<.2 .5 .6 .2 <.2	<3 <3 <3 <3 <3	<3 <3 4 <3 3	13 22 13 25 22	.31 .44 11.79 2.25 4.49	.069 .039 .051 .219 .059	32 19 25 35 30	59 108 51 88 46	. 15 . 26 . 14 . 57 . 20	30< 36< 23 25 19	.01 .01 .08 .10 .16	<3 <3 3 <3 <3	.82 .63 1.73 2.98 2.28	.02 .03 .06 .26 .12	.22 .17 .09 .12 .09	2 <2 3 2 3	<5 <5 <5 <5 <5	1 <1 <1 1 <1		2 1. 3 . 2 1. 2 16. 2 2.	5 3 8 4 2 7 1	3 1 4 4 3
AF 15C AF 15D AF 16 AF 16B AF 17D	3 1 1 3 1	39 36 63 48 1 40	8 35 3 22 8 16 0 33 5 76	5 <. 2 <. 5 <. 5 <.	$\begin{array}{cccc} 3 & 16 \\ 3 & 16 \\ 3 & 22 \\ 3 & 34 \\ 3 & 16 \\ 3 & 16 \\ \end{array}$	3 9 6 9 2 11 4 20 4 6	156 173 138 70 193	2.05 1.77 2.09 4.93 3.35	5 <2 / <2) <2 } <2 5 8	<8 9 <8 <8 <8	<2 <2 <2 <2 <2	8 5 15 6 17	426 857 243 1123 20	<.2 <.2 <.2 .2	<3 <3 <3 <3 <3	<3 <3 3 <3 <3	31 27 21 29 10	2.70 8.86 2.03 3.84 .10	.035 .058 .037 .038 .032	5 25 20 41 18 18 41	89 46 72 64 44	.21 .10 .13 .21 .95	22 11 41 11 111	.18 .15 .16 .20 .02	4 <3 3 13 <3	2.98 2.84 2.57 4.80 1.75	.17 .13 .15 .52 .02	.14 .06 .07 .09 .24	2 <2 3 3 2	<5 <5 <5 <5 <5	<1 <1 1 1 1		2 1. 2 1. 2 3. 4 3. 4 1.	7 (5 (9 (9)	5 5 5 3
RE AF 17D AF 24 AF 26B AF 27B AF 27C	1 2 2 3	42 <1 40 2 18 1 18 <	7 80 3 3 2 42 5 116 3 12) <. 3 <. 7 <. 6 <. 2 <.	3 1 3 1 3 4 3 5 3 5	5 7 2 2 9 45 5 19 3 2	202 713 65 264 263	3.52 2.44 3.29 5.50 .78	2 10 <2 9 67 5	<8 <8 <8 <8 8	<2 <2 <2 <2 <2 <2	17 <2 13 18 <2	21 372 298 10 15	.3 .2 .2 <.2 <.2	<3 <3 <3 <3 <3 <3	<3 <3 <3 <3 <3	10 <1 32 69 7	.10 13.41 2.31 .18 2.58	.032 .015 .086 .060 .008	43 3 9 62	47 40 56 94 115	1.01 <u>3.89</u> .57 1.18 .02	118 <u>17<</u> 87 384 9	.02 .01 .19 .27 .01	<3 <3 <3 <3 <3	1.86 .59 2.96 3.20 .12≤	.02 .02 .35 .04 <.01	. 25 . 01 . 25 1.97 . 03	2 <2 3 3 4	<5 <5 <5 <5 <5	2 		2 2. 2 3. 2 2. 2 <.	0 4 1 2 4 4 1 1 1	1 2 1 3 7
AF 28A AF 28B AF 29A AF 30A AF 32A	1 3 9 3 <1 3	7 1 07 < 56 < 11 84 1	4 47 3 16 3 69 3 29 1 44	7 <. 5 <. 5 <.	3 19 7 9 3 41 3 8 3 8	9 8 9 1 7 18 3 5 7 12	1562 54 364 787 437	3.58 .60 4.31 .90 2.65	3 7 4 2 2 2 5 <2	<8 <8 <8 <8 <8	<2 <2 <2 <2 <2	2 <2 9 9 8	567 5 38 346 105	.3 <.2 .3 .3	<3 <3 <3 <3 <3	<3 <3 <3 <3 <3	6 5 59 11 29	14.57 .10 .53 13.26 1.44	.049 .006 .028 .030 .041	9 <1 24 13 10	23 114 89 53 88	.57 .01 1.07 .13 .42	87 18< 647 77 224	.01 .01 .28 .03 .06	<3 <3 <3 <3 <3	.58 .05< 2.79 .71 2.48	.01 <.01 .09 .04 .12	.13 .02 1.57 .12 .31	<2 3 3 <2 3	<5 <5 <5 <5 <5	1 <1 1 <1 <1		$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5 6 1 6 6 6 8 4 8 3	5 5 5 4 3
AF 33A AF 38B AF 38C AF 39A AF 40B	3 4 8 4 9 6 7 1 7	52 44 65 77 12 <	9 29 4 120 5 132 9 53 3 43) <) < 2 < 3 3 <	3 17 3 50 3 60 5 17 3 17	2 4 5 14 5 17 1 4 1 2	321 83 143 216 136	2.63 2.17 2.85 2.37 2.58	<pre>3 <2 4 5 5 2 3 3</pre>	<8 <8 <8 <8 <8	<2 <2 <2 <2 <2	3 7 8 7 10	56 7 6 97 9	<.2 1.5 .5 .8 <.2	<3 <3 <3 <3 <3	<3 <3 <3 <3 <3	11 118 132 27 67	. 79 . 04 . 04 . 72 . 03	.033 .024 .030 .110 .043	4 19 23 11 29	113 105 135 68 55	.58 .59 .75 .48 .67	140 157 243 127 530	.04 .07 .10 .12 .10	<3 3 4 <3 3	2.01 1.81 2.39 1.11 1.54	.18 .03 .03 .03 .02	. 45 . 47 . 65 . 20 . 64	3 5 3 2 3	<5 <5 <5 <5 <5	<1 		3 1. 3 1. 3 1. 5 1. 3 1.	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	55
AF 41A AF 41B AF 42A AF 42B STANDARD C3/AU-R/SO-16	10 4 12 2 1 3 4 27 0	8 23 1 73 53 < 65 3	5 28 3 52 7 17 3 45 5 165	3 < 2 1 5 < 5 5.	3 (2 23 3 9 3 29 3 39	5 <1 3 <1 9 3 9 14 9 13	71 238 2285 98 809	1.90 5.62 4.25 3.28 3.33	13 9 10 90 55	<8 <8 <8 <8 23	<2 <2 <2 <2 3	4 3 <2 9 21	23 64 552 134 28	<.2 .9 .4 <.2 23.2	3 7 <3 <3 14	<3 <3 <3 4 24	51 49 <1 63 84	01. 16. 19.15 2.03 .56	.057 .066 .041 .078 .096	8 7 8 25 18	112 98 9 88 178	.22 .33 3.87 .78 .63	319 452 51 198 156	.01 .05 .03 .21 .09	<3 5 33 5 17	.40 .25 3.35 1.85	.03 .02 .01 .15 .04	.11 .10 .10 .73 .17	2 5 3 23	<5 <5 <5 <5 <5	1 <1 2 <1 2	16 18 5 26 481	5 . 5 . 5 4. . 1.	6 11 3 5 2 6 8 6 8 2	5
STANDARD G-2 GROUP 1D UPPER LIM AU** GROU ASSAY REC - SAMPLE	3 ITS - P 3B OMMEN TYPE:	3 AG, - 30 IDED ROC	3 43 SAM AU, .00 FOR K R1	PLE HG, GM S ROCK 50	3 S LEAC W = AMPL AND S	HED 100 E AN COR ampl	548 JITH PPM ALYS E SA	1.98 3 ML 7 MO, IS BY 19LES	2-2 2-2 CO, FA/ 5 IF	<8 2-2 F CD 1CP CU F 2RE	<2 ICL- SB T PB Z	4 HNO3 , BI A* & N AS <u>e R</u> e	69 -H20 , TH W* > 1 runs	<.2 AT , U GROU %, A and	<pre><3 95 D & B P 4B G > 'RR</pre>	<3 EG. = 2, 3 - R 30 P <u>E' a</u>	40 C FC 000 EE - PM 8 re F	.64 PPM; LiB AU Rejeç	.099 E HOL CU, O2 FL > 100	B PB, JSIO DO PI	81 DILU ZN, N, IO PB	.62 FED T NI, CP/MS	231 TO 10 MN, FII	.13 D ML AS, NISH	3 , AN V, ED.	.91 Alys LA,	.07 SED E CR =	.51 BY I(= 10	3 CP-E ,000	 S. I PP№	1.				