

Idea Text	Generated by Public	Date / Time created	Incorporated into the site
These are the 'ideas' that were originally inputted by Commission staff. The ideas are all based on issues identified in the Draft Issues and Interest Report.			
Continued access to fish and game	No	2019-11-08 07:06:11 UTC	Yes
Healthy wildlife populations	No	2019-11-08 07:06:11 UTC	Yes
More protected or special management areas	No	2019-11-08 07:06:11 UTC	Yes
More access control to reduce wildlife impacts	No	2019-11-08 07:06:11 UTC	Yes
Caribou habitat being impacted by exploration	No	2019-11-08 07:06:11 UTC	Yes
Wetlands being lost to placer mining	No	2019-11-08 07:06:11 UTC	Yes
Healthy agricultural sector	No	2019-11-08 07:06:11 UTC	Yes
Too much industrial development on the Yukon River	No	2019-11-08 07:06:11 UTC	Yes
Increased protection of heritage resources and sites	No	2019-11-08 07:06:11 UTC	Yes
Healthy tourism sector	No	2019-11-08 07:06:11 UTC	Yes
Increased access for resource development	No	2019-11-08 07:06:11 UTC	Yes
More land available for quartz mine staking	No	2019-11-08 07:06:11 UTC	Yes
More land available for placer claim staking	No	2019-11-08 07:06:11 UTC	Yes
> Expansion of community areas	No	2019-11-08 07:06:11 UTC	Yes
These 'ideas' were submitted by members of public and they were incorporated into the idea tool			
At least half the planning region should be set aside for conservation	Yes	2019-12-05 21:01:13 UTC	Yes
The wilderness characteristics of most of the planning region should be maintained	Yes	2019-12-05 21:02:20 UTC	Yes
There should be a moratorium on mineral staking in the planning region while the plan is being developed	Yes	2019-12-05 21:03:00 UTC	Yes
Yukon River corridor should be a Special Management Area	Yes	2019-12-05 21:02:46 UTC	Yes
Access to land for mineral exploration	Yes	2019-12-04 17:09:06 UTC	Yes
Protection for placer miners.	Yes	2019-11-26 17:06:52 UTC	Yes
preserving and improving historical access routes to reduce costs for exploration	Yes	2019-12-04 17:47:37 UTC	Yes
access to quartz claims	Yes	2019-12-04 17:46:20 UTC	Yes
These 'ideas' were submitted by the public late in the engagement period (see date and time) . 92% of the ideas were submitted on Jan 5 & 6 2020 which were the last two days of the engagement period. Because of this staff were not able to incorporate them into the tool, but the ideas were noted as input to the process.			
SUPPORT INDUSTRY BY IMPROVING BEST PRACTICES NOT A BLANKET BAN ON MINING	Yes	2020-01-06 21:03:51 UTC	No

Idea Text	Generated by Public	Date / Time created	Incorporated into the site
NO STAKING BANS	Yes	2020-01-06 21:03:16 UTC	No
Protecting mineral interests and industry in concert with protecting heritage resources.	Yes	2020-01-06 21:02:40 UTC	No
Neither option	Yes	2020-01-06 19:22:13 UTC	No
Mining is the major economic enabler in the Dawson Land Use Area. Land access for mining needs to remain a priority.	Yes	2020-01-06 16:53:14 UTC	No
the biggest enemy of fish and animals is not industry . it is fishermen and hunters	Yes	2020-01-06 07:26:46 UTC	No
to little development ,, to much red tape	Yes	2020-01-06 07:21:43 UTC	No
i have an issue with enviromental groups pushing their agenda continuously	Yes	2020-01-06 05:44:51 UTC	No
simpler landuse permits	Yes	2020-01-06 05:43:33 UTC	No
better regulatory conditions for mining	Yes	2020-01-06 05:42:59 UTC	No
My issues are easier access to developpe placer ground	Yes	2020-01-06 05:26:38 UTC	No
I want to see less access control to make mining easier	Yes	2020-01-06 05:23:45 UTC	No
My issues and interests in the Dawson region are mining interests . Mining has very little impact on animals or habitat	Yes	2020-01-06 05:22:39 UTC	No
My interests are neither of these two questions . This looks like a rigged survey to me	Yes	2020-01-06 05:21:03 UTC	No
I find that this survey is not effective in expressing the truth	Yes	2020-01-06 05:17:53 UTC	No
Wildlife is not affected by mining but very affected by hunting and fishing .Animals dont care if they see a D9 but do care if they get shot	Yes	2020-01-06 05:16:40 UTC	No
niether, BS survey	Yes	2020-01-06 05:01:23 UTC	No
both these suggestions are biased to one position. No moratorium and allow for mining and exploration	Yes	2020-01-06 04:59:31 UTC	No
balance between responsible mining and good reclamation policy	Yes	2020-01-06 04:57:56 UTC	No
Neither one is advisable	Yes	2020-01-05 19:02:34 UTC	No
A minimum percentage of area should be to be set aside for conservation. Better to have a firm but expedient permitting and regulations	Yes	2020-01-05 18:56:55 UTC	No
People in the bush is not inherently bad for the land in all cases, sustainability is key.	Yes	2020-01-05 05:41:26 UTC	No
Support staking moratorium	Yes	2019-12-23 22:09:05 UTC	No
Protection for placer miners	Yes	2019-12-04 22:31:34 UTC	No